Supplementary Online Content

Sekhar DL, Schaefer EW, Waxmonsky JG, et al. Screening in high schools to identify, evaluate, and lower depression among adolescents: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA Netw Open*. 2021;4(11):e2131836. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2021.31836

- eTable 1. Definitions of Each Criterion That Comprise the Primary Outcome
- eTable 2. Reasons Students Did Not Meet Criterion 2 (Confirmed) Among Those Who Met Criterion 1 (Identified)
- **eTable 3.** Reasons Students Did Not Meet Criterion 3 (Initiated) Among Those Students Who Met Criterion 2 (Confirmed)
- **eTable 4.** Percentage of Students With Each Outcome by Subgroups of Sex, Race/Ethnicity, and School Location

This supplementary material has been provided by the authors to give readers additional information about their work.

eTable 1. Defi	initions of Each Criterion That Comprise the Primary Outcome
Criterion	Definition
Identified	Patient Health Questionnaire-9 (PHQ-9) score >10 or
	PHQ-9 question 9 score ≥1 or
	Student Assistance Program (SAP) referral with one of the following
	primary or secondary referral reasons:
	Behavioral concern
	 Externalizing behaviors
	 Internalizing behaviors
	Self-harm
	Suicidal ideation
	 Other – if depressed/depression or "past thoughts of harming self"
	specified
	Other – if PHQ-9 screening specified
Confirmed	A SAP referral with a response of "yes" to the question "Was SAP
	warranted?" or
	Missing response to that question but recommended services indicated. SAP
	does not diagnose but will consider the student's individual situation via
	additional probing and make a recommendation accordingly.
	Note: For students who met the identified criterion due to PHQ-9 result, a
	further requirement was a SAP referral that had the same primary and
	secondary referral reasons listed above.
Initiated	Received one of the following primary or secondary school-based,
	community-based, or liaison services recommended by the SAP team:
	Behavioral
	Crisis intervention
	Family-Based Mental Health Services or Behavioral Health
	Rehabilitation Services
	In-school support groups
	Mental health assessment
	Mental health treatment
	One-to-one counseling with guidance counselor, school
	psychologist, etc.
	One-to-one follow-up with team member
	One-to-one follow-up with team member or other school personnel
	One-to-one with mental health liaison
	Other mental health treatment
	Other support services
	Outpatient therapy
	Psychiatric inpatient
	Psychiatric outpatient
	 Referral for further mental health assessment
	School based mental health group
	 Screening by behavioral health SAP liaison

 Screening by mental health SAP liaison
 Screening with mental health liaison
 Services by/from school social worker
• Therapy

eTable 2. Reasons Students Did Not Meet Criterion 2 (Confirmed) ^a Among Those Who Met Criterion 1 (Identified)				
	Targeted screening (N=136)	Universal screening (N=793)	Total (N=929)	
Reasons				
Student Assistance Program (SAP) referral not warranted	3 (2.2%)	83 (10.5%)	86 (9.3%)	
Already in services/treatment	0 (0.0%)	30 (3.8%)	30 (3.2%)	
Referred to outside agency, no further information	42 (30.9%)	156 (19.7%)	198 (21.3%)	
Met with (or referred to) counselor, no further SAP engagement	24 (17.6%)	205 (25.9%)	229 (24.7%)	
Met with school social worker (suicide liaison), no further SAP	38 (27.9%)	39 (4.9%)	77 (8.3%)	
engagement				
Met with school staff (role not specified in data provided by school), no further SAP engagement	28 (20.6%)	152 (19.2%)	180 (19.4%)	
Parent/student refusal or no parental response	1 (0.7%)	38 (4.8%)	39 (4.2%)	
Parent will seek outside resources	0 (0.0%)	12 (1.5%)	12 (1.3%)	
Unenrolled/transferred	0 (0.0%)	6 (0.8%)	6 (0.6%)	
Recommended services, no further information	0 (0.0%)	15 (1.9%)	15 (1.6%)	
No information provided	0 (0.0%)	56 (7.1%)	56 (6%)	

0 (0.0%)

1 (0.1%)

1 (0.1%)

Referred to crisis, no further SAP engagement

^aidentified (major depressive disorder [MDD] symptoms): positive Patient Health Questionnaire-9 (score >10 or response ≥1 on question 9) or behavior prompting referral to SAP for MDD; confirmed: SAP does not diagnose, but to meet criteria, SAP must confirm identified behavior/symptoms warrant further evaluation

eTable 3. Reasons Students Did Not Meet Criterion 3 (Initiated) ^a Among Those Students Who Met Criterion 2 (Confirmed)				
enterion 2 (commineu)	Targeted screening (N=29)	Universal screening (N=151)	Total (N=180)	
isons				
Parent refusal/written permission not obtained	14 (48.3%)	67 (44.4%)	81 (45%)	
Student refusal	3 (10.3%)	17 (11.3%)	20 (11.1%)	
Student already in treatment	4 (13.8%)	57 (37.7%)	61 (33.9%)	
Did not receive recommended services	1 (3.4%)	1 (0.7%)	2 (1.1%)	
Other	7 (24.1%)	9 (6.0%)	16 (8.9%)	

^aconfirmed: Student Assistance Program (SAP) does not diagnose, but to meet criteria, SAP must confirm identified behavior/symptoms warrant further evaluation; initiated: participated in at least one SAP recommended treatment/service for major depressive disorder, e.g., follow-up mental health supports

Subgroup	Outcome		Targeted	Universal
8 1			screening	screening
Sex	Totals	Male	3495 (100%)	3468 (100%)
		Female	2941 (100%)	3005 (100%)
	Identified ^a	Male	110 (3.1%)	400 (11.5%)
		Female	90 (3.1%)	626 (20.8%)
	Confirmed	Male	33 (0.9%)	80 (2.3%)
		Female	31 (1.1%)	153 (5.1%)
	Initiated ^b	Male	15 (0.4%)	28 (0.8%)
		Female	20 (0.7%)	52 (1.7%)
Race/ethnicity	Totals	Non-Hispanic white	2857 (100%)	2985 (100%)
		Non-Hispanic Black	1571 (100%)	1320 (100%)
		Hispanic	1266 (100%)	1421 (100%)
		Other	742 (100%)	747 (100%)
	Identified	Non-Hispanic white	61 (2.1%)	474 (15.9%)
		Non-Hispanic Black	95 (6.0%)	187 (14.2%)
		Hispanic	28 (2.2%)	204 (14.4%)
		Other	16 (2.2%)	161 (21.6%)
	Confirmed	Non-Hispanic white	48 (1.7%)	115 (3.9%)
		Non-Hispanic Black	10 (0.6%)	37 (2.8%)
		Hispanic	5 (0.4%)	67 (4.7%)
		Other	1 (0.1%)	14 (1.9%)
	Initiated ^b	Non-Hispanic white	27 (0.9%)	42 (1.4%)
		Non-Hispanic Black	6 (0.4%)	13 (1.0%)
		Hispanic	2 (0.2%)	16 (1.1%)
		Other	0 (0.0%)	9 (1.2%)
Location	Totals	Urban	5728 (100%)	5735 (100%)
		Rural	708 (100%)	738 (100%)
	Identified	Urban	189 (3.3%)	893 (15.6%)
		Rural	11 (1.6%)	133 (18.0%)
	Confirmed	Urban	55 (1.0%)	212 (3.7%)
·	1	- 1	0 (4 20 ()	04 (0.00()

^aidentified (major depressive disorder [MDD] symptoms): positive Patient Health Questionnaire-9 (score >10 or response ≥1 on question 9) or behavior prompting referral to Student Assistance Program (SAP) for MDD; confirmed: SAP does not diagnose, but to meet criteria, SAP must confirm identified behavior/symptoms warrant further evaluation; initiated: participated in at least one SAP recommended treatment/service for MDD, e.g., follow-up mental health supports.

^btwo students had missing values

9 (1.3%)

28 (0.5%)

7 (1.0%)

21 (2.8%)

69 (1.2%)

11 (1.5%)

Rural

Urban Rural

Initiated^b