Supplementary Material

Architectural Design and Training of DPN-SA

A deep stacked sparse autoencoder consists of multiple encoders and decoders to learn the identity function of the feature vectors, stacked to each other, with the setup of sparsity constraints in the hidden layers, whose neurons can be activated or not depending on the input.[1]

$$e^{(1)}(i) = f(W^{(1)}x(i) + b^{(1)})$$
(1)

$$e^{(2)}(i) = f(W^{(2)}e^{(1)}(i) + b^{(2)})$$
(2)

$$d^{(1)}(i) = f(W^{(2)T}e^{(2)}(i) + b^{(3)})$$
(3)

$$d^{(2)}(i) = f(W^{(1)T}d^{(1)}(i) + b^{(4)})$$
(4)

$$x'(i) = W^{(3)}d^{(2)}(i) + b^{(5)}$$
(5)

where: $e^{(l)}(i)$, $d^{(l)}(i)$, x'(i) are the activations of the encoder, decoder and the reconstructed input of l^{th} layer for the i^{th} sample, respectively; $W^{(1)}$ and $W^{(2)}$ are the weight matrices of encoder 1 and encoder 2, having sizes $s1 \times d$ and $s2 \times s1$; $W^{(2)T}$ and $W^{(1)T}$ are the weight matrices of decoder 1 and decoder 2, having sizes $s1 \times s2$ and $d \times s1$; s(l) denotes size or number of neurons of l^{th} layer; $b^{(l)}$, $b^{(2)}$, $b^{(3)}$, $b^{(4)}$ are

the biases of encoder layer 1 and 2 and decoder layer 1 and 2 respectively and f(.) is the activation function (tanh).

After reconstructing the a sample input feature x(i), the objective function of the sparse autoencoder $J_{sparse}(W, b)$ has to be minimized:

$$J(W,b) = \left[\frac{1}{2N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} |x'_{W,b}(i) - x(i)|^2\right] + \frac{\lambda}{2N} \sum_{l=1}^{L} |W^{(l)}|_F^2$$
 (6)

$$J_{sparse}(W,b) = J(W,b) + \beta \sum_{j=1}^{s2} KL(\rho||\rho_j)$$
(7)

where λ is the regularization parameter, $W^{(l)}$ is the weight matrix corresponding to l^{th} layer of the network, s2 is the number of neurons in the 2^{nd} hidden layer, β is the weight of the sparsity penalty, KL is the Kullback-Leibler divergence, ρ is the sparsity constraint or sparsity parameter and ρ_j is the average activation of layer 2 (with s2 neurons), and the subscript F is the Frobenius norm (equivalent to the squared norm of the weight matrix). The $J_{sparse}(W,b)$ is minimized using backpropagation, for K_{sa} number of epochs.

The DPN-SA is trained in two phases. In the first phase the sparse autoencoder is trained and optimized $(K_{sa} \text{ epochs})$. The parameters (W, b) are updated in each iteration by the Adam optimizer. The Adam is an optimization algorithm for stochastic gradient descent designed for training deep learning models.[2] After the sparse autoencoder has learnt the latent representation of the covariates, the decoder part is removed and a softmax classifier is attached to the end of the last encoder layer. The softmax classifier is trained for K_c number of epochs. The final network gives the estimation of the propensity score $\pi(x)$. Algorithm 1 describes the two-phase procedures to obtain the final DPN-SA.

Algorithm 1. Training of the Deep Propensity Network using a Sparse Autoencoder (DPN-SA)

Input: Dataset batches B_b of random samples with assigned treatment T training set X_{train} , number of epochs K_s , K_c , learning rates lr_{SA} , lr_c for the sparse autoencoder and the *softmax* classifier, respectively.

Output: Propensity Scores PS_{out} for each sample $i = 1 \dots N$.

- 1: **Procedure:**
- 2: Initialize the weights $W^{(l)}$, $b^{(l)}$ of the sparse autoencoder.
- 3: **for** iteration epochs = 1, 2, ... K_s **do**
- 4: **for** dataset batches = 1,2,.. B in X_{batch}
- 5: Compute x'_{batch} using forward propagation algorithm.
- 6: Compute J_{sparse} .
- 7: Compute Gradient: $\nabla(J_{sparse})$
- 8: Optimize weights using Adam optimizer: $(W^{(l)}, b^{(l)}) \leftarrow Adam(X_{batch}, W^{(l)}, b^{(l)})$
- 9: end for
- 10: end for
- 11: Remove the decoder from the sparse autoencoder
- 12: Attach a softmax classifier to the last encoder
- 13: Initialize $W^{(c)}$, $b^{(c)}$ of the classifier
- 14: $PS_{out} \leftarrow \text{Empty}$
- 15: **for** iteration epochs = $1, 2, ... K_c$ **do**
- 16: **for** dataset batches = 1,2,.. B in X_{batch}
- 17: $(W^{(l)}, b^{(l)}, W^{(c)}, b^{(c)}) \leftarrow Adam(X_{batch}, W^{(l)}, b^{(l)}, W^{(c)}, b^{(c)})$
- 18: Get the propensity score $\pi(T \mid X_{batch})$ and add to PS_{out}
- 19: end for
- 20: end for

Detailed characteristics of the sample population

Table S1. The original IHDP dataset (https://github.com/vdorie/npci/tree/master/examples/ihdp_sim).

Variable	All patients (985)	T=1	T=0
		(377; 38.2%)	(608; 61.8%)
1.	Avg = 1795.86701	Avg = 1819.32361	Avg = 1781.32361
bw	Std = 457.219401	Std = 438.809313	Std = 468.76
b.head	Avg = 29.4277563	Avg = 29.4677563	Avg = 29.04
U.Head	Std = 2.46631306	Std = 2.32608452	Std = 2.55
protorm	Avg = 6.98172589	Avg = 6.95755968	Avg = 6.996
preterm	Std = 2.67927742	Std = 2.52188345	Std = 2.77
Birth.o	Avg = 1.8964467	Avg = 1.90185676	Avg = 1.896
	Std = 0.998697	Std = 1.03831991	Std = 0.97
nn.health	Avg = 99.9949239	Avg = 100.657825	Avg = 99.58
	Std = 15.8785717	Std = 15.9741196	Std = 15.88

	Avg = 24.7715736	Avg = 24.5862069	Avg = 24.88
momage	Std = 6.0335	Std = 5.93211784	Std = 6.09
Sex - male	499 (50.6%)	187(49.6%)	312(51.3%)
twin	97(9.84%)	39(10.34%)	58(9.5%)
b.marr	455(46.19%)	160(42.44%)	295(48.5%)
Mom.lths	394(40%)	162(42.99%)	232(38.1%)
Mom.hs	270(27.4%)	104(27.6%)	166(27.3%)
Mom.scoll	197(20%)	63(16.7%)	134(22.03%)
cig	346(35.1%)	131(34.7%)	215(35.5%)
first	542(55.02%)	177(46.9%)	365(60.1%)
booze	128(12.9%)	43(11.4%)	85(13.07%)
drugs	947(96.14%)	360(95.4%)	587(96.2%)
work.dur	566(57.5%)	218(57.8%)	348(57.8%)
prenatal	940(95.43%)	358(94.9%)	582(95.8%)
ark	128(12.99%)	48(12.7%)	80(13.19%)
ein	138(14.01%)	46(12.2%)	92(14.8%)
har	138(14.01%)	45(11.93%)	93(15.23%)
mia	100(10.15%)	44(11.67%)	56(9.29%)
pen	101(10.25%)	48(12.73%)	53(8.71%)
tex	137(13.9%)	49(12.99%)	88(14.38%)
was	131(13.29%)	51(13.5%)	80(13.1%)
momwhite	363(36.85%)	139(36.8%)	224(36.8%)
momblack	517(52.48%)	201(53.31%)	316(51.9%)
momhisp	105(10.65%)	31(8.2%)	74(12.1%)

 $\textbf{Table S2.} \ \textbf{The processed IHDP dataset } (\underline{\textbf{https://www.fredjo.com/}}).$

X7 2 - 1-1 -	A 11 43 4 (7 47)	T=1	T=0
Variable	All patients (747)	(139; 18.6%)	(608; 81.3%)
X1	Avg = -1.20482E-11	Avg = -1.20482E-11	Avg = -1.20482E-11
Λl	Std = 1	Std = 1	Std = 468.76
X2	Avg = -2.12851E-10	Avg = -2.12851E-10	Avg = -2.12851E-10
AZ	Std = 1	Std = 1	Std = 1
X3	Avg = -2.74431E-10	Avg = -2.74431E-10	Avg = -2.74431E-10
AS	Std = 1	Std = 1	Std = 1
X4	Avg = -8.03258E-12	Avg = -8.03258E-12	Avg = -8.03258E-12
717	Std = 1	Std = 1	Std = 1
X5	Avg = 2.67738E-11	Avg = 2.67738E-11	Avg = 2.67738E-11
713	Std = 1	Std = 1	Std = 1
X6	Avg = 1.20482E-11	Avg = 1.20482E-11	Avg = 1.20482E-11
710	Std = 1	Std = 1	Std = 1
X7	384 (51.4%)	72(51.7%)	212(34.8%)
X8	70(9.37%)	12(8.6%)	58(9.5%)
X9	389(52.07%)	94(57.6%)	295(48.51)
X10	272(36.4%)	40(28.7%)	232(38.15%)
X11	201(26.9%)	35(25.1%)	166(27.3%)
X12	164(21.9%)	30(21.5%)	134(22.03)
X13	268 (35.8%)	53(38.1%)	215(35.36%)
X14	346(46.8%)	80(57.5%)	266(43.75%)
X15	105(14.05%)	20(14.3%)	85(13.98%)
X16	717(95.9%)	130(9.3%)	587(96.5%)
X17	444(59.4%)	96(69.06%)	348(57.2%)

X18	720(96.3%)	138(99.2%)	582(95.72%)
X19	101(13.5%)	21(15.1%)	80(13.15%)
X20	101(13.5%)	9(6.47%)	92(15.13%)
X21	117(15.55%)	24(17.2%)	93(15.29%)
X22	61(8.1%)	5(3.5%)	56(9.21%)
X23	55(7.36%)	2(1.4%)	53(8.71%)
X24	96(12.8%)	8(5.75%)	88(14.47%)
X25	118(15.7%)	38(27.33%)	80(13.15%)

Table S3. The original Jobs dataset (https://users.nber.org/~rdehejia/data/.nswdata2.html;

https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S030440760400082X).

Variable	LaLonde	PSID Sample
Age	24.52(6.63)	34.85(10.44)
Education	10.27(1.7)	12.12(3.08)
Black	0.8(0.40)	0.25(0.43)
Hispanic	0.11(0.31)	0.03(0.18)
Married	0.16(0.37)	0.47(0.34)
No H.S Degree	0.48(0.41)	0.31(0.46)
Real Earnings in 1974	3631(6221)	19429(13407)
Real Earnings in 1975	3043(5066)	19063(13597)
Real Earnings in 1978	5455(6253)	21554(15555)
Real Earnings in 1979		
Zero Earnings in 1974	0.45(0.5)	0.09(0.28)
Zero Earnings in 1975	0.4 (0.49)	0.1(0.3)
Experimental Impact (1978 earnings)	886(488)	
Sample size	297 Treatment 425 Controls	2490 Controls

Table S4. The processed Jobs dataset (https://www.fredjo.com/).

Variable	All patients (3212)	T=1	T=0
		(297; 9.24%)	(2915; 90.75%)
X1	Avg = 0.00012765	Avg = 0.000127646	Avg = 0.000127646
Al	Std = 0.999874618	Std = 0.999874618	Std = 0.999874618
X2	Avg = -0.00099	Avg = -0.00099004	Avg = -0.00099004
AZ	Std = 0.999166151	Std = 0.999166151	Std = 0.999166151
X3	1202(37.4%)	238(80.1%)	964(33.03%)
X4	157(4.8%)	28(9.42%)	129(4.4%)
X5	2274(70.7%)	50(16.8%)	2224(76.2%)
X6	1323(41.1%)	217(73.06%)	1106(37.9%)
X7	Avg = -0.0004172	Avg = -0.00041719	Avg = -0.00041719
Λ/	Std = 1.000487399	Std = 1.000487399	Std-dev =1.000487399
X8	Avg = 3.11333E-06	Avg =3.11333E-06	Avg = 3.11333E-06
	Std = 0.999783331	Std = 0.999783331	Std-dev = 0.999783331
X9	Avg = -0.00047945	Avg = -0.00047945	Avg =-0.00047945
	Std = 1.000054882	Std = 1.000054882	Std = 1.000054882
X10	Avg = -0.00033313	Avg = -0.00033313	Avg =-0.00033313

	Std = 1.00047291	Std = 1.00047291	Std = 1.00047291
X11	Avg = 0.001099004	Avg =0.001099004	Avg =0.001099004
	Std = 0.999417803	Std = 0.999417803	Std = 0.999417803
X12	Avg = -0.00014633	Avg = -0.00014633	Avg = -0.00014633
	Std = 1.000062289	Std = 1.000062289	Std = 1.000062289
X13	Avg = 0.000298879	Avg =0.000298879	Avg =0.000298879
	Std = 1.000276622	Std = 1.000276622	Std = 1.000276622
X14	542(16.8%)	131(44.1%)	411(14.09%)
X15	538(16.74)	111(37.37%)	427(14.6%)
X16	Avg =0.00011208	Avg = 0.00011208	Avg = 0.00011208
	Std = 0.99999744	Std = 0.99999744	Std = 0.99999744
X17	35(1.08%)	6(2.02%)	29(0.99%)

Supplementary References

1. Ng A. CS294A Lecture notes Sparse autoencoder.

 $\underline{https://web.stanford.edu/class/cs294a/sparseAutoencoder_2011new.pdf}$

 Kingma DP, Ba JL. Adam: A method for stochastic optimization. In: 3rd International Conference on Learning Representations, ICLR 2015 - Conference Track Proceedings. 2015.