

## SUPPLEMENT

**Supplementary Table 1. Comparison of Studies of Methods Designed for Automatic Calcium Scoring in CT**

Study	Sensitivity (%), Per-Lesion	False-Positives Per CT Scan	ICC	Number of CT Scans for Test	Protocol of CT Scan	Method of Detection
Isgum et al. 2007 [1]	73.8	0.1	NA	76	ECG-gated CT	Feature extraction + classification (k-NN)
Kurkure et al. 2010 [2]	92.3	NA	NA	105	Electron-beam CT	Feature extraction + two-stage classifier
Bruner et al. 2010 [3]	85.6*	NA	NA	30	Electron-beam CT	Atlas based approach (coronary artery regions)
Shahzad et al. 2013 [4]	86.6	2.2	NA	157	ECG-gated CT	Feature based method + multi-atlas approach with 10 atlas images
Wolterink et al. 2015 [5]	87*	4.1 mm <sup>3</sup> /image	0.93	530	ECG-gated CT	Feature based two classifiers, one for distinguishing calcifications and negatives and the other for assigning location
Wolterink et al. 2016 [6]	79	0.2	0.96	530	ECG-gated CT	2.5D patch-based CNN (15 or 25 sizes from axial, coronal, sagittal plane)
Lessmann et al. 2018 [7]	91.2	40.7 mm <sup>3</sup> /scan	NA	506	Non-ECG-gated chest CT	Cascaded two 2.5D CNNs (CNN1 with large receptive field and CNN2 with smaller receptive field)
Cano-Espinosa et al. 2018 [8]	NA	NA	0.93 <sup>†</sup>	1000	Non-ECG-gated chest CT	CNN based regression model, which directly predicts CAC score
Martin et al. 2020 [9]	93.2 <sup>‡</sup>	NA	0.985	511	ECG-gated CT	Two-fold deep-learning models, first one to exclude aorta, aortic valve, mitral valve regions and second one to classify coronary calcium voxels
van Velzen et al. 2020 [10]	93	4 mm <sup>3</sup> /scan	0.99	529	ECG-gated CT Non-ECG-gated chest CT (n = 3811)	Same as Lessmann et al. 2018 [7]
Proposed	93.3	0.11	0.99	2985	ECG-gated CT	3D patch-based CNN for semantic segmentation

\*Volume analysis, <sup>†</sup>Pearson correlation coefficient, <sup>‡</sup>Per-patient sensitivity. CNN = convolutional neural network, D = dimensional, ECG = electrocardiogram, ICC = intraclass correlation coefficient, k-NN = k-nearest neighbor classifier

## REFERENCES

- Isgum I, Rutten A, Prokop M, van Ginneken B. Detection of coronary calcifications from computed tomography scans for automated risk assessment of coronary artery disease. *Med Phys* 2007;34:1450-1461
- Kurkure U, Chittajallu DR, Brunner G, Le YH, Kakadiaris IA. A supervised classification-based method for coronary calcium detection in non-contrast CT. *Int J Cardiovasc Imaging* 2010;26:817-828
- Brunner G, Chittajallu DR, Kurkure U, Kakadiaris IA. Toward the automatic detection of coronary artery calcification in non-contrast computed tomography data. *Int J Cardiovasc Imaging* 2010;26:829-838
- Shahzad R, van Walsum T, Schaap M, Rossi A, Klein S, Weustink AC, et al. Vessel specific coronary artery calcium scoring: an automatic system. *Acad Radiol* 2013;20:1-9
- Wolterink JM, Leiner T, Takx RA, Viergever MA, Isgum I. Automatic coronary calcium scoring in non-contrast-enhanced ECG-triggered cardiac CT with ambiguity detection. *IEEE Trans Med Imaging* 2015;34:1867-1878
- Wolterink JM, Leiner T, de Vos BD, van Hamersvelt RW, Viergever MA, Işgum I. Automatic coronary artery calcium scoring in cardiac CT angiography using paired convolutional neural networks. *Med Image Anal* 2016;34:123-136
- Lessmann N, van Ginneken B, Zreik M, de Jong PA, de Vos BD, Viergever MA, et al. Automatic calcium scoring in low-dose chest CT using deep neural networks with dilated convolutions. *IEEE Trans Med Imaging* 2018;37:615-625
- Cano-Espinosa C, González G, Washko GR, Cazorla M, Estépar RSJ. Automated Agatston score computation in non-ECG gated CT scans using deep learning. *Proc SPIE Int Soc Opt Eng* 2018;10574:105742K
- Martin SS, van Assen M, Rapaka S, Hudson HT Jr, Fischer AM, Varga-Szemes A, et al. Evaluation of a deep learning-based automated CT coronary artery calcium scoring algorithm. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging* 2020;13:524-526
- van Velzen SGM, Lessmann N, Velthuis BK, Bank IEM, van den Bongard DHJG, Leiner T, et al. Deep learning for automatic calcium scoring in CT: validation using multiple cardiac CT and chest CT protocols. *Radiology* 2020;295:66-79