Renal safety of hydroxyethyl starch 130/0.42 after cardiac surgery: A retrospective cohort analysis

Benedict Morath^{1,2,3*,} Andreas. D. Meid^{1*}, Johannes Rickmann⁴, Jasmin Soethoff⁵, Markus Verch⁵, Matthias Karck⁵, Marcin Zaradzki^{5‡}

*both authors contributed equally and should be considered joint first author

Affiliations:

¹Department of Clinical Pharmacology and Pharmacoepidemiology, Heidelberg University Hospital, Heidelberg, Germany

²Cooperation Unit Clinical Pharmacy, Heidelberg University, Heidelberg, Germany

³Hospital Pharmacy, Heidelberg University Hospital, Heidelberg, Germany

⁴Center for Information and Medical Technology, Heidelberg University Hospital, Heidelberg, Germany

⁵Department of Cardiac Surgery, University Hospital Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany

‡ Correspondence to:

Dr. med. Marcin Zaradzki, MD Tel: +49 6221 56 34799 E-Mail: marcin.zaradzki@med.uni-heidelberg.de Table S1: Variables extracted during the retrospective analysis, the corresponding units and operationalization, the definition and measurement, and the data source.

	Variable	Unit/ Operationalization	Definition& Measurement	Data source
	Age	n/a	Taken as reported in the electronic health record.	Electronic health record
atient	Sex	Male/female	Taken as reported in the electronic health record.	Electronic health record
Ра	90-Day mortality	Binary yes/no	Patient death within 90 days from surgery. Measured during 90 day follow- up and documented in electronic health record.	Electronic health record
	Comorbidities			
	Atrial fibrillation	DN Binary yes/no A reported persistent, intermittent, or chronic atrial fibrillation was defined as an atrial fibrillation.		Electronic health record
Se	Body mass index	n/a	Calculated from the patient's weight at admission and the patient's height.	Electronic patient char
	Current or previous smoking	Binary yes/no	Current smoking or reported previous smoking as reported in the electronic health record.	Electronic patient char
orbiditi	Diabetes	Binary yes/no	A reported Typ I or Typ II diabetes without treatment, treated with insulin or oral medication, or treated by dietary measures was defined as diabetes.	Electronic health record
Com	Hyperlipidemia	Binary yes/no	Defined as a reported hyperlipidemia in the electronic health record.	Electronic health record
	Hypertension	Binary yes/no	Hypertension was taken as reported in the electronic health record. Categories untreated hypertension and treated hypertension were grouped as arterial hypertension.	Electronic health record
	Left ventricular function:	[%]	Left ventricular function was available in different stages: good > 50 %, medium 31-50 %, bad 21-30 %, and very bad ≤ 20 %.	Electronic health record

			EUROSCORE as defined by Eur J Cardiothorac Surg. 2012 41(4):734.	Electronic health record
	NYHA	Categorical	NYHA classification in the categories I, II, II-III, III, and IV as defined by the New York Heart Association.	Electronic health record
	Peripheral artery disease	ripheral artery disease Binary yes/no A reported peripheral artery disease in the electronic health record.		Electronic health record
	Pulmonary arterial hypertension	Binary yes/no	A reported pulmonary hypertension as reported in the electronic health record.	Electronic health record
	Systolic Arterial Pressure	[mmHg]	Systolic arterial pressure defined as the measured blood pressure on admission to the ICU.	Electronic patient char
	Laboratory parameters			
	Serum creatinine	[mg/dl]	Urea as measured during stay in 12 h intervals and reported in the laboratory software.	Laboratory software
	Max. creatinine on ICU	[mg/dl]	Max. serum creatinine during the ICU stay as measured in the hospital laboratory.	Laboratory software
ory	(Preoperative) creatinine	[mg/dl]	Serum creatinine measurement at patient hospital admission.	Laboratory software
Laborat	Estimated glomerular filtration rate	[mL/min]	Glomerular filtration rate was estimated with the CKD-EPI formula of the 'chronic kidney disease epidemiology collaboration' and calculated in the laboratory software.	Laboratory software
	Hemoglobin	[g/dl]	Hemoglobin as measured during stay in 12 h intervals and reported in the laboratory software.	Laboratory software
	Lactate	[mg/dl]	Serumlactate as measured during stay in 12 h intervals and reported in the laboratory software.	Laboratory software

	Leucocytes	[/nl]	Leucocytes as measured during stay in 12 h intervals and reported in the laboratory software.	Laboratory software
	Thrombocytes	[/nl]	Thrombocytes as measured during stay in 12 h intervals and reported in the laboratory software.	Laboratory software
	Urea	[mg/dl]	Urea as measured during stay in 12 h intervals and reported in the laboratory software.	Laboratory software
	Surgical parameters			
oarameters	Aortic clamp time[min]Aortic cross clamp time was defined as time from the clamping start to release of the aorta.		Electronic health record	
	Bypass time	[min]	Cardiopulmonary bypass time was defined as time on cardiopulmonary bypass.	Electronic health record
	Intraoperative blood transfusion	[mL]	Total volume of erythrocytes concentrate which was substituted during surgery.	Electronic health record
urgical	Intraoperative fresh-frozen plasma substitution	[mL]	Total volume of fresh-frozen plasma which was substituted during surgery.	Electronic health record
Sı	Intraoperative thrombocyte concentrate substitution	[mL]	Total volume of thrombocyte concentrates which was substituted during surgery.	Electronic health record
	Surgery time	[min]	Surgery time was defined as time from skin cut until skin suture.	Electronic health record
			ICU parameters	
ICU	Drain losses	[ml]	Defined as the cumulative volume lost over indwelling drains measured at bedside and documented in the electronic patient chart.	Electronic patient chart

	Mean arterial pressure	[mmHg]	Measured at bedside and taken in 12 h intervals as reported in the electronic patient chart.	Electronic patient chart
	Postoperative blood transfusion	[ml]	Defined as the cumulate volume of erythrocyte concentrates administered postoperatively.	Electronic patient chart
	Ventilation time	[min]	Time patient was mechanically ventilated on ICU until extubation.	Electronic patient chart
	Systolic arterial pressure	[mmHg]	Measured at bedside and taken in 12 h intervals as reported in the electronic patient chart.	Electronic patient chart
	Urine output	[ml]	Urine output during ICU stay in ml/h. Measurements were summed up in 12 h intervals.	Electronic patient chart
	Medication			
	Catecholamine	Binary yes/no	The administration of noradrenaline, adrenaline, dobutamine during the ICU stay was grouped as binary indicator catecholamine.	Electronic patient chart
	HES dose	[mL]	The administered volume of HES. HES was used as a 130/0.42 solution	Electronic patient chart
u	Crystalloid dose	[mL]	The total dose of administered crystalloid infusion (ringer solution)	Electronic patient chart
edicatio	Furosemide	Binary yes/no	Defined as the administration of furosemide as reported in the patient chart during the ICU stay	Electronic patient chart
Σ	Mannitol	Binary yes/no	Defined as the administration of mannitol as a binary indicator	Electronic patient chart
	Nephrotoxic drugs	Binary yes/no	The administration of vancomycin, gentamicin, tobramycin, amikacin, piperacillin/tazobactam, colistin, cotrimoxazole, lithium, acyclovir, tacrolimus, ciclosporine, etoricoxib, diclofenac, and ibuprofen was defined as the binary indicator nephrotoxic drugs	Electronic patient chart

Abbreviations: HES: Hydroxyethylstarch | ICU: Intensive Care Unit

Table S2: STROBE Statement—checklist of items that should be included in reports of observational studies

	ltem No	Recommendation	Page No
Title and abstract	1	(a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract	1
		(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found	2
Introduction			
Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported	4
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses	4
Methods			
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	5
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection	5
Participants	6	 (a) Cohort study—Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants. Describe methods of follow-up Case-control study—Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of case ascertainment and control selection. Give the rationale for the choice of cases and controls Cross-sectional study—Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants (b) Cohort study—For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and unexposed Case-control study—For matched studies, give matching criteria and the number of controls per case 	5-6
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable	6-8, supplementary
Data sources/ measurement	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of	6-8, supplementary

		assessment methods if there is more than one group	
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	7-9
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	8
Quantitative variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why	8
Statistical methods	12	(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding	8-9
		(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions	8-9
		(c) Explain how missing data were addressed	8-9
		(<i>d</i>) <i>Cohort study</i> —If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed	n/a
		Case-control study—If applicable, explain how matching of cases and controls was addressed	
		Cross-sectional study—If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy	
		(e) Describe any sensitivity analyses	8-9. supplementary
Results			
Participants 13*	(a) Re study- eligibi compl	eport numbers of individuals at each stage of —eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for lity, confirmed eligible, included in the study, leting follow-up, and analysed	9-10, table 1
	(b) Giv	ve reasons for non-participation at each stage	n/a
	(c) Co	nsider use of a flow diagram	n/a
Descriptive data 14*	(a) Gir demog expos	ve characteristics of study participants (eg graphic, clinical, social) and information on ures and potential confounders	9-10, supplementary
	(b) Inc each y	licate number of participants with missing data for variable of interest	8, 9-10
	(c) Co avera	<i>whort study</i> —Summarise follow-up time (eg, ge and total amount)	n/a
Outcome data 15*	<i>Cohoi</i> summ	rt study—Report numbers of outcome events or ary measures over time	10-11

		Case-control study—Report numbers in each exposure category, or summary measures of exposure	n/a
		Cross-sectional study—Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures	10-11
Main results	16	 (a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included 	10-11
		(b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized	-
		(c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period	n/a
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses	10, supplementary
Discussion			
Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	12-13
Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias	13
Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results	12-15
		analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence	
Generalisability	21	analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	13-15
Generalisability Other information	21 n	analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	13-15

Tab. S3: Matching table describing cohort generation by patient characteristics before and after matching (sensitivity analysis).

					Percent
Matching variable (mean or	HES	Control	HES	Control	Balance
proportion)	before	before	matched	matched	Improvement
Age	69.85	69.05	69.78	69.79	98.57 %
Sex	0.73	0.77	0.73	0.72	90.29 %
Body-mass index	28.49	27.85	28.56	27.97	9.40 %
Preoperative serum creatinine	1.09	0.95	1.06	1.05	93.16 %
EUROSCORE	6.99	6.37	6.91	6.99	87.39 %
Left ventricular function	1.47	1.4	1.46	1.47	71.59 %
Atrial fibrillation	0.22	0.14	0.21	0.23	66.78 %
Diabetes	0.36	0.34	0.36	0.34	31.02 %
Catecholamines	0.62	0.77	0.63	0.64	98.34 %
Nephrotoxic drugs	0.06	0.08	0.06	0.06	86.54 %
Furosemide	0.96	0.97	0.96	0.96	16.71 %
Mannitol	0.01	0	0.01	0.01	36.04 %
Drain-losses	882.95	1158.89	889.81	878.91	96.05 %
Mean arterial pressure	109.78	109.58	110.06	110.41	-72.50 %
Systolic blood pressure	159.15	159.49	159.11	158.59	-55.11 %
Intraoperative blood transfusion	200.29	349.69	200.3	204.94	96.89 %
All blood transfusion	282.07	353.46	284.43	305.69	70.22 %
Surgery time	197.72	223.87	199.85	199.96	99.58 %

Tab. S4: Propensity-weighted and propensity-matched analysis for sensitivity analysis.

Propensity-score weighted analysis (N = 2188)	Odds Ratio	Confidence Interval 95 %
Hydroxyethylstarch infusion ^a	0.93	[0.89-0.97]
Propensity-score matched analysis (N = 1014)	Odds Ratio	Confidence Interval 95 %
Hydroxyethylstarch infusion ^a	0.85	[0.77-0.94]

a: Per 500 mL

Analysis: In particular, the propensity-weighted analysis was based on average treatment effect (ATE) weights assigned to groups with HES $(1/e^{(x)})$ or without HES $(1/(1-e^{(x)}))$. The propensity-matched analysis was based on a linear logit model to select HES patients and controls at a 3:1 ratio with a caliper of 0.1 in a nearest neighbour matching.



Fig. S1 Scatter plot of crystalloid and hydroxyethylstarch 130/0.42 doses administered after cardiac surgery per patient and the cumulative dosage of HES and crystalloids.



Fig. S2: Detailed information on vasopressor and inotrope doses and timing of administration on the ICU. The HES and crystalloid cohorts are divided according to the administered dose in ≤ median dose and >median dose.



Fig. S3: Hemodynamic surrogates of the HES and crystalloid cohort at admission on the ICU. Gray: Crystalloid | White: HES

Tab.	S5: Dose and	postoperative	administration	time points o	f patients	receiving	hydroxyethylstarc	h 130/0.42
------	--------------	---------------	----------------	---------------	------------	-----------	-------------------	------------

HES dosage	Number of patients		
< 1000 mL	1292		
1000-2000 ml	483		
> 2000 ml	99		
Cumulative HES Dosage per patient			
1091 mL ± 649 mL			
Time of HES administration	Number of patients		
< 24 h after surgery	1839		
24-72 h after surgery	24		
>72 h after surgery	11		

Abbreviations: HES: Hydroxyethylstarch

Tab. S6: Associations of HES with bleeding incidents and reoperations after multivariate adjustment

	Odds Ratio for bleeding	Confidence interval	p-value
HES per 500 ml	0.93	[0.76, 1.13]	0.466
	Odds Ratio for reoperation	Confidence interval	p-value
HES per 500 ml	0.94	[0.77, 1.13]	0.506

Co-variate	Estimate	Standard error	z value	p value	95 % Confidence interval
Age ^a	0.120	± 0.057	2.111	0.035	[0.01, 0.23]
Atrial fibrillation	0.592	± 0.138	4.274	<0.001	[0.32, 0.86]
Body mass index	0.006	± 0.005	1.255	0.210	[0.00, 0.02]
Crystalloid infusion ^b	-0.024	± 0.012	-2.024	0.043	[-0.05, -0.00*]
Diabetes	0.341	± 0.103	3.325	<0.001	[0.14, 0.54]
Furosemide	0.264	± 0.284	0.930	0.352	[-0.28, 0.84]
Hydroxyethylstarch infusion ^c	-0.115	± 0.040	-2.897	0.004	[-0.19, -0.04]
Intraoperative blood transfusion ^d	0.037	± 0.037	0.996	0.319	[-0.04, 0.11]
Left ventricular function	0.088	± 0.079	1.111	0.266	[-0.07, 0.24]
Mannitol	1.028	± 1.135	0.906	0.365	[-0.92, 4.02]
Mean arterial pressure ^e	-0.041	± 0.020	-2.013	0.044	[-0.08, -0.00**]
Nephrotoxic drugs	0.645	± 0.203	3.173	0.002	[0.24, 1.04]
Postoperative blood transfusion ^f	0.155	± 0.031	4.938	<0.001	[0.10, 0.22]
Pre-operative serum creatinine ^g	0.131	± 0.020	6.590	<0.001	[0.09, 0.17]
Sex	-0.248	± 0.129	-1.920	0.055	[-0.50, 0.01]
Surgery time ^h	0.192	± 0.014	13.251	<0.001	[0.16, 0.22]

Tab. S7: All estimates selected by the LASSO-framework, corresponding standard errors, p-values, and confidence intervals of the cross-sectional acute kidney injury model. All values are rounded to the last digit.

Legend: a: Per 10 years | **b:** Per 500 mL | **c:** Per 500 mL | **d:** Per 300 mL | **e:** Per 10 mmHg | **f:** Per 300 mL | **g:** Per 10 mg/dl | **h:** Per 15 min | * = -0.001 | ** = -0.003

Co-variate	Estimate	Standard error	z value	p value	95 % Confidence interval
Age ^a	0.497	0.245	2.027	0.04	[0.03, 0.99]
Atrial fibrillation	1.018	0.304	3.346	<0.001	[0.41, 1.61]
Crystalloid infusion ^b	0.055	0.026	2.141	0.03	[0.00*, 0.10]
EuroSCORE	0.133	0.06	2.340	0.02	[0.02, 0.24]
Hydroxyethylstarch infusion ^c	0.053	0.091	0.553	0.580	[-0.13, 0.22]
Intraoperative blood transfusion ^d	0.047	0.071	0.658	0.510	[-0.10, 0.18]
Left ventricular function	0.314	0.186	1.688	0.09	[-0.06, 0.67]
Nephrotoxic drugs	0.416	0.371	1.119	0.263	[-0.33, 1.12]
Postoperative blood transfusion ^e	0.260	0.042	6.195	<0.001	[-0.18, 0.35]
Pre-operative serum creatinine ^f	0.010	0.030	0.335	0.74	[-0.05, 0.07]
Systolic arterial pressure ^g	-0.114	0.081	-1.411	0.16	[-0.28, 0.04]

Tab. S8: All estimates selected by the LASSO-framework, corresponding standard errors, p-values, and confidence intervals of the cross-sectional mortality model. All values are rounded to the last digit.

Legend: a: Per 10 years | b: Per 500 mL | c: Per 500 mL | d: Per 300 mL | e: Per 300 ml | f: Per 10 mg/dl | g: Per 10 mmHg | * = 0.003

Co-variate	Estimate	Standard error	df	t value	p value
Age	-7.567e-01	± 2.240e-02	2.012e+03	-33.78	< 0.001
Aortic cross clamp time	2.751e-02	± 1.038e-02	1.993e+03	2.650	0.008
Crystalloid infusion	2.653e-04	± 8.678e-05	2.093e+03	3.058	0.002
Diabetes	-5.965e-01	± 1.658e-01	1.991e+03	-3.597	< 0.001
EuroSCORE (logarithmic)	1.675e-02	± 2.415e-02	1.985e+03	0.694	0.488
Hydroxyethylstarch infusion	-1.417e-03	± 2.477e-04	9.033e+03	-5.720	< 0.001
Intraoperative blood transfusion	-2.797e-05	± 4.466e-04	1.989e+03	-0.063	0.950
Left ventricular function	3.420e-01	± 2.778e-01	1.983e+03	1.231	0.218
Postoperative blood transfusion	-6.049e-04	± 3.534e-04	2.003e+03	-1.712	0.087
Postoperative Days	-1.540e+00	± 7.276e-02	2.669e+03	-21.16	< 0.001
Pre-operative serum creatinine	-2.642e+01	± 5.955e-01	2.299e+03	-44.36	< 0.001
Sex	6.022e+00	± 4.958e-01	1.988e+03	12.15	< 0.001
Surgery time	-8.608e-03	± 4.061e-03	1.981e+03	-2.120	0.034
Transfusion of platelets	2.058e-03	± 8.097e-04	1.983e+03	2.542	0.011
Urea	-3.225e-01	± 5.484e-03	1.105e+04	-58.80	< 0.001
Urine output	2.207e-04	± 4.059e-05	1.147e+04	5.438	< 0.001
Ventilation time	-2.023e-05	± 6.846e-05	1.994e+03	-0.296	0.768
Postoperative Days: Hydroxyethylstarch infusion ^c	4.282e-04	± 6.274e-05	2.728e+03	6.824	< 0.001

Tab. S9: All estimates of the longitudinal renal function trajectories, corresponding standard errors, and p-values.