

BMJ Open

BMJ Open is committed to open peer review. As part of this commitment we make the peer review history of every article we publish publicly available.

When an article is published we post the peer reviewers' comments and the authors' responses online. We also post the versions of the paper that were used during peer review. These are the versions that the peer review comments apply to.

The versions of the paper that follow are the versions that were submitted during the peer review process. They are not the versions of record or the final published versions. They should not be cited or distributed as the published version of this manuscript.

BMJ Open is an open access journal and the full, final, typeset and author-corrected version of record of the manuscript is available on our site with no access controls, subscription charges or pay-per-view fees (<http://bmjopen.bmj.com>).

If you have any questions on BMJ Open's open peer review process please email info.bmjopen@bmj.com

BMJ Open

Mental burden and perception of the study situation among undergraduate students during the COVID-19 pandemic: a cross-sectional study and comparison of dental and medical students

Journal:	<i>BMJ Open</i>
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2021-054728
Article Type:	Original research
Date Submitted by the Author:	21-Jun-2021
Complete List of Authors:	Guse, Jennifer; University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf, Department of Medical Psychology Weegen, Annabel ; University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf, Department of Medical Psychology Heinen, Ines; University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf, Department of Medical Psychology Bergelt, Corinna; University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf, Department of Medical Psychology
Keywords:	MEDICAL EDUCATION & TRAINING, COVID-19, MENTAL HEALTH

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts



I, the Submitting Author has the right to grant and does grant on behalf of all authors of the Work (as defined in the below author licence), an exclusive licence and/or a non-exclusive licence for contributions from authors who are: i) UK Crown employees; ii) where BMJ has agreed a CC-BY licence shall apply, and/or iii) in accordance with the terms applicable for US Federal Government officers or employees acting as part of their official duties; on a worldwide, perpetual, irrevocable, royalty-free basis to BMJ Publishing Group Ltd ("BMJ") its licensees and where the relevant Journal is co-owned by BMJ to the co-owners of the Journal, to publish the Work in this journal and any other BMJ products and to exploit all rights, as set out in our [licence](#).

The Submitting Author accepts and understands that any supply made under these terms is made by BMJ to the Submitting Author unless you are acting as an employee on behalf of your employer or a postgraduate student of an affiliated institution which is paying any applicable article publishing charge ("APC") for Open Access articles. Where the Submitting Author wishes to make the Work available on an Open Access basis (and intends to pay the relevant APC), the terms of reuse of such Open Access shall be governed by a Creative Commons licence – details of these licences and which [Creative Commons](#) licence will apply to this Work are set out in our licence referred to above.

Other than as permitted in any relevant BMJ Author's Self Archiving Policies, I confirm this Work has not been accepted for publication elsewhere, is not being considered for publication elsewhere and does not duplicate material already published. I confirm all authors consent to publication of this Work and authorise the granting of this licence.

1
2
3 **Mental burden and perception of the study situation among undergraduate students during**
4 **the COVID-19 pandemic: a cross-sectional study and comparison of dental and medical**
5 **students**
6
7
8
9

10
11 Jennifer Guse¹ (<https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5020-929X>), research assistant, Department of Medical
12 Psychology, University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany
13

14
15 Annabel Susan Weegen¹ (<https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5462-1775>), dental student, Department of
16 Medical Psychology, University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany
17

18
19 Ines Heinen (<https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7361-4554>), research assistant, Department of Medical
20 Psychology, University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany
21

22
23 Corinna Bergelt (<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1413-1872>), associate professor, Department of
24 Medical Psychology, University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany
25

26
27
28
29 ¹Equal contributors
30

31
32
33 Keywords: Dental students, medical students, COVID-19 pandemic, mental health, undergraduate
34 medical education
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43

44 Corresponding author:

45 Dr. Jennifer Guse

46 University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf

47 Center for Psychosocial Medicine

48 Department of Medical Psychology

49 Martinistraße 52, W26

50 20246 Hamburg

51 E-mail: j.guse@uke.de

52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
Word count: 3.423

ABSTRACT

Objectives: To investigate levels of distress, depression, anxiety, stress and perception of their current study situation during the COVID-19 pandemic among undergraduate dental and medical students.

Design: Observational, cross-sectional study including two consecutive surveys (May and July 2020).

Setting: A large medical school in Germany.

Participants: All first year dental and medical students were invited. 132 participating first year students (44 dental, 88 medical) from the first survey and 150 students (50 dental, 100 medical) from the second were included in our analyses.

Primary and secondary outcome measures: Mental burden (Distress Thermometer, Patient Health Questionnaire PHQ-4, Perceived Stress Scale, PSS-4) and self-reported changes in mental health and perception of study situation during the COVID-19 pandemic (self-developed items) were compared. Open-ended questions were analyzed by conventional content analyses.

Results: A considerable proportion of students (May 2020: 84%; July 2020: 77%) reported distress levels above cutoff. In July 2020, dental students reported significantly higher distress scores than medical students. More dental than medical students reported mild, moderate and severe levels of anxiety and depression symptoms. The majority stated that their mental health and study motivation had not changed during the pandemic. Logistic regression showed that being a dental student and experiencing higher distress were significantly associated with a higher likelihood for serious worries. Regarding current concerns related to the pandemic, students most frequently reported difficulties with self-regulated learning (15%), study-related worries and uncertainty (14%), missing feedback of students and lecturers (11%) and lack of practical training (10%).

Conclusion: The results suggest that high mental burden and the lack of practical training among medical and dental students is an increasing problem, with a possibly even higher urgency in dental students. Tailored psychological and educational support offers during and after the COVID-19 pandemic might help them as they progress through (medical and) dental school.

Strengths and limitations of this study

- This study offers in-depth exploration of students' mental health and perception of their study situation during the COVID-19 pandemic by combining quantitative data and qualitative data
- This study included well-established and valid instruments (quantitative data) and applied conventional content analyses with inductive categorization (qualitative data).
- Response rates of this study were high (65% - 87%).

- It is a single-institution study and the cross-sectional design does not allow causal statements about longitudinal developments.

INTRODUCTION

The corona virus disease (COVID-19) pandemic and its consequences have an impact on the private, professional and social life of all people[1]. It has brought widespread disruption to undergraduate medical education[2, 3]. Thus medical students worldwide face major changes regarding their medical training and study motivation decreased[4]. Many medical schools have made changes to their curricula and campus life to protect patients and students by social distancing. Roles of medical students' during the COVID-19 pandemic are discussed controversial[5]. Even in the absence of the COVID-19 pandemic, mental burden is common among medical students[6, 7, 8] and several studies report lower mental health outcomes for medical students in the course of their medical studies compared to population reference samples[9]. In particular, depression and anxiety symptoms are reported among medical[7, 10] and dental students[11-13]. Often students feel that they do not have adequate coping strategies to deal with study-related workload and stress[14]. Dental students are highly stressed and perform at a comparable or higher stress level than medical students[15]. Studies have shown that the stress level increases with each semester, especially due to the high proportion of practical training in dental studies[13, 16].

In light of novel circumstances during the COVID-19 pandemic, uncertainty relating to personal and professional future and rapid changes medical students may be even more at risk of experiencing severe mental burden during the pandemic than before. Increased incidence rates of stress and mental burden are an expected response under the current conditions[17].

Studies have shown the negative impact of past pandemics[18] and the COVID-19 pandemic[19] on the general population, as well as on specific groups[20]. Health professionals may be particularly affected[21], as pandemic-specific stressors are added to the general ones[22]. High prevalence for mental health symptoms among health care workers exposed to COVID-19 was found[20]. Students are also highly burdened while facing new challenges raised by the pandemic's consequences[23, 24]. COVID-19 related mental stress, higher rates of depression and a high symptom burden from the acute stress response according to the COVID-19 pandemic are common especially among health care students[25], as they have to cope with mental and emotional issues, including stress and anxiety.

In contrast to the exposure of students in general during the COVID-19 pandemic, the mental burden of medical and dental students in particular is still poorly addressed in the international literature even though they are facing special challenges in health care[26].

1
2
3 Therefore, the aim of this study was to assess the magnitude of distress, depression and anxiety and
4 stress among undergraduate dental and medical students in the course of the COVID-19 pandemic.
5 Secondly, we aimed to explore students' perception of the study situation during the pandemic and
6 compare dental and medical students' perception during the first lockdown period and thereafter.
7
8
9

10 11 12 **METHODS**

13 14 **Design and setting**

15
16 This observational study consists of two cross-sectional surveys conducted at the University Medical
17 Center Hamburg-Eppendorf, Germany, from May 28, 2020 to June 7 (t1), 2020 and from July 16, 2020
18 to July 31, 2020 (t2). During this period and before (since mid-March 2020) the German government
19 announced several public health measures to suppress the spread of COVID-19 by increasing social
20 distancing, i.e. the closure of schools, daycare, playgrounds and non-essential shops, the prohibition
21 to meet more than one person from another household and depending on regional regulations the
22 prohibition to leave the apartment without reason[27]. Concurrently lectures and seminars at the
23 universities were predominantly held in a digital format to reduce interpersonal contact and to protect
24 patients, students and faculty[28]. Thus, the learning environment and examination conditions for
25 students have changed significantly. Many medical schools have discontinued their undergraduate
26 medical training and transitioned most of their teaching to digital formats[29]. This included but was
27 not limited to the transformation of Objective Structured Clinical Examinations (OSCE[30]) into
28 Multiple Choice tests.
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40

41 **Participants**

42
43 All first year dental students (n=68) enrolled in the integrated dental degree program iMED DENT
44 launched in 2019 and medical students (n=352) enrolled in the integrated medical degree program
45 iMED established in 2012 at the Medical School of the University of Hamburg, Germany[31] were
46 invited to participate in the online surveys. Students were asked to complete an anonymous
47 questionnaire linked to the voluntary curriculum evaluation conducted by the dean's office in regular
48 intervals during the course of the year. A few days in advance the students were informed via e-mail
49 of the study aims, voluntary participation and data protection regulations.
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57

58 **Measures**

1
2
3 We developed an online questionnaire and focused on symptoms of distress, depression and anxiety
4 and stress by using established self-reporting questionnaires. Furthermore, we assessed the
5 perception of the study situation during the pandemic and study motivation with self-developed items.
6
7 Demographic characteristics (age in categories, gender) were also self-reported.
8
9

10 11 12 Distress

13
14 We assessed students' distress at t1 (May 2020) and t2 (July 2020) using the German version of the
15 Distress-Thermometer (DT), a brief screening instrument developed by the National Comprehensive
16 Cancer Network (NCCN)[32]. The DT is a single-item instrument with a scale from 0-10. Higher scores
17 indicate higher distress. Internationally a cutoff score of 4 is established as a signal that a person is
18 distressed and needs support. The DT is a reliable and efficient screening instrument[32].
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

26 Depression and anxiety

27
28 We measured depression and anxiety using the German version of the four-item Patient Health
29 Questionnaire (PHQ-4), an ultra-brief screening instrument that consists of a two-item depression
30 scale (PHQ-2) and a two-item anxiety scale (GAD-2)[33]. It assesses the amount of depression and
31 anxiety symptoms the individual has felt during the past two weeks. A score of 3 or higher on the
32 PHQ-2 and GAD-2 is established as a reasonable cut-off score clinically relevant levels of depressive
33 and anxiety symptoms. The total PHQ-4 score is considered as an overall measure of symptom burden
34 with the following categories: 0-2 normal, 3-5 mild, 6-8 moderate, 9-12 severe. It is an efficient
35 screening instrument with good internal consistency and construct validity and areas under the curve
36 between 0.84 (anxiety) and 0.79 (depression) among students[34].
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45

46 Changes in mental health and perception of study situation during the COVID-19 pandemic

47
48 Furthermore we administered five self-developed items to assess changes in students' mental health
49 and perception of the study situation: Students were asked whether their mental health has changed
50 since the beginning of the pandemic with five answer options (clearly improved; rather improved;
51 unchanged; rather worsened; clearly worsened). They were asked if the assessment of their study
52 situation has changed in the context of the pandemic with three answer options (No, I am as worried
53 or unworried as before; Yes, I am somewhat worried; Yes, I am seriously worried). Furthermore
54 students were asked if the pandemic affected their possibility to participate in exams with five options
55 to answer (No exams took place since the beginning of the pandemic; Exams took place without
56 changes; Exams did not take place, but a new date is scheduled; Exams did not take place and no new
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 date is scheduled yet; I was not able to attend exams due to quarantine or illness). Students were
4 asked if their study motivation had changed since the beginning of the pandemic. Answers were rated
5 on a 5-point Likert-type scale (clearly increased; rather increased; unchanged; rather decreased; clearly
6 decreased). Finally, students were asked for free text responses regarding the question what currently
7 is occupying them most in their current study situation and what they experience as particularly
8 helpful.
9
10
11
12

13 14 15 16 Stress

17
18 In the second survey students' perception of stress was quantified with the Perceived Stress Scale (PSS-
19 4). The 4-item self-report instrument with reverse coding for two items assesses on a five-point Likert
20 scale the degree to which situations in one's life were perceived as stressful in the past month[35].
21 Higher scores on the PSS-4 indicate higher stress levels.
22
23
24
25
26
27

28 Burdening aspects

29
30 For the second data collection in July 2020, we developed six items based on the qualitative results
31 from the first survey to assess burdening aspects experienced by the students' quantitatively. The
32 respondents had the possibility to answer in four levels (1 = "not at all burdensome" to 4 = "very
33 burdensome").
34
35
36
37
38
39

40 Data analysis

41
42 We matched dental students to medical students according to age and gender in the ratio of 1:2 in
43 order to harmonize the initially inhomogeneous sample sizes and enhance comparability of the
44 samples. In May 2020, the final sample consisted of n=132 students (44 dental and 88 medical
45 students). In July 2020, the sample comprised 150 students (50 dental and 100 medical students). With
46 74% (t1) and 69% (t2) female students the gender ratio of the sample is comparable to the ratio of first
47 year students at German medical schools[36]. We used descriptive statistics to characterize the
48 sample. Group comparisons were carried out using chi²-tests for categorical variables and t-tests for
49 differences of means.
50
51
52
53
54
55

56 We conducted descriptive analyses to examine the magnitude of distress (DT), depression and anxiety
57 (PHQ-4), and stress (PSS-4). The results of the entire sample as well as of the subgroups (dental and
58 medical students) were compared with PHQ-4 data of a German medical student sample (n=321, mean
59 age=22 years, 60% women) from a previous study at the same faculty[8] with one-sample t-tests. To
60

1
2
3 examine the likelihood for serious worries (dichotomous) regarding the study situation during the
4 COVID-19 pandemic at t1 we conducted a binary logistic regression model with sex, subject of study,
5 the magnitude of distress, depression and anxiety as potential predictors. Non-significant variables
6 were excluded via backward elimination and dropped at the level of $p < 0.05$. We applied likelihood
7 ratio method, which is recommended for stepwise methods[37]. To avoid multicollinearity, we
8 analyzed Variable Inflation Factors (VIF) scores. We conducted effect size calculations and considered
9 according to Cohen's $f^2 = .02$ to be a small effect, $f^2 = .15$ as a medium effect, and $f^2 = .35$ as a large
10 effect[38]. All quantitative analyses were carried out using IBM SPSS version 27.

11
12 We used conventional content analyses with inductive categorization to analyze the free text
13 responses[39]. Two researchers familiarized themselves with the qualitative data (IH, JG). They
14 identified key concepts and generated labels of codes for recurring themes independently. Next, both
15 sorted codes into categories independently, which were reviewed by all authors. We developed final
16 definitions for categories and codes with iterative consultations and discussions until consensus was
17 achieved. We chose excerpts to exemplify each category and translated them into English. For the
18 qualitative data analysis, we used MAXQDA 2020 (VERBI Software, 2019).

19
20 Following the inductive categorization we recoded answers for each category into dichotomous
21 variables (mentioned vs. not mentioned) to enhance data transparency and to provide evidence for
22 our interpretation[40]. When students provided more than one category per response, all responses
23 respectively categories were categorized. The qualitative results of the first survey served as the basis
24 for developing six quantitative items for the second survey.

25
26 The local ethics board of the Center for Psychosocial Medicine at the University Medical Center
27 Hamburg-Eppendorf approved the study (LPEK-0161).

28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 Patient and Public Involvement

45
46 We did not involve patients in our study. Research questions were developed by the principle
47 investigators (CB, JG) and discussed with the Curriculumkomitee iMED, Hamburg (CK iMED) in April
48 2020. CK iMED is a committee consisting of students, teachers and a member of the dean's office,
49 which has the task of further developing and optimizing the structure and content of the reformed
50 medical degree program iMED. Subsequently all enrolled students were informed by e-mail about the
51 design, conduct, the outcome measures and the recruitment and encouraged to give feedback. After
52 publication a summary of the results will be presented in plain language on the website of the
53 University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf.

RESULTS

Of 68 first year dental students enrolled in the dental education program at the UKE in May 2020, 44 (65%) completed the first survey (t1) in May 2020 and were matched to 88 medical first year students who completed the t1 survey as described above (overall response rate of the medical students was 87%). The response rate of the second survey (t2) was 81% among first year dental students and 82% among first year medical students. With 75% (t1) and 70% (t2) female dental students and 40% (t1 and t2) aged 20 years and younger, both samples are similar to the population of first year dental students at the faculty. The demographic characteristics of the final samples are shown in Table1.

Table 1: Sample characteristics among dental and medical students participating in the first (n¹=132) and second survey (n²=150)

	First Survey (May 2020)			Second Survey (July 2020)		
	Whole sample (n=132)	Dental students (n ₁ =44)	Medical students (n ₁ =88)	Whole sample (n=150)	Dental students (n ₂ =50)	Medical students (n ₂ =100)
	%	%	%	%	%	%
sex:						
male	28.8	25.0	26.1	30.7	30.0	31.0
female	74.2	75.0	73.9	69.3	70.0	69.0
age:						
Up to 20 years	38.6	39.7	38.6	40.0	40.0	40.0
21-25 years	34.1	33.8	34.1	34.0	34.0	34.0
26-30 years	18.2	17.6	18.2	18.0	18.0	18.0
31-35 years	6.8	5.9	6.8	6.0	6.0	6.0
Older than 35 years	(2.5	2.9	2.3	2.0	2.0	2.0

Distress, depression and anxiety

Overall, high levels of distress, anxiety and depression were found in both dental and medical students. Compared to a German reference sample [41] with an overall mean PHQ-4 score of 1.76 (SD=2.02) and of 1.48 (2.00) for a student subsample, our samples reported on average significantly higher anxiety and depression (PHQ-4) scores at t1 and t2: In May 2020 80% of the dental students and 86% of the medical students reported moderate or severe distress scores and 25% of the dental students and 24% of the medical students reported moderate to severe anxiety and depression scores. In July 2020 dental students reported significantly higher levels of distress and anxiety than medical students (Table 2). With regard to gender differences, the scores of male and female students did overall not differ significantly in the whole sample at t1 and t2. However, female dental students (t1: M=4.67; SD=2.58; t2: M=4.6; SD=2.76) reported significantly higher PHQ-4 scores than male dental students (t1: M=2.82; SD= 1.54; t2: M=2.87; SD= 2.56) at t1 (t(42)= -2.235, p=.031) and t2 (t(48)= -2.080, p= .043).

1
2
3 In July 2020 (t2), dental students reported significantly higher scores of distress and anxiety than
4 medical students, while both groups were comparable with regard to their overall anxiety and
5 depression symptom burden (24% with moderate or severe symptoms in dental students, 19% in
6 medical students). With regard to self-perceived stress (only assessed in the second survey), dental
7 students reported higher stress levels than medical students (Table 2).
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Table 2: Amount of self-reported distress, depression and anxiety and stress among dental and medical students in May 2020 (n¹=132) and July 2020 (n²=150)

	May 2020						July 2020					
	Whole sample	Dental students (n=44)	Medical students (n=88)	t	df	p	Whole sample	Dental students (n=50)	Medical students (n=100)	t	df	p
	M (SD)	M (SD)	M (SD)				M (SD)	M (SD)	M (SD)			
Distress (range: 0-10)	6.41 (2.38)	6.32 (2.45)	6.45 (2.35)	.310	130	.757	6.14 (2.22)	7.02 (2.25)	5.70 (2.07)	-3.571	148	<.001
Anxiety and depression (PHQ-4; range 0-12)	3.95 (2.49)	4.20 (2.48)	3.83 (2.50)	0.815	130	.417	3.73 (2.67)	4.08 (2.79)	3.56 (2.60)	1.126	148	.262
Anxiety (GAD-2; range 0-6)	1.95 (1.49)	2.09 (1.51)	1.88 (1.48)	0.785	130	.435	1.91 (1.58)	2.28 (1.81)	1.73 (1.43)	2.025	148	.045
Depression (PHQ-2; range 0-6)	2.01 (1.31)	2.11 (1.28)	1.95 (1.33)	0.656	130	.513	1.82 (1.35)	1.80 (1.31)	1.83 (1.37)	-.128	148	.898
Self-perceived Stress (PSS-4; range 0-16)	-	-	-	-	-	-	5.60 (3.07)	6.14 (3.22)	5.33 (2.96)	1.533	148	.128
Anxiety and depression symptoms (PHQ4)	%	%	%	chi ²	df	p	%	%	%	chi ²	df	p
normal (0-2)	31.8	25.0	35.2	1.535	3	.674	38.0	36.0	39.0	0.557	3	.906
mild (3-5)	43.9	50.0	40.9				41.3	40.0	42.0			
moderate (6-8)	19.7	20.5	19.3				13.3	16.0	12.0			
severe (9-12)	4.5	4.5	4.5				7.3	8.0	7.0			
Distress by category	%	%	%	chi ²	df	p	%	%	%	chi ²	df	p
Normal (0-4)	14.9	20.5	13.6	1.022	2	.600	22.7	16.0	26.0	9.652	2	.008
Moderate (5-7)	50.8	47.7	52.3				49.3	40.0	54.0			
Severe (8-10)	33.3	31.8	34.1				28.0	44.0	20.0			
Over cutoff (≥5)	84.1	79.5	86.4	1.019	1	.313	77.3	84.0	74.0	1.902	1	.168

Note. n=number; M = mean; SD = standard deviation; df = degrees of freedom; p = p-value, significance level p<.05

Changes in mental health and perception of the study situation

Overall, about one third of the students (36% dental students vs. 30% medical students) reported that their mental health had worsened because of the pandemic at t1. Likewise, a total of 36% (46% dental vs. 31% medical students) reported a decrease in their study motivation at t1 and 37% at t2 (36% dental vs. 37% medical students). With regard to worries about the current study situation more medical students (t1 46%; t2 48%) than dental students (t1 32%; t2 35%) reported to be unperturbed and slightly more dental than medical students were seriously worried at t1 (18% vs. 6%) and at t2 (14% vs. 10%). However, in both surveys dental and medical students did not differ significantly regarding their self-reported changes of mental health, study motivation or worries about the current study situation (Table 3). Likewise, we did not find significant differences between male and female students (data not shown).

Table 3: Perception of current study situation, changes of self-reported mental health caused by the COVID-19 pandemic and changes of study motivation of dental and medical students in May 2020 (n¹=132) and July 2020 (n²=150)

May 2020							July 2020					
Worries about current study situation	Whole sample	Dental students (n=44)	Medical students (84<n>88)	chi ²	df	p	Whole sample	Dental students (49<n>50)	Medical students (99<n>100)	chi ²	df	p
	%	%	%				%	%	%			
Not worried	40.2	31.8	46.4	5.774	2	.056	42.7	34.7	47.5	2.273	2	.321
Somewhat worried	47.0	50.0	47.6				44.7	51.0	42.4			
Seriously worried	9.8	18.2	6.0				11.3	14.3	10.1			
Self-reported changes of mental health caused by the COVID-19 pandemic												
improved	19.7	22.7	18.2	1.518	2	.468	Not asked.					
unchanged	48.5	40.9	52.3									
worsened	31.8	36.4	29.5									
Self-reported changes of study motivation												
increased	13.6	11.4	14.8	2.794	2	.247	16.0	16.0	16.0	0.016	2	.992
unchanged	50.8	43.2	54.5				47.3	48.0	47.0			
decreased	35.6	45.5	30.7				36.7	36.0	37.0			
Burdening aspects¹	Not asked						Whole sample	Dental students (49<n>50)	Medical students (99<n>100)	chi²	df	p
Preparation for (final) exams	-	-	-	-	-	-	63.3	76.0	57.0	5.182	1	.023
Lack of practical training	-	-	-				43.3	52.0	39.0	2.294	1	.130
Few social contacts (private)	-	-	-				43.3	34.0	48.0	2.661	1	.103
Lack of interaction with fellow students	-	-	-	-	-	-	56.0	52.0	58.0	.487	1	.485
Concomitants of the CoViD-19 pandemic (contact restrictions, mandatory facemasks, etc.)	-	-	-				22.0	24.0	21.0	.175	1	.676
General uncertainty	-	-	-				36.0	54.0	27.0	10.547	1	.001

1
2
3 Note: n=number; M = mean; SD = standard deviation; df = degrees of freedom; p = p-value, significance level p<.05

4 ¹dichotomized: burdened (s. Table above) including “somewhat burdensome” and “very burdensome” vs. not burdened including “not at all burdensome” and
5 “a little burdensome”
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46

For peer review only

Burdening aspects

Many of students felt burdened due to the lack of interaction with fellow students. In comparison to medical students, significantly more dental students stated that they were burdened with regard to the exams and the general uncertainty (Table 3).

Binary logistic regression indicated that medical students were significantly more likely to experience serious worries regarding the current study situation during COVID-19 than dental students at t1. At t2 higher distress was associated with a higher likelihood for reporting serious worries regarding the current study situation during COVID-19 (Table 4).

Table 4: Binary logistic regression models on the association of study subject, depression and distress with serious worries in dental and medical students during the COVID-19 pandemic.

May 2020	Odds ratio (OR)	95% confidence interval (CI)	P value	effect size ¹
Subject of study				
dental	Reference		n.a.	.032
medical	1.149	1.013 - 1.304	.031	
Distress (DT)	1.386	0.965 - 1.991	.078	
Depression symptoms (PHQ-2)	1.549	0.933 - 2.571	.091	
July 2020				
Distress (DT)	1.802	1.277 – 2.542	.001	0.24

¹According to Cohen's guidelines (1988) we considered $f^2 = .02$ to be a small effect, $f^2 = .15$ as a medium effect, and $f^2 = .35$ as a large effect.

Current concerns and helpful aspects

A total of 52 students (39%) provided optional free-text responses regarding the question "What is currently occupying you most in your current study situation?". We identified five categories in a multistage inductive process. The most frequent categories were "difficulties with self-regulated learning and self-motivation", followed by "study-related worries and uncertainty", "lack of feedback from other students and lecturers" and "lack of practical training". Significantly more dental (21%) than medical students (5%) reported concerns about the lack of practical training ($\chi^2 = 8.362$; $df = 1$; $p = .004$; $p < .05$) (Table 5).

Furthermore, 53 students (40%) completed optional free-text responses to the question "What do you currently experience as particularly helpful?". Again five categories were identified through a multi-step inductive process. The most common categories were "Exchange with other students and lecturers", followed by "flexibility due to online lessons", "self-regulation, -motivation and -

1
2
3 structuring", and "balance through sports and leisure". Dental and medical students did not differ
4 significantly in their answers with regard to helpful aspects (Table 5).
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

For peer review only

Table 5: Categories, examples and quantified responses by category for the questions “What is currently occupying you most in your current study situation?” and “What do you currently experience as particularly helpful?” in May 2020 (n=132)

What is currently occupying you most?		Whole sample (n=132) mentioned	Dental students (n=44) mentioned	Medical students (n=88) mentioned			
Category and subcategory	Example	%	%	%	Chi ²	df	p
Difficulties with self-regulated learning (n = 20; 15%) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Self-Motivation • Difficulties with new learning environment 	"Self-motivation- the exchange with fellow students and lecturers is missing, and therefore one has to motivate oneself every day anew to sit down at home (the library as a room for studying is also missing) and to motivate oneself to nevertheless 'attend' all study courses in time and to catch up on the study-work." "Since I don't have the proper learning environment at home, I found it difficult to learn the very extensive content in an appropriate manner."	15.2	15.9	14.8	0.029	1	.864
Study-related worries and uncertainty (n = 19; 14%)	"Extreme pressure to teach myself the mass of content in a short time through e-learning in order to succeed on the exam."	14.4	20.5	11.4	1.967	1	.161

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Concerns to perform worse/ to fail Study-related uncertainty 	"The uncertainty of if and when face-to-face classes can be held again, and if potentially my study time will be extended due to this pandemic."						
Lack of feedback from other students and lecturers (n = 15; 11%)	"Due to the online format, the exchange with fellow students and the lecturers is missing. (...)."	11.4	11.4	11.4	0.000	1	1.000
Lack of practical training (n = 13; 10%)	"The practical components in dental education are essential and (...) are now being cancelled. (...)."	9.8	20.5	4.5	8.362	1	.004
Other (n = 9; 7%)	"Lack of available childcare. Learning with (...) children at home is a challenge."	6.8	11.4	4.5	2.146	1	.143
What do you currently experience as particularly helpful?							
Category	Example						
Exchange with students and lecturers (n = 18; 14%)	"Intensive exchange with fellow students and lecturers."	13.6	15.9	12.5	0.289	1	.591
Flexibility due to online lessons (n = 17; 13%)	"The online semester also brings many advantages. You can arrange everything yourself and work at your	12.9	15.9	11.4	0.540	1	.462

	own pace. This also takes away a lot of stress for me personally (...)"						
Structure and self-discipline (n = 13; 10%)	"Studying online to regain routine in everyday life."	9.8	11.4	9.1	0.171	1	.680
Balance through sports and leisure (n = 11; 8%)	"The fact that I can do my team sports again, that gives me enough variety and distraction "	8.3	11.4	6.8	0.793	1	.373
Other (n = 9; 7%)	"Encouragement of friends and family"	6.8	9.1	5.7	0.537	1	.464

Note: n=number; df = degrees of freedom; p = p-value, significance level p<.05

DISCUSSION

This study investigated the mental burden and study situation among undergraduate dental and medical students after the initial stage of the COVID-19 pandemic from May 2020 to July 2020. In line with previous studies conducted before the pandemic dental and medical students reported high levels of distress, anxiety and depression[8, 12, 42]. Compared to the norm population students of both professions reported significantly higher levels of anxiety and depression, consistent with findings of other studies[8, 43]. In light of the COVID-19 pandemic, the presence of additional pandemic specific stressors is likely[22]. A recent study showed that for most students isolation from student social networks is associated with increased anxiety levels[24]. According to our qualitative data, the lack of direct contact with fellow students, which could only take place via online media, affects both student professions negatively. For dental students, the lack of practical training played a major role, as dentists usually take dental courses from the first semester onwards to learn the practical skills from early stage on[44]. In comparison, this issue does not yet affect medical students as much in the early stages of their studies, as the undergraduate medical curriculum in Germany includes fewer practical training during this time[45]. In sum this probably accounts for our findings that reported levels of anxiety and depression are even higher than among students of the same medical school prior to the Corona pandemic[8].

With regard to the study situation, medical and in particular dental students were concerned about their current study situation. This trend continued in the further course of the pandemic. These results corroborate recent findings in a representative sample in Austria with a notable decline in mental health during the pandemic[46].

In line with the findings of Stangvaltaite-Mouhat et al. (2020) female dental students showed more pronounced anxiety symptoms than male dental students in our study[11]. This underlines that mental burden may be higher among female students in general[47]. Recent studies also suggest that women are particular burdened during the COVID-19 pandemic[46, 48, 49, 50, 51]. Furthermore several studies showed that certain age groups, including individuals between 18 and 25 years old - the most common age group in students - suffered more from mental distress[46, 48, 50, 52]. Qiu et al. (2020) suspect social media to be one of the causes[48]. Previous research seems to confirm this hypothesis as younger people tend to receive a large amount of information via social media, which can easily trigger stress[53]. The easy accessibility and constant presence of pandemic information may lead to an increase in the duration of information consumption. This increasing duration reinforces the potential negative influence on mental health[54]. A noteworthy finding is that dental students reported increased levels of distress, anxiety and more worries in the second survey whereas medical students' scores were slightly lower at the second survey.

1
2
3 There are some limitations of our study. The cross-sectional surveys at two different times do not allow
4 causal statements about longitudinal developments. However, due to the high response rates (65-87%
5 in the first and 81-82% in the second survey) one may assume that a majority of the respondents
6 participated in both surveys. Thus, these single-institutional and cross-sectional surveys achieve a high
7 level of comparability and representativeness.
8
9

10
11
12 Furthermore, no comparisons can be made with the situation before the outbreak of the pandemic,
13 among the same population. Nevertheless, the study by Heinen et al. (2017) conducted at the same
14 medical school with the same measures serves as a valid context to frame our findings[8].
15
16

17 Conclusion

18
19 Overall students of medicine and dentistry are particularly affected by high mental distress and burden
20 due to the demanding contents and structure of their studies. It is likely that the concomitant
21 symptoms of the pandemic have an additional negative impact on the levels of stress, anxiety and
22 depression of the students.
23
24

25
26 Especially dental students reported higher levels of burden which might be associated with the high
27 practical content early in their studies. The increase in anxiety levels of dental students might be
28 associated with the duration of the restrictions of especially the practical content during the
29 undergraduate study. Against this background, further monitoring of both dental and medical students
30 during pandemic times and would be important in order to develop and introduce tailored prevention
31 concepts adapted to the specific study situation.
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39

40 **Acknowledgements:** We would like to thank all students who participated in the study and the
41 evaluation team of the faculty who administered the online survey.
42
43
44
45

46 **Author contributions:** JG designed the study and contributed to the analysis and interpretation of the
47 data. She critically revised the manuscript during the internal revision process and wrote continuing
48 drafts as well as the final draft of the manuscript. ASW analyzed the data and contributed to the
49 interpretation of the data. She wrote the first draft of the manuscript and critically revised the
50 manuscript during the internal revision process. IH contributed to the analysis and interpretation of
51 the data. She was involved in drafting the article and critically revised the manuscript during the
52 internal revision process. CB designed the study, analyzed the data and contributed to the
53 interpretation of the data. She wrote the first draft of the manuscript and critically revised the
54 manuscript during the internal revision process. The corresponding author attests that all listed
55 authors meet authorship criteria and that no others meeting the criteria have been omitted.
56
57
58
59
60

Funding: This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors

Competing interests: The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Patient consent form: Not required.

Ethics approval: Institutional ethical approval was obtained from the local ethics board of the Center for Psychosocial Medicine at the University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf, approval number LPEK-0161, prior to commencement of this research.

Data availability statement: As ethical approval was not obtained to make data sharing possible outside of the listed research team, no additional data are available.

References

1. Vindegaard N, Benros ME. COVID-19 pandemic and mental health consequences: Systematic review of the current evidence. *Brain Behav Immun* 2020;89:531-42.
2. Empfehlungen II der Deutschen Hochschulmedizin zum Studium der Humanmedizin und Zahnmedizin während der aktuellen COVID-19-Pandemie, 18.03.2020.: Verband der Universitätsklinika Deutschlands und MFT Medizinischer Fakultätentag; 2020. https://www.uniklinika.de/fileadmin/user_upload/Covid-19-Empfehlungen_DHM_zum_Medizinstudium_2020-03-18.pdf (accessed 8 Jun 2020).
3. Whelan A, Prescott J, Young G, Catanese VM. Guidance on Medical Students' Clinical Participation: Effective Immediately.: Association of American Academic Colleges.; 2020 [updated March 17, 2020]. <https://lcme.org/wp-content/uploads/filebase/March-17-2020-Guidance-on-Mediical-Students-Clinical-Participation.pdf>. (accessed 8 Jun 2020).
4. Guse J, Heinen I, Kurre J, Mohr S, Bergelt C. Perception of the study situation and mental burden during the COVID-19 pandemic among undergraduate medical students with and without mentoring. *GMS J Med Educ* 2020;37(7):Doc72.
5. Miller DG, Pierson L, Doernberg S. The Role of Medical Students During the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Ann Intern Med*. 2020; Menon A, Klein EJ, Kollars K, Kleinhenz ALW. Medical Students Are Not Essential Workers: Examining Institutional Responsibility During the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Acad Med* 2020;95(8):1149-51.
6. Schwenk TL, Davis L, Wimsatt LA. Depression, stigma, and suicidal ideation in medical students. *JAMA*. 2010;304(11):1181-90; Bacchi S, Licinio J. Resilience and Psychological Distress in Psychology and Medical Students. *Acad Psychiatry* 2017;41(2):185-8.

- 1
- 2
- 3 7. Hope V, Henderson M. Medical student depression, anxiety and distress outside North America: a
- 4 systematic review. *Med Educ* 2014;48(10):963-79.
- 5
- 6 8. Heinen I, Bullinger M, Kocalevent RD. Perceived stress in first year medical students - associations with
- 7 personal resources and emotional distress. *BMC Med Educ* 2017;17(1):4.
- 8
- 9
- 10 9. Knipe D, Maughan C, Gilbert J, Dymock D, Moran P, Gunnell D. Mental health in medical, dentistry and
- 11 veterinary students: cross-sectional online survey. *BJPsych Open* 2018;4(6):441-6.
- 12
- 13 10. Puthran R, Zhang MW, Tam WW, Ho RC. Prevalence of depression amongst medical students: a meta-
- 14 analysis. *Med Educ* 2016;50(4):456-68.
- 15
- 16 11. Stangvaltaite-Mouhat L, Puriene A, Chalas R et al. Self-reported psychological problems amongst
- 17 undergraduate dental students: A pilot study in seven European countries. *Eur J Dent Educ*
- 18 2020;24(2):341-50.
- 19
- 20 12. Basudan S, Binanzan N, Alhassan A. Depression, anxiety and stress in dental students. *Int J Med Educ*
- 21 2017;8:179-86.
- 22
- 23
- 24 13. Bathla M, Singh M, Kulhara P et al. Evaluation of anxiety, depression and suicidal intent in
- 25 undergraduate dental students: A cross-sectional study. *Contemp Clin Dent* 2015;6(2):215-22.
- 26
- 27 14. Jurkat H, Hofer S, Richter L et al. [Quality of life, stress management and health promotion in medical
- 28 and dental students. A comparative study]. *Dtsch Med Wochenschr* 2011;136(23):1245-50.
- 29
- 30 15. Harris RC, Millichamp CJ, Thomson WM. Stress and coping in fourth-year medical and dental students.
- 31 *N Z Dent J* 2015;111(3):102-8.
- 32
- 33 16. Astill S, Ricketts N, Singh LA et al. Environmental and perceived stress in Australian dental
- 34 undergraduate students: Preliminary outcomes. *J Dent Res Dent Clin Dent Prospects* 2016;10(4):270-9.
- 35
- 36 17. Petzold MB, Plag J, Ströhle A. Dealing with psychological distress by healthcare professionals during
- 37 the COVID-19 pandemic. *Der Nervenarzt* 2020;91(5):417-21.
- 38
- 39 18. Hawryluck L, Gold WL, Robinson S et al. SARS control and psychological effects of quarantine, Toronto,
- 40 Canada. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2004;10(7):1206-12.
- 41
- 42 19. Wang C, Pan R, Wan X et al. Immediate Psychological Responses and Associated Factors during the
- 43 Initial Stage of the 2019 Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19) Epidemic among the General Population in
- 44 China. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2020;17(5).
- 45
- 46 20. Lai J, Ma S, Wang Y et al. Factors Associated With Mental Health Outcomes Among Health Care
- 47 Workers Exposed to Coronavirus Disease 2019. *JAMA Netw Open*. 2020;3(3):e203976.
- 48
- 49 21. Bao Y, Sun Y, Meng S et al. 2019-nCoV epidemic: address mental health care to empower society.
- 50 *Lancet* 2020;395(10224):e37-e8.
- 51
- 52 22. Inter-Agency Standing Committee (IASC). IASC Guidance on Operational considerations for
- 53 Multisectoral Mental Health and Psychosocial Support Programmes during the COVID-19 Pandemic
- 54
- 55
- 56
- 57
- 58
- 59
- 60

- 1
2
3 IASC Guidance on Operational considerations for Multisectoral MHPSS Programmes during the COVID-
4 19 Pandemic.pdf [updated 25 Jun 2020] (accessed 18 Mar 2020).
- 5
6 23. Odriozola-González P, Planchuelo-Gómez Á, Irurtia MJ et al. Psychological effects of the COVID-19
7 outbreak and lockdown among students and workers of a Spanish university. *Psychiatry Research*
8 2020;290:113108.
- 9
10
11 24. Elmer T, Mepham K, Stadtfeld C. Students under lockdown: Comparisons of students' social networks
12 and mental health before and during the COVID-19 crisis in Switzerland. *PLoS One*
13 2020;15(7):e0236337.
- 14
15
16 25. Li Y, Wang Y, Jiang J et al. Psychological distress among health professional students during the COVID-
17 19 outbreak. *Psychological Medicine* 2020:1-3.
- 18
19
20 26. O'Byrne L, Gavin B, McNicholas F. Medical students and COVID-19: the need for pandemic
21 preparedness. *J Med Ethics* 2020;46(9):623-6.
- 22
23 27. [dataset] Steinmetz, H., Batzdorfer, V., Bosnjak, M. Data from: The ZPID lockdown measures dataset.
24 ZPID Science Information Online, 20(1). 8 June 2020. <http://dx.doi.org/10.23668/psycharchives.3019>.
- 25
26 28. <https://www.hrk.de/activities/the-covid-19-pandemic-and-the-german-universities/> [website].
27
28 Berlin: HRK German Rectors' Conference (updated 2020 July 28, accessed 29 Jul 2020).
- 29
30 29. Rose S. Medical Student Education in the Time of COVID-19. *JAMA*. 2020.
- 31
32 30. Gerhard-Szep S, Güntsch A, Pospiech P et al. Assessment formats in dental medicine: An overview.
33 *GMS J Med Educ* 2016;33(4):Doc65.
- 34
35 31. Rheingans A, Soulos A, Mohr S, Meyer J, Guse AH. The Hamburg integrated medical degree program
36 iMED. *GMS J Med Educ* 2019;36(5):Doc52.
- 37
38 32. Mehnert A, Müller D, Lehmann C, Koch U. Die deutsche Version des NCCN Distress-Thermometers.
39 *Zeitschrift für Psychiatrie, Psychologie und Psychotherapie* 2006;54(3):213-23.
- 40
41 33. Kroenke K, Spitzer RL, Williams JB, Lowe B. An ultra-brief screening scale for anxiety and depression:
42 the PHQ-4. *Psychosomatics*. 2009;50(6):613-21.
- 43
44 34. Khubchandani J, Brey R, Kotecki J, Kleinfelder J, Anderson J. The Psychometric Properties of PHQ-4
45 Depression and Anxiety Screening Scale Among College Students. *Archives of Psychiatric Nursing*
46 2016;30(4):457-62.
- 47
48 35. Cohen S, Kamarck T, Mermelstein R. A global measure of perceived stress. *J Health Soc Behav*
49 1983;24(4):385-96.
- 50
51 36. Studierende: Deutschland, Semester, Nationalität, Geschlecht, Studienfach [website]. [https://www-
52 genesis.destatis.de/genesis/online?operation=previous&levelindex=1&step=1&titel=Ergebnis&levelid=1616753759559&acceptscookies=false#abreadcrumb](https://www-genesis.destatis.de/genesis/online?operation=previous&levelindex=1&step=1&titel=Ergebnis&levelid=1616753759559&acceptscookies=false#abreadcrumb) (accessed 26 Mar 2021).
- 53
54
55
56
57
58
59 37. Field A. Discovering statistics using IBM SPSS statistics : and sex and drugs and rock 'n' roll. 4. ed. Los
60 Angeles [i.a.]: Sage; 2013.

- 1
2
3 38. Cohen J. Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences. 2. ed. Hillsdale, NJ [i.a.]: Erlbaum; 1988.
- 4
5 39. Hsieh HF, Shannon SE. Three approaches to qualitative content analysis. *Qualitative health research*
6 2005;15(9):1277-88.
- 7
8 40. Monrouxe LV, Rees CE. When I say ... quantification in qualitative research. *Med Educ* 2020;54(3):186-
9 7.
- 10
11 41. Löwe B, Wahl I, Rose M et al. A 4-item measure of depression and anxiety: validation and
12 standardization of the Patient Health Questionnaire-4 (PHQ-4) in the general population. *J Affect*
13 *Disord* 2010;122(1-2):86-95.
- 14
15 42. Jiménez-Ortiz JL, Islas-Valle RM, Jiménez-Ortiz JD, Pérez-Lizárraga E, Hernández-García ME, González-
16 Salazar F. Emotional exhaustion, burnout, and perceived stress in dental students. *Journal of*
17 *International Medical Research* 2019;47(9):4251-9.
- 18
19 43. Stormon N, Ford PJ, Kisely S, Bartle E, Eley DS. Depression, anxiety and stress in a cohort of Australian
20 dentistry students. *Eur J Dent Educ* 2019;23(4):507-14.
- 21
22 44. Mekhemar M, Conrad J, Attia S, Dörfer C. Oral Health Attitudes among Preclinical and Clinical Dental
23 Students in Germany. *International journal of environmental research and public health*
24 2020;17(12):4253.
- 25
26 45. Chenot JF. Undergraduate medical education in Germany. *Ger Med Sci* 2009;7:Doc02.
- 27
28 46. Dale R, Budimir S, Probst T, Stippl P, Pieh C. Mental Health during the COVID-19 Lockdown over the
29 Christmas Period in Austria and the Effects of Sociodemographic and Lifestyle Factors. *Int J Environ Res*
30 *Public Health* 2021;18(7).
- 31
32 47. Dyrbye LN, Thomas MR, Shanafelt TD. Systematic review of depression, anxiety, and other indicators
33 of psychological distress among U.S. and Canadian medical students. *Acad Med* 2006;81(4):354-73.
- 34
35 48. Qiu J, Shen B, Zhao M, Wang Z, Xie B, Xu Y. A nationwide survey of psychological distress among
36 Chinese people in the COVID-19 epidemic: implications and policy recommendations. *General*
37 *psychiatry* 2020;33(2):e100213.
- 38
39 49. Park CL, Russell BS, Fendrich M, Finkelstein-Fox L, Hutchison M, Becker J. Americans' COVID-19 Stress,
40 Coping, and Adherence to CDC Guidelines. *Journal of general internal medicine* 2020;35(8):2296-303.;
- 41
42 50. Liu N, Zhang F, Wei C, Jia Y, Shang Z, Sun L, et al. Prevalence and predictors of PTSS during COVID-19
43 outbreak in China hardest-hit areas: Gender differences matter. *Psychiatry Res* 2020;287:112921.
- 44
45 51. Pieh C, Budimir S, Probst T. The effect of age, gender, income, work, and physical activity on mental
46 health during coronavirus disease (COVID-19) lockdown in Austria (vol 136, 110186, 2020). *J*
47 *Psychosom Res* 2020;139.
- 48
49 52. Brooks SK, Webster RK, Smith LE et al. The psychological impact of quarantine and how to reduce it:
50 rapid review of the evidence. *Lancet* 2020;395(10227):912-20.
- 51
52 53. Gabriel R, Röhrs HP. Social Media: Springer Gabler; 2017.
- 53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 54. Huang Y, Zhao N. Generalized anxiety disorder, depressive symptoms and sleep quality during COVID-
4 19 outbreak in China: a web-based cross-sectional survey. *Psychiatry Res* 2020;288:112954.
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

For peer review only

Reporting checklist for cross sectional study.

Based on the STROBE cross sectional guidelines.

Instructions to authors

Complete this checklist by entering the page numbers from your manuscript where readers will find each of the items listed below.

Your article may not currently address all the items on the checklist. Please modify your text to include the missing information. If you are certain that an item does not apply, please write "n/a" and provide a short explanation.

Upload your completed checklist as an extra file when you submit to a journal.

In your methods section, say that you used the STROBE cross sectional reporting guidelines, and cite them as:

von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, Pocock SJ, Gotsche PC, Vandenbroucke JP. The Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) Statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies.

		Page
	Reporting Item	Number
Title and abstract		
Title	#1a Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract	1

1	Abstract	#1b	Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary	2
2			of what was done and what was found	
3				
4				
5				
6	Introduction			
7				
8				
9				
10	Background /	#2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the	3
11	rationale		investigation being reported	
12				
13				
14				
15	Objectives	#3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified	4
16			hypotheses	
17				
18				
19				
20	Methods			
21				
22				
23	Study design	#4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	4
24				
25				
26	Setting	#5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including	4
27			periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data	
28			collection	
29				
30				
31				
32				
33				
34	Eligibility criteria	#6a	Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of	4
35			selection of participants.	
36				
37				
38				
39				
40		#7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential	5
41			confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if	
42			applicable	
43				
44				
45				
46				
47	Data sources /	#8	For each variable of interest give sources of data and details	5
48	measurement		of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe	
49			comparability of assessment methods if there is more than	
50			one group. Give information separately for for exposed and	
51			unexposed groups if applicable.	
52				
53				
54				
55				
56				
57				
58				
59				
60				

1	Bias	#9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	7
2				
3				
4	Study size	#10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	4
5				
6				
7	Quantitative	#11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the	6
8	variables		analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were	
9			chosen, and why	
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15	Statistical	#12a	Describe all statistical methods, including those used to	6
16	methods		control for confounding	
17				
18				
19				
20	Statistical	#12b	Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and	6
21	methods		interactions	
22				
23				
24				
25				
26	Statistical	#12c	Explain how missing data were addressed	4
27	methods			
28				
29				
30				
31	Statistical	#12d	If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of	n/a
32	methods		sampling strategy	
33				
34				
35				
36	Statistical	#12e	Describe any sensitivity analyses	n/a
37	methods			
38				
39				
40				
41				
42	Results			
43				
44				
45	Participants	#13a	Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg	7
46			numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility,	
47			confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-	
48			up, and analysed. Give information separately for for	
49			exposed and unexposed groups if applicable.	
50				
51				
52				
53				
54				
55				
56				
57	Participants	#13b	Give reasons for non-participation at each stage	n/a
58				
59				
60				

1	Participants	#13c	Consider use of a flow diagram	n/a
2				
3				
4	Descriptive data	#14a	Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic,	7-8
5			clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential	
6			confounders. Give information separately for exposed and	
7			unexposed groups if applicable.	
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14	Descriptive data	#14b	Indicate number of participants with missing data for each	9; 11
15			variable of interest	
16				
17				
18				
19	Outcome data	#15	Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures.	n/a
20			Give information separately for exposed and unexposed	
21			groups if applicable.	
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27	Main results	#16a	Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-	n/a
28			adjusted estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence	
29			interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for	
30			and why they were included	
31				
32				
33				
34				
35				
36				
37	Main results	#16b	Report category boundaries when continuous variables were	9
38			categorized	
39				
40				
41				
42	Main results	#16c	If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into	n/a
43			absolute risk for a meaningful time period	
44				
45				
46				
47				
48	Other analyses	#17	Report other analyses done—e.g., analyses of subgroups	n/a
49			and interactions, and sensitivity analyses	
50				
51				
52				
53	Discussion			
54				
55				
56	Key results	#18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	17
57				
58				
59				
60				

1	Limitations	#19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources	18
2			of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and	
3			magnitude of any potential bias.	
4				
5				
6				
7				
8				
9	Interpretation	#20	Give a cautious overall interpretation considering objectives,	18
10			limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar	
11			studies, and other relevant evidence.	
12				
13				
14				
15				
16	Generalisability	#21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study	18
17			results.	
18				
19				
20				
21				
22	Other Information			
23				
24				
25	Funding	#22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the	18
26			present study and, if applicable, for the original study on	
27			which the present article is based	
28				
29				
30				
31				
32				

33 None The STROBE checklist is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution
34 License CC-BY. This checklist can be completed online using <https://www.goodreports.org/>, a tool
35 made by the [EQUATOR Network](#) in collaboration with [Penelope.ai](#)
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

BMJ Open

Mental burden and perception of the study situation among undergraduate students during the COVID-19 pandemic: a cross-sectional study and comparison of dental and medical students

Journal:	<i>BMJ Open</i>
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2021-054728.R1
Article Type:	Original research
Date Submitted by the Author:	29-Sep-2021
Complete List of Authors:	Guse, Jennifer; University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf, Department of Medical Psychology Weegen, Annabel ; University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf, Department of Medical Psychology Heinen, Ines; University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf, Department of Medical Psychology Bergelt, Corinna; University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf, Department of Medical Psychology
Primary Subject Heading:	Medical education and training
Secondary Subject Heading:	Mental health, Qualitative research
Keywords:	MEDICAL EDUCATION & TRAINING, COVID-19, MENTAL HEALTH, QUALITATIVE RESEARCH

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts



I, the Submitting Author has the right to grant and does grant on behalf of all authors of the Work (as defined in the below author licence), an exclusive licence and/or a non-exclusive licence for contributions from authors who are: i) UK Crown employees; ii) where BMJ has agreed a CC-BY licence shall apply, and/or iii) in accordance with the terms applicable for US Federal Government officers or employees acting as part of their official duties; on a worldwide, perpetual, irrevocable, royalty-free basis to BMJ Publishing Group Ltd ("BMJ") its licensees and where the relevant Journal is co-owned by BMJ to the co-owners of the Journal, to publish the Work in this journal and any other BMJ products and to exploit all rights, as set out in our [licence](#).

The Submitting Author accepts and understands that any supply made under these terms is made by BMJ to the Submitting Author unless you are acting as an employee on behalf of your employer or a postgraduate student of an affiliated institution which is paying any applicable article publishing charge ("APC") for Open Access articles. Where the Submitting Author wishes to make the Work available on an Open Access basis (and intends to pay the relevant APC), the terms of reuse of such Open Access shall be governed by a Creative Commons licence – details of these licences and which [Creative Commons](#) licence will apply to this Work are set out in our licence referred to above.

Other than as permitted in any relevant BMJ Author's Self Archiving Policies, I confirm this Work has not been accepted for publication elsewhere, is not being considered for publication elsewhere and does not duplicate material already published. I confirm all authors consent to publication of this Work and authorise the granting of this licence.

1
2
3 **Mental burden and perception of the study situation among undergraduate students during**
4 **the COVID-19 pandemic: a cross-sectional study and comparison of dental and medical**
5 **students**
6
7
8
9

10
11 Jennifer Guse¹ (<https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5020-929X>), research assistant, Department of Medical
12 Psychology, University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany
13

14
15 Annabel Susan Weegen¹ (<https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5462-1775>), dental student, Department of
16 Medical Psychology, University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany
17

18
19 Ines Heinen (<https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7361-4554>), research assistant, Department of Medical
20 Psychology, University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany
21

22
23 Corinna Bergelt (<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1413-1872>), associate professor, Department of
24 Medical Psychology, University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany, and
25 Department of Medical Psychology, University Medicine Greifswald, Germany
26
27
28

29
30
31 ¹Equal contributors
32

33
34
35 Keywords: Dental students, medical students, COVID-19 pandemic, mental health, undergraduate
36 medical education
37
38

39
40
41
42
43 Corresponding author:
44

45 Dr. Jennifer Guse
46

47 University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf
48

49 Center for Psychosocial Medicine
50

51 Department of Medical Psychology
52

53 Martinistraße 52, W26
54

55 20246 Hamburg
56

57 E-mail: j.guse@uke.de
58

59
60 Word count: 3.681

ABSTRACT

Objectives: To investigate levels of distress, depression, anxiety, stress and perception of their current study situation during the COVID-19 pandemic among undergraduate dental and medical students.

Design: Observational, cross-sectional study including two consecutive surveys (May and July 2020).

Setting: A large medical school in Germany.

Participants: All first year dental and medical students were invited. 132 participating first year students (44 dental, 88 medical) from the first survey and 150 students (50 dental, 100 medical) from the second were included in our analyses.

Primary and secondary outcome measures: Mental burden (Distress Thermometer, Patient Health Questionnaire PHQ-4, Perceived Stress Scale, PSS-4) and self-reported changes in mental health and perception of study situation during the COVID-19 pandemic (self-developed items) were compared. Open-ended questions were analyzed by conventional content analyses.

Results: A considerable proportion of students (May 2020: 84.1%; July 2020: 77.3%) reported distress levels above cutoff. In July 2020, dental students reported significantly higher distress scores than medical students (dental: $M=7.0$, $SD=2.3$; medical: $M=5.7$; $SD=2.1$; $p<.001$). More dental than medical students reported mild, moderate and severe levels of anxiety and depression symptoms. The majority stated that their mental health and study motivation had not changed during the pandemic. Logistic regression showed that being a dental student and experiencing higher distress were significantly associated with a higher likelihood for serious worries (OR: 4.0; CI (95%): 1.1 – 14.2). Regarding current concerns related to the pandemic, students most frequently reported difficulties with self-regulated learning (15.2%), study-related worries and uncertainty (14.4%), missing feedback of students and lecturers (11.4%) and lack of practical training (9.8%).

Conclusion: The results suggest that high mental burden and the lack of practical training among medical and dental students is an increasing problem, with a possibly even higher urgency in dental students. Tailored psychological and educational support offers during and after the COVID-19 pandemic might help them as they progress through (medical and) dental school.

Strengths and limitations of this study

- This study offers in-depth exploration of students' mental health and perception of their study situation during the COVID-19 pandemic by combining quantitative data and qualitative data
- This study included well-established and valid instruments (quantitative data) and applied conventional content analyses with inductive categorization (qualitative data).

- Response rates of this study were high (65.3% - 87.2%).
- It is a single-institution study and the cross-sectional design does not allow causal statements about longitudinal developments.

INTRODUCTION

The corona virus disease (COVID-19) pandemic and its consequences have an impact on the private, professional and social life of all people[1]. It has brought widespread disruption to undergraduate medical education[2, 3]. Thus medical students worldwide face major changes regarding their medical training and study motivation decreased[4]. Many medical schools have made changes to their curricula and campus life to protect patients and students by social distancing. Roles of medical students' during the COVID-19 pandemic are discussed controversial[5]. Even in the absence of the COVID-19 pandemic, mental burden is common among medical students[6, 7, 8] and several studies report lower mental health outcomes for medical students in the course of their medical studies compared to population reference samples[9]. In particular, depression and anxiety symptoms are reported among medical[7, 10] and dental students[11-13]. Often students feel that they do not have adequate coping strategies to deal with study-related workload and stress[14]. Dental students are highly stressed and perform at a comparable or higher stress level than medical students[15]. Studies have shown that the stress level increases with each semester, especially due to the high proportion of practical training in dental studies[13, 16].

In light of novel circumstances during the COVID-19 pandemic, uncertainty relating to personal and professional future and rapid changes medical students may be even more at risk of experiencing severe mental burden during the pandemic than before. Increased incidence rates of stress and mental burden are an expected response under the current conditions[17].

Studies have shown the negative impact of past pandemics[18] and the COVID-19 pandemic[19] on the general population, as well as on specific groups[20]. Health professionals may be particularly affected[21], as pandemic-specific stressors are added to the general ones[22]. High prevalence for mental health symptoms among health care workers exposed to COVID-19 was found[20]. Students are also highly burdened while facing new challenges raised by the pandemic's consequences[23, 24]. COVID-19 related mental stress, higher rates of depression and a high symptom burden from the acute stress response according to the COVID-19 pandemic are common especially among health care students[25], as they have to cope with mental and emotional issues, including stress and anxiety.

1
2
3 In contrast to the exposure of students in general during the COVID-19 pandemic, the mental burden
4 of medical and dental students in particular is still poorly addressed in the international literature even
5 though they are facing special challenges in health care[26].
6
7

8 Therefore, the aim of this study was to assess the magnitude of distress, depression and anxiety and
9 stress among undergraduate dental and medical students in the course of the COVID-19 pandemic.
10 Secondly, we aimed to explore students' perception of the study situation during the pandemic and
11 compare dental and medical students' perception during the first lockdown period and thereafter.
12
13
14
15
16
17

18 **METHODS**

19 **Design and setting**

20 This observational study consists of two cross-sectional surveys conducted at the University Medical
21 Center Hamburg-Eppendorf, Germany, from May 28, 2020 to June 7 (t1), 2020 and from July 16, 2020
22 to July 31, 2020 (t2). During this period and before (since mid-March 2020) the German government
23 announced several public health measures to suppress the spread of COVID-19 by increasing social
24 distancing, i.e. the closure of schools, daycare, playgrounds and non-essential shops, the prohibition
25 to meet more than one person from another household and depending on regional regulations the
26 prohibition to leave the apartment without reason[27]. Concurrently lectures and seminars at the
27 universities were predominantly held in a digital format to reduce interpersonal contact and to protect
28 patients, students and faculty[28]. Thus, the learning environment and examination conditions for
29 students have changed significantly. Many medical schools have discontinued their undergraduate
30 medical training and transitioned most of their teaching to digital formats[29]. This included but was
31 not limited to the transformation of Objective Structured Clinical Examinations (OSCE[30]) into
32 Multiple Choice tests.
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46

47 **Participants**

48 All first year dental students (n=68) enrolled in the integrated dental degree program iMED DENT
49 launched in 2019 and medical students (n=352) enrolled in the integrated medical degree program
50 iMED established in 2012 at the Medical School of the University of Hamburg, Germany[31] were
51 invited to participate in the online surveys. Students were asked to complete an anonymous
52 questionnaire linked to the voluntary curriculum evaluation conducted by the dean's office in regular
53 intervals during the course of the year. A few days in advance the students were informed via e-mail
54 of the study aims, voluntary participation and data protection regulations. Participants did not receive
55 any incentives for answering the questionnaire.
56
57
58
59
60

Measures

We developed an online questionnaire and focused on symptoms of distress, depression and anxiety and stress by using established self-reporting questionnaires. Furthermore, we assessed the perception of the study situation during the pandemic and study motivation with self-developed items. Demographic characteristics (age in categories, gender) were also self-reported.

Distress

We assessed students' distress at t1 (May 2020) and t2 (July 2020) using the German version of the Distress-Thermometer (DT), a brief screening instrument developed by the National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN)[32]. The DT is a single-item instrument with a scale from 0-10. Higher scores indicate higher distress. Internationally a cutoff score of 4 is established as a signal that a person is distressed and needs support. The DT is a reliable and efficient screening instrument[32].

Depression and anxiety

We measured depression and anxiety using the German version of the four-item Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-4), an ultra-brief screening instrument that consists of a two-item depression scale (PHQ-2) and a two-item anxiety scale (GAD-2)[33]. It assesses the amount of depression and anxiety symptoms the individual has felt during the past two weeks. A score of 3 or higher on the PHQ-2 and GAD-2 is established as a reasonable cut-off score clinically relevant levels of depressive and anxiety symptoms. The total PHQ-4 score is considered as an overall measure of symptom burden with the following categories: 0-2 normal, 3-5 mild, 6-8 moderate, 9-12 severe. It is an efficient screening instrument with good internal consistency and construct validity and areas under the curve between 0.84 (anxiety) and 0.79 (depression) among students[34].

Changes in mental health and perception of study situation during the COVID-19 pandemic

Furthermore we administered five self-developed items to assess changes in students' mental health and perception of the study situation: Students were asked whether their mental health has changed since the beginning of the pandemic with five answer options (clearly improved; rather improved; unchanged; rather worsened; clearly worsened). They were asked if the assessment of their study situation has changed in the context of the pandemic with three answer options (No, I am as worried or unworried as before; Yes, I am somewhat worried; Yes, I am seriously worried). Furthermore

1
2
3 students were asked if the pandemic affected their possibility to participate in exams with five options
4 to answer (No exams took place since the beginning of the pandemic; Exams took place without
5 changes; Exams did not take place, but a new date is scheduled; Exams did not take place and no new
6 date is scheduled yet; I was not able to attend exams due to quarantine or illness). Students were
7 asked if their study motivation had changed since the beginning of the pandemic. Answers were rated
8 on a 5-point Likert-type scale (clearly increased; rather increased; unchanged; rather decreased; clearly
9 decreased). Finally, students were asked for free text responses regarding the question what currently
10 is occupying them most in their current study situation and what they experience as particularly
11 helpful.
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20

21 Stress

22
23 In the second survey students' perception of stress was quantified with the Perceived Stress Scale (PSS-
24 4). The 4-item self-report instrument with reverse coding for two items assesses on a five-point Likert
25 scale the degree to which situations in one's life were perceived as stressful in the past month[35].
26 Higher scores on the PSS-4 indicate higher stress levels.
27
28
29
30
31
32

33 Burdening aspects

34
35 For the second data collection in July 2020, we developed six items based on the qualitative results
36 from the first survey to assess burdening aspects experienced by the students' quantitatively. The
37 respondents had the possibility to answer in four levels (1 = "not at all burdensome" to 4 = "very
38 burdensome").
39
40
41
42
43
44

45 Data analysis

46
47 We matched dental students to medical students according to age and gender in the ratio of 1:2 in
48 order to harmonize the initially inhomogeneous sample sizes and enhance comparability of the
49 samples. In May 2020, the final sample consisted of n=132 students (44 dental and 88 medical
50 students). In July 2020, the sample comprised 150 students (50 dental and 100 medical students). With
51 74% (t1) and 69% (t2) female students the gender ratio of the sample is comparable to the ratio of first
52 year students at German medical schools[36]. We used descriptive statistics to characterize the
53 sample. Group comparisons were carried out using χ^2 -tests for categorical variables and t-tests for
54 differences of means.
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 We conducted descriptive analyses to examine the magnitude of distress (DT), depression and anxiety
4 (PHQ-4), and stress (PSS-4). The results of the entire sample as well as of the subgroups (dental and
5 medical students) were compared with PHQ-4 data of a German medical student sample (n=321, mean
6 age=21.8 years, 60.7% women) from a previous study at the same faculty[8] with one-sample t-tests.
7
8 To examine the likelihood for serious worries (dichotomous) regarding the study situation during the
9 COVID-19 pandemic at t1 we conducted a binary logistic regression model with sex, subject of study,
10 the magnitude of distress, depression and anxiety as potential predictors. Non-significant variables
11 were excluded via backward elimination and dropped at the level of $p < 0.05$. We applied likelihood
12 ratio method, which is recommended for stepwise methods[37]. To avoid multicollinearity, we
13 analyzed Variable Inflation Factors (VIF) scores. We conducted effect size calculations and considered
14 according to Cohen's $f^2 = .02$ to be a small effect, $f^2 = .15$ as a medium effect, and $f^2 = .35$ as a large
15 effect[38]. All quantitative analyses were carried out using IBM SPSS version 27.

16
17 We used conventional content analyses with inductive categorization to analyze the free text
18 responses[39]. Two researchers familiarized themselves with the qualitative data (IH, JG). They
19 identified key concepts and generated labels of codes for recurring themes independently. Next, both
20 sorted codes into categories independently, which were reviewed by all authors. We developed final
21 definitions for categories and codes with iterative consultations and discussions until consensus was
22 achieved. We chose excerpts to exemplify each category and translated them into English. For the
23 qualitative data analysis, we used MAXQDA 2020 (VERBI Software, 2019).

24
25 Following the inductive categorization we recoded answers for each category into dichotomous
26 variables (mentioned vs. not mentioned) to enhance data transparency and to provide evidence for
27 our interpretation[40]. When students provided more than one category per response, all responses
28 respectively categories were categorized. The qualitative results of the first survey served as the basis
29 for developing six quantitative items for the second survey.

30
31 The local ethics board of the Center for Psychosocial Medicine at the University Medical Center
32 Hamburg-Eppendorf approved the study (LPEK-0161).

33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 Patient and Public Involvement

52
53 We did not involve patients in our study. Research questions were developed by the principle
54 investigators (CB, JG) and discussed with the Curriculumkomitee iMED, Hamburg (CK iMED) in April
55 2020. CK iMED is a committee consisting of students, teachers and a member of the dean's office,
56 which has the task of further developing and optimizing the structure and content of the reformed
57 medical degree program iMED. Subsequently all enrolled students were informed by e-mail about the
58 design, conduct, the outcome measures and the recruitment and encouraged to give feedback. After
59
60

publication a summary of the results will be presented in plain language on the website of the University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf.

RESULTS

Of 68 first year dental students enrolled in the dental education program at the UKE in May 2020, 44 (65.3%) completed the first survey (t1) in May 2020 and were matched to 88 medical first year students who completed the t1 survey as described above (overall response rate of the medical students was 87.2%). The response rate of the second survey (t2) was 81% among first year dental students and 82% among first year medical students. With 75.0% (t1) and 70.0% (t2) female dental students and 39.7% (t1) and 40.0% (t2) aged 20 years and younger, both samples are similar to the population of first year dental students at the faculty. The demographic characteristics of the final samples are shown in Table1.

Table 1: Sample characteristics among dental and medical students participating in the first ($n^1=132$) and second survey ($n^2=150$)

	First Survey (May 2020)			Second Survey (July 2020)		
	Whole sample (n=132)	Dental students (n ₁ =44)	Medical students (n ₁ =88)	Whole sample (n=150)	Dental students (n ₂ =50)	Medical students (n ₂ =100)
	%	%	%	%	%	%
sex:						
male	25.8	25.0	26.1	30.7	30.0	31.0
female	74.2	75.0	73.9	69.3	70.0	69.0
age:						
Up to 20 years	38.6	39.7	38.6	40.0	40.0	40.0
21-25 years	34.1	33.8	34.1	34.0	34.0	34.0
26-30 years	18.2	17.6	18.2	18.0	18.0	18.0
31-35 years	6.8	5.9	6.8	6.0	6.0	6.0
Older than 35 years	2.3	2.9	2.3	2.0	2.0	2.0

Distress, depression and anxiety

Overall, high levels of distress, anxiety and depression were found in both dental and medical students. Compared to a German reference sample [41] with an overall mean PHQ-4 score of 1.8 (SD=2.0) and of 1.5 (SD=2.0) for a student subsample, our samples reported on average significantly higher anxiety and depression (PHQ-4) scores at t1 and t2: In May 2020 79.5% of the dental students and 86.4% of the medical students reported moderate or severe distress scores and 25.0% of the dental students and 23.8% of the medical students reported moderate to severe anxiety and depression scores. In July

1
2
3 2020 dental students reported significantly higher levels of distress and anxiety than medical students
4 (Table 2). With regard to gender differences, the scores of male and female students did overall not
5 differ significantly in the whole sample at t1 and t2. However, female dental students (t1: M=4.7;
6 SD=2.6; t2: M=4.6; SD=2.8) reported significantly higher PHQ-4 scores than male dental students (t1:
7 M=2.8; SD= 1.5; t2: M=2.9; SD= 2.6) at t1 ($t(42) = -2.2, p = .031$) and t2 ($t(48) = -2.1, p = .043$).
8
9

10
11
12 In July 2020 (t2), dental students reported significantly higher scores of distress and anxiety than
13 medical students, while both groups were comparable with regard to their overall anxiety and
14 depression symptom burden (24.0% with moderate or severe symptoms in dental students, 19.0% in
15 medical students). With regard to self-perceived stress (only assessed in the second survey), dental
16 students reported higher stress levels than medical students (Table 2).
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Table 2: Amount of self-reported distress, depression and anxiety and stress among dental and medical students in May 2020 (n¹=132) and July 2020 (n²=150)

	May 2020						July 2020					
	Whole sample	Dental students (n=44)	Medical students (n=88)	t	df	p	Whole sample	Dental students (n=50)	Medical students (n=100)	t	df	p
	M (SD)	M (SD)	M (SD)				M (SD)	M (SD)	M (SD)			
Distress (range: 0-10)	6.4 (2.4)	6.3 (2.5)	6.5 (2.4)	0.31	130	.757	6.1 (2.2)	7.0 (2.3)	5.7 (2.1)	-3.57	148	<.001
Anxiety and depression (PHQ-4; range 0-12)	3.9 (2.5)	4.2 (2.5)	3.8 (2.5)	0.82	130	.417	3.7 (2.7)	4.1 (2.8)	3.6 (2.6)	1.13	148	.262
Anxiety (GAD-2; range 0-6)	1.9 (1.5)	2.1 (1.5)	1.9 (1.5)	0.79	130	.435	1.9 (1.6)	2.3 (1.8)	1.7 (1.4)	2.03	148	.045
Depression (PHQ-2; range 0-6)	2.0 (1.3)	2.1 (1.3)	1.9 (1.3)	0.66	130	.513	1.8 (1.4)	1.8 (1.3)	1.8 (1.4)	-0.13	148	.898
Self-perceived Stress (PSS-4; range 0-16)	-	-	-	-	-	-	5.6 (3.1)	6.1 (3.2)	5.3 (2.9)	1.53	148	.128
Anxiety and depression symptoms (PHQ4)	%	%	%	chi ²	df	p	%	%	%	chi ²	df	p
normal (0-2)	31.8	25.0	35.2	1.54	3	.674	38.0	36.0	39.0	0.56	3	.906
mild (3-5)	43.9	50.0	40.9				41.3	40.0	42.0			
moderate (6-8)	19.7	20.5	19.3				13.3	16.0	12.0			
severe (9-12)	4.5	4.5	4.5				7.3	8.0	7.0			
Distress by category	%	%	%	chi ²	df	p	%	%	%	chi ²	df	p
Normal (0-4)	15.9	20.5	13.6	1.02	2	.600	22.7	16.0	26.0	9.65	2	.008
Moderate (5-7)	50.8	47.7	52.3				49.3	40.0	54.0			
Severe (8-10)	33.3	31.8	34.1				28.0	44.0	20.0			
Over cutoff (≥5)	84.1	79.5	86.4	1.02	1	.313	77.3	84.0	74.0	1.90	1	.168

Note. n=number; M = mean; SD = standard deviation; df = degrees of freedom; p = p-value, significance level p<.05

Changes in mental health and perception of the study situation

Overall, about one third of the students (36.4% dental students vs. 29.5% medical students) reported that their mental health had worsened because of the pandemic at t1. Likewise, a total of 35.6% (45.5% dental vs. 30.7% medical students) reported a decrease in their study motivation at t1 and 36.7% at t2 (36.0% dental vs. 37.0% medical students). With regard to worries about the current study situation more medical students (t1: 46.4%; t2: 47.5%) than dental students (t1: 31.8%; t2: 34.7%) reported to be unperturbed and slightly more dental than medical students were seriously worried at t1 (18.2% vs. 6.0%) and at t2 (14.3% vs. 10.1%). However, in both surveys dental and medical students did not differ statistically significant regarding their self-reported changes of mental health, study motivation or worries about the current study situation (Table 3). Likewise, we did not find statistically significant differences between male and female students.

Table 3: Perception of current study situation, changes of self-reported mental health caused by the COVID-19 pandemic and changes of study motivation of dental and medical students in May 2020 (n¹=132) and July 2020 (n²=150)

May 2020							July 2020					
Worries about current study situation	Whole sample (129<n<132)	Dental students (n=44)	Medical students (84<n>88)	chi ²	df	p	Whole sample (148<n<150)	Dental students (49<n>50)	Medical students (99<n>100)	chi ²	df	p
	%	%	%				%	%	%			
Not worried	41.4	31.8	46.4	5.77	2	.056	43.2	34.7	47.5	2.27	2	.321
Somewhat worried	48.4	50.0	47.6				45.3	51.0	42.4			
Seriously worried	10.2	18.2	6.0				11.5	14.3	10.1			
Self-reported changes of mental health caused by the COVID-19 pandemic												
improved	19.7	22.7	18.2	1.52	2	.468	Not asked.					
unchanged	48.5	40.9	52.3									
worsened	31.8	36.4	29.5									
Self-reported changes of study motivation												
increased	13.6	11.4	14.8	2.79	2	.247	16.0	16.0	16.0	0.02	2	.992
unchanged	50.8	43.2	54.5				47.3	48.0	47.0			
decreased	35.6	45.5	30.7				36.7	36.0	37.0			
Burdening aspects¹	Not asked						Whole sample	Dental students (49<n>50)	Medical students (99<n>100)	chi²	df	p
Preparation for (final) exams	-	-	-	-	-	-	63.3	76.0	57.0	5.18	1	.023
Lack of practical training	-	-	-	-	-	-	43.3	52.0	39.0	2.29	1	.130
Few social contacts (private)	-	-	-	-	-	-	43.3	34.0	48.0	2.66	1	.103
Lack of interaction with fellow students	-	-	-	-	-	-	56.0	52.0	58.0	0.49	1	.485
Concomitants of the CoViD-19 pandemic (contact restrictions, mandatory facemasks, etc.)	-	-	-	-	-	-	22.0	24.0	21.0	0.18	1	.676
General uncertainty	-	-	-	-	-	-	36.0	54.0	27.0	10.55	1	.001

1
2
3 Note: n=number; M = mean; SD = standard deviation; df = degrees of freedom; p = p -value, significance level $p < .05$

4 ¹dichotomized: burdened (s. Table above) including “somewhat burdensome” and “very burdensome” vs. not burdened including “not at all burdensome” and
5 “a little burdensome”
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46

For peer review only

Burdening aspects

Many of students felt burdened due to the lack of interaction with fellow students. In comparison to medical students, significantly more dental students stated that they were burdened with regard to the exams and the general uncertainty (Table 3).

Binary logistic regression indicated that medical students were significantly more likely to experience serious worries regarding the current study situation during COVID-19 than dental students at t1. At t2 higher distress was associated with a higher likelihood for reporting serious worries regarding the current study situation during COVID-19 (Table 4).

Table 4: Binary logistic regression models on the association of study subject, depression and distress with serious worries in dental and medical students during the COVID-19 pandemic.

May 2020	Odds ratio (OR)	95% confidence interval (CI)	p	effect size ¹
Subject of study				
dental	4.0		n.a.	.032
medical	Reference	1.1 – 14.2	.031	
Distress (DT)	1.4	0.9 -1.9	.078	
Depression symptoms (PHQ-2)	1.5	0.9 - 2.6	.091	
July 2020				
Distress (DT)	1.8	1.3 – 2.5	.001	0.24

¹According to Cohen's guidelines (1988) we considered $f^2 = .02$ to be a small effect, $f^2 = .15$ as a medium effect, and $f^2 = .35$ as a large effect.

Current concerns and helpful aspects

A total of 52 students (39.4%) provided optional free-text responses regarding the question "What is currently occupying you most in your current study situation?". We identified five categories in a multistage inductive process. The most frequent categories were "difficulties with self-regulated learning and self-motivation", followed by "study-related worries and uncertainty", "lack of feedback from other students and lecturers" and "lack of practical training". Significantly more dental (20.5%) than medical students (4.5%) reported concerns about the lack of practical training ($\chi^2 = 8.4$; $df = 1$; $p = .004$) (Table 5).

Table 5: Categories, examples and quantified responses by category for the questions “What is currently occupying you most in your current study situation?” in May 2020 (n=132)

What is currently occupying you most?		Whole sample (n=132) mentioned	Dental students (n=44) mentioned	Medical students (n=88) mentioned			
Category and subcategory	Example	%	%	%	Chi ²	df	p
Difficulties with self-regulated learning (n = 20; 15.2%) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Self-Motivation • Difficulties with new learning environment 	<p>"Self-motivation- the exchange with fellow students and lecturers is missing, and therefore one has to motivate oneself every day anew to sit down at home (the library as a room for studying is also missing) and to motivate oneself to nevertheless 'attend' all study courses in time and to catch up on the study-work."</p> <p>"Since I don't have the proper learning environment at home, I found it difficult to learn the very extensive content in an appropriate manner."</p>	15.2	15.9	14.8	0.03	1	.864
Study-related worries and uncertainty (n = 19; 14.4%) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Concerns to perform worse/ to fail • Study-related uncertainty 	<p>"Extreme pressure to teach myself the mass of content in a short time through e-learning in order to succeed on the exam."</p> <p>"The uncertainty of if and when face-to-face classes can be held again, and if potentially my study time will be extended due to this pandemic."</p>	14.4	20.5	11.4	1.97	1	.161

Lack of feedback from other students and lecturers (n = 15; 11.4%)	"Due to the online format, the exchange with fellow students and the lecturers is missing. (...).“	11.4	11.4	11.4	0.00	1	1.000
Lack of practical training (n = 13; 9.8%)	"The practical components in dental education are essential and (...) are now being cancelled. (...).“	9.8	20.5	4.5	8.36	1	.004
Other (n = 9; 6.8%)	"Lack of available childcare. Learning with (...) children at home is a challenge."	6.8	11.4	4.5	2.15	1	.143

Note: n=number; df = degrees of freedom; *p* = *p*-value, significance level *p*<.05

For peer review only

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46

1
2
3 Furthermore, 53 students (40.2%) completed optional free-text responses to the question "What do
4 you currently experience as particularly helpful?". Again five categories were identified through a
5 multi-step inductive process. The most common categories were "Exchange with other students and
6 lecturers", followed by "flexibility due to online lessons", "self-regulation, -motivation and -
7 structuring", and "balance through sports and leisure". Dental and medical students did not differ
8 significantly in their answers with regard to helpful aspects (Table 6).
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Table 6: Categories, examples and quantified responses by category for the questions “What do you currently experience as particularly helpful?” in May 2020 (n=132)

What do you currently experience as particularly helpful?		Whole sample (n=132) mentioned	Dental students (n=44) mentioned	Medical students (n=88) mentioned			
Category	Example	%	%	%	Chi ²	df	<i>p</i>
Exchange with students and lecturers (n = 18; 13.6%)	"Intensive exchange with fellow students and lecturers."	13.6	15.9	12.5	0.29	1	.591
Flexibility due to online lessons (n = 17; 12.9%)	"The online semester also brings many advantages. You can arrange everything yourself and work at your own pace. This also takes away a lot of stress for me personally (...)"	12.9	15.9	11.4	0.54	1	.462
Structure and self-discipline (n = 13; 9.8%)	"Studying online to regain routine in everyday life."	9.8	11.4	9.1	0.17	1	.680
Balance through sports and leisure (n = 11; 8.3%)	"The fact that I can do my team sports again, that gives me enough variety and distraction "	8.3	11.4	6.8	0.79	1	.373
Other (n = 9; 6.8%)	"Encouragement of friends and family"	6.8	9.1	5.7	0.54	1	.464

Note: n=number; df = degrees of freedom; *p* = *p*-value, significance level *p*<.05

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46

For peer review only

DISCUSSION

This study investigated the mental burden and study situation among undergraduate dental and medical students after the initial stage of the COVID-19 pandemic from May 2020 to July 2020. In line with previous studies conducted before the pandemic dental and medical students reported high levels of distress, anxiety and depression[8, 12, 42]. Compared to the norm population students of both professions reported significantly higher levels of anxiety and depression, consistent with findings of other studies[8, 43]. In light of the COVID-19 pandemic, the presence of additional pandemic specific stressors is likely[22]. A recent study showed that for most students isolation from student social networks is associated with increased anxiety levels[24]. According to our qualitative data, the lack of direct contact with fellow students, which could only take place via online media, affects both student professions negatively. For dental students, the lack of practical training played a major role, as dentists usually take dental courses from the first semester onwards to learn the practical skills from early stage on[44]. In comparison, this issue does not yet affect medical students as much in the early stages of their studies, as the undergraduate medical curriculum in Germany includes fewer practical training during this time[45]. In sum this probably accounts for our findings that reported levels of anxiety and depression are even higher than among students of the same medical school prior to the Corona pandemic[8].

With regard to the study situation, medical and in particular dental students were concerned about their current study situation. This trend continued in the further course of the pandemic. These results corroborate recent findings in a representative sample in Austria with a notable decline in mental health during the pandemic[46].

In line with the findings of Stangvaltaite-Mouhat et al. (2020) female dental students showed more pronounced anxiety symptoms than male dental students in our study[11]. This underlines that mental burden may be higher among female students in general[47]. Recent studies also suggest that women are particular burdened during the COVID-19 pandemic[46, 48, 49, 50, 51]. Furthermore several studies showed that certain age groups, including individuals between 18 and 25 years old - the most common age group in students - suffered more from mental distress[46, 48, 50, 52]. Qiu et al. (2020) suspect social media to be one of the causes[48]. Previous research seems to confirm this hypothesis as younger people tend to receive a large amount of information via social media, which can easily trigger stress[53]. The easy accessibility and constant presence of pandemic information may lead to an increase in the duration of information consumption. This increasing duration reinforces the potential negative influence on mental health[54]. A noteworthy finding is that dental students reported increased levels of distress, anxiety and more worries in the second survey whereas medical students' scores were slightly lower at the second survey.

1
2
3 There are some limitations of our study. The cross-sectional surveys at two different times do not allow
4 causal statements about longitudinal developments. The small sample size limits representativeness.
5 However, due to the high response rates (65-87% in the first and 81-82% in the second survey) one
6 may assume that a majority of the respondents participated in both surveys. Thus, these single-
7 institutional and cross-sectional surveys achieve a high level of comparability and representativeness.
8 Still there was a considerable proportion of students that did not participate in the surveys, which
9 might lead to a volunteer bias[55]. Nonvolunteers may encompass students who suffer from high
10 levels of distress, anxiety and/or depression. Thus some of the parameters reported in the study may
11 be underestimating the true burden.
12

13
14 Furthermore, no comparisons can be made with the situation before the outbreak of the pandemic,
15 among the same population. Nevertheless, the study by Heinen et al. (2017) conducted at the same
16 medical school with the same measures serves as a valid context to frame our findings[8].
17

18
19 Considering the worsened levels of psychological distress among students during the COVID-19
20 pandemic [56, 57] academic institutions should provide timely services in terms of tailored
21 interventions, which address the specific worries of dental and medical students. Other authors
22 already provided valuable and concrete recommendations how to reduce the impact of COVID-19 on
23 student-athlete mental health [58, 59]. Grubic et al. (2021) proposed a framework to manage student-
24 athlete mental health during the pandemic including “goal setting/motivation” and “support
25 system/social network” as potential positive influencers. These aspects could be addressed by medical
26 schools in the form of mentoring. Mentoring was identified as valuable intervention for undergraduate
27 medical students due to its association with improved emotional well-being of students before the
28 pandemic[60]. During the pandemic a novel near-peer mentoring intervention via social media was
29 reported with promising first results[61]. Thus, the implementation of mentoring at medical schools
30 or the transfer of existing mentoring interventions digital formats might help to reduce the negative
31 impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on dental and medical students. At the same time longitudinal
32 research is needed to monitor the mental health of students during the pandemic and after as well as
33 rigor evaluation of all interventions.
34
35

36 Conclusion

37
38 Overall students of medicine and dentistry are particularly affected by high mental distress and burden
39 due to the demanding contents and structure of their studies. It is likely that the concomitant
40 symptoms of the pandemic have an additional negative impact on the levels of stress, anxiety and
41 depression of the students.
42

43
44 Especially dental students reported higher levels of burden which might be associated with the high
45 practical content early in their studies. The increase in anxiety levels of dental students might be
46

1
2
3 associated with the duration of the restrictions of especially the practical content during the
4 undergraduate study. Against this background, further monitoring of both dental and medical students
5 during the pandemic would be important in order to develop and introduce tailored prevention
6 concepts adapted to the specific study situation.
7
8
9

10
11
12 **Acknowledgements:** We would like to thank all students who participated in the study and the
13 evaluation team of the faculty who administered the online survey.
14
15
16

17
18 **Author contributions:** JG designed the study and contributed to the analysis and interpretation of the
19 data. She critically revised the manuscript during the internal revision process and wrote continuing
20 drafts as well as the final draft of the manuscript. ASW analyzed the data and contributed to the
21 interpretation of the data. She wrote the first draft of the manuscript and critically revised the
22 manuscript during the internal revision process. IH contributed to the analysis and interpretation of
23 the data. She was involved in drafting the article and critically revised the manuscript during the
24 internal revision process. CB designed the study, analyzed the data and contributed to the
25 interpretation of the data. She wrote the first draft of the manuscript and critically revised the
26 manuscript during the internal revision process. All authors gave their final approval of the version to
27 be published and agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related
28 to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38

39 **Funding:** This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial
40 or not-for-profit sectors
41

42 **Competing interests:** The authors declare that they have no competing interests.
43

44 **Patient consent form:** Not required.
45

46
47 **Ethics approval:** Institutional ethical approval was obtained from the local ethics board of the Center
48 for Psychosocial Medicine at the University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf, approval number
49 LPEK-0161, prior to commencement of this research. Only students who provided informed consent
50 were able to participate in the study.
51
52
53

54 **Data availability statement:** As ethical approval was not obtained to make data sharing possible
55 outside of the listed research team, no additional data are available.
56
57
58
59

60 **References**

- 1
2
3 1. Vindegaard N, Benros ME. COVID-19 pandemic and mental health consequences: Systematic review
4 of the current evidence. *Brain Behav Immun* 2020;89:531-42.
- 5
6 2. Empfehlungen II der Deutschen Hochschulmedizin zum Studium der Humanmedizin und Zahnmedizin
7 während der aktuellen COVID-19-Pandemie, 18.03.2020.: Verband der Universitätsklinika
8 Deutschlands und MFT Medizinischer Fakultätentag; 2020.
9
10 [https://www.uniklinika.de/fileadmin/user_upload/Covid-19-](https://www.uniklinika.de/fileadmin/user_upload/Covid-19-Empfehlungen_DHM_zum_Medizinstudium_2020-03-18.pdf)
11 [Empfehlungen_DHM_zum_Medizinstudium_2020-03-18.pdf](https://www.uniklinika.de/fileadmin/user_upload/Covid-19-Empfehlungen_DHM_zum_Medizinstudium_2020-03-18.pdf) (accessed 8 Jun 2020).
- 12
13 3. Whelan A, Prescott J, Young G, Catanese VM. Guidance on Medical Students' Clinical Participation:
14 Effective Immediately.: Association of American Academic Colleges.; 2020 [updated March 17, 2020].
15 [https://lcme.org/wp-content/uploads/filebase/March-17-2020-Guidance-on-Mediical-Students-](https://lcme.org/wp-content/uploads/filebase/March-17-2020-Guidance-on-Mediical-Students-Clinical-Participation.pdf)
16 [Clinical-Participation.pdf](https://lcme.org/wp-content/uploads/filebase/March-17-2020-Guidance-on-Mediical-Students-Clinical-Participation.pdf). (accessed 8 Jun 2020).
- 17
18 4. Guse J, Heinen I, Kurre J, Mohr S, Bergelt C. Perception of the study situation and mental burden during
19 the COVID-19 pandemic among undergraduate medical students with and without mentoring. *GMS J*
20 *Med Educ* 2020;37(7):Doc72.
- 21
22 5. Miller DG, Pierson L, Doernberg S. The Role of Medical Students During the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Ann*
23 *Intern Med*. 2020; Menon A, Klein EJ, Kollars K, Kleinhenz ALW. Medical Students Are Not Essential
24 Workers: Examining Institutional Responsibility During the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Acad Med*
25 2020;95(8):1149-51.
- 26
27 6. Schwenk TL, Davis L, Wimsatt LA. Depression, stigma, and suicidal ideation in medical students. *JAMA*.
28 2010;304(11):1181-90; Bacchi S, Licinio J. Resilience and Psychological Distress in Psychology and
29 Medical Students. *Acad Psychiatry* 2017;41(2):185-8.
- 30
31 7. Hope V, Henderson M. Medical student depression, anxiety and distress outside North America: a
32 systematic review. *Med Educ* 2014;48(10):963-79.
- 33
34 8. Heinen I, Bullinger M, Kocalevent RD. Perceived stress in first year medical students - associations with
35 personal resources and emotional distress. *BMC Med Educ* 2017;17(1):4.
- 36
37 9. Knipe D, Maughan C, Gilbert J, Dymock D, Moran P, Gunnell D. Mental health in medical, dentistry and
38 veterinary students: cross-sectional online survey. *BJPsych Open* 2018;4(6):441-6.
- 39
40 10. Puthran R, Zhang MW, Tam WW, Ho RC. Prevalence of depression amongst medical students: a meta-
41 analysis. *Med Educ* 2016;50(4):456-68.
- 42
43 11. Stangvaltaite-Mouhat L, Puriene A, Chalas R et al. Self-reported psychological problems amongst
44 undergraduate dental students: A pilot study in seven European countries. *Eur J Dent Educ*
45 2020;24(2):341-50.
- 46
47 12. Basudan S, Binanzan N, Alhassan A. Depression, anxiety and stress in dental students. *Int J Med Educ*
48 2017;8:179-86.
- 49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

13. Bathla M, Singh M, Kulhara P et al. Evaluation of anxiety, depression and suicidal intent in undergraduate dental students: A cross-sectional study. *Contemp Clin Dent* 2015;6(2):215-22.
14. Jurkat H, Hofer S, Richter L et al. [Quality of life, stress management and health promotion in medical and dental students. A comparative study]. *Dtsch Med Wochenschr* 2011;136(23):1245-50.
15. Harris RC, Millichamp CJ, Thomson WM. Stress and coping in fourth-year medical and dental students. *N Z Dent J* 2015;111(3):102-8.
16. Astill S, Ricketts N, Singh LA et al. Environmental and perceived stress in Australian dental undergraduates: Preliminary outcomes. *J Dent Res Dent Clin Dent Prospects* 2016;10(4):270-9.
17. Petzold MB, Plag J, Ströhle A. Dealing with psychological distress by healthcare professionals during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Der Nervenarzt* 2020;91(5):417-21.
18. Hawryluck L, Gold WL, Robinson S et al. SARS control and psychological effects of quarantine, Toronto, Canada. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2004;10(7):1206-12.
19. Wang C, Pan R, Wan X et al. Immediate Psychological Responses and Associated Factors during the Initial Stage of the 2019 Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19) Epidemic among the General Population in China. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2020;17(5).
20. Lai J, Ma S, Wang Y et al. Factors Associated With Mental Health Outcomes Among Health Care Workers Exposed to Coronavirus Disease 2019. *JAMA Netw Open*. 2020;3(3):e203976.
21. Bao Y, Sun Y, Meng S et al. 2019-nCoV epidemic: address mental health care to empower society. *Lancet* 2020;395(10224):e37-e8.
22. Inter-Agency Standing Committee (IASC). IASC Guidance on Operational considerations for Multisectoral Mental Health and Psychosocial Support Programmes during the COVID-19 Pandemic IASC Guidance on Operational considerations for Multisectoral MHPSS Programmes during the COVID-19 Pandemic.pdf [updated 25 Jun 2020] (accessed 18 Mar 2020).
23. Odriozola-González P, Planchuelo-Gómez Á, Irujo A et al. Psychological effects of the COVID-19 outbreak and lockdown among students and workers of a Spanish university. *Psychiatry Research* 2020;290:113108.
24. Elmer T, Mepham K, Stadtfeld C. Students under lockdown: Comparisons of students' social networks and mental health before and during the COVID-19 crisis in Switzerland. *PLoS One* 2020;15(7):e0236337.
25. Li Y, Wang Y, Jiang J et al. Psychological distress among health professional students during the COVID-19 outbreak. *Psychological Medicine* 2020:1-3.
26. O'Byrne L, Gavin B, McNicholas F. Medical students and COVID-19: the need for pandemic preparedness. *J Med Ethics* 2020;46(9):623-6.
27. [dataset] Steinmetz, H., Batzdorfer, V., Bosnjak, M. Data from: The ZPID lockdown measures dataset. ZPID Science Information Online, 20(1). 8 June 2020. <http://dx.doi.org/10.23668/psycharchives.3019>.

- 1
2
3 28. <https://www.hrk.de/activities/the-covid-19-pandemic-and-the-german-universities/> [website].
4 Berlin: HRK German Rectors' Conference (updated 2020 July 28, accessed 29 Jul 2020).
5
6 29. Rose S. Medical Student Education in the Time of COVID-19. *JAMA*. 2020.
7
8 30. Gerhard-Szep S, Güntsch A, Pospiech P et al. Assessment formats in dental medicine: An overview.
9 *GMS J Med Educ* 2016;33(4):Doc65.
10
11 31. Rheingans A, Soulos A, Mohr S, Meyer J, Guse AH. The Hamburg integrated medical degree program
12 iMED. *GMS J Med Educ* 2019;36(5):Doc52.
13
14 32. Mehnert A, Müller D, Lehmann C, Koch U. Die deutsche Version des NCCN Distress-Thermometers.
15 *Zeitschrift für Psychiatrie, Psychologie und Psychotherapie* 2006;54(3):213-23.
16
17 33. Kroenke K, Spitzer RL, Williams JB, Lowe B. An ultra-brief screening scale for anxiety and depression:
18 the PHQ-4. *Psychosomatics*. 2009;50(6):613-21.
19
20 34. Khubchandani J, Brey R, Kotecki J, Kleinfelder J, Anderson J. The Psychometric Properties of PHQ-4
21 Depression and Anxiety Screening Scale Among College Students. *Archives of Psychiatric Nursing*
22 2016;30(4):457-62.
23
24 35. Cohen S, Kamarck T, Mermelstein R. A global measure of perceived stress. *J Health Soc Behav*
25 1983;24(4):385-96.
26
27 36. Studierende: Deutschland, Semester, Nationalität, Geschlecht, Studienfach [website]. <https://www-genesis.destatis.de/genesis/online?operation=previous&levelindex=1&step=1&titel=Ergebnis&levelid=1616753759559&acceptcookies=false#abreadcrumb> (accessed 26 Mar 2021).
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35 37. Field A. *Discovering statistics using IBM SPSS statistics : and sex and drugs and rock 'n' roll*. 4. ed. Los
36 Angeles [i.a.]: Sage; 2013.
37
38 38. Cohen J. *Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences*. 2. ed. Hillsdale, NJ [i.a.]: Erlbaum; 1988.
39
40 39. Hsieh HF, Shannon SE. Three approaches to qualitative content analysis. *Qualitative health research*
41 2005;15(9):1277-88.
42
43 40. Monrouxe LV, Rees CE. When I say ... quantification in qualitative research. *Med Educ* 2020;54(3):186-
44 7.
45
46 41. Löwe B, Wahl I, Rose M et al. A 4-item measure of depression and anxiety: validation and
47 standardization of the Patient Health Questionnaire-4 (PHQ-4) in the general population. *J Affect*
48 *Disord* 2010;122(1-2):86-95.
49
50 42. Jiménez-Ortiz JL, Islas-Valle RM, Jiménez-Ortiz JD, Pérez-Lizárraga E, Hernández-García ME, González-
51 Salazar F. Emotional exhaustion, burnout, and perceived stress in dental students. *Journal of*
52 *International Medical Research* 2019;47(9):4251-9.
53
54 43. Stormon N, Ford PJ, Kisely S, Bartle E, Eley DS. Depression, anxiety and stress in a cohort of Australian
55 dentistry students. *Eur J Dent Educ* 2019;23(4):507-14.
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 44. Mekhemar M, Conrad J, Attia S, Dörfer C. Oral Health Attitudes among Preclinical and Clinical Dental
4 Students in Germany. *International journal of environmental research and public health*
5 2020;17(12):4253.
6
7
8 45. Chenot JF. Undergraduate medical education in Germany. *Ger Med Sci* 2009;7:Doc02.
9
10 46. Dale R, Budimir S, Probst T, Stippl P, Pieh C. Mental Health during the COVID-19 Lockdown over the
11 Christmas Period in Austria and the Effects of Sociodemographic and Lifestyle Factors. *Int J Environ Res*
12 *Public Health* 2021;18(7).
13
14 47. Dyrbye LN, Thomas MR, Shanafelt TD. Systematic review of depression, anxiety, and other indicators
15 of psychological distress among U.S. and Canadian medical students. *Acad Med* 2006;81(4):354-73.
16
17 48. Qiu J, Shen B, Zhao M, Wang Z, Xie B, Xu Y. A nationwide survey of psychological distress among
18 Chinese people in the COVID-19 epidemic: implications and policy recommendations. *General*
19 *psychiatry* 2020;33(2):e100213.
20
21 49. Park CL, Russell BS, Fendrich M, Finkelstein-Fox L, Hutchison M, Becker J. Americans' COVID-19 Stress,
22 Coping, and Adherence to CDC Guidelines. *Journal of general internal medicine* 2020;35(8):2296-303.;
23
24 50. Liu N, Zhang F, Wei C, Jia Y, Shang Z, Sun L, et al. Prevalence and predictors of PTSS during COVID-19
25 outbreak in China hardest-hit areas: Gender differences matter. *Psychiatry Res* 2020;287:112921.
26
27 51. Pieh C, Budimir S, Probst T. The effect of age, gender, income, work, and physical activity on mental
28 health during coronavirus disease (COVID-19) lockdown in Austria (vol 136, 110186, 2020). *J*
29 *Psychosom Res* 2020;139.
30
31 52. Brooks SK, Webster RK, Smith LE et al. The psychological impact of quarantine and how to reduce it:
32 rapid review of the evidence. *Lancet* 2020;395(10227):912-20.
33
34 53. Gabriel R, Röhrs HP. Social Media: Springer Gabler; 2017.
35
36 54. Huang Y, Zhao N. Generalized anxiety disorder, depressive symptoms and sleep quality during COVID-
37 19 outbreak in China: a web-based cross-sectional survey. *Psychiatry Res* 2020;288:112954.
38
39 55. Salkind NJ. (2010). Encyclopedia of research design: Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE Publications; 2010.
40
41 56. Cao W, Fang Z, Hou G, Han M, Xu X, Dong J, Zheng J. The psychological impact of the COVID-19 epidemic
42 on college students in China. *Psychiatry Res.* 2020;287:112934.
43
44 57. Zhang Y, Zhang H, Ma X, Di Q. Mental Health Problems during the COVID-19 Pandemics and the
45 Mitigation Effects of Exercise: A Longitudinal Study of College Students in China. *Int. J. Environ. Res.*
46 *Public Health* 2020; 17(10):3722.
47
48 58. Grubic N, Badovinac S, Johri AM. Student mental health in the midst of the COVID-19 pandemic: A call
49 for further research and immediate solutions. *Int J Soc Psychiatry* 2020;66(5):517-518.
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 59. Grubic N, Jain S, Mihajlovic V, Thornton JS, Johri AM. Competing against COVID-19: have we forgotten
4 about student-athletes' mental health? *Br J Sports Med* 2021;55(17):950-951.
5
6
7 60. Wasson LT, Cusmano A, Meli L, Louh I, Falzon L, Hampsey M, Young G, Shaffer J, Davidson KW.
8 Association Between Learning Environment Interventions and Medical Student Well-being: A
9 Systematic Review. *JAMA*. 2016 Dec 6;316(21):2237-2252.
10
11
12
13 61. Rastegar Kazerooni A, Amini M, Tabari P, Moosavi M. Peer mentoring for medical students during the
14 COVID-19 pandemic via a social media platform. *Med Educ* 2020;54(8):762-763.
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

For peer review only

Reporting checklist for cross sectional study.

Based on the STROBE cross sectional guidelines.

Instructions to authors

Complete this checklist by entering the page numbers from your manuscript where readers will find each of the items listed below.

Your article may not currently address all the items on the checklist. Please modify your text to include the missing information. If you are certain that an item does not apply, please write "n/a" and provide a short explanation.

Upload your completed checklist as an extra file when you submit to a journal.

In your methods section, say that you used the STROBE cross sectional reporting guidelines, and cite them as:

von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, Pocock SJ, Gotsche PC, Vandenbroucke JP. The Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) Statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies.

		Page
	Reporting Item	Number
Title and abstract		
Title	#1a Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract	1

1	Abstract	#1b	Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary	2
2				
3				
4			of what was done and what was found	
5				
6	Introduction			
7				
8				
9				
10	Background /	#2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the	3
11	rationale		investigation being reported	
12				
13				
14				
15	Objectives	#3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified	4
16			hypotheses	
17				
18				
19				
20	Methods			
21				
22				
23	Study design	#4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	4
24				
25				
26	Setting	#5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including	4
27			periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data	
28			collection	
29				
30				
31	Eligibility criteria	#6a	Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of	4
32			selection of participants.	
33				
34				
35				
36				
37				
38				
39				
40		#7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential	5
41			confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if	
42			applicable	
43				
44				
45				
46				
47	Data sources /	#8	For each variable of interest give sources of data and details	5
48	measurement		of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe	
49			comparability of assessment methods if there is more than	
50			one group. Give information separately for for exposed and	
51			unexposed groups if applicable.	
52				
53				
54				
55				
56				
57				
58				
59				
60				

1	Bias	#9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	7
2				
3				
4	Study size	#10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	4
5				
6				
7	Quantitative	#11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the	6
8	variables		analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were	
9			chosen, and why	
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15	Statistical	#12a	Describe all statistical methods, including those used to	6
16	methods		control for confounding	
17				
18				
19				
20	Statistical	#12b	Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and	6
21	methods		interactions	
22				
23				
24				
25				
26	Statistical	#12c	Explain how missing data were addressed	4
27	methods			
28				
29				
30				
31	Statistical	#12d	If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of	n/a
32	methods		sampling strategy	
33				
34				
35				
36	Statistical	#12e	Describe any sensitivity analyses	n/a
37	methods			
38				
39				
40				
41				
42	Results			
43				
44				
45	Participants	#13a	Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg	7
46			numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility,	
47			confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-	
48			up, and analysed. Give information separately for for	
49			exposed and unexposed groups if applicable.	
50				
51				
52				
53				
54				
55				
56				
57	Participants	#13b	Give reasons for non-participation at each stage	n/a
58				
59				
60				

1	Participants	#13c	Consider use of a flow diagram	n/a
2				
3				
4	Descriptive data	#14a	Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic,	7-8
5			clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential	
6			confounders. Give information separately for exposed and	
7			unexposed groups if applicable.	
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14	Descriptive data	#14b	Indicate number of participants with missing data for each	9; 11
15			variable of interest	
16				
17				
18				
19	Outcome data	#15	Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures.	n/a
20			Give information separately for exposed and unexposed	
21			groups if applicable.	
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27	Main results	#16a	Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-	n/a
28			adjusted estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence	
29			interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for	
30			and why they were included	
31				
32				
33				
34				
35				
36				
37	Main results	#16b	Report category boundaries when continuous variables were	9
38			categorized	
39				
40				
41				
42	Main results	#16c	If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into	n/a
43			absolute risk for a meaningful time period	
44				
45				
46				
47				
48	Other analyses	#17	Report other analyses done—e.g., analyses of subgroups	n/a
49			and interactions, and sensitivity analyses	
50				
51				
52				
53	Discussion			
54				
55				
56	Key results	#18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	17
57				
58				
59				
60				

1	Limitations	#19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources	18
2			of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and	
3			magnitude of any potential bias.	
4				
5				
6				
7				
8				
9	Interpretation	#20	Give a cautious overall interpretation considering objectives,	18
10			limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar	
11			studies, and other relevant evidence.	
12				
13				
14				
15				
16	Generalisability	#21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study	18
17			results.	
18				
19				
20				
21				
22	Other Information			
23				
24				
25	Funding	#22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the	18
26			present study and, if applicable, for the original study on	
27			which the present article is based	
28				
29				
30				
31				
32				

33 None The STROBE checklist is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution
34 License CC-BY. This checklist can be completed online using <https://www.goodreports.org/>, a tool
35 made by the [EQUATOR Network](#) in collaboration with [Penelope.ai](#)
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

BMJ Open

Mental burden and perception of the study situation among undergraduate students during the COVID-19 pandemic: a cross-sectional study and comparison of dental and medical students

Journal:	<i>BMJ Open</i>
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2021-054728.R2
Article Type:	Original research
Date Submitted by the Author:	09-Nov-2021
Complete List of Authors:	Guse, Jennifer; University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf, Department of Medical Psychology Weegen, Annabel ; University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf, Department of Medical Psychology Heinen, Ines; University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf, Department of Medical Psychology Bergelt, Corinna; University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf, Department of Medical Psychology; University Medicine Greifswald, Department of Medical Psychology
Primary Subject Heading:	Medical education and training
Secondary Subject Heading:	Mental health, Qualitative research
Keywords:	MEDICAL EDUCATION & TRAINING, COVID-19, MENTAL HEALTH, QUALITATIVE RESEARCH

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts



I, the Submitting Author has the right to grant and does grant on behalf of all authors of the Work (as defined in the below author licence), an exclusive licence and/or a non-exclusive licence for contributions from authors who are: i) UK Crown employees; ii) where BMJ has agreed a CC-BY licence shall apply, and/or iii) in accordance with the terms applicable for US Federal Government officers or employees acting as part of their official duties; on a worldwide, perpetual, irrevocable, royalty-free basis to BMJ Publishing Group Ltd ("BMJ") its licensees and where the relevant Journal is co-owned by BMJ to the co-owners of the Journal, to publish the Work in this journal and any other BMJ products and to exploit all rights, as set out in our [licence](#).

The Submitting Author accepts and understands that any supply made under these terms is made by BMJ to the Submitting Author unless you are acting as an employee on behalf of your employer or a postgraduate student of an affiliated institution which is paying any applicable article publishing charge ("APC") for Open Access articles. Where the Submitting Author wishes to make the Work available on an Open Access basis (and intends to pay the relevant APC), the terms of reuse of such Open Access shall be governed by a Creative Commons licence – details of these licences and which [Creative Commons](#) licence will apply to this Work are set out in our licence referred to above.

Other than as permitted in any relevant BMJ Author's Self Archiving Policies, I confirm this Work has not been accepted for publication elsewhere, is not being considered for publication elsewhere and does not duplicate material already published. I confirm all authors consent to publication of this Work and authorise the granting of this licence.

1
2
3 **Mental burden and perception of the study situation among undergraduate students during**
4 **the COVID-19 pandemic: a cross-sectional study and comparison of dental and medical**
5 **students**
6
7
8
9
10

11 Jennifer Guse¹ (<https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5020-929X>), research assistant, Department of Medical
12 Psychology, University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany
13

14
15 Annabel Susan Weegen¹ (<https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5462-1775>), dental student, Department of
16 Medical Psychology, University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany
17

18
19 Ines Heinen (<https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7361-4554>), research assistant, Department of Medical
20 Psychology, University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany
21

22
23 Corinna Bergelt (<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1413-1872>), associate professor, Department of
24 Medical Psychology, University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany, and
25 Department of Medical Psychology, University Medicine Greifswald, Germany
26
27
28

29
30
31 ¹Equal contributors
32

33
34
35 Keywords: Dental students, medical students, COVID-19 pandemic, mental health, undergraduate
36 medical education
37
38

39
40
41
42
43 Corresponding author:

44
45 Dr. Jennifer Guse

46
47 University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf

48
49 Center for Psychosocial Medicine

50
51 Department of Medical Psychology

52
53 Martinistraße 52, W26

54
55 20246 Hamburg

56
57 E-mail: j.guse@uke.de
58

59
60 Word count: 3.681

ABSTRACT

Objectives: To investigate levels of distress, depression, anxiety, stress and perception of their current study situation during the COVID-19 pandemic among undergraduate dental and medical students.

Design: Observational, cross-sectional study including two consecutive surveys (May and July 2020).

Setting: A large medical school in Germany.

Participants: All first year dental and medical students were invited. 132 participating first year students (44 dental, 88 medical) from the first survey and 150 students (50 dental, 100 medical) from the second were included in our analyses.

Primary and secondary outcome measures: Mental burden (Distress Thermometer, Patient Health Questionnaire PHQ-4, Perceived Stress Scale, PSS-4) and self-reported changes in mental health and perception of study situation during the COVID-19 pandemic (self-developed items) were compared. Open-ended questions were analyzed by conventional content analyses.

Results: A considerable proportion of students (t1: May 2020: 84.1%; t2: July 2020: 77.3%) reported distress levels above cutoff. In July 2020, dental students reported significantly higher distress scores than medical students (dental: $M=7.0$, $SD=2.3$; medical: $M=5.7$; $SD=2.1$; $p<.001$). More dental than medical students reported mild, moderate and severe levels of anxiety and depression symptoms. The majority stated that their mental health and study motivation had not changed during the pandemic. Logistic regression showed that being a dental student was significantly associated with a higher likelihood for serious worries regarding the study situation during COVID-19 at t1 (OR: 4.0; CI (95%): 1.1 – 14.2). At t2 higher distress was significantly associated with a higher likelihood for experiencing serious worries (OR: 1.8; CI (95%): 1.3 – 2.5). Regarding current concerns related to the pandemic, students most frequently reported difficulties with self-regulated learning (15.2%), study-related worries and uncertainty (14.4%), missing feedback of students and lecturers (11.4%) and lack of practical training (9.8%).

Conclusion: The results suggest that high mental burden and the lack of practical training among medical and dental students is an increasing problem, with a possibly even higher urgency in dental students. Tailored psychological and educational support offers during and after the COVID-19 pandemic might help them as they progress through (medical and) dental school.

Strengths and limitations of this study

- This study offers in-depth exploration of students' mental health and perception of their study situation during the COVID-19 pandemic by combining quantitative data and qualitative data

- This study included well-established and valid instruments (quantitative data) and applied conventional content analyses with inductive categorization (qualitative data).
- Response rates of this study were high (65.3% - 87.2%).
- It is a single-institution study and the cross-sectional design does not allow causal statements about longitudinal developments.

INTRODUCTION

The corona virus disease (COVID-19) pandemic and its consequences have an impact on the private, professional and social life of all people[1]. It has brought widespread disruption to undergraduate medical education[2, 3]. Thus medical students worldwide face major changes regarding their medical training and study motivation decreased[4]. Many medical schools have made changes to their curricula and campus life to protect patients and students by social distancing. Roles of medical students' during the COVID-19 pandemic are discussed controversial[5]. Even in the absence of the COVID-19 pandemic, mental burden is common among medical students[6, 7, 8] and several studies report lower mental health outcomes for medical students in the course of their medical studies compared to population reference samples[9]. In particular, depression and anxiety symptoms are reported among medical[7, 10] and dental students[11-13]. Often students feel that they do not have adequate coping strategies to deal with study-related workload and stress[14]. Dental students are highly stressed and perform at a comparable or higher stress level than medical students[15]. Studies have shown that the stress level increases with each semester, especially due to the high proportion of practical training in dental studies[13, 16].

In light of novel circumstances during the COVID-19 pandemic, uncertainty relating to personal and professional future and rapid changes medical students may be even more at risk of experiencing severe mental burden during the pandemic than before. Increased incidence rates of stress and mental burden are an expected response under the current conditions[17].

Studies have shown the negative impact of past pandemics[18] and the COVID-19 pandemic[19] on the general population, as well as on specific groups[20]. Health professionals may be particularly affected[21], as pandemic-specific stressors are added to the general ones[22]. High prevalence for mental health symptoms among health care workers exposed to COVID-19 was found[20]. Students are also highly burdened while facing new challenges raised by the pandemic's consequences[23, 24]. COVID-19 related mental stress, higher rates of depression and a high symptom burden from the acute stress response according to the COVID-19 pandemic are common especially among health care students[25], as they have to cope with mental and emotional issues, including stress and anxiety.

1
2
3 In contrast to the exposure of students in general during the COVID-19 pandemic, the mental burden
4 of medical and dental students in particular is still poorly addressed in the international literature even
5 though they are facing special challenges in health care[26].
6
7

8 Therefore, the aim of this study was to assess the magnitude of distress, depression and anxiety and
9 stress among undergraduate dental and medical students in the course of the COVID-19 pandemic.
10 Secondly, we aimed to explore students' perception of the study situation during the pandemic and
11 compare dental and medical students' perception during the first lockdown period and thereafter.
12
13
14
15
16
17

18 **METHODS**

19 **Design and setting**

20 This observational study consists of two cross-sectional surveys conducted at the University Medical
21 Center Hamburg-Eppendorf, Germany, from May 28, 2020 to June 7 (t1), 2020 and from July 16, 2020
22 to July 31, 2020 (t2). During this period and before (since mid-March 2020) the German government
23 announced several public health measures to suppress the spread of COVID-19 by increasing social
24 distancing, i.e. the closure of schools, daycare, playgrounds and non-essential shops, the prohibition
25 to meet more than one person from another household and depending on regional regulations the
26 prohibition to leave the apartment without reason[27]. Concurrently lectures and seminars at the
27 universities were predominantly held in a digital format to reduce interpersonal contact and to protect
28 patients, students and faculty[28]. Thus, the learning environment and examination conditions for
29 students have changed significantly. Many medical schools have discontinued their undergraduate
30 medical training and transitioned most of their teaching to digital formats[29]. This included but was
31 not limited to the transformation of Objective Structured Clinical Examinations (OSCE[30]) into
32 Multiple Choice tests.
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46

47 **Participants**

48 All first year dental students (n=68) enrolled in the integrated dental degree program iMED DENT
49 launched in 2019 and medical students (n=352) enrolled in the integrated medical degree program
50 iMED established in 2012 at the Medical School of the University of Hamburg, Germany[31] were
51 invited to participate in the online surveys. Students were asked to complete an anonymous
52 questionnaire linked to the voluntary curriculum evaluation conducted by the dean's office in regular
53 intervals during the course of the year. A few days in advance the students were informed via e-mail
54 of the study aims, voluntary participation and data protection regulations. Participants did not receive
55 any incentives for answering the questionnaire.
56
57
58
59
60

Measures

We developed an online questionnaire and focused on symptoms of distress, depression and anxiety and stress by using established self-reporting questionnaires. Furthermore, we assessed the perception of the study situation during the pandemic and study motivation with self-developed items. Demographic characteristics (age in categories, gender) were also self-reported.

Distress

We assessed students' distress at t1 (May 2020) and t2 (July 2020) using the German version of the Distress-Thermometer (DT), a brief screening instrument developed by the National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN)[32]. The DT is a single-item instrument with a scale from 0-10. Higher scores indicate higher distress. Internationally a cutoff score of 4 is established as a signal that a person is distressed and needs support. The DT is a reliable and efficient screening instrument[32].

Depression and anxiety

We measured depression and anxiety using the German version of the four-item Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-4), an ultra-brief screening instrument that consists of a two-item depression scale (PHQ-2) and a two-item anxiety scale (GAD-2)[33]. It assesses the amount of depression and anxiety symptoms the individual has felt during the past two weeks. A score of 3 or higher on the PHQ-2 and GAD-2 is established as a reasonable cut-off score clinically relevant levels of depressive and anxiety symptoms. The total PHQ-4 score is considered as an overall measure of symptom burden with the following categories: 0-2 normal, 3-5 mild, 6-8 moderate, 9-12 severe. It is an efficient screening instrument with good internal consistency and construct validity and areas under the curve between 0.84 (anxiety) and 0.79 (depression) among students[34].

Changes in mental health and perception of study situation during the COVID-19 pandemic

Furthermore we administered five self-developed items to assess changes in students' mental health and perception of the study situation: Students were asked whether their mental health has changed since the beginning of the pandemic with five answer options (clearly improved; rather improved; unchanged; rather worsened; clearly worsened). They were asked if the assessment of their study situation has changed in the context of the pandemic with three answer options (No, I am as worried or unworried as before; Yes, I am somewhat worried; Yes, I am seriously worried). Furthermore

1
2
3 students were asked if the pandemic affected their possibility to participate in exams with five options
4 to answer (No exams took place since the beginning of the pandemic; Exams took place without
5 changes; Exams did not take place, but a new date is scheduled; Exams did not take place and no new
6 date is scheduled yet; I was not able to attend exams due to quarantine or illness). Students were
7 asked if their study motivation had changed since the beginning of the pandemic. Answers were rated
8 on a 5-point Likert-type scale (clearly increased; rather increased; unchanged; rather decreased; clearly
9 decreased). Finally, students were asked for free text responses regarding the question what currently
10 is occupying them most in their current study situation and what they experience as particularly
11 helpful.
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20

21 Stress

22
23 In the second survey students' perception of stress was quantified with the Perceived Stress Scale (PSS-
24 4). The 4-item self-report instrument with reverse coding for two items assesses on a five-point Likert
25 scale the degree to which situations in one's life were perceived as stressful in the past month[35].
26 Higher scores on the PSS-4 indicate higher stress levels.
27
28
29
30
31
32

33 Burdening aspects

34
35 For the second data collection in July 2020, we developed six items based on the qualitative results
36 from the first survey to assess burdening aspects experienced by the students' quantitatively. The
37 respondents had the possibility to answer in four levels (1 = "not at all burdensome" to 4 = "very
38 burdensome").
39
40
41
42
43
44

45 Data analysis

46
47 We matched dental students to medical students according to age and gender in the ratio of 1:2 in
48 order to harmonize the initially inhomogeneous sample sizes and enhance comparability of the
49 samples. In May 2020, the final sample consisted of n=132 students (44 dental and 88 medical
50 students). In July 2020, the sample comprised 150 students (50 dental and 100 medical students). With
51 74% (t1) and 69% (t2) female students the gender ratio of the sample is comparable to the ratio of first
52 year students at German medical schools[36]. We used descriptive statistics to characterize the
53 sample. Group comparisons were carried out using chi²-tests for categorical variables and t-tests for
54 differences of means.
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 We conducted descriptive analyses to examine the magnitude of distress (DT), depression and anxiety
4 (PHQ-4), and stress (PSS-4). The results of the entire sample as well as of the subgroups (dental and
5 medical students) were compared with PHQ-4 data of a German medical student sample (n=321, mean
6 age=21.8 years, 60.7% women) from a previous study at the same faculty[8] with one-sample t-tests.
7
8 To examine the likelihood for serious worries (dichotomous) regarding the study situation during the
9 COVID-19 pandemic at t1 we conducted a binary logistic regression model with sex, subject of study,
10 the magnitude of distress, depression and anxiety as potential predictors. Non-significant variables
11 were excluded via backward elimination and dropped at the level of $p < 0.05$. We applied likelihood
12 ratio method, which is recommended for stepwise methods[37]. To avoid multicollinearity, we
13 analyzed Variable Inflation Factors (VIF) scores. We conducted effect size calculations and considered
14 according to Cohen's $f^2 = .02$ to be a small effect, $f^2 = .15$ as a medium effect, and $f^2 = .35$ as a large
15 effect[38]. All quantitative analyses were carried out using IBM SPSS version 27.

16
17 We used conventional content analyses with inductive categorization to analyze the free text
18 responses[39]. Two researchers familiarized themselves with the qualitative data (IH, JG). They
19 identified key concepts and generated labels of codes for recurring themes independently. Next, both
20 sorted codes into categories independently, which were reviewed by all authors. We developed final
21 definitions for categories and codes with iterative consultations and discussions until consensus was
22 achieved. We chose excerpts to exemplify each category and translated them into English. For the
23 qualitative data analysis, we used MAXQDA 2020 (VERBI Software, 2019).

24
25 Following the inductive categorization we recoded answers for each category into dichotomous
26 variables (mentioned vs. not mentioned) to enhance data transparency and to provide evidence for
27 our interpretation[40]. When students provided more than one category per response, all responses
28 respectively categories were categorized. The qualitative results of the first survey served as the basis
29 for developing six quantitative items for the second survey.

30
31 The local ethics board of the Center for Psychosocial Medicine at the University Medical Center
32 Hamburg-Eppendorf approved the study (LPEK-0161).

33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 Patient and Public Involvement

52
53 We did not involve patients in our study. Research questions were developed by the principle
54 investigators (CB, JG) and discussed with the Curriculumkomitee iMED, Hamburg (CK iMED) in April
55 2020. CK iMED is a committee consisting of students, teachers and a member of the dean's office,
56 which has the task of further developing and optimizing the structure and content of the reformed
57 medical degree program iMED. Subsequently all enrolled students were informed by e-mail about the
58 design, conduct, the outcome measures and the recruitment and encouraged to give feedback. After
59
60

publication a summary of the results will be presented in plain language on the website of the University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf.

RESULTS

Of 68 first year dental students enrolled in the dental education program at the UKE in May 2020, 44 (65.3%) completed the first survey (t1) in May 2020 and were matched to 88 medical first year students who completed the t1 survey as described above (overall response rate of the medical students was 87.2%). The response rate of the second survey (t2) was 81% among first year dental students and 82% among first year medical students. With 75.0% (t1) and 70.0% (t2) female dental students and 39.7% (t1) and 40.0% (t2) aged 20 years and younger, both samples are similar to the population of first year dental students at the faculty. The demographic characteristics of the final samples are shown in Table1.

Table 1: Sample characteristics among dental and medical students participating in the first ($n^1=132$) and second survey ($n^2=150$)

	First Survey (May 2020)			Second Survey (July 2020)		
	Whole sample (n=132)	Dental students (n ₁ =44)	Medical students (n ₁ =88)	Whole sample (n=150)	Dental students (n ₂ =50)	Medical students (n ₂ =100)
	%	%	%	%	%	%
sex:						
male	25.8	25.0	26.1	30.7	30.0	31.0
female	74.2	75.0	73.9	69.3	70.0	69.0
age:						
Up to 20 years	38.6	39.7	38.6	40.0	40.0	40.0
21-25 years	34.1	33.8	34.1	34.0	34.0	34.0
26-30 years	18.2	17.6	18.2	18.0	18.0	18.0
31-35 years	6.8	5.9	6.8	6.0	6.0	6.0
Older than 35 years	2.3	2.9	2.3	2.0	2.0	2.0

Distress, depression and anxiety

Overall, high levels of distress, anxiety and depression were found in both dental and medical students. Compared to a German reference sample [41] with an overall mean PHQ-4 score of 1.8 (SD=2.0) and of 1.5 (SD=2.0) for a student subsample, our samples reported on average significantly higher anxiety and depression (PHQ-4) scores at t1 and t2: In May 2020 79.5% of the dental students and 86.4% of the medical students reported moderate or severe distress scores and 25.0% of the dental students and 23.8% of the medical students reported moderate to severe anxiety and depression scores. In July

1
2
3 2020 dental students reported significantly higher levels of distress and anxiety than medical students
4 (Table 2). With regard to gender differences, the scores of male and female students did overall not
5 differ significantly in the whole sample at t1 and t2. However, female dental students (t1: M=4.7;
6 SD=2.6; t2: M=4.6; SD=2.8) reported significantly higher PHQ-4 scores than male dental students (t1:
7 M=2.8; SD= 1.5; t2: M=2.9; SD= 2.6) at t1 ($t(42) = -2.2, p = .031$) and t2 ($t(48) = -2.1, p = .043$).
8
9

10
11
12 In July 2020 (t2), dental students reported significantly higher scores of distress and anxiety than
13 medical students, while both groups were comparable with regard to their overall anxiety and
14 depression symptom burden (24.0% with moderate or severe symptoms in dental students, 19.0% in
15 medical students). With regard to self-perceived stress (only assessed in the second survey), dental
16 students reported higher stress levels than medical students (Table 2).
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Table 2: Amount of self-reported distress, depression and anxiety and stress among dental and medical students in May 2020 (n¹=132) and July 2020 (n²=150)

	May 2020						July 2020					
	Whole sample	Dental students (n=44)	Medical students (n=88)	t	df	p	Whole sample	Dental students (n=50)	Medical students (n=100)	t	df	p
	M (SD)	M (SD)	M (SD)				M (SD)	M (SD)	M (SD)			
Distress (range: 0-10)	6.4 (2.4)	6.3 (2.5)	6.5 (2.4)	0.31	130	.757	6.1 (2.2)	7.0 (2.3)	5.7 (2.1)	-3.57	148	<.001
Anxiety and depression (PHQ-4; range 0-12)	3.9 (2.5)	4.2 (2.5)	3.8 (2.5)	0.82	130	.417	3.7 (2.7)	4.1 (2.8)	3.6 (2.6)	1.13	148	.262
Anxiety (GAD-2; range 0-6)	1.9 (1.5)	2.1 (1.5)	1.9 (1.5)	0.79	130	.435	1.9 (1.6)	2.3 (1.8)	1.7 (1.4)	2.03	148	.045
Depression (PHQ-2; range 0-6)	2.0 (1.3)	2.1 (1.3)	1.9 (1.3)	0.66	130	.513	1.8 (1.4)	1.8 (1.3)	1.8 (1.4)	-0.13	148	.898
Self-perceived Stress (PSS-4; range 0-16)	-	-	-	-	-	-	5.6 (3.1)	6.1 (3.2)	5.3 (2.9)	1.53	148	.128
Anxiety and depression symptoms (PHQ4)	%	%	%	chi ²	df	p	%	%	%	chi ²	df	p
normal (0-2)	31.8	25.0	35.2	1.54	3	.674	38.0	36.0	39.0	0.56	3	.906
mild (3-5)	43.9	50.0	40.9				41.3	40.0	42.0			
moderate (6-8)	19.7	20.5	19.3				13.3	16.0	12.0			
severe (9-12)	4.5	4.5	4.5				7.3	8.0	7.0			
Distress by category	%	%	%	chi ²	df	p	%	%	%	chi ²	df	p
Normal (0-4)	15.9	20.5	13.6	1.02	2	.600	22.7	16.0	26.0	9.65	2	.008
Moderate (5-7)	50.8	47.7	52.3				49.3	40.0	54.0			
Severe (8-10)	33.3	31.8	34.1				28.0	44.0	20.0			
Over cutoff (≥5)	84.1	79.5	86.4	1.02	1	.313	77.3	84.0	74.0	1.90	1	.168

Note. n=number; M = mean; SD = standard deviation; df = degrees of freedom; p = p-value, significance level p<.05

Changes in mental health and perception of the study situation

Overall, about one third of the students (36.4% dental students vs. 29.5% medical students) reported that their mental health had worsened because of the pandemic at t1. Likewise, a total of 35.6% (45.5% dental vs. 30.7% medical students) reported a decrease in their study motivation at t1 and 36.7% at t2 (36.0% dental vs. 37.0% medical students). With regard to worries about the current study situation more medical students (t1: 46.4%; t2: 47.5%) than dental students (t1: 31.8%; t2: 34.7%) reported to be unperturbed and slightly more dental than medical students were seriously worried at t1 (18.2% vs. 6.0%) and at t2 (14.3% vs. 10.1%). However, in both surveys dental and medical students did not differ statistically significant regarding their self-reported changes of mental health, study motivation or worries about the current study situation (Table 3). Likewise, we did not find statistically significant differences between male and female students.

Table 3: Perception of current study situation, changes of self-reported mental health caused by the COVID-19 pandemic and changes of study motivation of dental and medical students in May 2020 (n¹=132) and July 2020 (n²=150)

May 2020							July 2020					
Worries about current study situation	Whole sample (129<n<132)	Dental students (n=44)	Medical students (84<n>88)	chi ²	df	p	Whole sample (148<n<150)	Dental students (49<n>50)	Medical students (99<n>100)	chi ²	df	p
	%	%	%				%	%	%			
Not worried	41.4	31.8	46.4	5.77	2	.056	43.2	34.7	47.5	2.27	2	.321
Somewhat worried	48.4	50.0	47.6				45.3	51.0	42.4			
Seriously worried	10.2	18.2	6.0				11.5	14.3	10.1			
Self-reported changes of mental health caused by the COVID-19 pandemic												
improved	19.7	22.7	18.2	1.52	2	.468	Not asked.					
unchanged	48.5	40.9	52.3									
worsened	31.8	36.4	29.5									
Self-reported changes of study motivation												
increased	13.6	11.4	14.8	2.79	2	.247	16.0	16.0	16.0	0.02	2	.992
unchanged	50.8	43.2	54.5				47.3	48.0	47.0			
decreased	35.6	45.5	30.7				36.7	36.0	37.0			
Burdening aspects¹	Not asked						Whole sample	Dental students (49<n>50)	Medical students (99<n>100)	chi²	df	p
Preparation for (final) exams	-	-	-	-	-	-	63.3	76.0	57.0	5.18	1	.023
Lack of practical training	-	-	-				43.3	52.0	39.0	2.29	1	.130
Few social contacts (private)	-	-	-				43.3	34.0	48.0	2.66	1	.103
Lack of interaction with fellow students	-	-	-				56.0	52.0	58.0	0.49	1	.485
Concomitants of the CoViD-19 pandemic (contact restrictions, mandatory facemasks, etc.)	-	-	-				22.0	24.0	21.0	0.18	1	.676
General uncertainty	-	-	-	36.0	54.0	27.0	10.55	1	.001			

1
2
3 Note: n=number; M = mean; SD = standard deviation; df = degrees of freedom; p = p -value, significance level $p < .05$

4 ¹dichotomized: burdened (s. Table above) including “somewhat burdensome” and “very burdensome” vs. not burdened including “not at all burdensome” and
5 “a little burdensome”
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46

For peer review only

Burdening aspects

Many of students felt burdened due to the lack of interaction with fellow students. In comparison to medical students, significantly more dental students stated that they were burdened with regard to the exams and the general uncertainty (Table 3).

Binary logistic regression indicated that dental students (OR: 4.0; CI (95%): 1.1 – 14.2) were significantly more likely to experience serious worries regarding the current study situation during COVID-19 than medical students in May 2020. In July 2020 higher distress (OR: 1.8; CI (95%): 1.3 – 2.5) was associated with a higher likelihood for reporting serious worries regarding the current study situation during COVID-19 (Table 4).

Table 4: Binary logistic regression models on the association of study subject, depression and distress with serious worries in dental and medical students during the COVID-19 pandemic.

May 2020	Odds ratio (OR)	95% confidence interval (CI)	<i>p</i>	effect size ¹
Subject of study				
dental	4.0		n.a.	.032
medical	Reference	1.1 – 14.2	.031	
Distress (DT)	1.4	0.9 -1.9	.078	
Depression symptoms (PHQ-2)	1.5	0.9 - 2.6	.091	
July 2020				
Distress (DT)	1.8	1.3 – 2.5	.001	0.24

¹According to Cohen's guidelines (1988) we considered $f^2 = .02$ to be a small effect, $f^2 = .15$ as a medium effect, and $f^2 = .35$ as a large effect.

Current concerns and helpful aspects

A total of 52 students (39.4%) provided optional free-text responses regarding the question "What is currently occupying you most in your current study situation?". We identified five categories in a multistage inductive process. The most frequent categories were "difficulties with self-regulated learning and self-motivation", followed by "study-related worries and uncertainty", "lack of feedback from other students and lecturers" and "lack of practical training". Significantly more dental (20.5%) than medical students (4.5%) reported concerns about the lack of practical training ($\chi^2 = 8.4$; $df = 1$; $p = .004$) (Table 5).

Table 5: Categories, examples and quantified responses by category for the questions “What is currently occupying you most in your current study situation?” in May 2020 (n=132)

What is currently occupying you most?		Whole sample (n=132) mentioned	Dental students (n=44) mentioned	Medical students (n=88) mentioned			
Category and subcategory	Example	%	%	%	Chi ²	df	p
Difficulties with self-regulated learning (n = 20; 15.2%) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Self-Motivation • Difficulties with new learning environment 	<p>"Self-motivation- the exchange with fellow students and lecturers is missing, and therefore one has to motivate oneself every day anew to sit down at home (the library as a room for studying is also missing) and to motivate oneself to nevertheless 'attend' all study courses in time and to catch up on the study-work."</p> <p>"Since I don't have the proper learning environment at home, I found it difficult to learn the very extensive content in an appropriate manner."</p>	15.2	15.9	14.8	0.03	1	.864
Study-related worries and uncertainty (n = 19; 14.4%) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Concerns to perform worse/ to fail • Study-related uncertainty 	<p>"Extreme pressure to teach myself the mass of content in a short time through e-learning in order to succeed on the exam."</p> <p>"The uncertainty of if and when face-to-face classes can be held again, and if potentially my study time will be extended due to this pandemic."</p>	14.4	20.5	11.4	1.97	1	.161

Lack of feedback from other students and lecturers (n = 15; 11.4%)	"Due to the online format, the exchange with fellow students and the lecturers is missing. (...)." 	11.4	11.4	11.4	0.00	1	1.000
Lack of practical training (n = 13; 9.8%)	"The practical components in dental education are essential and (...) are now being cancelled. (...)." 	9.8	20.5	4.5	8.36	1	.004
Other (n = 9; 6.8%)	"Lack of available childcare. Learning with (...) children at home is a challenge." 	6.8	11.4	4.5	2.15	1	.143

Note: n=number; df = degrees of freedom; p = p-value, significance level p<.05

For peer review only

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46

1
2
3 Furthermore, 53 students (40.2%) completed optional free-text responses to the question "What do
4 you currently experience as particularly helpful?". Again five categories were identified through a
5 multi-step inductive process. The most common categories were "Exchange with other students and
6 lecturers", followed by "flexibility due to online lessons", "self-regulation, -motivation and -
7 structuring", and "balance through sports and leisure". Dental and medical students did not differ
8 significantly in their answers with regard to helpful aspects (Table 6).
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Table 6: Categories, examples and quantified responses by category for the questions “What do you currently experience as particularly helpful?” in May 2020 (n=132)

What do you currently experience as particularly helpful?		Whole sample (n=132) mentioned	Dental students (n=44) mentioned	Medical students (n=88) mentioned			
Category	Example	%	%	%	Chi ²	df	<i>p</i>
Exchange with students and lecturers (n = 18; 13.6%)	"Intensive exchange with fellow students and lecturers."	13.6	15.9	12.5	0.29	1	.591
Flexibility due to online lessons (n = 17; 12.9%)	"The online semester also brings many advantages. You can arrange everything yourself and work at your own pace. This also takes away a lot of stress for me personally (...)"	12.9	15.9	11.4	0.54	1	.462
Structure and self-discipline (n = 13; 9.8%)	"Studying online to regain routine in everyday life."	9.8	11.4	9.1	0.17	1	.680
Balance through sports and leisure (n = 11; 8.3%)	"The fact that I can do my team sports again, that gives me enough variety and distraction "	8.3	11.4	6.8	0.79	1	.373
Other (n = 9; 6.8%)	"Encouragement of friends and family"	6.8	9.1	5.7	0.54	1	.464

Note: n=number; df = degrees of freedom; *p* = *p*-value, significance level *p*<.05

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46

For peer review only

DISCUSSION

This study investigated the mental burden and study situation among undergraduate dental and medical students after the initial stage of the COVID-19 pandemic from May 2020 to July 2020. In line with previous studies conducted before the pandemic dental and medical students reported high levels of distress, anxiety and depression[8, 12, 42]. Compared to the norm population students of both professions reported significantly higher levels of anxiety and depression, consistent with findings of other studies[8, 43]. In light of the COVID-19 pandemic, the presence of additional pandemic specific stressors is likely[22]. A recent study showed that for most students isolation from student social networks is associated with increased anxiety levels[24]. According to our qualitative data, the lack of direct contact with fellow students, which could only take place via online media, affects both student professions negatively. These findings complement a recent empirical study in which undergraduate medical students reported lack of interactions with peers, faculty, and patients in practice as negative aspects of digital teaching[44]. For dental students, the lack of practical training played a major role, as dentists usually take dental courses from the first semester onwards to learn the practical skills from early stage on[45]. In comparison, this issue does not yet affect medical students as much in the early stages of their studies, as the undergraduate medical curriculum in Germany includes fewer practical training during this time[46]. In sum this probably accounts for our findings that reported levels of anxiety and depression are even higher than among students of the same medical school prior to the Corona pandemic[8].

With regard to the study situation, medical and in particular dental students were concerned about their current study situation. This trend continued in the further course of the pandemic. These results corroborate recent findings in a representative sample in Austria with a notable decline in mental health during the pandemic[47].

In line with the findings of Stangvaltaite-Mouhat et al. (2020) female dental students showed more pronounced anxiety symptoms than male dental students in our study[11]. This underlines that mental burden may be higher among female students in general[48]. Recent studies also suggest that women are particular burdened during the COVID-19 pandemic[47, 49, 50, 51, 52]. Furthermore several studies showed that certain age groups, including individuals between 18 and 25 years old - the most common age group in students - suffered more from mental distress[47, 49, 51, 53]. Qiu et al. (2020) suspect social media to be one of the causes[49]. Previous research seems to confirm this hypothesis as younger people tend to receive a large amount of information via social media, which can easily trigger stress[54]. The easy accessibility and constant presence of pandemic information may lead to an increase in the duration of information consumption. This increasing duration reinforces the potential negative influence on mental health[55]. A noteworthy finding is that dental students

1
2
3 reported increased levels of distress, anxiety and more worries in the second survey whereas medical
4 students' scores were slightly lower at the second survey.
5

6
7 There are some limitations of our study. The cross-sectional surveys at two different times do not allow
8 causal statements about longitudinal developments. Another limitation is the univariate nature of the
9 analysis conducted. The univariate model is less comprehensive compared to multivariate models and
10 does not consider the potential influence of other factors. The small sample size limits
11 representativeness. However, due to the high response rates (65-87% in the first and 81-82% in the
12 second survey) one may assume that a majority of the respondents participated in both surveys. Thus,
13 these single-institutional and cross-sectional surveys achieve a high level of comparability and
14 representativeness. Still there was a considerable proportion of students that did not participate in the
15 surveys, which might lead to a volunteer bias[56]. Nonvolunteers may encompass students who suffer
16 from high levels of distress, anxiety and/or depression. Thus some of the parameters reported in the
17 study may be underestimating the true burden.
18
19

20
21 Furthermore, no comparisons can be made with the situation before the outbreak of the pandemic,
22 among the same population. Nevertheless, the study by Heinen et al. (2017) conducted at the same
23 medical school with the same measures serves as a valid context to frame our findings[8].
24
25

26
27 Considering the worsened levels of psychological distress among students during the COVID-19
28 pandemic [57, 58] academic institutions should provide timely services in terms of tailored
29 interventions, which address the specific worries of dental and medical students. Other authors
30 already provided valuable and concrete recommendations how to reduce the impact of COVID-19 on
31 student-athlete mental health [59, 60]. Grubic et al. (2021) proposed a framework to manage student-
32 athlete mental health during the pandemic including "goal setting/motivation" and "support
33 system/social network" as potential positive influencers. These aspects could be addressed by medical
34 schools in the form of mentoring. Mentoring was identified as valuable intervention for undergraduate
35 medical students due to its association with improved emotional well-being of students before the
36 pandemic[61]. During the pandemic a novel near-peer mentoring intervention via social media was
37 reported with promising first results[62]. Thus, the implementation of mentoring at medical schools
38 or the transfer of existing mentoring interventions digital formats might help to reduce the negative
39 impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on dental and medical students. At the same time longitudinal
40 research is needed to monitor the mental health of students during the pandemic and after as well as
41 rigor evaluation of all interventions.
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55

56 57 Conclusion

58
59 Overall students of medicine and dentistry are particularly affected by high mental distress and burden
60 due to the demanding contents and structure of their studies. It is likely that the concomitant

1
2
3 symptoms of the pandemic have an additional negative impact on the levels of stress, anxiety and
4 depression of the students.
5

6
7 Especially dental students reported higher levels of burden which might be associated with the high
8 practical content early in their studies. The increase in anxiety levels of dental students might be
9 associated with the duration of the restrictions of especially the practical content during the
10 undergraduate study. Against this background, further monitoring of both dental and medical students
11 during the pandemic would be important in order to develop and introduce tailored prevention
12 concepts adapted to the specific study situation.
13
14
15
16

17
18
19
20 **Acknowledgements:** We would like to thank all students who participated in the study and the
21 evaluation team of the faculty who administered the online survey.
22
23

24
25
26 **Author contributions:** JG designed the study and contributed to the analysis and interpretation of the
27 data. She critically revised the manuscript during the internal revision process and wrote continuing
28 drafts as well as the final draft of the manuscript. ASW analyzed the data and contributed to the
29 interpretation of the data. She wrote the first draft of the manuscript and critically revised the
30 manuscript during the internal revision process. IH contributed to the analysis and interpretation of
31 the data. She was involved in drafting the article and critically revised the manuscript during the
32 internal revision process. CB designed the study, analyzed the data and contributed to the
33 interpretation of the data. She wrote the first draft of the manuscript and critically revised the
34 manuscript during the internal revision process. All authors gave their final approval of the version to
35 be published and agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related
36 to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44

45
46 **Funding:** This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial
47 or not-for-profit sectors
48

49
50 **Competing interests:** The authors declare that they have no competing interests.
51

52 **Patient consent form:** Not required.
53

54
55 **Ethics approval:** Institutional ethical approval was obtained from the local ethics board of the Center
56 for Psychosocial Medicine at the University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf, approval number
57 LPEK-0161, prior to commencement of this research. Only students who provided informed consent
58 were able to participate in the study.
59
60

Data availability statement: As ethical approval was not obtained to make data sharing possible outside of the listed research team, no additional data are available.

References

1. Vindegaard N, Benros ME. COVID-19 pandemic and mental health consequences: Systematic review of the current evidence. *Brain Behav Immun* 2020;89:531-42.
2. Empfehlungen II der Deutschen Hochschulmedizin zum Studium der Humanmedizin und Zahnmedizin während der aktuellen COVID-19-Pandemie, 18.03.2020.: Verband der Universitätsklinika Deutschlands und MFT Medizinischer Fakultätentag; 2020. https://www.uniklinika.de/fileadmin/user_upload/Covid-19-Empfehlungen_DHM_zum_Medizinstudium_2020-03-18.pdf (accessed 8 Jun 2020).
3. Whelan A, Prescott J, Young G, Catanese VM. Guidance on Medical Students' Clinical Participation: Effective Immediately.: Association of American Academic Colleges.; 2020 [updated March 17, 2020]. <https://lcme.org/wp-content/uploads/filebase/March-17-2020-Guidance-on-Medical-Students-Clinical-Participation.pdf>. (accessed 8 Jun 2020).
4. Guse J, Heinen I, Kurre J, Mohr S, Bergelt C. Perception of the study situation and mental burden during the COVID-19 pandemic among undergraduate medical students with and without mentoring. *GMS J Med Educ* 2020;37(7):Doc72.
5. Miller DG, Pierson L, Doernberg S. The Role of Medical Students During the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Ann Intern Med*. 2020; Menon A, Klein EJ, Kollars K, Kleinhenz ALW. Medical Students Are Not Essential Workers: Examining Institutional Responsibility During the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Acad Med* 2020;95(8):1149-51.
6. Schwenk TL, Davis L, Wimsatt LA. Depression, stigma, and suicidal ideation in medical students. *JAMA*. 2010;304(11):1181-90; Bacchi S, Licinio J. Resilience and Psychological Distress in Psychology and Medical Students. *Acad Psychiatry* 2017;41(2):185-8.
7. Hope V, Henderson M. Medical student depression, anxiety and distress outside North America: a systematic review. *Med Educ* 2014;48(10):963-79.
8. Heinen I, Bullinger M, Kocalevent RD. Perceived stress in first year medical students - associations with personal resources and emotional distress. *BMC Med Educ* 2017;17(1):4.
9. Knipe D, Maughan C, Gilbert J, Dymock D, Moran P, Gunnell D. Mental health in medical, dentistry and veterinary students: cross-sectional online survey. *BJPsych Open* 2018;4(6):441-6.
10. Puthran R, Zhang MW, Tam WW, Ho RC. Prevalence of depression amongst medical students: a meta-analysis. *Med Educ* 2016;50(4):456-68.

11. Stangvaltaite-Mouhat L, Puriene A, Chalas R et al. Self-reported psychological problems amongst undergraduate dental students: A pilot study in seven European countries. *Eur J Dent Educ* 2020;24(2):341-50.
12. Basudan S, Binanzan N, Alhassan A. Depression, anxiety and stress in dental students. *Int J Med Educ* 2017;8:179-86.
13. Bathla M, Singh M, Kulhara P et al. Evaluation of anxiety, depression and suicidal intent in undergraduate dental students: A cross-sectional study. *Contemp Clin Dent* 2015;6(2):215-22.
14. Jurkat H, Hofer S, Richter L et al. [Quality of life, stress management and health promotion in medical and dental students. A comparative study]. *Dtsch Med Wochenschr* 2011;136(23):1245-50.
15. Harris RC, Millichamp CJ, Thomson WM. Stress and coping in fourth-year medical and dental students. *N Z Dent J* 2015;111(3):102-8.
16. Astill S, Ricketts N, Singh LA et al. Environmental and perceived stress in Australian dental undergraduates: Preliminary outcomes. *J Dent Res Dent Clin Dent Prospects* 2016;10(4):270-9.
17. Petzold MB, Plag J, Ströhle A. Dealing with psychological distress by healthcare professionals during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Der Nervenarzt* 2020;91(5):417-21.
18. Hawryluck L, Gold WL, Robinson S et al. SARS control and psychological effects of quarantine, Toronto, Canada. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2004;10(7):1206-12.
19. Wang C, Pan R, Wan X et al. Immediate Psychological Responses and Associated Factors during the Initial Stage of the 2019 Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19) Epidemic among the General Population in China. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2020;17(5).
20. Lai J, Ma S, Wang Y et al. Factors Associated With Mental Health Outcomes Among Health Care Workers Exposed to Coronavirus Disease 2019. *JAMA Netw Open*. 2020;3(3):e203976.
21. Bao Y, Sun Y, Meng S et al. 2019-nCoV epidemic: address mental health care to empower society. *Lancet* 2020;395(10224):e37-e8.
22. Inter-Agency Standing Committee (IASC). IASC Guidance on Operational considerations for Multisectoral Mental Health and Psychosocial Support Programmes during the COVID-19 Pandemic IASC Guidance on Operational considerations for Multisectoral MHPSS Programmes during the COVID-19 Pandemic.pdf [updated 25 Jun 2020] (accessed 18 Mar 2020).
23. Odriozola-González P, Planchuelo-Gómez Á, Irurtia MJ et al. Psychological effects of the COVID-19 outbreak and lockdown among students and workers of a Spanish university. *Psychiatry Research* 2020;290:113108.
24. Elmer T, Mepham K, Stadtfeld C. Students under lockdown: Comparisons of students' social networks and mental health before and during the COVID-19 crisis in Switzerland. *PLoS One* 2020;15(7):e0236337.

- 1
2
3 25. Li Y, Wang Y, Jiang J et al. Psychological distress among health professional students during the COVID-
4 19 outbreak. *Psychological Medicine* 2020;1-3.
- 6 26. O'Byrne L, Gavin B, McNicholas F. Medical students and COVID-19: the need for pandemic
7 preparedness. *J Med Ethics* 2020;46(9):623-6.
- 9 27. [dataset] Steinmetz, H., Batzdorfer, V., Bosnjak, M. Data from: The ZPID lockdown measures dataset.
10 ZPID Science Information Online, 20(1). 8 June 2020. <http://dx.doi.org/10.23668/psycharchives.3019>.
- 12 28. <https://www.hrk.de/activities/the-covid-19-pandemic-and-the-german-universities/> [website].
13 Berlin: HRK German Rectors' Conference (updated 2020 July 28, accessed 29 Jul 2020).
- 15 29. Rose S. Medical Student Education in the Time of COVID-19. *JAMA*. 2020.
- 17 30. Gerhard-Szep S, Güntsch A, Pospiech P et al. Assessment formats in dental medicine: An overview.
18 *GMS J Med Educ* 2016;33(4):Doc65.
- 19 31. Rheingans A, Soulos A, Mohr S, Meyer J, Guse AH. The Hamburg integrated medical degree program
20 iMED. *GMS J Med Educ* 2019;36(5):Doc52.
- 22 32. Mehnert A, Müller D, Lehmann C, Koch U. Die deutsche Version des NCCN Distress-Thermometers.
23 *Zeitschrift für Psychiatrie, Psychologie und Psychotherapie* 2006;54(3):213-23.
- 25 33. Kroenke K, Spitzer RL, Williams JB, Lowe B. An ultra-brief screening scale for anxiety and depression:
26 the PHQ-4. *Psychosomatics*. 2009;50(6):613-21.
- 28 34. Khubchandani J, Brey R, Kotecki J, Kleinfelder J, Anderson J. The Psychometric Properties of PHQ-4
29 Depression and Anxiety Screening Scale Among College Students. *Archives of Psychiatric Nursing*
30 2016;30(4):457-62.
- 32 35. Cohen S, Kamarck T, Mermelstein R. A global measure of perceived stress. *J Health Soc Behav*
33 1983;24(4):385-96.
- 35 36. Studierende: Deutschland, Semester, Nationalität, Geschlecht, Studienfach [website]. <https://www-genesis.destatis.de/genesis/online?operation=previous&levelindex=1&step=1&titel=Ergebnis&levelid=1616753759559&acceptcookies=false#abreadcrumb> (accessed 26 Mar 2021).
- 37 37. Field A. *Discovering statistics using IBM SPSS statistics : and sex and drugs and rock 'n' roll*. 4. ed. Los
38 Angeles [i.a.]: Sage; 2013.
- 40 38. Cohen J. *Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences*. 2. ed. Hillsdale, NJ [i.a.]: Erlbaum; 1988.
- 42 39. Hsieh HF, Shannon SE. Three approaches to qualitative content analysis. *Qualitative health research*
43 2005;15(9):1277-88.
- 45 40. Monrouxe LV, Rees CE. When I say ... quantification in qualitative research. *Med Educ* 2020;54(3):186-
46 7.
- 48 41. Löwe B, Wahl I, Rose M et al. A 4-item measure of depression and anxiety: validation and
49 standardization of the Patient Health Questionnaire-4 (PHQ-4) in the general population. *J Affect*
50 *Disord* 2010;122(1-2):86-95.
- 52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 42. Jiménez-Ortiz JL, Islas-Valle RM, Jiménez-Ortiz JD, Pérez-Lizárraga E, Hernández-García ME, González-
4 Salazar F. Emotional exhaustion, burnout, and perceived stress in dental students. *Journal of*
5 *International Medical Research* 2019;47(9):4251-9.
6
7
8 43. Stormon N, Ford PJ, Kisely S, Bartle E, Eley DS. Depression, anxiety and stress in a cohort of Australian
9 dentistry students. *Eur J Dent Educ* 2019;23(4):507-14.
10
11 44. Mohr S, Küfe B, Rheingans A, Guse, J. Attitude Towards and Adoption of the Novel Learning
12 Environment Among Undergraduate Medical Students During COVID-19. *Front Educ* 2021;6:714526.
13
14 45. Mekhemar M, Conrad J, Attia S, Dörfer C. Oral Health Attitudes among Preclinical and Clinical Dental
15 Students in Germany. *International journal of environmental research and public health*
16 2020;17(12):4253.
17
18
19
20 46. Chenot JF. Undergraduate medical education in Germany. *Ger Med Sci* 2009;7:Doc02.
21
22 47. Dale R, Budimir S, Probst T, Stippl P, Pieh C. Mental Health during the COVID-19 Lockdown over the
23 Christmas Period in Austria and the Effects of Sociodemographic and Lifestyle Factors. *Int J Environ Res*
24 *Public Health* 2021;18(7).
25
26 48. Dyrbye LN, Thomas MR, Shanafelt TD. Systematic review of depression, anxiety, and other indicators
27 of psychological distress among U.S. and Canadian medical students. *Acad Med* 2006;81(4):354-73.
28
29 49. Qiu J, Shen B, Zhao M, Wang Z, Xie B, Xu Y. A nationwide survey of psychological distress among
30 Chinese people in the COVID-19 epidemic: implications and policy recommendations. *General*
31 *psychiatry* 2020;33(2):e100213.
32
33
34 50. Park CL, Russell BS, Fendrich M, Finkelstein-Fox L, Hutchison M, Becker J. Americans' COVID-19 Stress,
35 Coping, and Adherence to CDC Guidelines. *Journal of general internal medicine* 2020;35(8):2296-303.;
36
37 51. Liu N, Zhang F, Wei C, Jia Y, Shang Z, Sun L, et al. Prevalence and predictors of PTSS during COVID-19
38 outbreak in China hardest-hit areas: Gender differences matter. *Psychiatry Res* 2020;287:112921.
39
40
41 52. Pieh C, Budimir S, Probst T. The effect of age, gender, income, work, and physical activity on mental
42 health during coronavirus disease (COVID-19) lockdown in Austria (vol 136, 110186, 2020). *J*
43 *Psychosom Res* 2020;139.
44
45
46 53. Brooks SK, Webster RK, Smith LE et al. The psychological impact of quarantine and how to reduce it:
47 rapid review of the evidence. *Lancet* 2020;395(10227):912-20.
48
49
50 54. Gabriel R, Röhrs HP. Social Media: Springer Gabler; 2017.
51
52 55. Huang Y, Zhao N. Generalized anxiety disorder, depressive symptoms and sleep quality during COVID-
53 19 outbreak in China: a web-based cross-sectional survey. *Psychiatry Res* 2020;288:112954.
54
55
56 56. Salkind NJ. (2010). Encyclopedia of research design: Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE Publications; 2010.
57
58 57. Cao W, Fang Z, Hou G, Han M, Xu X, Dong J, Zheng J. The psychological impact of the COVID-19 epidemic
59 on college students in China. *Psychiatry Res.* 2020;287:112934.
60

- 1
2
3 58. Zhang Y, Zhang H, Ma X, Di Q. Mental Health Problems during the COVID-19 Pandemics and the
4 Mitigation Effects of Exercise: A Longitudinal Study of College Students in China. *Int. J. Environ. Res.*
5 *Public Health* 2020; 17(10):3722.
6
7
8
9 59. Grubic N, Badovinac S, Johri AM. Student mental health in the midst of the COVID-19 pandemic: A call
10 for further research and immediate solutions. *Int J Soc Psychiatry* 2020;66(5):517-518.
11
12
13 60. Grubic N, Jain S, Mihajlovic V, Thornton JS, Johri AM. Competing against COVID-19: have we forgotten
14 about student-athletes' mental health? *Br J Sports Med* 2021;55(17):950-951.
15
16
17 61. Wasson LT, Cusmano A, Meli L, Louh I, Falzon L, Hampsey M, Young G, Shaffer J, Davidson KW.
18 Association Between Learning Environment Interventions and Medical Student Well-being: A
19 Systematic Review. *JAMA*. 2016 Dec 6;316(21):2237-2252.
20
21
22 62. Rastegar Kazerooni A, Amini M, Tabari P, Moosavi M. Peer mentoring for medical students during the
23 COVID-19 pandemic via a social media platform. *Med Educ* 2020;54(8):762-763.
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Reporting checklist for cross sectional study.

Based on the STROBE cross sectional guidelines.

Instructions to authors

Complete this checklist by entering the page numbers from your manuscript where readers will find each of the items listed below.

Your article may not currently address all the items on the checklist. Please modify your text to include the missing information. If you are certain that an item does not apply, please write "n/a" and provide a short explanation.

Upload your completed checklist as an extra file when you submit to a journal.

In your methods section, say that you used the STROBE cross sectional reporting guidelines, and cite them as:

von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, Pocock SJ, Gøtzsche PC, Vandenbroucke JP. The Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) Statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies.

		Page
	Reporting Item	Number
Title and abstract		
Title	#1a Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract	1

1	Abstract	#1b	Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary	2
2				
3				
4			of what was done and what was found	
5				
6	Introduction			
7				
8				
9				
10	Background /	#2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the	3
11	rationale		investigation being reported	
12				
13				
14				
15	Objectives	#3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified	4
16			hypotheses	
17				
18				
19				
20	Methods			
21				
22				
23	Study design	#4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	4
24				
25				
26	Setting	#5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including	4
27			periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data	
28			collection	
29				
30				
31	Eligibility criteria	#6a	Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of	4
32			selection of participants.	
33				
34				
35				
36				
37				
38				
39				
40		#7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential	5
41			confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if	
42			applicable	
43				
44				
45				
46				
47	Data sources /	#8	For each variable of interest give sources of data and details	5
48	measurement		of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe	
49			comparability of assessment methods if there is more than	
50			one group. Give information separately for for exposed and	
51			unexposed groups if applicable.	
52				
53				
54				
55				
56				
57				
58				
59				
60				

1	Bias	#9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	7
2				
3				
4	Study size	#10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	4
5				
6				
7	Quantitative	#11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the	6
8	variables		analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were	
9			chosen, and why	
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15	Statistical	#12a	Describe all statistical methods, including those used to	6
16	methods		control for confounding	
17				
18				
19				
20	Statistical	#12b	Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and	6
21	methods		interactions	
22				
23				
24				
25				
26	Statistical	#12c	Explain how missing data were addressed	4
27	methods			
28				
29				
30				
31	Statistical	#12d	If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of	n/a
32	methods		sampling strategy	
33				
34				
35				
36	Statistical	#12e	Describe any sensitivity analyses	n/a
37	methods			
38				
39				
40				
41				
42	Results			
43				
44				
45	Participants	#13a	Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg	7
46			numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility,	
47			confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-	
48			up, and analysed. Give information separately for for	
49			exposed and unexposed groups if applicable.	
50				
51				
52				
53				
54				
55				
56				
57	Participants	#13b	Give reasons for non-participation at each stage	n/a
58				
59				
60				

1	Participants	#13c	Consider use of a flow diagram	n/a
2				
3				
4	Descriptive data	#14a	Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic,	7-8
5			clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential	
6			confounders. Give information separately for exposed and	
7			unexposed groups if applicable.	
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14	Descriptive data	#14b	Indicate number of participants with missing data for each	9; 11
15			variable of interest	
16				
17				
18				
19	Outcome data	#15	Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures.	n/a
20			Give information separately for exposed and unexposed	
21			groups if applicable.	
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27	Main results	#16a	Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-	n/a
28			adjusted estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence	
29			interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for	
30			and why they were included	
31				
32				
33				
34				
35				
36				
37	Main results	#16b	Report category boundaries when continuous variables were	9
38			categorized	
39				
40				
41				
42	Main results	#16c	If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into	n/a
43			absolute risk for a meaningful time period	
44				
45				
46				
47				
48	Other analyses	#17	Report other analyses done—e.g., analyses of subgroups	n/a
49			and interactions, and sensitivity analyses	
50				
51				
52				
53	Discussion			
54				
55				
56	Key results	#18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	17
57				
58				
59				
60				

1	Limitations	#19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources	18
2			of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and	
3			magnitude of any potential bias.	
4				
5				
6				
7				
8				
9	Interpretation	#20	Give a cautious overall interpretation considering objectives,	18
10			limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar	
11			studies, and other relevant evidence.	
12				
13				
14				
15				
16	Generalisability	#21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study	18
17			results.	
18				
19				
20				
21				
22	Other Information			
23				
24				
25	Funding	#22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the	18
26			present study and, if applicable, for the original study on	
27			which the present article is based	
28				
29				
30				
31				
32				

33 None The STROBE checklist is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution
34 License CC-BY. This checklist can be completed online using <https://www.goodreports.org/>, a tool
35 made by the [EQUATOR Network](#) in collaboration with [Penelope.ai](#)
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60