Supplementary information

Efficacy and safety of medication for attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder in children and adolescents with common comorbidities: A systematic review

Noa Tsujii*, Masahide Usami*, Noriyuki Naya, Toshinaga Tsuji, Hirokazu Mishima, Junko Horie, Masakazu Fujiwara, Junzo lida

* These authors contributed equally to the manuscript and are co-first authors.

Additional file 1 Electronic search strategies

Additional file 1 Table S1 Cochrane risk of bias rating of placebo-controlled trials for which effect size data were reported

Additional file 1 Table S2 Other efficacy findings

Additional file 1 Table S3 Main safety findings from meta-analyses and randomized controlled studies

Additional file 1 Table S4 Summary of findings for changes in body weight, blood pressure, and pulse rate or heart rate compared with placebo during active treatment for ADHD symptoms in randomized controlled trials

Additional file 1 Electronic search strategies

Ovid MEDLINE(R) and Epub Ahead of Print, In-Process & Other Non-Indexed Citations

- 1 (adhd or hkd or addh or hyperkine* or "attention deficit" or hyper-activ* or hyperactiv* or overactiv* or inattentive or impulsiv*).ti,ab.
- 2 atomoxetine/ or methylphenidate/ or amphetamine/ or Methamphetamine/ or Dextroamphetamine/ or dexmethylphenidate/
- 3 ((extended-release adj1 guanfacine) or ("extended release" adj1 guanfacine) or GXR or intuniv or atomoxetine or ritalin or methylphenidate or strattera or lisdexamfetamine or vyvanse or focalin or concerta or adderall or dexmethylphenidate or dextroamphetamine or dexamphetamine or mixed-amphetamine or "mixed amphetamine").mp.
- 4 1 and (2 or 3)
- 5 Asperger Syndrome/ or Autistic Disorder/ or Autism Spectrum Disorder/ or (asperger* or autis*).mp.
- 6 Tic Disorders/ or (tic* or tourette*).mp.
- 7 "Attention Deficit and Disruptive Behavior Disorders"/ or (oppositional or defiant or disruptive or conduct).mp.
- 8 Depression/ or ("major depression" or "major depressive").ti,ab.
- 9 Anxiety Disorders/ or anxiety.ti,ab.
- 10 5 or 6 or 7 or 8 or 9
- 11 4 and 10
- 12 exp rodent/ or exp dogs/ or exp cats/ or exp rabbits/ or exp horses/ or exp ruminants/ or exp swine/ or exp InVitro, techniques/ or exp cells, cultured/ or exp stem cell research/ or exp animal experimentation/ or exp disease models, animals/ or exp models, animal/ or exp animal tissue/ or (rat* or mice or mouse or cat* or dog* or vitro or vivo or animal*).ti.
- 13 (animals not human).tw.
- 14 12 or 13
- 15 11 not 14
- 16 (genetic or gene or polymorphism or congenital or epilep* or nicotine or pregnan*).ti,ab.
- 17 15 not 16
- 18 limit 17 to (address or autobiography or bibliography or biography or clinical conference or clinical trial, veterinary or clinical trials, veterinary as topic or clinical trial protocol or clinical trial protocols as topic or congress or consensus development conference or consensus development conference, nih or dataset or dictionary or directory or "expression of concern" or government document or interactive tutorial or interview or lecture or legal case or legislation or news or newspaper article or observational study, veterinary or patient education handout or personal narrative or portrait or "review" or video-audio media or webcasts)
- 19 (meta-analysis or metaanalysis or pooled or (systematic adj review)).mp.
- 20 18 and 19
- 21 (17 not 18) or 20

Embase

- 1 (adhd or hkd or addh or hyperkine* or "attention deficit" or hyper-activ* or hyperactiv* or overactiv* or inattentive or impulsiv*).ti,ab.
- 2 (GXR or intuniv or atomoxetine or ritalin or methylphenidate or strattera or lisdexamfetamine or vyvanse or focalin or concerta or adderall or dexmethylphenidate or dextroamphetamine or dexamphetamine or mixed-amphetamine or "mixed amphetamine").mp.
- 3 (extended-release or "extended release").ti,ab.
- 4 guanfacine.mp.
- 5 3 and 4
- 6 exp atomoxetine/ or exp methylphenidate/ or exp amphetamine/ or exp dexmethylphenidate/ or exp dexamphetamine/ or exp methamphetamine/
- 7 1 and (2 or 5 or 6)
- 8 autis*.mp. or autism/
- 9 Asperger syndrome/ or asperger*.mp.
- 10 tic/ or Gilles de la Tourette syndrome/ or (tic* or tourette*).mp.
- 11 oppositional defiant disorder/ or (oppositional or defiant or disruptive or conduct).mp.
- 12 anxiety disorder/ or generalized anxiety disorder/ or anxiety.ti,ab.
- 13 major depression/ or adolescent depression/ or ("major depression" or "major depressive").ti,ab.
- 14 8 or 9 or 10 or 11 or 12 or 13
- 15 7 and 14
- 16 exp rodent/ or exp dogs/ or exp cats/ or exp rabbits/ or exp horses/ or exp ruminants/ or exp swine/ or exp InVitro, techniques/ or exp cells, cultured/ or exp stem cell research/ or exp animal experimentation/ or exp disease models, animals/ or exp models, animal/ or exp animal tissue/ or (rat* or mice or mouse or cat* or dog* or vitro or vivo or animal*).ti.
- 17 (animals not human).tw.
- 18 16 or 17
- 19 15 not 18
- 20 (genetic or gene or polymorphism or congenital or epilep* or nicotine or pregnan*).ti,ab.
- 21 19 not 20
- 22 limit 21 to (books or chapter or conference abstract or conference paper or "conference review" or tombstone)
- 23 21 not 22
- 24 limit 23 to (books or chapter or conference abstract or conference paper or "conference review" or "review" or short survey or tombstone)
- 25 (meta-analysis or metaanalysis or pooled or (systematic adj review)).mp.
- 26 24 and 25
- 27 23 not 24
- 28 26 or 27

ltem	Allen, 2005*	Bangs, 2007*	Connor, 2010*	Geller, 2007*	Griffiths, 2018	Harfterkamp, 2012	Kaplan, 2004	Newcorn, 2005	Scahill, 2001	Scahill, 2015
Sequence generation	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	?	?	?
Allocation concealment	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	?	?	-
Blinding participants/ parents	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	?	-	?
Blinding therapist	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	?	-	-
Blinding assessor	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	?	-	-
Incomplete data outcome	-	-	-	-	-	-	?	?	?	-
Selective reporting	+	-	-	+	?	-	?	?	?	-

Additional file 1 Table S1 Cochrane risk of bias rating of placebo-controlled trials for which effect size data were reported

* Risk of bias assessed by Cortese et al, Lancet Psychiatry. 2018;5(9):727-38.

Green/-, low risk of bias; Red/+, high risk of bias; Yellow/?, unknown risk of bias.

Citation	Study design	Number of patients	Comorbidities	Main findings for CGI for ADHD
Autism spectrum dis	order			
Pearson, 2013 [43]	RCT – crossover MPHs vs PBO	n = 24	Autistic disorder: 79.2% PDD-NOS: 8.3% Asperger: 12.5%	 Significantly greater improvements in CGI-I scores were found by the psychiatrist (<i>F</i>[3,69]=15.49, <i>P</i> < 0.001) and psychologist (<i>F</i>[3,69]=12.62, <i>P</i> < 0.001) with MPHs; CGI-S scores significantly improved with MPHs as assessed by the psychiatrist (<i>F</i>[3,69]=7.62, <i>P</i> < 0.001) and psychologist (<i>F</i>[3,69]=12.46, <i>P</i> < 0.001)
Patra, 2019 [18]	MA ATX vs PBO	<i>n</i> = 241	NR	 Significantly greater improvement in CGI-I with ATX (relative risk 2.37 [95% CI: 1.38, 4.06])
Harfterkamp, 2012 [38]	RCT – parallel ATX vs PBO	n = 97 OLE, n = 88	Autistic disorder: 59.8% PDD-NOS: 33.0% Asperger: 5.2%	 CGI-ADHD-I response rate numerically higher for ATX (20.9% vs 8.7%)
NCT00498173 [41]	RCT – parallel ATX vs PBO	<i>n</i> = 60	Autistic disorder: 38.3% PDD-NOS: 38.3% Asperger: 23.3%	NR
Handen, 2015 [36]	RCT – parallel ATX±parent training vs PBO±parent training	n = 128	Autistic disorder: 44.5% PDD-NOS: 39.1% Asperger: 16.4%	 CGI-ADHD-I response rate significantly higher for ATX with or without parent training (48.4%, 46.9% vs 29.0%, 19.4%)
Scahill, 2015 [45]	RCT – parallel GXR vs PBO	n = 62	Autistic disorder: 82.3% PDD-NOS: 14.5% Asperger: 3.2%	 CGI-I response rate significantly higher with GXR (50.0% vs 9.4%), P = 0.0001
Handen, 2008 [37]	RCT – crossover GXR vs PBO	<i>n</i> = 11	Intellectual disability or autism	 Significantly greater improvements in CGI-I scores for GXR (2.82±0.9 vs 3.82±0.6, P < 0.005), but not CGI-S (4.36±0.5 vs 4.82±0.6, P < 0.070)
Oppositional defiant	disorder			
Spencer, 2006 [46]	RCT – parallel AMPs vs PBO	<i>n</i> = 308	ODD: 79.2%	 Significantly greater improvements in CGI-ADHD-I scores with AMPs 20 mg (61.9%, P < 0.001), 30 mg (54.9%, P < 0.008), and 40 mg (60.4%, P < 0.001) vs PBO (26.5%)
Schwartz, 2014 [20]	MA ATX vs PBO	n = 3697	ODD: various%	 Standardized mean difference for CGI-ADHD-I: -0.55 ([95% CI: -0.66, -0.44], P < 0.0001). Standardized mean difference for CGI-ADHD-S: -0.57 ([95% CI: -0.71, -0.44], P < 0.0001)
Cheng, 2007 [17]	MA ATX vs PBO	n = 213	ODD: NR%	 Significantly greater improvements in CGI-S scores with ATX (standardized mean difference: -0.598 [95% CI: -0.849, -0.347], P < 0.05)
Newcorn, 2005 [42]	RCT – parallel ATX vs PBO	<i>n</i> = 115	ODD: 100%	 Significantly greater improvements in CGI-ADHD-S scores with ATX 1.8 mg/kg (mean change from baseline –1.2, P = 0.04)
Kaplan, 2004 [39]	RCT – pooled ATX vs PBO	n = 98	ODD: 100%	 Significantly greater improvements in CGI-ADHD-S scores with ATX (mean change from baseline –1.5±1.5 vs –0.7±1.1, P = 0.003)

Additional file 1 Table S2 Other efficacy findings

Citation	Study design	Number of patients	Comorbidities	Main findings for CGI for ADHD
Bangs, 2008 [30]	RCT – parallel ATX vs PBO	n = 226	ODD: 100%	 Significantly greater improvements in CGI-I (mean change from baseline 3.5±1.4 vs 3.9±1.0, P = 0.037) and CGI-S (mean change from baseline -0.7±1.4 vs -0.3±1.1, P = 0.013) scores with ATX
Dell'Agnello, 2009 [32]	RCT – parallel ATX vs PBO	<i>n</i> = 137	ODD: 100%	• Significantly greater improvements in CGI-ADHD-S scores with ATX (4.5±1.0 vs 5.2±1.0 $P < 0.001$)
Dittman, 2011 [33]	RCT – parallel ATX vs PBO	<i>n</i> = 180	ODD: 100%	 Significantly greater improvements in CGI-S scores with ATX (least squares mean treatment group difference –0.7 [95% CI: –1.1. –0.4], effect size –0.21, P < 0.001)
Connor, 2010 [31]	RCT – parallel GXR vs PBO	n = 217	ODD: 100%	NR
Tourette's disorder a	nd other tic disorders			
Tourette's Syndrome Study Group, 2002 [40]	RCT – parallel MPHs vs CLON vs MPHs+CLON vs PBO	<i>n</i> = 136	Tourette: 94% Motor tic: 5% Vocal tic: 6% ODD: 38% [†]	 CGI-ADHD-I response rate (investigator-rated) significantly higher for all treatment groups compared with PBO (MPHs: 80.6%, CLON: 60.6%, MPHs+CLON: 87.5%, PBO: 32.3%)
Allen, 2005 [28]	RCT – parallel ATX vs PBO	<i>n</i> = 148	Tourette: 79.1% Motor tic: 29.7% Vocal tic: 17.6% ODD: 21.6% [†]	 Significantly greater improvements in CGI-ADHD/Psych-S (mean change from baseline -0.8±1.1, P < 0.001 vs -0.3±1.0, P = 0.008) and CGI-S scores (mean change from baseline -0.6±1.1, P < 0.001 vs -0.2±0.9, P = 0.054) with ATX
Scahill, 2001 [44]	RCT – parallel GXR vs PBO	n = 34	Tourette: 59.0% Motor tic: 35.3%	 CGI-I response rate significantly higher with GXR (52.9% vs 0.0%), P < 0.001
Generalized anxiety	disorder and major de	pressive disorder		
Geller, 2007 [34]	RCT – parallel ATX vs PBO	<i>n</i> = 113	Anxiety: 100%	 Significantly greater improvements in CGI-S scores with ATX (mean change from baseline –0.9±1.2, P = 0.002)
Griffiths, 2018 [35]	RCT – crossover ATX vs PBO	<i>n</i> = 38	Anxiety: 100% ODD: 55.3% [†]	NR
Bangs, 2007 [29]	RCT – parallel ATX vs PBO	<i>n</i> = 142	MDD: 100%	 CGI-I response rate significantly higher with ATX (47.8% vs 17.9%, P < 0.001)
				 CGI-S response rate not significantly higher with ATX (18.8% vs 10.4%, P = 0.23)

[↑]In addition to the comorbidity of interest and reported in ≥20% of patients. ADHD, attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder; AMPs, amphetamine or a derivative; ATX, atomoxetine; CGI-I, Clinical Global Impression – Improvement; CGI-S, Clinical Global Impression – Severity; CI, confidence interval; CLON, clonidine; GXR, guanfacine extended-release; MA, meta-analysis; MDD, major depressive disorder; MPHs, methylphenidate or a derivative; ODD, oppositional defiant disorder; OLE, open-label extension; PBO, placebo; PDD-NOS, pervasive developmental disorder-not otherwise specified; RCT, randomized controlled trial.

Citation	Study design	Number of patients	Adverse events with significantly or clinically Changes in weight relevant higher frequency compared with PBO		Changes in blood pressure	Other cardiovascular events
Autism spectrum d	lisorder					
Reichow, 2013 [19]	MA – 3 trials[66, 84, 92] MPHs vs PBO	NR	Significantly greater risk of: Decreased appetite (ARD = 0.17 [95% CI: 0.03, 0.31]; NNH = 5.9 [95% CI: 3.2, 33.3]) Insomnia (ARD = 0.19 [95% CI: 0.02, 0.36]; NNH = 5.3 [95% CI: 2.8, 5.0]) Depressive symptoms (ARD = 0.07 [95% CI: 0.004, 0.13]; NNH = 14.3 [95% CI: 7.7, 250]) Irritability (ARD = 0.14 [95% CI: 0.05, 0.24]; NNH = 7.1 [95% CI: 4.2, 20]) Social withdrawal (ARD = 0.07 [95% CI: 0.002, 0.15]; NNH = 14.3 [95% CI: 6.7, 500])	NR	NR	NR
Pearson, 2013 [43]	RCT – crossover MPHs 0.21, 0.35, 0.48 mg/kg PBO	n = 24	Appetite loss (29–38%) Trouble sleeping (29–50%)	 No significant changes in weight compared PBO 	 No significant changes in blood pressure compared with PBO 	 No significant changes in pulse rate compared with PBO
Kim, 2017 [70]	RCT – parallel MPHs 0.3 mg/kg MPHs 0.6 mg/kg	n = 9 n = 18	No dose-related increase in severe AEs (RISC-K) No suicidal behavior (C-SSRS)	 No significant or clinically relevant changes in either group 	 No significant or clinically relevant changes in either group 	 No significant or clinically relevant changes in either group
Handen, 2000 [66]	RCT – crossover MPHs 0.3 mg/kg MPHs 0.6 mg/kg PBO	n = 13	≥5% increase in frequency (significance NR) Sad, unhappy, depressed (45.5%, 70%) Irritable, crabby, touchy, whiny (54.5%, 70%) Poor appetite (72.7%, 70%) Drowsy, dull, pot alert (45.5%, 40%)	NR	NR	NR
RUPP, 2005 [84]	RCT – crossover MPHs 2.5–5 mg/kg MPHs 2.5–10 mg/kg MPHs 5–20 mg/kg vs PBO	RCT, <i>n</i> = 66 OLE, <i>n</i> = 34	Difficulty falling asleep 10.6–18.2% (all doses) Decreased appetite 24.2–24% (med–high doses) Irritability 12.1% (med dose) Emotional outburst 13.6% (med dose)	NR	NR	NR
Patra, 2019 [18]	MA – 3 trials[36, 38, 50] ATX vs PBO	<i>n</i> = 241	Relative risk [95% CI] Nausea and vomiting 1.91 [1.24, 2.94] Decreased sleep 1.79 [1.19, 2.70] Decreased appetite 1.79 [1.17, 2.73]	NR	NR	NR
Harfterkamp, 2012[38] 2013 [67]	RCT – parallel ATX (1.2 mg/kg/day) vs PBO	RCT ATX, <i>n</i> = 48 PBO, <i>n</i> = 49 OLE <i>n</i> = 88	RCT phase Decreased appetite 27.1% Early morning awakening 10.4% Nausea 29.2%	NR	NR	NR
		522, 00	OLE phase Most AEs during the first 8 weeks of treatment decreased in frequency during the following 20 weeks of treatment. There were significant decreases in fatigue (from 18.2% to 6.8%) and nausea (13.6% to 1.1%)			

Additional file 1 Table S3 Main safety findings from meta-analyses and randomized controlled studies

Citation	Study design	Number of patients	Adverse events with significantly or clinically relevant higher frequency compared with PBO	Changes in weight	Changes in blood pressure	Other cardiovascular events
NCT00498173 [41]	RCT – parallel ATX vs PBO	ATX, <i>n</i> = 29 PBO, <i>n</i> = 31	≥5% increase in frequency (significance NR) Constipation 10.3% Decreased appetite 31.0% Difficulty falling asleep 20.7% Emotional outburst 17.2% Nasal congestion/cold 13.8% Restlessness/agitation 13.8% Sedation/drowsiness 27.6% Self-injurious behaviour 20.7% Stomach discomfort 13.8%	NR	NR	NR
Handen, 2015 [36]	RCT – parallel ATX (1.8 mg/kg/day) vs PBO	n = 32 in each group (e.g., ATX, ATX+PT, PBO+PT, PBO)	Decreased appetite 47% NR NR		NR	NR
Arnold, 2006 [50]	RCT – crossover ATX (≤1.4 mg/kg/day) vs PBO	n = 16	Nausea/vomiting 31% Upset stomach 31% Fatigue 31% Racing heart 19% Appetite suppression 38%	 No significant differences between groups 	 No significant differences between groups 	 Significantly higher heart rate with ATX
Handen, 2008 [37]	RCT – crossover GXR (≤3 mg/day) vs PBO	<i>n</i> = 11	No significant differences in frequency or severity of pooled adverse effects	NR	• No significant differences between groups (<i>n</i> = 6)	 No significant differences in pulse rate between groups (n = 6)
Scahill, 2015 [45]	RCT – parallel GXR (≤4 mg/day) vs PBO	GXR, <i>n</i> = 30 PBO, <i>n</i> = 32	Anxiety 30% Decreased appetite 43.3% Drowsiness 86.7% Dry mouth 40% Emotional/tearful 40% Irritability 36.7% Fatigue 63.3% Mid-sleep awakening 30%	NR	• Frequency of decreased blood pressure significantly higher with GXR (53.3% vs 28.1%)	 Frequency of sinus bradycardia higher with GXR Mean decrease in pulse rate higher with GXR
Oppositional defiar	nt disorder					
Spencer, 2006 [46]	RCT – parallel AMPs (10, 20, 30, or 40 mg/day) PBO	n = 308	≥5% increase in frequency (significance NR) Anorexia/decreased appetite 16.7–37.9% Abdominal pain 10.3–14.5% Emotional lability 3.3–8.7% Headache 26.2% – highest dose only Insomnia 13.3–27.9% Nervousness 5.0–8.2% Pharyngitis 2.9–11.5% Weight loss 3.3–14.8%	Significant decrease in weight with AMPs	 No clinically relevant changes 	No clinically relevant changes in ECG measurements

Citation	Study design	Number of patients	Adverse events with significantly or clinically relevant higher frequency compared with PBO	Changes in weight	Changes in blood pressure	blood Other cardiovascular events	
Jahangard, 2017 [68]	RCT – parallel MPHs vs MPHs+risperidone	n = 84	NR	 Significant changes in weight over time (5.7% increase with MPHs+risperidone, 2.4% decrease with MPHs) 	 No significant differences between groups (significant increase in both groups) 	• No significant differences in pulse rate between groups (significant increase in both groups)	
Kolko, 1999 [72]	RCT – crossover MPHs (0.3 or 0.6 mg/kg) vs PBO	n = 20	Stimulant Drug Side Effects Rating Scale: NR No significant differences in the frequency or severity of side-effect symptoms between MPHs and PBO		NR	NR	
Klorman, 1990 [71]	RCT – crossover MPHs vs PBO	n = 48	Decreased appetite 29.8% Dry mouth 19.1% Shakiness 8.5%	 Significant decrease in weight (P < 0.05) 	NR	NR	
Connor, 2000 [55]	RCT – parallel MPHs (≤40 mg/day) CLON (≤0.3 mg/day) MPHs+CLON	<i>n</i> = 8 in each group	Severity of side effects decreased over time in all groups	 No significant differences in weight over time in either group 	t NR • No significant n weight differences i either rate over tim group		
Garg, 2015 [63]	RCT – parallel MPHs vs PBO	MPHs, <i>n</i> = 15 ATX. <i>n</i> = 22	No significant differences between groups NR		NR	NŘ	
Kaplan, 2004 [39]	RCT – pooled ATX (≤2 mg/kg) vs PBO	ATX, <i>n</i> = 53 PBO, <i>n</i> = 45	Decreased appetite 18.9% Emotional lability 11.3%	NR	NR	NR	
Bangs, 2008 [30]	RCT – parallel ATX vs PBO RCT ≤1.2 mg/kg/day OLE ≤1.4 mg/kg/day	ATX, <i>n</i> = 156 PBO, <i>n</i> = 70	Decreased appetite 24.4% Nausea 20.5% Fatigue 17.3%	• Rates of weight decrease significantly higher with ATX (change from baseline: 3.5% vs 2.9%)	• Frequency of clinically significant blood pressure increase significantly higher with ATX (9.7% vs 1.6%)	NR	
Dell'Agnello, 2009 [32]	RCT – parallel ATX (1.2 mg/day) vs PBO	ATX, <i>n</i> = 105 PBO, <i>n</i> = 32	Anorexia 33.6% Nausea 20.6% Somnolence 29.9%	 Significantly greater decrease in weight with ATX 	 No significant differences in blood pressure 	 No significant differences in heart rate 	
Dittman, 2011 [33]	RCT – parallel ATX (fast and slow titration, max dose 1.2 mg/kg/day) vs PBO	ATX, <i>n</i> = 121 PBO, <i>n</i> = 59	≥5% increase in frequency (significance NR) for fast/slow ATX titration Abdominal pain upper 15.0%/13.1% Anorexia 15.0%/11.5% Fatigue 35.0%/21.3% Headache 25.0%/14.8% Nausea 21.7%/19.7% Vomiting 15.0%/18.0%	NR	NR	NR	

Citation	Study design	Number of	Adverse events with significantly or clinically	Changes in weight	Changes in blood	Other cardiovascular
Connor, 2010 [31]	RCT – parallel GXR (1–4 mg/day) vs PBO	GXR, <i>n</i> = 138 PBO, <i>n</i> = 79	≥5% increase in frequency (significance NR) Abdominal pain upper 11.8% Fatigue 11.0% Headache 22.1% Irritability 7.4% Sedation 13.2% Somnolence 50.7%	NR	 Frequency of clinically significant blood pressure decrease higher with ATX (5.9% vs 1.3%) 	 Greater decreases in heart rate with GXR Frequency of decreased heart rate (<50 bpm) was higher with GXR (5.1% vs 1.3%) No patients on GXR had QTcF or QTcB >500 msec or increase from BL ≥60 msec
Tourette's disorder	and other tic disorder	S				
Gadow, 2007 [61] Gadow, 2011 [60] Gadow, 1999 [62]	RCT – crossover + OLE MPHs (0.1, 0.3, 0.5 mg/kg) vs PBO	rossover + RCT n = 71 0.1, 0.3, 0.5 /s PBO OLE n = 34	NR	• RCT: 6 wk Significant decrease in weight with increasing dose	• RCT: 6 wk Significant increase in diastolic blood pressure with increasing dose	• RCT: 6 wk Significant increase in heart rate with increasing dose
				• OLE: 2 y No significant or clinically relevant differences in expected vs actual weight gain (difference: 0.72 kg)	OLE: 2 y Significant change in systolic (+6 mmHg) but not diastolic (-3 mmHg) blood pressure Not considered clinically relevant	• OLE: 2 y Significant increase in heart rate (~10 bpm) Not considered clinically relevant
Castellanos, 1997 [52]	RCT – crossover MPHs vs AMPs vs PBO	<i>n</i> = 20	Decreased appetite with transient weight loss (MPHs 15%, AMPs 20%) Insomnia (MPHs 10%, AMPs 50%, PBO 5%)	NR	NR	NR
			Transient obsessive-compulsive symptoms (MPHs 25%,			
Bloch, 2009 [16]	MA – 4 trials[40, 52, 61] MPHs vs PBO	<i>n</i> = 191	No difference in tic severity; noted that AEs were not well described in the included studies	NR	NR	NR
Law, 1999 [76]	RCT – parallel MPHs (0.7 mg/kg BID) vs PBO	MPHs, <i>n</i> = 46 PBO, <i>n</i> = 45	Target dose of 0.7 mg/kg MPHs not reached because of adverse effects at doses >0.5 mg/kg Onset of new clinically significant tics in patients without pre-existing tics: MPHs 19.6% vs PBO 16.7%, not significant	NR	NR	NR
			Worsening of pre-existing tics: MPHs 33%, PBO 33%			

Citation	Study design	Number of	Adverse events with significantly or clinically	Changes in weight	Changes in blood	Other cardiovascular
Tourette's Syndrome Study Group, 2002 [40]	RCT – parallel MPHs, CLON, vs PBO	patients MPHs, <i>n</i> = 37 CLON, <i>n</i> = 34 PBO, <i>n</i> = 32	relevant higher frequency compared with PBO Significant differences NR (sedation higher vs PBO) MPHs+CLON 48%, MPHs 14%, PBO 6% Worsening of tics: MPHs 20%, CLON 26%, PBO 22% Tics limited dose increases: MPHs 35%, CLON 18%, PBO 19%	NR	pressure NR	events NR
Allen, 2005 [28]	RCT – parallel ATX (≤1.5 mg/kg/day) vs PBO	ATX, <i>n</i> = 76 PBO, <i>n</i> = 72	Decreased appetite 15.8% Nausea 15.8%	 Significant decrease in body weight with ATX Frequency of treatment-emergent weight loss (≥3.5%) higher with ATX (53.3% vs 12.9%, <i>P</i> < 0.001) Frequency of weight loss as an AE was higher with ATX (2.6% vs 0.0%) 	NR	 Significant increase in heart rate with ATX compared with a decrease with PBO Frequency of treatment-emergent increases in heart rate higher with ATX (13.3% vs 2.9%, P = 0.032) Significant decrease in QT interval (Fridericia's corrected) with ATX compared with a slight increase
Scahill, 2001 [44]	RCT – parallel GXR (≤4 mg/day) vs PBO	GXR, <i>n</i> = 17 PBO, <i>n</i> = 17	NR	 No significant differences in weight change between groups 	 No clinically relevant differences in blood pressure between groups 	 No clinically relevant differences in pulse rate between groups
Generalized anxiet	y disorder and/or majo	or depressive di	sorder			
Abikoff, 2005 [48]	RCT – parallel MPHs vs MPHs+fluvoxamine	MPHs, <i>n</i> = 8 MPHs +fluvoxamin e, <i>n</i> = 12	No significant differences between groups	NR	NR	NR
Diamond, 1999 [56]	RCT – parallel MPHs (0.7 mg/kg) vs PBO	n = 91 +anxiety n = 19 for	Side effects were grouped: affective, overfocusing, physiological, tics	NR	NR	NR
	v3 1 DO	each group –anxiety MPHs, <i>n</i> = 27	There were no differences between patients with and without comorbid anxiety for the difference in side effects between MPHs and PBO			
Geller, 2007 [34]	RCT – parallel ATX (≤1.8 mg/kg/day) vs PBO	PBO, <i>n</i> = 26 ATX, <i>n</i> = 87 PBO, <i>n</i> = 89	Decreased appetite 14.3%	 Significant decrease in body weight with ATX compared with an increase with PBO 	NR	 Significant increase in heart rate with ATX compared with a decrease with PBO

Citation	Study design	Number of patients	Adverse events with significantly or clinically relevant higher frequency compared with PBO	Changes in weight	Changes in blood pressure	Other cardiovascular events
Kratochvil, 2005 [73]	RCT – parallel ATX vs ATX+fluoxetine	ATX, <i>n</i> = 46 ATX+ fluoxetine, <i>n</i> = 127	No significant differences for ATX vs ATX+fluoxetine	Greater weight loss with ATX+fluoxetine	 Frequency of marked, sustained increases in blood pressure higher with ATX+fluoxetine 	 Increase in heart rate higher with ATX+fluoxetine
Bangs, 2007 [29]	RCT – parallel ATX (≤1.8 mg/kg/day) vs PBO	ATX, <i>n</i> = 72 PBO, <i>n</i> = 70	Decreased appetite 12.5% Nausea 22.2%	 Significant decrease in body weight with ATX compared with an increase with PBO Frequency of weight loss as an AE higher with ATX (8.3% vs 1.4%) 	 No significant differences between groups At endpoint of OLE, diastolic blood pressure (+2.5±10.2 mmHg, n = 118, P = 0.005) was elevated relative to the start of the OLE in the ATX group 	 During acute treatment, significant increases in heart rate and decreases in ECG RR and PR intervals with ATX vs PBO During OLE, significant increases in heart rate and decreases in ECG RR interval with ATX vs PBO
AE, adverse event; A	MPs, amphetamine or a	derivative; ARD	, absolute risk difference; ATX, atomoxetine; BID, twice da	ily; bpm, beats per minute; BL	, baseline; CI, confidence	interval; CLON,

AE, adverse event; AMPs, amphetamine or a derivative; ARD, absolute risk difference; ATX, atomoxetine; BID, twice daily; bpm, beats per minute; BL, baseline; CI, confidence interval; CLON, clonidine; C-SSRS, Columbia Suicide Severity Rating Scale; ECG, electrocardiogram; ER, extended release; GXR, guanfacine extended-release; MA, meta-analysis; MPHs, methylphenidate or a derivative; NR, not reported; OLE, open-label extension; PR, pulse rate; NNH, number needed to harm; PBO, placebo; PT, parent training; RCT, randomized controlled trial; RISC-K, Response Impressions and Side Effects Checklist-Kids.

Additional file 1 Table S4 Summary of findings for changes in body weight, blood pressure, and pulse rate or heart rate compared with placebo during active treatment for ADHD symptoms in randomized controlled trials

	Citation	Treatment	Body weight [†]	Blood pressure [†]	HR/PR [†]
	No studies	AMPs	None	None	None
sm trum rder	Pearson, 2013 [43]	MPHs	No significant differences	No significant differences	No significant differences
Auti spect diso	Arnold, 2006 [50]	ATX	No significant differences	No significant differences	Higher HR
	Scahill, 2015 [45] [‡]	GXR	None	Lower	Lower PR
al der	Spencer, 2006 [46]	AMPs	Lower	No clinically relevant differences	No clinically relevant differences
itiona	Klorman, 1990 [71]	MPHs	Lower	None	None
ppos iant o	Bangs, 2008 [30] Dell'Agnello, 2009 [32]	ATX	Lower	Higher systolic No differences	No significant differences
def	Connor, 2010 [31]	GXR	None	Lower	Lower HR/PR
tic	No studies	AMPs	None	None	None
te's other ers	Gadow, 2007 [61]	MPHs	Lower (dose-related)	Higher diastolic (dose-related)	High HR (dose-related)
ouret der, c isord	Allen, 2005 [28]	ATX	Lower	NR	Higher HR
disor d	Scahill, 2001 [44]	GXR	No significant differences	No clinically relevant differences	No clinically relevant differences
der 0	No studies	AMPs	None	None	None
alized disord	No studies [§]	MPHs	None	None	None
Sener ciety c	Geller, 2007 [34] Bangs, 2007 [29]	ATX	Lower	No significant differences	Higher HR
any	None	GXR	None	None	None

[†]Statistically significant or clinically relevant differences compared with placebo. [‡]Handen, 2008 [37] is not included because the analysis included a subgroup of 6 patients only. [§]Gadow, 2011 [60] is not included because it was a subgroup analysis. ADHD, attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder; AMPs, amphetamine or a derivative; ATX, atomoxetine; GXR, guanfacine extended-release; HR, heart rate; MDD, major depressive disorder; MPHs, methylphenidate or a derivative; NR, not reported; PR, pulse rate.