

Table S1. Results from Multiple Hierarchical Regression Analyses Predicting Global Self-worth from Concurrent Friend Social Support and Mother Social Support for Sample 1.

Predictors	Step 1			Step 2			Step 3		
	β (SE) [95% CI]	<i>p</i>	Cohen's f^2	β (SE) [95% CI]	<i>p</i>	Cohen's f^2	β (SE) [95% CI]	<i>p</i>	Cohen's f^2
<i>Main Effects</i>									
Intercept	-0.04 (0.04) [-0.11, 0.04]	0.32	--	-0.06 (0.04) [-0.14, 0.03]	0.18	--	-0.06 (0.04) [-0.14, 0.03]	0.18	--
Mother Social Support	0.32 (0.04) [0.25, 0.40]	<0.01	0.12	0.33 (0.04) [0.25, 0.40]	<0.01	0.13	0.31 (0.04) [0.23, 0.40]	<0.01	0.11
Friend Social Support	0.08 (0.04) [-0.01, 0.16]	0.09	0.01	0.08 (0.05) [-0.01, 0.17]	0.08	0.01	0.08 (0.05) [-0.01, 0.17]	0.08	0.01
Child Gender	-0.18 (0.04) [-0.26, -0.11]	<0.01	0.04	-0.18 (0.04) [-0.25, -0.11]	<0.01	0.04	-0.19 (0.04) [-0.27, -0.11]	<0.01	0.04
<i>Two-way Interactions</i>									
Mother Social Support X Friend Social Support				-0.01 (0.04) [-0.09, 0.07]	0.82	<0.01	-0.01 (0.04) [-0.09, 0.07]	0.87	<0.01
Mother Social Support X Child Gender				0.02 (0.04) [-0.07, 0.10]	0.67	<0.01	0.02 (0.04) [-0.07, 0.10]	0.67	<0.01
Friend Social Support X Child Gender				0.06 (0.04) [-0.03, 0.14]	0.16	<0.01	0.06 (0.04) [-0.03, 0.14]	0.17	<0.01
<i>Three-way Interactions</i>									
Mother Social Support X Friend Social Support X Child Gender							0.03 (0.04) [-0.06, 0.11]	0.54	<0.01
<i>Model R²</i>		<0.01	0.15		<0.01	0.15		<0.01	0.15

Note: $N = 800$. Standardized regression coefficients are reported. Child gender was originally coded as 1 = male, 2 = female.

Table S2. Results from Multiple Hierarchical Regression Analyses Predicting Global Self-worth from Concurrent Friend Social Support and Mother Social Support for Sample 2.

Predictors	Step 1			Step 2			Step 3		
	β (SE) [95% CI]	<i>p</i>	Cohen's f^2	β (SE) [95% CI]	<i>p</i>	Cohen's f^2	β (SE) [95% CI]	<i>p</i>	Cohen's f^2
<i>Main Effects</i>									
Intercept	0.01 (0.05) [-0.08, 0.11]	0.81	--	0.03 (0.05) [-0.07, 0.13]	0.54	--	0.03 (0.05) [-0.07, 0.13]	0.56	--
Mother Social Support	0.20 (0.05) [0.09, 0.30]	<0.01	0.04	0.20 (0.05) [0.09, 0.30]	<0.01	0.04	0.21 (0.06) [0.10, 0.33]	<0.01	0.05
Friend Social Support	0.15 (0.06) [0.05, 0.26]	<0.01	0.02	0.15 (0.06) [0.04, 0.27]	<0.01	0.02	0.16 (0.06) [0.05, 0.27]	<0.01	0.03
Child Gender	-0.14 (0.05) [-0.24, -0.04]	<0.01	0.02	-0.14 (0.05) [-0.24, -0.04]	<0.01	0.02	-0.13 (0.05) [-0.23, -0.03]	0.01	0.02
<i>Two-way Interactions</i>									
Mother Social Support X Friend Social Support				-0.09 (0.06) [-0.20, 0.03]	0.14	0.01	-0.08 (0.06) [-0.20, 0.03]	0.14	0.01
Mother Social Support X Child Gender				-0.04 (0.06) [-0.15, 0.07]	0.50	<0.01	-0.04 (0.06) [-0.15, 0.07]	0.46	<0.01
Friend Social Support X Child Gender				0.00 (0.06) [-0.11, 0.12]	0.96	<0.01	0.00 (0.06) [-0.11, 0.12]	0.94	<0.01
<i>Three-way Interactions</i>									
Mother Social Support X Friend Social Support X Child Gender							-0.07 (0.06) [-0.19, 0.05]	0.27	0.01
<i>Model R²</i>		<0.01	0.08		<0.01	0.09		<0.01	0.09

Note: $N = 415$. Standardized regression coefficients are reported. Child gender was originally coded as 1 = male, 2 = female.

Table S3. Results from Multiple Hierarchical Regression Analyses Predicting Global Self-worth from Concurrent Friend Social Support and Mother Social Support for Sample 3.

Predictors	Step 1			Step 2			Step 3		
	β (SE) [95% CI]	<i>p</i>	Cohen's f^2	β (SE) [95% CI]	<i>p</i>	Cohen's f^2	β (SE) [95% CI]	<i>p</i>	Cohen's f^2
<i>Main Effects</i>									
Intercept	0.00 (0.06) [-0.11, 0.11]	0.96	--	-0.02 (0.06) [-0.13, 0.10]	0.77	--	-0.04 (0.06) [-0.16, 0.08]	0.49	--
Mother Social Support	0.19 (0.06) [0.07, 0.30]	<0.01	0.04	0.22 (0.07) [0.08, 0.35]	<0.01	0.05	0.28 (0.08) [0.13, 0.44]	<0.01	0.09
Friend Social Support	0.12 (0.06) [-0.01, 0.23]	0.06	0.02	0.14 (0.06) [0.02, 0.26]	0.03	0.02	0.14 (0.06) [0.01, 0.26]	0.03	0.02
Child Gender	-0.03 (0.06) [-0.14, 0.08]	0.62	<0.01	-0.03 (0.06) [-0.14, 0.09]	0.63	<0.01	0.01 (0.06) [-0.11, 0.13]	0.83	<0.01
<i>Two-way Interactions</i>									
Mother Social Support X Friend Social Support				0.04 (0.04) [-0.04, 0.13]	0.28	<0.01	0.09 (0.05) [0.00, 0.18]	0.06	0.01
Mother Social Support X Child Gender				0.09 (0.06) [-0.04, 0.21]	0.17	0.01	-0.02 (0.08) [-0.18, 0.14]	0.83	<0.01
Friend Social Support X Child Gender				-0.04 (0.06) [-0.16, 0.09]	0.55	<0.01	-0.04 (0.06) [-0.17, 0.08]	0.51	<0.01
<i>Three-way Interactions</i>									
Mother Social Support X Friend Social Support X Child Gender							-0.10 (0.05) [-0.19, 0.00]	0.05	0.01
<i>Model R²</i>		<0.01	0.06		<0.01	0.07		<0.01	0.09

Note: $N = 313$. Standardized regression coefficients are reported. Child gender was originally coded as 1 = male, 2 = female.

Table S4. Results from Multiple Hierarchical Regression Analyses Predicting Changes in Global Self-worth from Antecedent NRI Friend Social Support and NRI Mother Social Support for Sample 2.

Predictors	Step 1			Step 2			Step 3		
	β (SE) [95% CI]	<i>p</i>	Cohen's f^2	β (SE) [95% CI]	<i>p</i>	Cohen's f^2	β (SE) [95% CI]	<i>p</i>	Cohen's f^2
<i>Main Effects</i>									
Intercept	-0.10 (0.09) [-0.28, 0.07]	0.23	--	-0.10 (0.10) [-0.29, 0.10]	0.33	--	-0.10 (0.10) [-0.29, 0.09]	0.29	--
Global Self-Worth T1	0.52 (0.08) [0.35, 0.68]	<0.01	0.41	0.51 (0.09) [0.34, 0.68]	<0.01	0.41	0.53 (0.09) [0.36, 0.71]	<0.01	0.44
Mother Social Support T1	0.09 (0.09) [-0.10, 0.27]	0.35	0.01	0.10 (0.11) [-0.11, 0.32]	0.34	0.02	0.10 (0.11) [-0.11, 0.32]	0.34	0.02
Friend Social Support T1	-0.03 (0.09) [-0.21, 0.15]	0.73	<0.01	-0.04 (0.09) [-0.22, 0.14]	0.68	<0.01	-0.04 (0.09) [-0.22, 0.14]	0.65	<0.01
Child Gender	-0.02 (0.08) [-0.17, 0.13]	0.80	<0.01	-0.03 (0.08) [-0.18, 0.12]	0.71	<0.01	0.01 (0.08) [-0.16, 0.17]	0.93	<0.01
<i>Two-way Interactions</i>									
Mother Social Support X Friend Social Support				0.03 (0.08) [-0.12, 0.19]	0.66	<0.01	0.07 (0.08) [-0.09, 0.23]	0.39	0.01
Mother Social Support X Child Gender				0.08 (0.08) [-0.08, 0.23]	0.34	0.01	0.04 (0.09) [-0.13, 0.21]	0.66	<0.01
Friend Social Support X Child Gender				-0.10 (0.08) [-0.26, 0.06]	0.21	0.02	-0.11 (0.08) [-0.27, 0.05]	0.18	0.02
<i>Three-way Interactions</i>									
Mother Social Support X Friend Social Support X Child Gender							-0.08 (0.07) [-0.23, 0.06]	0.26	0.01
<i>Model R²</i>		<0.01	0.34		<0.01	0.36		<0.01	0.37

Note: $N = 165$. Standardized regression coefficients are reported. Child gender was originally coded as 1 = male, 2 = female.

Table S5. Results from Multiple Hierarchical Regression Analyses Predicting Changes in Global Self-worth from Antecedent NRI Friend Social Support and NRI Mother Social Support for Sample 3.

Predictors	Step 1			Step 2			Step 3		
	β (SE) [95% CI]	<i>p</i>	Cohen's f^2	β (SE) [95% CI]	<i>p</i>	Cohen's f^2	β (SE) [95% CI]	<i>p</i>	Cohen's f^2
<i>Main Effects</i>									
Intercept	-0.06 (0.06) [-0.17, 0.05]	0.28	--	-0.05 (0.06) [-0.17, 0.07]	0.39	--	-0.05 (0.06) [-0.17, 0.07]	0.38	--
Global Self-Worth T1	0.38 (0.06) [0.27, 0.49]	<0.01	0.18	0.39 (0.06) [0.28, 0.50]	<0.01	0.19	0.39 (0.06) [0.27, 0.50]	<0.01	0.19
Mother Social Support T1	0.10 (0.06) [-0.02, 0.22]	0.11	0.01	0.09 (0.07) [-0.05, 0.22]	0.23	0.01	0.09 (0.08) [-0.06, 0.24]	0.22	0.01
Friend Social Support T1	0.02 (0.06) [-0.10, 0.13]	0.80	<0.01	0.01 (0.06) [-0.11, 0.13]	0.90	<0.01	0.01 (0.06) [-0.11, 0.13]	0.90	<0.01
Child Gender	-0.07 (0.06) [-0.18, 0.04]	0.21	0.01	-0.07 (0.06) [-0.18, 0.04]	0.21	<0.01	-0.06 (0.06) [-0.18, 0.05]	0.27	<0.01
<i>Two-way Interactions</i>									
Mother Social Support X Friend Social Support				-0.01 (0.04) [-0.09, 0.07]	0.86	<0.01	0.00 (0.04) [-0.09, 0.08]	0.96	<0.01
Mother Social Support X Child Gender				-0.04 (0.07) [-0.17, 0.09]	0.56	<0.01	-0.05 (0.08) [-0.21, 0.11]	0.51	<0.01
Friend Social Support X Child Gender				-0.01 (0.06) [-0.13, 0.11]	0.87	<0.01	-0.01 (0.06) [-0.13, 0.11]	0.86	<0.01
<i>Three-way Interactions</i>									
Mother Social Support X Friend Social Support X Child Gender							-0.01 (0.05) [-0.11, 0.08]	0.77	<0.01
<i>Model R²</i>		<0.01	0.19		<0.01	0.19		<0.01	0.19

Note: $N = 313$. Standardized regression coefficients are reported. Child gender was originally coded as 1 = male, 2 = female.

Table S6. Results from Multiple Hierarchical Regression Analyses Predicting Global Self-worth from Concurrent Friend Social Support and Family Cohesion and Family Adaptability for Sample 3.

Predictors	Step 1			Step 2			Step 3		
	β (SE) [95% CI]	<i>p</i>	Cohen's f^2	β (SE) [95% CI]	<i>p</i>	Cohen's f^2	β (SE) [95% CI]	<i>p</i>	Cohen's f^2
<i>Main Effects</i>									
Intercept	0.00 (0.06) [-0.12, 0.11]	0.96	--	-0.02 (0.07) [-0.16, 0.12]	0.79	--	-0.02 (0.07) [-0.16, 0.12]	0.79	--
Family Cohesion	0.16 (0.11) [-0.06, 0.37]	0.15	0.03	0.16 (0.11) [-0.06, 0.39]	0.16	0.03	0.16 (0.12) [-0.08, 0.39]	0.18	0.03
Family Adaptability	-0.07 (0.10) [-0.26, 0.13]	0.49	0.01	-0.06 (0.10) [-0.26, 0.14]	0.57	<0.01	-0.05 (0.11) [-0.27, 0.16]	0.64	<0.01
Friend Social Support	0.21 (0.06) [0.09, 0.32]	<0.01	0.05	0.21 (0.06) [0.09, 0.33]	<0.01	0.05	0.21 (0.06) [0.09, 0.34]	<0.01	0.05
Child Gender	-0.02 (0.06) [-0.13, 0.10]	0.78	<0.01	-0.02 (0.06) [-0.14, 0.10]	0.74	<0.01	-0.02 (0.06) [-0.14, 0.10]	0.75	<0.01
<i>Two-way Interactions</i>									
Family Cohesion X Friend Social Support				0.06 (0.10) [-0.13, 0.25]	0.53	<0.01	0.06 (0.10) [-0.13, 0.25]	0.55	<0.01
Family Adaptability X Friend Social Support				-0.07 (0.10) [-0.27, 0.13]	0.49	0.01	-0.07 (0.10) [-0.26, 0.13]	0.52	0.01
Family Cohesion X Family Adaptability				0.05 (0.07) [-0.09, 0.19]	0.50	<0.01	0.05 (0.07) [-0.09, 0.19]	0.49	<0.01
Family Cohesion X Child Gender				-0.01 (0.10) [-0.21, 0.18]	0.89	<0.01	-0.02 (0.10) [-0.21, 0.18]	0.86	<0.01
Family Adaptability X Child Gender				0.06 (0.11) [-0.16, 0.28]	0.59	<0.01	0.06 (0.11) [-0.16, 0.29]	0.58	<0.01
Friend Social Support X Child Gender				0.00 (0.06) [-0.12, 0.12]	0.97	<0.01	0.00 (0.06) [-0.13, 0.12]	0.96	<0.01
<i>Three-way Interactions</i>									
Family Cohesion X Friend Social Support X Child Gender							0.02 (0.11) [-0.20, 0.23]	0.89	<0.01
Family Adaptability X Friend Social Support X Child Gender							-0.03 (0.10) [-0.23, 0.17]	0.75	<0.01
<i>Model R²</i>		<0.01	0.06		0.01	0.07		0.02	0.08

Note: $N = 313$. Standardized regression coefficients are reported. Child gender was originally coded as 1 = male, 2 = female.

Table S7. Results from Multiple Hierarchical Regression Analyses Predicting Global Self-worth from Concurrent Friend Social Support and Family Cohesion and Family Adaptability for Sample 4.

Predictors	Step 1			Step 2			Step 3		
	β (SE) [95% CI]	<i>p</i>	Cohen's f^2	β (SE) [95% CI]	<i>p</i>	Cohen's f^2	β (SE) [95% CI]	<i>p</i>	Cohen's f^2
<i>Main Effects</i>									
Intercept	-0.02 (0.07) [-0.15, 0.12]	0.83	--	-0.02 (0.08) [-0.17, 0.14]	0.81	--	-0.02 (0.08) [-0.18, 0.14]	0.79	--
Family Cohesion	0.14 (0.08) [-0.02, 0.29]	0.10	0.02	0.13 (0.09) [-0.04, 0.30]	0.13	0.02	0.17 (0.10) [-0.02, 0.35]	0.08	0.03
Family Adaptability	-0.12 (0.08) [-0.27, 0.04]	0.15	0.01	-0.10 (0.08) [-0.26, 0.06]	0.22	0.01	-0.13 (0.09) [-0.31, 0.05]	0.15	0.02
Friend Social Support	0.14 (0.08) [-0.01, 0.29]	0.07	0.02	0.15 (0.08) [-0.01, 0.30]	0.07	0.02	0.14 (0.08) [-0.02, 0.30]	0.09	0.02
Child Gender	-0.11 (0.07) [-0.25, 0.04]	0.14	0.01	-0.10 (0.08) [-0.25, 0.04]	0.16	0.01	-0.11 (0.07) [-0.25, 0.04]	0.14	0.01
<i>Two-way Interactions</i>									
Family Cohesion X Friend Social Support				-0.08 (0.10) [-0.28, 0.12]	0.42	0.01	-0.10 (0.10) [-0.30, 0.10]	0.34	0.01
Family Adaptability X Friend Social Support				0.04 (0.10) [-0.16, 0.24]	0.69	0.01	0.06 (0.10) [-0.15, 0.26]	0.57	<0.01
Family Cohesion X Family Adaptability				-0.06 (0.07) [-0.20, 0.08]	0.39	<0.01	-0.06 (0.07) [-0.20, 0.08]	0.40	<0.01
Family Cohesion X Child Gender				-0.12 (0.08) [-0.28, 0.05]	0.16	0.02	-0.13 (0.09) [-0.30, 0.04]	0.13	0.02
Family Adaptability X Child Gender				-0.04 (0.09) [-0.21, 0.13]	0.61	<0.01	-0.03 (0.09) [-0.21, 0.14]	0.72	<0.01
Friend Social Support X Child Gender				0.08 (0.08) [-0.07, 0.23]	0.29	<0.01	0.08 (0.08) [-0.06, 0.23]	0.26	<0.01
<i>Three-way Interactions</i>									
Family Cohesion X Friend Social Support X Child Gender							-0.09 (0.10) [-0.29, 0.10]	0.35	<0.01
Family Adaptability X Friend Social Support X Child Gender							0.09 (0.10) [-0.12, 0.29]	0.41	<0.01
<i>Model R²</i>		0.07	0.04		0.07	0.07		0.10	0.08

Note: $N = 232$. Standardized regression coefficients are reported. Child gender was originally coded as 1 = male, 2 = female.

Table S8. Results from Multiple Hierarchical Regression Analyses Predicting Changes in Global Self-worth from Antecedent Friend Social Support and Family Cohesion and Family Adaptability for Sample 3.

Predictors	Step 1			Step 2			Step 3		
	β (SE) [95% CI]	<i>p</i>	Cohen's f^2	β (SE) [95% CI]	<i>p</i>	Cohen's f^2	β (SE) [95% CI]	<i>p</i>	Cohen's f^2
<i>Main Effects</i>									
Intercept	-0.02 (0.06) [-0.14, 0.10]	0.73	--	-0.01 (0.07) [-0.14, 0.13]	0.91	--	-0.01 (0.07) [-0.14, 0.13]	0.95	--
Global Self-Worth T1	0.44 (0.06) [0.33, 0.55]	<0.01	0.26	0.45 (0.06) [0.33, 0.56]	<0.01	0.28	0.45 (0.06) [0.33, 0.56]	<0.01	0.28
Family Cohesion T1	0.19 (0.08) [0.03, 0.36]	0.03	0.05	0.20 (0.09) [0.02, 0.37]	0.03	0.05	0.18 (0.09) [0.01, 0.36]	0.04	0.04
Family Adaptability T1	-0.18 (0.10) [-0.37, 0.02]	0.08	0.04	-0.17 (0.10) [-0.37, 0.04]	0.11	0.04	-0.16 (0.10) [-0.36, 0.04]	0.12	0.04
Friend Social Support T1	0.07 (0.06) [-0.05, 0.19]	0.25	0.01	0.08 (0.06) [-0.05, 0.20]	0.23	0.01	0.07 (0.06) [-0.06, 0.20]	0.28	0.01
Child Gender	-0.05 (0.06) [-0.16, 0.06]	0.35	<0.01	-0.06 (0.06) [-0.17, 0.05]	0.31	<0.01	-0.06 (0.06) [-0.17, 0.05]	0.32	<0.01
<i>Two-way Interactions</i>									
Family Cohesion X Friend Social Support				-0.01 (0.09) [-0.18, 0.15]	0.87	<0.01	-0.02 (0.09) [-0.20, 0.15]	0.78	<0.01
Family Adaptability X Friend Social Support				0.06 (0.09) [-0.11, 0.23]	0.51	<0.01	0.06 (0.09) [-0.11, 0.23]	0.51	<0.01
Family Cohesion X Family Adaptability				-0.01 (0.06) [-0.13, 0.12]	0.92	<0.01	-0.01 (0.06) [-0.13, 0.12]	0.90	<0.01
Family Cohesion X Child Gender				-0.07 (0.10) [-0.27, 0.12]	0.46	<0.01	-0.07 (0.10) [-0.27, 0.12]	0.44	0.01
Family Adaptability X Child Gender				0.01 (0.10) [-0.19, 0.20]	0.94	<0.01	0.02 (0.10) [-0.18, 0.21]	0.87	<0.01
Friend Social Support X Child Gender				-0.06 (0.06) [-0.17, 0.06]	0.36	<0.01	-0.05 (0.06) [-0.17, 0.07]	0.40	<0.01
<i>Three-way Interactions</i>									
Family Cohesion X Friend Social Support X Child Gender							0.05 (0.08) [-0.12, 0.21]	0.56	<0.01
Family Adaptability X Friend Social Support X Child Gender							0.00 (0.09) [-0.19, 0.18]	0.96	<0.01
<i>Model R²</i>		<0.01	0.25		<0.01	0.27		<0.01	0.27


Note: $N = 313$. Standardized regression coefficients are reported. Child gender was originally coded as 1 = male, 2 = female.

Table S9. Results from Multiple Hierarchical Regression Analyses Predicting Changes in Global Self-worth from Antecedent Friend Social Support and Family Cohesion and Family Adaptability for Sample 4.

Predictors	Step 1			Step 2			Step 3		
	β (SE) [95% CI]	<i>p</i>	Cohen's f^2	β (SE) [95% CI]	<i>p</i>	Cohen's f^2	β (SE) [95% CI]	<i>p</i>	Cohen's f^2
<i>Main Effects</i>									
Intercept	0.00 (0.05) [-0.10, 0.10]	0.96	--	-0.01 (0.06) [-0.13, 0.10]	0.81	--	-0.02 (0.06) [-0.14, 0.10]	0.74	--
Global Self-Worth T1	0.62 (0.05) [0.52, 0.73]	<0.01	0.69	0.64 (0.05) [0.53, 0.74]	<0.01	0.78	0.64 (0.05) [0.54, 0.74]	<0.01	0.79
Family Cohesion T1	0.17 (0.06) [0.05, 0.29]	<0.01	0.05	0.19 (0.07) [0.05, 0.32]	<0.01	0.07	0.19 (0.08) [0.04, 0.34]	0.01	0.07
Family Adaptability T1	-0.05 (0.07) [-0.17, 0.08]	0.49	<0.01	-0.04 (0.07) [-0.16, 0.09]	0.58	<0.01	-0.01 (0.07) [-0.15, 0.14]	0.93	<0.01
Friend Social Support T1	0.04 (0.06) [-0.07, 0.16]	0.45	<0.01	0.02 (0.06) [-0.10, 0.14]	0.71	<0.01	0.03 (0.06) [-0.09, 0.15]	0.61	<0.01
Child Gender	-0.05 (0.06) [-0.16, 0.06]	0.41	<0.01	-0.06 (0.06) [-0.17, 0.06]	0.33	0.01	-0.05 (0.06) [-0.17, 0.06]	0.35	<0.01
<i>Two-way Interactions</i>									
Family Cohesion X Friend Social Support				0.13 (0.07) [-0.01, 0.27]	0.08	0.03	0.14 (0.08) [-0.01, 0.29]	0.08	0.04
Family Adaptability X Friend Social Support				-0.14 (0.08) [-0.30, 0.02]	0.08	0.04	-0.15 (0.09) [-0.32, 0.02]	0.09	0.04
Family Cohesion X Family Adaptability				0.10 (0.05) [-0.01, 0.20]	0.06	0.02	0.09 (0.05) [-0.01, 0.20]	0.09	0.02
Family Cohesion X Child Gender				0.04 (0.07) [-0.08, 0.17]	0.51	<0.01	0.05 (0.07) [-0.08, 0.18]	0.43	<0.01
Family Adaptability X Child Gender				-0.04 (0.06) [-0.17, 0.08]	0.50	<0.01	-0.04 (0.07) [-0.17, 0.09]	0.51	<0.01
Friend Social Support X Child Gender				-0.06 (0.06) [-0.18, 0.05]	0.28	<0.01	-0.06 (0.06) [-0.17, 0.06]	0.34	0.01
<i>Three-way Interactions</i>									
Family Cohesion X Friend Social Support X Child Gender							-0.01 (0.07) [-0.16, 0.13]	0.87	<0.01
Family Adaptability X Friend Social Support X Child Gender							-0.09 (0.08) [-0.25, 0.06]	0.24	0.02
<i>Model R²</i>		<0.01	0.44		<0.01	0.47		<0.01	0.48


Note: $N = 232$. Standardized regression coefficients are reported. Child gender was originally coded as 1 = male, 2 = female.

Figure S1. Follow-up plot depicting the nonsignificant interaction between friend social support and mother social support in the prediction of concurrent adolescent global self-worth




Note: N = 1528. K = 3 samples (samples 1, 2, and 3).

Figure S2. Follow-up plot depicting the nonsignificant interaction between Time 1 friend social support and Time 1 mother social support in the prediction of Time 2 adolescent global self-worth




Note: N = 478. K = 2 samples (samples 2 and 3).

Figure S3. Follow-up plot depicting the nonsignificant interaction between friend social support and family cohesion in the prediction of concurrent adolescent global self-worth




Note: N = 550. K = 2 samples (samples 3 and 4).

Figure S4. Follow-up plot depicting the nonsignificant interaction between friend social support and family adaptability in the prediction of concurrent adolescent global self-worth




Note: $N = 550$. $K = 2$ samples (samples 3 and 4).

Figure S5. Follow-up plot depicting the nonsignificant interaction between Time 1 friend social support and Time 1 family cohesion in the prediction of Time 2 adolescent global self-worth



Note: $N = 550$. $K = 2$ samples (samples 3 and 4).

Figure S6. Follow-up plot depicting the nonsignificant interaction between Time 1 friend social support and Time 1 family adaptability in the prediction of Time 2 adolescent global self-worth



Note: $N = 550$. $K = 2$ samples (samples 3 and 4).