Data collection tool for Maternal Health Indicators

Checklists for collecting data on quality at woreda and health facility level, adapted from USAID RDQA Measure Evaluation

This questionnaire will be used to collect data from the health facilities and woreda health offices in order to assess the data quality and data management system.

Facility Iden Interviewer						
Full referer	nce of report (name, year, pub	lisher etc.)				
Type of Do	cument					
Study Desig	gn					
Study area	/s					
Study perio	od					
Study popu	ulation					
Sample size	<u>e</u>					
Indicators		Definition	Reporte	d Coverage		
		(Numerator				
		/Denominator)	National	Benishangul G Region	umz	
Antenatal	care visits at least one (ANC1)			Region		
7 tirecriatar (sare visits at least one (/ iive1/		-			
Antenatal	care 4th visit (ANC4)		-			
Skilled deli	very attendance					
ommed den	very accertained					
Postnatal (Care					
Comments						
Number	Question	Result				
SECTION 1	COVER PAGE					
Date	DAY	MONTH	_ YE	EAR		
	Day	Month	Ye	ear		
FACILITY IDENTIFICATION						
Q001	Region Name					
Q002	Zone/sub-city name					
Q003	Facility ID					
Q004	Official name of facility					

Number	Question	Result	
Q005	Woreda Name		
Q006	Town Name		
Q007	Kebele Name		
Q008	Type of facility	HEALTH CENTRE HEALTH POST	1 2
Q009	Urban/Rural	URBAN RURAL	1 2

1. Service Delivery Sites (health facilities)

	Service Delivery Sites (nea	aitii iatiiitiesj					
Demogra	Information		Dosnonso Vos-	1 No-2	Remark		,
	IIIIOIIIIatioii		Response Yes=1,No=2		Remark		
	Does the facility have the ca				If 'yes'	how mu	ıch
	opulation the calendar yea						
	Does the health center known						
	number of eligible mothers						
	ervice to be provided in th	e calendar					
У	vear?						
	s the denominator value (fo						
	lelivery and PNC) found in						
	enter level the same as the	e one found					
	n the national level mentation Review:						
			han aanaa				
	availability and completene nts for the selected reporti						
	nts/facility reports are avai		· ·	ANC1	ANC4	SBA	EPNC
	nts/facility reports are not	· •	•	AINCI	ANC4	JDA	LINC
	will be 3=Yes partly	avanabic, 2– iv	o other wise the				
	Review available data s	ources for the	reporting period				
SDS_1A							
	(registers tally and repo	•					
		Tally					
	Tikmit 2010/Octo	Register					
	2017	Report from	the lower level				
		Tally					
	Hidar 2010/Nov 2017	Register					
		Report from	the lower level				
	Tahisas 2010/ Dec	Tally]	
	2017	Register					
			the lower level				
SDS_2A	Are all available data so						
_	and/or tally sheet for 2	^{u™} quarter of 2	010 E.C)]		1	

		Tally					
	Tikmit 2010/Octo 2017						
	2017	Register Report from t	he lower level				
		Tally					
	Hidar 2010/Nov 2017	Register					
		Report from t	he lower level				
	Tahisas 2010/ Dec	Tally					
	2017	Register					
	_		he lower level				
SDS_3A	Review the dates on the						
_	fall within the 2nd quar		? (Yes=1, No=2)				
	Tikmit 2010/October 20	017					
	Hidar 2010/Nov 2017						
	Tahisas 2010/ Dec 2017	7					
	If the source document not available, what are	-					
	Storage or archiv	ring problems					
SDS_4A	2. Stock out of sour	ce document					
	3. Absence of desig	nated staff					
	4. Other (specify)						
	If the source document not completely filled in for the missing data?	=					
	1. Staffing issue(s) (s	hortage, absen	ce)				
	2. Not understanding	g the data elem	ent				
SDS_5A	3. Presence of other	vertical report	ing requirement				
	4. Data burden (too recorded)	much data eler	nents to be				
	The recording tool is not designed as user						
	friendly						
	6. other (specify):						
	TIMELINESS						
SDS_1B	Does the health facilit submission of monthly Health center logbook/computer)?	•		□ 0	. No		
SDS_2B	If 'Yes' for SDS_1`B, rev review months	riew the record	s and check the d	lates of s	ubmissio	n for th	e three
			Tikmit 2010/Octo	Hidar 2010/N	lov	Tahisa Dec 20	ns 2010/ 017

	Were the HMIS monthly reports submitted on time? (Current practices is submission from 21th to 26th of the month)	1. Yes ⊔ 0. No □		1. Yes ⊔ 0. No □	1. Ye	
C- Recount	ting reported Results (accuracy)					
	results from source documents, comp Imbers to the site reported numbers and Sies(if any)		ANC 1	ANC4	SBA	EPNC
SDS_1C	Recount the number of people, cases of during the reporting period by review data source (register HC)/tally HP) (A).	wing the				
	Tikmit 2010/October 2017					
	Hidar 2010/Nov 2017					
	Tahisas 2010/ Dec 2017					
SDS_2C	Enter number of people, cases or reported by the site during reportin from site summary report(B)					
	Tikmit 2010/October 2017					
	Hidar 2010/Nov 2017					
	Tahisas 2010/ Dec 2017					
SDS_4C	Calculate the ratio of recounted to (A/B)	reported				
	Tikmit 2010/October 2017					
	Hidar 2010/Nov 2017					
	Tahisas 2010/ Dec 2017					
SDS_5C	What are the reasons for discrepance observed ((i.e. data entry errors, miss sources, others)?					
	1. Storage or archiving problems					
	2. Absence of designated staff					
	3. Stock out of source document					
	4. other(specify)					

D. Systems Assessment			
•	Functions and Capabilities (1.Yes completely 2. Partly 3. No 4.NA		
SDS_1D	Is there a designated staff responsible for reviewing aggregated numbers prior to submission to the next level? (e.g. to health center or wereda)		
SDS_2D	Have all relevant staff has received training on the data management processes and tools.		
	provided written guidelines to each sub-reporting level on (Yes=1, and observe the available guidelines		
SDS-3D	What they are supposed to report on?		
SDS_4D	How (e.g. in what specific format) reports are to be submitted?		
SDS_5D	To whom the reports should be submitted?		
SDS_6D	When the reports are due?		
III - Data-collecti	on and Reporting Forms and Tools (Yes=1, No=2)		
SDS_7D	Have there been clear instruction/orientation given to relevant staffs on how to complete the data collection and reporting forms/tools.		
SDS_8D	Is the supply of standard reporting forms/tools consistent in the facility?		
SDS_9D	Do the M&E Unit monitor on the consistent utilization of standard reporting forms/tools at all reporting levels.		
SDS_10D	The standard forms/tools are consistently used by the Service Delivery Site.		
SDS_11D	Are all source documents and reporting forms relevant for measuring the indicator (s) are available (hard copy print outs) for auditing purposes. (Including dated print-outs in case of computerized system)		
IV- Data Managem	nent Processes (Yes=1, No=2) This section is only for Health Centers		
SDS_12D	If applicable, there are quality controls in place for when data from paper-based forms are entered into a computer (e.g. double entry, post-data entry verification, etc). (if not computerized skip to SDS_15D)		
SDS_13D	If applicable, there is a written back-up procedure for when data entry or data processing is computerized.		
SDS_14D	if 'yes' for SDS_12D the latest date of back-up is appropriate given the frequency of update of the computerized system (e.g., back-ups are weekly or monthly).		
SDS_15D	The recording and reporting system avoids double counting people within and across Service Delivery Points (e.g., a person receiving the same service twice in a reporting period, a person registered as receiving the same service in two different locations, etc).		

V - Links with National Reporting System (Yes=1, No=2)				
SDS_16D	The relevant national forms/tools are used for data-collection and reporting.			
SDS_17D	Data are reported through a single channel of the national information systems.			
VI – Use of Data for decision making (Yes=1, No=2)				
SDS_18D	The service delivery site develops charts, graphs, maps, etc(If yes, ask to see them)			
SDS_19D	Staff at the health facility has access to guideline/technical assistance on data use (e.g. peer review meetings or during supervisory visits)			
SDS_20D	The analyzed data/results are presented/ disseminated to stakeholders in a timely manner so that the information can be used for informed decisions. (observe examples)			
SDS_21D	There are programmatic decision taken by the facility based on the analyzed data (see/observe examples)			

2. Woreda level assessment Questions

Demographic data					
	Information		Response Yes=1,No=	2	Remark
1	Does the woreda health office have the catchment population the calendar year?				If 'yes' how much
2	Does the woreda health office know the total numbe eligible mothers for maternal service to be provided i calendar year?	. •			
3	Is the denominator value (for ANC, delivery and PNC) found in the woreda the same as the one found in the national level? (If not the same explain the difference)				
A. Re	counting reported results (accuracy)				
Recount results from the periodic reports sent from service sites to the Woreda and compare to the value reported by ANC1 the District. Explain discrepancies (if any)			ANC4	SBA	Early PNC
WLA_1	Re-aggregate the numbers from the reports received from all Service Delivery Sites. What is the re-aggregated number? [A]				
WLA_1	A_a Tikmit 2010 E.C / Oct. 2017				

WLA_1A_b	Hidar 2010 E.C / Oct. 2017		
WLA_1A_c	Tahisas 2010 E.C / Oct. 2017		
WLA_2A	What aggregated result was contained in the summary report prepared by the Woreda (and submitted to the next reporting level)? [B]		
WLA_2A_a	Tikmit 2010 E.C / Oct. 2017		
WLA_2A_b	Hidar 2010 E.C / Oct. 2017		
WLA_2A_c	Tahisas 2010 E.C / Oct. 2017		
WLA_3A	Calculate the ratio of recounted to reported results. [A/B]		
WLA_3A_a	Tikmit 2010 E.C / Oct. 2017		
WLA_3A_b	Hidar 2010 E.C / Oct. 2017		
WLA_3A_c	Tahisas 2010 E.C / Oct. 2017		
WLA_4A	What are the reasons for the discrepancy (if any) observed 1. data entry errors, 2. arithmetic errors, 3. missing data source, 4. (Other please specify)?		

B. Report performance

Б. Г	Report performance
	Report completeness
	How many facilities were expected to report [A]
WLA_5A	Tikmit 2010 E.C / Oct. 2017
	Hidar 2010 E.C / Oct. 2017
	Tahisas 2010 E.C / Oct. 2017
	How many facilities actually reported [B]
WLA 6A	Tikmit 2010 E.C / Oct. 2017
	Hidar 2010 E.C / Oct. 2017
	Tahisas 2010 E.C / Oct. 2017
	Calculate % Available Reports [B/A] sum
14/14 74	Tikmit 2010 E.C / Oct. 2017
WLA_7A	Hidar 2010 E.C / Oct. 2017
	Tahisas 2010 E.C / Oct. 2017
WLA_8A	Check the dates on the reports received. How many reports were
WLA_OA	received on time? (i.e., received by the due date). [C]

WLA_9A	Calculate % On time Reports [C/	B]				
WLA_10A	contained all the required indic	How many reports were complete? (i.e., complete means that the report contained all the required indicator data, the date of reception of the report by the District, and the authentication by the responsible staff). [D]				
WLA_11A	Calculate % Complete Reports [[Calculate % Complete Reports [D/B]				
WLA_12A	If any monthly HMIS reports were not complete, what are the possible reasons for the missing data? (Multiple responses is possible) 1. Staffing issue(s) (e.g. staff shortage, absence of designated staff, etc) 2. Not understanding the data element(s) 3.Presence of other vertical reporting requirements 4.Data burden (too much data elements to be recorded) 5.The design of the reporting form is not user friendly 6.Other (specify)					
	Report timeliness					
WLA_13A	Does the Woreda office record receipt dates of monthly HMIS reports (observe logbook/electronic system)?	1. Yes □	0. No 🗆	If No, skip t	to WLA_15A	
WLA_14A	If WLA_13A is 'yes', check the receipt dates for the three review months. How many	Health facility type	Tikmit 2010/Oct.2 017	Hidar 2010/Nov. 2017	Tahisas 2010 / Dec.2017	
WEX_14/	reports were received on or before the 26th of the month	Health centre				
	before the 20th of the month	Health post				
WLA_15A	Does the Woreda office keep a record of its submission of monthly aggregated HMIS reports to Zonal or regional offices (e.g. emails, stamps, receipts, log book, etc.)?	1. Yes □	0. No □			
WLA_16A	If WLA_16A is yes, check the submission dates (date from) of the aggregate HMIS reports for the three review months.	Tikimt 2010/Oct 2017 1. Yes □0. No □	Hidar 2010/Nov.2 0171. Yes □0. No □	Tahisas 2010 / Dec.2017 1. Yes. 0. No		
	Part 2. Systems Assessment (Woreda)				
	cture, Functions and Capabilities letely 2. Yes partly 3. No 4. NA)					
SAW_1A Are there designated staffs responsible for reviewing the quality of data (i.e., accuracy, completeness and timeliness) received from sub-reporting levels (e.g., service points)?						

i	i				
	Are there designated staff responsible for reviewing				
SAW_2A	aggregated numbers prior to submission to the next				
	level (e.g., to the central M&E Unit).				
SAW_3A	Does all relevant staff have received training on the data management processes and tools?				
II DATA OLI	ALITY ASSESSMENT MECHANISMS				
II DATA QU	Has the Woreda conducted data quality assessments	0. No RDQA conducted			
	at all health facilities in the review three months?				
SAW_4	(Please observe)	1. Yes, RDQA has been conducted in all health posts, Health centers, and hospitals			
		2. RDQA has been conducted, but only in some facilities			
	Does the Woreda use data quality assessment tools	0. No □			
SAW_5	(e.g., RDQA/data verification, in-built electronic data quality validation rules/system)? (Please observe)	 Yes, observed □ Yes, not observed 			
	Does the Woreda maintain a record of health facility	0. No 🗆			
SAW_6	data quality assessments conducted in the past three	1. Yes, observed □			
	months? (Please observe)	2. Yes, not observed			
	Does the Woreda maintain records of feedback to	0. No 🗆			
SAW_7	health facilities on data quality assessment findings?	1. Yes, observed □			
	(Please observe)	2. Yes, not observed			
III- Indi	icator Definitions and Reporting Guidelines				
	The M&E Unit has provided written guidelines to each (Yes=1, NO=2)	sub-reporting level on:-			
WID_1	What they are supposed to report on.				
WID_2	How (e.g., in what specific format) reports are to be sul	bmitted.			
WID_3	To whom the reports should be submitted.				
WID 4	To When the reports are due.				
IV - Data-collection and Reporting Forms / Tools (Yes=1, No=2)					
WDC_1	Clear instructions have been provided by the M&E Unit on how to complete the data collection and reporting forms/tools.				
WDC_2	The M&E Unit has identified standard reporting forms/tools to be used by all reporting levels				
WDC_3	The standard forms/tools are consistently used by the Service Delivery Site.				
	All source documents and reporting forms relevant for measuring the				
WDC_4					
,	dated print-outs in case of computerized system).				
V- Data	a Management Processes (Yes=1, No=2)				

WDM_1	Feedback is systematically provided to all service points on the quality of their reporting (i.e., accuracy, completeness and timeliness).	
WDM_2	Are there quality controls in place for when data from paper-based forms are entered into a computer (e.g., double entry, post-data entry verification, etc)?	
WDM_3	Is there a written back-up procedure for when data entry or data processing is computerized?	
WDM_4	If WDM_3 'yes', the latest date of back-up is appropriate given the frequency of update of the computerized system (e.g., back-ups are weekly or monthly).	
WDM_5	There is a written procedure to address late, incomplete, inaccurate and missing reports; including following-up with health facility on data quality issues.	
VI - Links with National Reporting System (Yes=1, No=2)		
WDM_6	The data are reported through a single channel of the national reporting system.	
WDM_7	The relevant national forms/tools are used for data-collection and reporting.	
VII – Use of Data for decision making (Yes=1, No=2)		
WDU_1D	The wereda develops charts, graphs, maps, etc(If yes, ask to see them)	
WDU_2D	Staff at the wereda has access to guideline/technical assistance on data use (e.g. peer review meetings or during supervisory visits)	
WDU_3D	The analyzed data/results are presented/ disseminated to stakeholders in a timely manner so that the information can be used for informed decisions. (observe examples)	
SDS_31D	There are programmatic decision taken by the wereda based on the analyzed data (see/observe examples of document)	