

# [Extracorporeal shockwave therapy for treating chronic low-back pain: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials]

## Data Extraction Form

Trial ID	Extractor	Year of publication
Title		
Authors		

### Does this study meet all the inclusion criteria?

This is a randomized controlled trial. At least the word “random” appears somewhere in the text.

yes  no

The population is [    ]  yes  no

The intervention is [    ]  yes  no

The comparisons are [    ]  yes  no

### Risk of bias assessment

Domain	Description	Review authors' judgment
<b>Random sequence generation</b>		<i>Selection bias (biased allocation to interventions) due to inadequate generation of a randomised sequence</i> <b>Low risk/high risk/unclear</b>
<b>Allocation concealment</b>		<i>Selection bias (biased allocation to interventions) due to inadequate concealment of allocations prior to assignment</i> <b>Low risk/high risk/unclear</b>
<b>Blinding of participants</b> <i>Outcome:</i>		<i>Performance bias due to knowledge of the allocated interventions by participants during the study</i> <b>Low risk/high risk/unclear</b>
<b>Blinding of personnel /care providers</b> <i>Outcome:</i>		<i>Performance bias due to knowledge of the allocated interventions by personnel/care providers during the study.</i> <b>Low risk/high risk/unclear</b>
<b>Blinding of outcomes assessors</b> <i>Outcome:</i>		<i>Detection bias due to knowledge of the allocated interventions by outcome assessors</i> <b>Low risk/high risk/unclear</b>

<b>Incomplete outcome data</b> <i>Outcome:</i>		<i>Attrition bias</i> due to amount, nature or handling of incomplete outcome data <b>Low risk/high risk/unclear</b>
<b>Selective outcome reporting</b>		<i>Reporting bias</i> due to selective outcome reporting <b>Low risk/high risk/unclear</b>
<b>Group similarity at baseline</b>		<i>Selection bias</i> due to dissimilarity at baseline for the most important prognostic indicators <b>Low risk/high risk/unclear</b>
<b>Co-interventions</b>		<i>Performance bias</i> because co-interventions were different across groups. Low risk/high risk/unclear
<b>Compliance</b>		<i>Performance bias</i> due to inappropriate compliance with interventions across groups. <b>Low risk/high risk/unclear</b>
<b>Intention-to-treat-analysis</b>		<i>Risk of bias</i> if all randomized patients are not reported and analyzed in the group to which they were allocated by randomization. <b>Low risk/high risk/unclear</b>
<b>Timing of outcome assessments</b>		<i>Detection bias</i> if important outcomes were not measured at the same time across groups. <b>Low risk/high risk/unclear</b>
<b>Other bias</b>		<i>Bias due to problems not covered elsewhere in the table.</i> <b>Low risk/high risk/unclear</b>

## Methods

*(Study design including, where relevant, a clear indication of how the study differs from a standard parallel group design; duration of the study)*

## Participants

*(Setting; relevant details of health status of participants; age; sex; country)*

**Inclusion criteria:**

**Exclusion criteria:**

### **Intervention**

*(A clear list of the intervention groups included in the study)*

**Experiment group:**

**Control group:**

### **Additional information requested**

### **Notes**

## Outcomes

Outcome Measures (Continuous)		Total participants N =					
		Intervention group n =			Control group n =		
		total	mean	SD	total	mean	SD
	<b>Primary (pain intensity):</b>						
1	VAS						
2	NRS						
3	Others						
	<b>Secondary (disability score):</b>						
4	ODI						
5	EQ-5D						
6	Others						

Outcome Measures (Dichotomous)		Total participants N =			
		Intervention group n =		Control group n =	
		events	total	events	total
	<b>Primary (adverse events):</b>				
1					