SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

Recurrent Integration of Human Papillomavirus Genomes at Transcriptional Regulatory Hubs Alix Warburton¹, Tovah E. Markowitz²⁻³, Joshua P. Katz⁴, James M. Pipas⁴ and Alison A. McBride¹*

¹Laboratory of Viral Diseases, National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, 33 North Drive, MSC3209, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland 20892, USA
²NIAID Collaborative Bioinformatics Resource (NCBR), National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA
³Advanced Biomedical Computational Science, Frederick National Laboratory for Cancer Research, Frederick, MD, USA
⁴Department of Biological Sciences, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, USA

This document contains Supplementary Figures 1-5 and Supplementary Data Table descriptions. Supplementary Data Tables 1-17 are included in a separate Excel file.



Supplementary Figure 1. Characteristics of CESC and HNSCC HPV integration datasets. (a), The distribution of cervical carcinomas (CESC, n=333) based on tissue histology. Squamous cell carcinomas, adenocarcinomas and adenosquamous carcinomas accounted for 77.2%, 8.1% and 1.8% of cervical samples, respectively. CESC that were not specified by histology type accounted for 12.9% of the samples. (b), The frequency of HPV types across the different histological subtypes. One CESC sample (TCGA-EA-A43B) had two integration sites of different viral types and was therefore excluded from these counts. HPV16 (67.5%) and HPV18 (17.2%) were the most frequent HPV types detected in CESC. HPV16 was the predominant viral type in squamous cell carcinomas (HPV16, 68.0%; HPV18, 13.3%; other, 18.8%) and adenocarcinomas (HPV16, 59.3%; HPV18, 37.0%; other, 3.7%), whereas HPV18 was the predominant viral type in adenosquamous carcinomas (HPV18, 83.3%; HPV16, 16.7%; other, none). Head and neck squamous cell carcinomas (n=41) included in this study were predominantly male subjects (males, 82.9%; females, 17.1%), Supplementary Data Table 2. (c), Tumors of the oropharynx, including the base of tongue and tonsils, accounted for 70.7% of samples. The remainder of tumors were from the oral cavity (24.4%) and larynx (4.9%). (d), The percentage distribution of HPV type by HNSCC tumor location. HPV16 was the most predominant viral type in HNSCC (90.2%) and accounted for 96.6%, 70.0% and 100% tumors of the oropharynx, oral cavity and larynx, respectively. The remainder of HNSCC samples were positive for HPV33 (7.3%) and HPV35 (2.4%) that were isolated from the oral cavity and oropharynx, respectively. (e-h), The distribution of samples and integration breakpoints included in this study that were grouped by sequencing method. (e-f), For the CESC dataset, 50.8% samples had associated transcription-based data (e) and all integration breakpoints were detected through next-generation sequencing technologies (hybrid-capture/WGS/WXS with probes added to capture the integrated HPV DNA sequence) (f). (g), The HNSCC dataset was limited in size as >50% samples were identified from RNA sequencing alone and did not have matched DNA sequences. (h), Approximately 54% HNSCC samples were processed from WGS and 46% from DIPS, and all had matched RNA data (RNA-seq and APOT, respectively). *Two samples were

also validated by RNA-seq analysis in addition to APOT. APOT, Amplification of papillomavirus oncogene transcripts; DIPS, Detection of integrated papillomavirus sequences; WGS, Whole genome sequencing; WXS, Whole exome sequencing.



Supplementary Figure 2. Integration hotspots defined in cervical tumors. Schematic representation of integration hotspots across the human genome defined previously in the literature (red bars) and in our cervical carcinoma, CESC, dataset (blue bars).



Supplementary Figure 3. Distribution of clustered breakpoints at HNSCC integration loci. Schematic representation of clustered breakpoints at HNSCC integration loci across the human genome. Lines connecting to each chromosome represent different integration loci. Blue circles represent the indicated number of breakpoints per integration locus.



chr17:

Host AMP:

ChIP-seq

reads:

W12 SE:

genes:













Supplementary Figure 4. Keratinocyte-specific enhancers mapped by Brd4 and H3K27ac ChIP-seq. (a), Venn diagram showing the regions of overlap between Brd4 and H3K27ac ChIP-seq signals profiled in W12 cervical keratinocytes with enhancers defined in NHEK (Normal Human Epidermal Keratinocytes) cells from ENCODE ¹. Permutation testing was used to determine the significance in overlap between W12 Brd4/H3K27ac consensus peaks and ENCODE NHEK enhancers (p<0.0001). (b), Alignment of Brd4 (blue) and H3K27ac (red) ChIP-seq signals mapped in W12 cervical keratinocytes with enhancers defined in the HeLa and NHEK ENCODE datasets. Relative ChIP-seq peak heights are indicated in square parentheses. Black bars below the ChIP-seq signal tracks represent W12 enhancers that were defined by consensus peaks for Brd4 and H3K27ac enrichment. (c), GREAT (Genomic Regions Enrichment of Annotations Tool) gene ontology analysis was performed using W12 enhancers that overlapped HNSCC integration breakpoints (-/+ 50 Kb flanks) as input, and compared against all W12 enhancers, to identify putative target genes associated with these cis-regulatory regions. Bars represent putative target genes plotted against their FDR (false discovery rate) adjusted p-values (q-value). Blue and grey bars represent genes that overlap integration hotspots and sites of non-recurrent integration, respectively. Enriched target genes within the same genomic locus were grouped (e.g. KLF5 and KLF12) and plotted using the most significant q-value. (d), Alignment of Brd4 (blue) and H3K27ac (red) ChIP-seq signals mapped in W12 cervical keratinocytes at integration hotspots (black bars; size indicated in Mb) in cervical carcinomas. Relative ChIP-seq peak heights are indicated in square parentheses. Grey bars represent amplified (AMP) host DNA in different HNSCC tumors from The Cancer Genome Atlas. Green, yellow, and black bars below the ChIP-seq signal tracks represent super-enhancers (SE) mapped in W12 subclones, FANCD2-associated fragile sites mapped in C33-A and HeLa cells and CESC/HNSCC integration loci, respectively. Genes identified from GREAT gene ontology analysis² and cancer driver genes³ are indicated by blue bars.









































Supplementary Figure 5. Genomic landscape of integration hotspots. Alignment of Brd4 (blue) and H3K27ac (red) ChIP-seq signals mapped in W12 cervical keratinocytes at integration hotspots (top black bars; size indicated in Mb) in cervical carcinomas. Relative ChIP-seq peak heights are indicated in square parentheses. Numbers above each integration hotspot indicates the hotspot ID referenced in **Supplementary Data Table 4**. Grey bars represent amplified (AMP) host DNA in different CESC tumors from The Cancer Genome Atlas. Green, yellow, and black bars below the ChIP-seq signal tracks represent super-enhancers (SE) mapped in W12 subclones, FANCD2-associated fragile sites mapped in C33-A and HeLa cells and CESC/HNSCC integration loci, respectively. Long protein-coding genes (>0.3 Mb in length), genes identified from GREAT gene ontology analysis ² and cancer driver genes ³ are indicated by blue bars. Each integration hotspot is further characterized in **Supplementary Data Table 4**.

SUPPLEMENTARY DATA TABLE DESCRIPTIONS

Supplementary Data Table 1. CESC and HNSCC datasets included in our study. Cases represent the number (n) of patient samples with integrated HPV genomes detected through DNA and/or RNA sequencing methods. For hybrid-capture method, only integration breakpoints that were validated through Sanger sequencing were included in this study. Somatic copy number alteration (SCNA) datasets for CESC and HNSCC TCGA samples were downloaded from the Broad Institute, <u>http://firebrowse.org/</u>^{4,5}.

Supplementary Data Table 2. CESC integration breakpoints (n=1,299) included in this study. CESC HPV integration sites (Patient ID, Histology, HPV type, HPV breakpoints, chromosome, integration breakpoint, integration ID, number of breakpoints per integration locus, detection method used for calling integration breakpoints, PubMed ID and author of study, target gene disrupted by integration, transcription status based on detection of viral-host fusion transcripts, SCNA status, SCNA mean (values are log2(copy number/2) unless otherwise stated), distance of integration breakpoint from SCNA, SCNA position and size, number of SNP Array 6.0 probes spanning the SCNA). Only integration breakpoints detected through DNA sequencing were used for overlap analyses.

Supplementary Data Table 3. HNSCC integration breakpoints (n=119) included in this study. HNSC HPV integration sites (Patient ID, gender, Histology, tumor location, HPV type, HPV breakpoints, chromosome, integration breakpoint, integration ID, number of breakpoints per integration locus, detection method used for calling integration breakpoints, PubMed ID and author of study, target gene disrupted by integration, transcription status based on detection of viral-host fusion transcripts, SCNA status, SCNA mean (values are log2(copy number/2) unless otherwise stated), distance of integration breakpoint from SCNA, SCNA position and size, number of SNP Array 6.0 probes spanning the SCNA, patient age, AJCC stage of tumor, smoking status). Only integration breakpoints detected through DNA sequencing were used for overlap analyses.

Supplementary Data Table 4. Integration hotspots defined in CESC

Supplementary Data Table 5. Integration hotspots defined in the literature. Overlapping genomic intervals for hotspots identified from the literature were merged into a single genomic interval using the MergeBED tool (column *Merged hotspot position*) for comparison to hotspots defined in our CESC dataset (**Supplementary Figure 2**).

Supplementary Data Table 6. CESC integrations with associated SCNA included in this study. Samples that had associated host genome amplification data were classified based on the somatic copy number alteration (SCNA) status at the site of integration. Normal, normal genomic profile; AMP, amplification; DEL, deletion; DEL (excluded; overlapping deletion), these likely reflect the alternative chromosome as sequencing of the chimeric viral-host junction was available for the associated HPV insertion sites. SCNA mean values are log2(copy number/2) unless otherwise stated. Overlap of integration sites with integration hotspots are indicated.

Supplementary Data Table 7. HNSCC integrations with associated SCNA included in this study. Samples that had associated host genome amplification data were classified based on the somatic copy number alteration (SCNA) status at the site of integration. Normal, normal genomic profile; AMP, amplification; DEL, deletion; DEL (excluded; overlapping deletion), these likely reflect the alternative chromosome as sequencing of the chimeric viral-host junction was available for the associated HPV insertion sites. SCNA mean values are log2(copy number/2) unless otherwise stated.

Supplementary Data Table 8. FANCD2-associated fragile sites mapped in C33-A cervical carcinoma cells. C33-A FANCD2 peaks identified through ChIP-seq, filtered by -log10 q-value >10, combined with FANCD2 ChIP-ChIP peaks previously reported in C33-A cells ⁶.

Supplementary Data Table 9. FANCD2-associated fragile sites mapped in HeLa cervical carcinoma cells. HeLa FANCD2 peaks identified through ChIP-seq, filtered by -log10 q-value >10. Supplementary Data Table 10. FANCD2-associated fragile sites mapped in C33-A and HeLa cervical carcinoma cells. C33-A FANCD2 peaks identified through ChIP-ChIP and ChIP-seq (Supplementary Data Table 8) were combined with HeLa ChIP-seq peaks (Supplementary Data Table 9). Overlapping FANCD2 peaks from the C33-A and HeLa datasets were merged using the MergeBED tool (merging based on nearby peaks was set to 0 bp).

Supplementary Data Table 11. Aphidicolin-induced common fragile sites from the HGNC Database. FRAregions were downloaded from the HUGO Gene Nomenclature Committee (HGNC) database, <u>https://www.genenames.org/download/custom/</u>. Bold font indicates FRA regions that overlap with FANCD2-enriched regions listed in **Supplementary Data Table 10**. A total of 43/77 (55.8%) FRA regions overlap with FANCD2-enriched regions profiled in C33-A and HeLa cells.

Supplementary Data Table 12. Mitotic DNA synthesis (MDS) regions that overlap with FANCD2 peaks. MDS regions profiled in HeLa cells ^{7,8} were compiled and overlapping regions merged using the MergeBED tool (merging based on nearby peaks was set to 0 bp). A total of 112/232 (48.3%) MDS regions overlapped with FANCD2-enriched regions profiled in C33-A and HeLa cells.

Supplementary Data Table 13. FANCD2-enriched regions that overlap long genes. The Gencode Release 19 human reference genome (GRCh37) was filtered to identify protein-coding genes longer than or equal to 0.3 Mb, including UTRs. A total of 185/782 (23.7%) long genes overlapped with FANCD2-enriched regions profiled in C33-A and HeLa cells and are indicated in bold font. Genes that are transcriptionally active in C33-A and/or HeLa cells were determined from RNA-seq (⁶ and Expression Atlas, accessed September 2020, <u>https://www.ebi.ac.uk/gxa/home</u>) and are indicated in blue font. 121/185 (65.4%) long genes that overlapped with FANCD2-enriched regions are expressed in C33-A and/or HeLa cells.

Supplementary Data Table 14. Brd4/H3K27ac consensus enhancer peaks profiled in W12 subclones. Brd4 and H3K27ac peaks were identified through ChIP-seq in HPV16-positive W12 cervical keratinocyte subclones (20831, 20861, 20862 and 20863). Consensus peaks for H3K27ac were identified across the four W12 subclones. Enhancers were defined as the overlapping genomic intervals between H3K27ac consensus peaks and Brd4 peaks.

Supplementary Data Table 15. Top 20 biological processes associated with enhancers that overlap

integration breakpoints in CESC and HNSCC

Supplementary Data Table 16. Super-enhancers defined in W12 cervical keratinocytes

Supplementary Data Table 17. Cancer driver genes within 1 Mb of super-enhancers that overlap

integration loci

REFERENCES

- 1 Consortium, E. P. *et al.* Expanded encyclopaedias of DNA elements in the human and mouse genomes. *Nature* **583**, 699-710, doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2493-4 (2020).
- 2 McLean, C. Y. *et al.* GREAT improves functional interpretation of cis-regulatory regions. *Nat Biotechnol* **28**, 495-501, doi:10.1038/nbt.1630 (2010).
- Bailey, M. H. *et al.* Comprehensive Characterization of Cancer Driver Genes and Mutations. *Cell* **173**, 371-385.e318, doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2018.02.060</u> (2018).
- 4 Harvard, B. I. o. M. a. Broad Institute TCGA Genome Data Analysis Center (2016): SNP6 Copy number analysis (GISTIC2); Cervical Squamous Cell Carcinoma and Endocervical Adenocarcinoma. doi:doi:10.7908/C16D5SCD (2016).
- 5 Harvard, B. I. o. M. a. Broad Institute TCGA Genome Data Analysis Center (2016): SNP6 Copy number analysis (GISTIC2); Head and Neck Squamous Cell Carcinoma. . doi:doi:10.7908/C1V987FP (2016).
- 6 Jang, M. K., Shen, K. & McBride, A. A. Papillomavirus genomes associate with BRD4 to replicate at fragile sites in the host genome. *PLoS Pathog* **10**, e1004117, doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1004117 (2014).
- 7 Ji, F. *et al.* Genome-wide high-resolution mapping of mitotic DNA synthesis sites and common fragile sites by direct sequencing. *Cell Res*, doi:10.1038/s41422-020-0357-y (2020).
- 8 Macheret, M. *et al.* High-resolution mapping of mitotic DNA synthesis regions and common fragile sites in the human genome through direct sequencing. *Cell Res*, doi:10.1038/s41422-020-0358-x (2020).