Supplementary information

Underdiagnosis bias of artificial intelligence algorithms applied to chest radiographs in under-served patient populations

In the format provided by the authors and unedited

Supplementary Materials for

Underdiagnosis bias of artificial intelligence algorithms applied to chest radiographs in under-served patient populations

Laleh Seyyed-Kalantari^{1,2*}, Haoran Zhang³, Matthew B. A. McDermott³, Irene Y. Chen³, Marzyeh Ghassemi³

¹University of Toronto.

²Vector Institute.

³Massachusetts Institute of Technology.

*Correspondence to: laleh@cs.toronto.edu.

This PDF file includes:

Table. S1 to S7

ALL	0-	20	20-	20-40		40-60		60-80		-C
No Finding	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1
Male	377	333	3679	2679	8550	4000	10163	2514	2720	269
Female	198	481	2325	3011	6924	3951	7572	2065	2862	389
CXR	0-20		20-40		40-60		60-80		80-	
No Finding	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1
Male	156	155	1838	1828	4371	2659	4876	1691	853	185
Female	89	397	1222	2374	3816	2770	4130	1526	1163	316
СХР	0-	20	20-	-40	40-60		60-80		80-	
No Finding	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1
Male										
1110	103	28	1476	351	3550	490	4864	377	1849	71
Female	103 82	-	1476 861	351 244	3550 2400	490 396	4864 3126	377 247	1849 1680	71 65
	82			244		396		247		65
Female	82	-	861	244	2400	396	3126	247	1680	65
Female NIH	82	- 20	861 20-	244 -40	2400	396 •60	3126 60-	247 -80	1680 80	65)-

Table S1 The ALL, CXR, CXP, and NIH test set, images count in the intersection of sex-age. The numbers for subgroup with too few members (<= 15) are omitted.

	0-2	20	20-	-40	40	-60	60	-80	8	0-
No Finding	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1
Medicare	39	-	263	312	2378	1232	4736	1339	1091	238
Other	85	194	1447	1468	3153	1947	2270	825	410	103
Medicaid	-	29	359	496	920	495	265	93	33	-
White	108	145	930	1043	4278	2156	5143	1532	1159	260
Black	19	46	617	783	1225	853	942	343	121	45
Hispanic	-	-	315	306	399	347	268	118	-	-
Other	-	-	70	64	230	157	364	119	55	-
Asian	-	19	64	50	115	107	160	89	66	-
Native	-	-	-	-	-	-	30	-	-	-

Table S2: The CXR test set, images count in the intersection of insurance-age and race/ethnicity-age subgroups. The numbers for subgroups with too few members (<= 15) are omitted.

	Medi	care	Ot	her	Med	icaid	M	ale	Fen	nale
No Finding	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1
Male	4526	1556	4232	2097	757	473	-	-	-	-
Female	3981	1574	3133	2440	834	642	-	-	-	-
White	6283	2181	4701	2548	633	398	6688	2717	4930	2419
Black	1181	614	1276	1079	467	375	1152	659	1772	1411
Hispanic	230	126	461	435	307	221	547	308	451	474
Other	267	97	397	205	61	53	472	220	251	135
Asian	122	41	195	174	90	52	225	116	182	151
Native	30	-	-	-	-	-	23	-	18	-

Table S3: The CXR test set, images count in the intersection of sex-insurance, insurancerace/ethnicity, and sex-race/ethnicity. The numbers for the intersections with too few members (<= 15) are omitted (e.g. Native with label 1).

	White Ma	ale	White Fen	nale	Black Ma	ıle	Black Fem	ale
	FP No Finding	Not Healthy	FP No Finding	Not Healthy	FP No Finding	Not Healthy	FP No Finding	Not Healthy
Airspace Opacity	$22.5\%\pm0.8\%$	34.3%	26.1% ± 1.1%	34.2%	$19.4\% \pm 2.0\%$	31.6%	$20.3\%\pm1.0\%$	29.3%
Atelectasis	15.6% ± 1.0%	28.5%	$17.8\% \pm 0.8\%$	29.3%	15.9% ± 1.3%	27.3%	$16.3\% \pm 0.6\%$	23.4%
Cardiomegaly	18.0% ± 1.1%	28.6%	16.8% ± 1.2%	28.0%	$19.0\% \pm 0.5\%$	32.7%	29.6% ± 1.1%	37.9%
Consolidation	-	7.4%	-	6.5%	-	5.0%	-	5.7%
Edema	3.9% ± 0.1%	17.7%	3.8% ± 0.1%	19.8%	-	15.4%	-	15.7%
Enlarged Cardiomediastinum	3.6% ± 0.2%	5.1%	3.6% ± 0.6%	4.3%	-	3.6%	-	3.2%
Fracture	$5.9\% \pm 0.4\%$	3.5%	$6.4\% \pm 0.4\%$	3.6%	-	1.7%	-	1.0%
Lung Lesion	$5.2\% \pm 0.6\%$	3.8%	$6.1\% \pm 0.8\%$	4.6%	$10.3\% \pm 0.7\%$	5.6%	$5.8\% \pm 0.5\%$	4.9%
Pleural Effusion	5.5% ± 0.3%	35.0%	$3.4\% \pm 0.4\%$	40.3%	-	19.4%	-	27.4%
Pleural Other	-	2.1%	-	1.2%	-	-	-	-
Pneumonia	$7.6\% \pm 0.9\%$	10.4%	$9.4\% \pm 0.6\%$	11.0%	9.1% ± 0.7%	10.6%	8.8% ± 0.9%	10.6%
Pneumothorax	$2.3\%\pm0.5\%$	6.2%	-	4.7%	-	2.8%	-	4.2%

Table S4: The prevalence of different diseases for the four intersections of race and sex in the CXR test set for the subset of the population who do not have a positive label for 'No Finding' ("Not healthy"), and the subset of the "Not Healthy" population who we falsely predict to have no finding (shown as a 95% confidence interval over 5 models with different random seeds). Note that points corresponding to tasks where the subgroup contains less than 15 positively labeled patients are omitted from the table.

	White Male	White Female	Black Male	Black Female
FP No Finding	1153 ± 63	823 ± 53	295 ± 11	512 ± 20
Not Healthy	6688	4930	1152	1772

Table S5: Sample sizes for the cohorts described in Table S4 from the test set of MIMIC-CXR. The "Not healthy" group corresponds to the number of samples with No Finding = 0, and is shown as a point estimate from the test set. The "FP No Finding" group is the subset of the "Not healthy" group which our model falsely predicts to have No Finding = 1, and is shown as a 95% confidence intervals obtained over 5 models with different random seeds, each with a different size for the underdiagnosed population.

Group	CXR	СХР	NIH	ALL
Male	$33.6\% \pm 0.5\%$	$56.7\% \pm 0.7\%$	$29.8\%\pm1.1\%$	$34.8\%\pm0.7\%$
Female	$29.4\% \pm 0.4\%$	$54.8\% \pm 0.8\%$	30.3% ± 1.2%	$31.6\% \pm 0.6\%$
80-	$44.2\% \pm 0.8\%$	$67.9\% \pm 1.9\%$	$53.3\% \pm 3.3\%$	$46.8\% \pm 1.4\%$
60-80	$40.4\% \pm 0.5\%$	$63.7\% \pm 0.8\%$	$34.9\% \pm 1.6\%$	$41.1\% \pm 1.0\%$
40-60	$33.0\% \pm 0.4\%$	$55.2\% \pm 0.7\%$	$30.3\% \pm 0.8\%$	$34.4\% \pm 0.7\%$
20-40	$23.3\% \pm 0.4\%$	$49.1\% \pm 0.8\%$	$26.6\% \pm 1.2\%$	$26.1\% \pm 0.2\%$
0-20	$18.1\% \pm 0.6\%$	$50.0\% \pm 1.4\%$	$22.1\% \pm 1.0\%$	$20.2\%\pm0.3\%$
White	$34.0\% \pm 0.6\%$	N/A	N/A	N/A
Native	$42.0\% \pm 4.7\%$	N/A	N/A	N/A
Other	$31.0\% \pm 0.8\%$	N/A	N/A	N/A
Asian	$27.6\% \pm 0.5\%$	N/A	N/A	N/A
Hispanic	$29.5\% \pm 0.7\%$	N/A	N/A	N/A
Black	$31.9\% \pm 0.3\%$	N/A	N/A	N/A
Other	$29.1\% \pm 0.4\%$	N/A	N/A	N/A
Medicaid	$31.9\% \pm 0.6\%$	N/A	N/A	N/A

Table S6: False discovery rates (FDR) for the "No Finding" label for MIMIC-CXR (CXR), CheXpert (CXP), and Chest-Xray14 (NIH) as well as the multi-source ALL dataset.

Dataset	Ours	(19)	(20)	(21)	(22)
CXR	0.834±0.001	0.830	0.830	0.83	
СХР	0.805±0.001			0.80	0.807
NIH	0.835±0.002				0.87

Table S7: The comparison of our average AUC over all labels with the state of the art (SOTA) models.