SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

for the manuscript

AGING, DIABETES, OBESITY, AND COGNITIVE DECLINE: A POPULATION-BASED STUDY

Supplementary Text 1. Methods: Laboratory measures

Coefficients of variation (CVs) for laboratory assays were <3% unless otherwise noted. Serum glucose was determined enzymatically using reagents from Beckman-Coulter (Center Valley, PA), and insulin concentration was measured by radioimmunoassay (RIA) (Linco Research, St. Charles, MO). The intra- and inter-assay CVs for insulin were 4.8% and 10.5%, respectively. Insulin resistance was assessed by the homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) and was calculated as fasting insulin concentration (U/mL) x fasting glucose concentration (mmol/L)/22.5. HbA1c was measured in whole blood (EDTA) on an AU400 Chemistry Analyzer (Olympus Inc., Melville, NY) using reagents obtained from Pointe Scientific, Inc. (Canton, MI). C-reactive protein was measured using reagents from Beckman-Coulter (Brea, CA) and analyzed on the AU400.

Serum levels of total adiponectin were determined using RIA procedures developed by EMD Millipore (St Charles, MO). The intra- and inter-assay CVs were 8.2% and 11.8% for adiponectin. Resistin (EMD Millipore; St Charles, MO) and glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1) (ALPCO; Salem, NH) were measured using ELISA procedures. The intra- and inter-assay CVs were 5.0% and 10.0% for resistin and 4.2% and 14.3% for GLP-1, respectively.

Supplementary Table S1.

	Overall sample			Le	ow WH	R	High WHR			
	$(n = 440)^{-1}$			(1	n = 189)		(n = 189)			
Variable	Coef	SE	р	Coef	SE	р	Coef	SE	р	
resistin	0.004	0.050	0.932	0.000	0.077	0.999	-0.023	0.050	0.643	
adiponectin	-0.137	0.051	0.008	-0.096	0.077	0.213	-0.067	0.055	0.224	
GLP-1	-0.049	0.049	0.314	-0.092	0.068	0.180	-0.002	0.053	0.976	
HbA1c	-0.054	0.050	0.287	-0.058	0.091	0.524	-0.105	0.049	0.032	

Unadjusted joint associations of metabolic indices with global cognitive decline slope, overall and stratified by WHR group

Note: Participants with missing data for any metabolic indices or covariates (see Table 1) were excluded. Laboratory assay variables (resistin, adiponectin, GLP-1, HbA1c) were first natural log-transformed; then these and global cognitive decline slope were standardized to have mean zero and unit standard deviation.

Abbreviations: Coef: coefficient, SE: standard error, HbA1c: hemoglobin A1c, GLP-1: glucagon-like peptide-1.

Supplementary Table S2A.

Associations with global cognitive decline slope: Low WHR group (n = 208)

		Unadjusted			Adjusted for age, sex, education, <i>APOE</i> *4 mCES-D score, WHR, and S					
Variable	Coef	95% CI	р		Coef	95% CI	р			
age	-0.371	(-0.492, -0.249)	< 0.001							
female sex	0.074	(-0.205, 0.354)	0.600							
education >HS	0.349	(0.090, 0.607)	0.009							
APOE*4	0.482	(0.780 - 0.175)	0.002							
carriage	-0.462	(-0.789, -0.175)	0.002		n/a					
mCES-D score	-0.085	(-0.266, 0.097)	0.359							
BMI	0.080	(-0.047, 0.208)	0.217							
WHR	-0.090	(-0.263, 0.084)	0.309							
SBP	-0.063	(-0.189, 0.063)	0.324		1					
CRP	0.014	(-0.121, 0.148)	0.840							
glucose	-0.017	(-0.166, 0.132)	0.821		-0.033	(-0.173, 0.106)	0.636			
HbA1c	-0.050	(-0.211, 0.111)	0.539		-0.070	(-0.222, 0.082)	0.366			
insulin	0.097	(-0.040, 0.235)	0.164		0.053	(-0.077, 0.183)	0.424			
HOMA-IR	0.082	(-0.060, 0.225)	0.257		0.038	(-0.096, 0.173)	0.574			
resistin	0.019	(-0.118, 0.156)	0.784		0.022	(-0.107, 0.151)	0.740			
adiponectin	-0.090	(-0.225, 0.046)	0.194		-0.045	(-0.179, 0.089)	0.510			
GLP-1	-0.101	(-0.235, 0.032)	0.135		-0.062	(-0.186, 0.062)	0.328			

Note: Laboratory assay variables (CRP, glucose, HbA1c, insulin, HOMA-IR, resistin, adiponectin, GLP-1) were first natural log-transformed; then these and other quantitative variables (age, mCES-D score, BMI, WHR, SBP, global cognitive decline slope) were standardized (using the entire sample of n=478) to have mean zero and unit standard deviation.

Abbreviations: Coef: coefficient, CI: confidence interval, HS: high school, mCES-D: Modified Center for Epidemiology Depression Scale, BMI: body mass index, WHR: waist-hip ratio, SBP: systolic blood pressure, CRP: C-reactive protein, HbA1c: hemoglobin A1c, HOMA-IR: Homeostatic Model Assessment of Insulin Resistance, GLP-1: glucagon-like peptide-1.

Supplementary Table S2B.

		ingn wink g	roup (n	-	55)					
		Unadimated			Adjusted for					
		Unadjusted			age, sex, education, APOE "4,					
					mCES-D score, WHR, and SBP					
Variable	Coef	95% CI	р		Coef	95% CI	р			
age	-0.186	(-0.282, -0.091)	< 0.001							
female sex	0.044	(-0.160, 0.248)	0.674							
education >HS	0.069	(-0.124, 0.261)	0.482							
APOE*4	0.216	(0.075, 0.508)	0.145							
carriage	0.210	(-0.075, 0.508)	0.145			n/o				
mCES-D score	-0.044	(-0.184, 0.096)	0.539			II/a				
BMI	0.041	(-0.061, 0.143)	0.428		1					
WHR	0.000	(-0.119, 0.118)	0.995							
SBP	-0.032	(-0.136, 0.072)	0.547							
CRP	-0.011	(-0.108, 0.086)	0.824							
glucose	-0.098	(-0.194, -0.003)	0.044		-0.102	(-0.202, -0.001)	0.047			
HbA1c	-0.094	(-0.183, -0.004)	0.042		-0.130	(-0.226, -0.035)	0.008			
insulin	0.004	(-0.092, 0.100)	0.938		-0.055	(-0.161, 0.050)	0.303			
HOMA-IR	-0.030	(-0.127, 0.066)	0.536		-0.083	(-0.187, 0.021)	0.118			
resistin	-0.046	(-0.141, 0.050)	0.347		-0.034	(-0.133, 0.065)	0.499			
adiponectin	-0.011	(-0.108, 0.085)	0.816		0.016	(-0.085, 0.118)	0.751			
GLP-1	0.004	(-0.100, 0.109)	0.934		0.000	(-0.109, 0.110)	0.994			

Associations with global cognitive decline slope: High WHR group (n = 203)

Note: Laboratory assay variables (CRP, glucose, HbA1c, insulin, HOMA-IR, resistin, adiponectin, GLP-1) were first natural log-transformed; then these and other quantitative variables (age, mCES-D score, BMI, WHR, SBP, global cognitive decline slope) were standardized (using the entire sample of n=478) to have mean zero and unit standard deviation.

Abbreviations: Coef: coefficient, CI: confidence interval, HS: high school, mCES-D: Modified Center for Epidemiology Depression Scale, BMI: body mass index, WHR: waist-hip ratio, SBP: systolic blood pressure, CRP: C-reactive protein, HbA1c: hemoglobin A1c, HOMA-IR: Homeostatic Model Assessment of Insulin Resistance, GLP-1: glucagon-like peptide-1.

Supplementary Text 2.

Multiple imputation and inverse probability weighting methods

We used inverse probability weighting (IPW) to generalize results to the entire MYHAT cohort of 1982 participants. With logistic regression, we modeled the probability of being in the subsample of n = 478 that provided the fasting blood samples, using the baseline variables listed in Supplementary Table S3 as predictors. Since some participants had missing data for these baseline variables, we first performed multiple imputation using the R package mice¹. The imputation model included all variables in Supplementary Table S3, as well as an indicator variable encoding which participants were in the fasting blood subsample. Number of multiple imputations was set to 5, and the predictive mean matching method was used.

¹ Stef van Buuren, Karin Groothuis-Oudshoorn (2011). mice: Multivariate Imputation by Chained Equations in R. Journal of Statistical Software, 45(3), 1-67. URL https://www.jstatsoft.org/v45/i03/).

Supplementary Table S3.

Baseline variables used in Inverse Probability Weighting (IPW) logistic regression model (n = 1982)

(
Variable	Number missing n (%)
age at baseline	0 (0)
sex	0 (0)
race (white vs nonwhite)	0 (0)
education (high school (HS) or less vs more than HS)	0 (0)
systolic blood pressure (SBP)	13 (0.7)
diastolic blood pressure (DBP)	15 (0.8)
waist:hip ratio (WHR)	119 (6.0)
diabetes diagnosis (DM)	3 (0.2)

The 5 imputed datasets were used to fit the logistic regression model of being in the fasting blood sample group (using the pool() function in mice). Results are shown in Supplementary Table S4.

Supplementary Table S4.

Estimates for logistic regression model of being in the fasting blood sample group (n = 1982)

(11 - 1702)											
Coefficient	Estimate	SE	statistic	df	p-value						
intercept	4.660	1.132	4.115	922.487	< 0.001						
age	-0.075	0.008	-9.329	1969.242	< 0.001						
female sex	0.481	0.142	3.376	1219.746	0.001						
education > HS	0.291	0.112	2.610	1970.796	0.009						
nonwhite race	-0.759	0.286	-2.653	1970.671	0.008						
SBP	-0.006	0.004	-1.540	1932.345	0.124						
DBP	-0.004	0.007	-0.622	1836.627	0.534						
WHR	0.801	0.785	1.020	337.424	0.308						
DM	-0.085	0.135	-0.629	1965.614	0.530						

Note: Model was estimated using data from all 1982 MYHAT participants.

Predictions on the logit scale for each individual in the lab sample were generated using the 5 imputed datasets and their respective estimated logistic regression coefficients. Then these predicted values were averaged for each individual and transformed to a probability using the inverse logit function. Inverse probability weights were formed by calculating the reciprocal of the probabilities.

In order to fit the inverse probability weighted fully-adjusted multivariable regression models assessing the joint associations of metabolic predictors with cognitive decline slope to the 478 participants in the fasting blood subsample (Supplementary Table S6), we carried out multiple imputation a second time to fill in missing data in the predictor variables (Supplementary Table S5). In addition to all variables listed in Supplementary Table S5, global cognitive decline slope and inverse probability weights were also included in the imputation model. Number of multiple imputations was set to 5, and the predictive mean matching method was used.

Supplementary Table S5.

Variables used in IPW multivariable regression models assessing the joint associations of metabolic predictors with cognitive decline slope

Variable	Number missing n (%)
age at time of fasting blood draw	0 (0)
sex	0 (0)
education (high school (HS) or less vs more than HS)	0 (0)
APOE*4 carriage	22 (4.6)
mCES-D score at assessment closest to blood draw date	1 (0.2)
WHR at assessment closest to blood draw date	67 (14.0)
SBP at assessment closest to blood draw date	13 (2.7)
resistin	3 (0.6)
adiponectin	1 (0.2)
GLP-1	37 (7.7)
HbA1c	11 (2.3)

(n = 478)

For WHR-stratified models, we averaged WHR from the 5 imputed datasets and used sexspecific medians (median WHR = 0.97 for men and 0.86 for women) to assign participants to the low (n = 244) or high (n = 234) WHR groups.

For the overall sample of 478 and the WHR-stratified subgroups, the 5 imputed datasets were used to fit the multiple regression models (using the pool() function in mice). Results are shown in Supplementary Table S6.

Supplementary Table S6.

Joint associations of metabolic predictors with cognitive decline slope, overall and stratified by WHR group, with imputation for missing data and inverse probability weighting to generalize to the entire MYHAT cohort

	Overall sample (n = 478)			Low WHR (n = 244)				High WHR (n = 234)			
Variable	Coef	SE	р		Coef	SE	р		Coef	SE	р
resistin	0.046	0.051	0.367		0.068	0.084	0.415		0.004	0.050	0.930
adiponectin	-0.040	0.052	0.444		-0.070	0.089	0.435		0.002	0.049	0.968
GLP-1	-0.027	0.049	0.582		-0.028	0.080	0.726		0.008	0.052	0.876
HbA1c	-0.060	0.052	0.249		-0.039	0.094	0.677		-0.095	0.048	0.048

Note: Models are adjusted for age, sex, education, APOE*4 carriage, mCES-D score, WHR, and SBP

Abbreviations: Coef: coefficient, SE: standard error, HbA1c: hemoglobin A1c, GLP-1: glucagon-like peptide-1.

Supplementary Table S7.

		Unadjusted	2	Adjusted for age, sex, education, <i>APOE*4</i> , mCES-D score, and SBP						
Variable	Coef	95% CI	Р	Coef	95% CI	р	n			
glucose	-0.081	(-0.256, 0.093)	0.360	410	-0.057	(-0.226, 0.112)	0.510	389		
HbA1c	-0.043	(-0.221, 0.134)	0.630	400	-0.046	(-0.222, 0.130)	0.606	379		
insulin	-0.094	(-0.260, 0.073)	0.269	402	-0.120	(-0.287, 0.047)	0.159	381		
HOMA-IR	-0.113	(-0.283, 0.058)	0.194	402	-0.127	(-0.296, 0.043)	0.142	381		
resistin	-0.065	(-0.231, 0.101)	0.444	408	-0.055	(-0.218, 0.108)	0.506	387		
adiponectin	0.078	(-0.087, 0.244)	0.353	410	0.079	(-0.082, 0.240)	0.334	389		
GLP-1	0.106	(-0.065, 0.277)	0.224	379	0.068	(-0.100, 0.236)	0.428	360		

Interaction between WHR group (high vs. low) and predictors with cognitive decline

Note: Laboratory assay variables (CRP, glucose, HbA1c, insulin, HOMA-IR, resistin, adiponectin, GLP-1) were first natural log-transformed; then these and other quantitative variables (age, mCES-D score, SBP, global cognitive decline slope) were standardized (using the entire sample of n=478) to have mean zero and unit standard deviation. Reference level for WHR group is low WHR, so coefficients represent the additional effect of the predictor for those in the high WHR group.

Abbreviations: Coef: coefficient, CI: confidence interval, mCES-D: Modified Center for Epidemiology Depression Scale, WHR: waist-hip ratio, SBP: systolic blood pressure, HbA1c: hemoglobin A1c, HOMA-IR: Homeostatic Model Assessment of Insulin Resistance, GLP-1: glucagon-like peptide-1.

Supplementary Figure S1. CART cross-validation results



CART model: Global cognitive function slope Low WHR group (n=208)

CART model: Global cognitive function slope High WHR group (n=203)