Supplementary Table 1: Summary of the bias assessment results of the included studies

Study	Q1.	Q2.	Q3.	Q4.	Q5	Q6.	Q7.	Q8.	Q9.	Q10.	%	Risk of bias
(12)	Y	Y	Y	U	N	Y	Y	NA	NA	Y	0.75	low
(14)	Y	Y	Y	Y	U	Y	Y	NA	NA	N	0.75	low
(16)	Y	Y	Y	U	U	Y	Y	NA	NA	Y	0.75	low
(15)	Y	Y	Y	N	U	N	Y	NA	NA	Y	0.625	low
(20)	Y	Y	Y	U	U	Y	Y	NA	NA	Y	0.75	low
(17)	Y	Y	Y	U	U	Y	Y	NA	NA	N	0.625	low
(19)	Y	Y	Y	U	U	Y	Y	NA	NA	Y	0.75	low
(18)	Y	Y	Y	U	U	Y	Y	NA	NA	Y	0.75	low
(21)	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y	NA	NA	Y	0.75	low
(22)	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	NA	NA	Y	0.875	low
(23)	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	NA	NA	Y	0.875	low
(24)	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y	NA	NA	N	0.625	low
(27)	Y	Y	Y	U	U	Y	Y	NA	NA	Y	0.75	low
(26)	Y	Y	Y	U	U	Y	Y	NA	NA	Y	0.75	low
(25)	Y	Y	Y	U	U	Y	Y	NA	NA	Y	0.75	low
(28)	Y	Y	Y	N	U	Y	Y	NA	NA	Y	0.75	low
(29)	Y	Y	Y	Y	U	Y	Y	NA	NA	Y	0.875	low
(30)	Y	Y	Y	U	U	Y	Y	NA	NA	Y	0.75	low

Y = Yes; N = No; U = Unclear; NA = Not applicable. The assessment questions were as follows: Q1. Were there clear criteria for inclusion in the case series?; Q2. Was the condition measured in a standard, reliable way for all participants included in the case series?; Q3. Were valid methods used for identification of the condition for all participants included in the case series?; Q4. Did the case series have consecutive inclusion of participants?; Q5. Did the case series have complete inclusion of participants?; Q6. Was there clear reporting of the demographics of the participants in the study?; Q7. Was there clear reporting of clinical information of the participants?; Q8. Were the outcomes or follow-up results of cases clearly reported?; Q9. Was there clear reporting of the presenting site(s)/clinic(s) demographic information?; Q10. Was the statistical analysis appropriate?