iScience, Volume 25

Supplemental information

Cryo-EM structures of GroEL:ES₂ with RuBisCO

visualize molecular contacts of encapsulated

substrates in a double-cage chaperonin

Hyunmin Kim, Junsun Park, Seyeon Lim, Sung-Hoon Jun, Mingyu Jung, and Soung-Hun Roh



Supplementary Figure 1. cryoEM data processing workflow for GroEL+GroES, related to Figure 1

A Electron micrograph of ice-embedded GroEL+GroES. Scale bar, 50nm. Averaged 2D projections showing top, tilted and side views of bullet- and football-shaped complexes. **B** The data-processing workflow. **C** Gold-standard Fourier shell correlation (GS-FSC) curves depicting the resolution. **D** Local resolution estimation of bullet and football forms.



Supplementary Figure 2. cryoEM data processing workflow for GroEL+GroES with RuBisCO, related to Figure 1

A Electron micrograph of ice-embedded GroEL+GroES with RuBisCO. Scale bar, 50nm. Averaged 2D projections showing side, tilted and top views of football and bullet forms.

B The data-processing workflow. 326,232 particles were subjected to 3D classification after motion correction and 2D classification. 3 out of 8 classes, i) GroEL:ES1, ii) GroEL:ES2, and iii) GroEL:ES2-RuBisCO were determined from the particles. iv) GroEL:ES2-RuBisCO2 was further refined from football-shaped complex after signal subtraction, pooling particles, and 3D refinement.

C Gold-standard Fourier shell correlation (GS-FSC) curves depicting the resolution.

D Local resolution estimation of each maps.

E Segmented GroEL subunit is shown as a representative for the quality of the map and model.



GroEL + ES

GroEL + ES + RuBisCO



Supplementary Figure 3. C-terminal tail inside the GroEL chamber, related to Figure 2. A Real space slices view of various GroEL:ES complexes. B Focused view on C-terminal tail of each GroEL:ES complex. Extended C-terminal tails are visualized except for bullet-shaped GroEL:ES complex without RuBisCO.



Supplementary Figure 4. Comparison of structures of GroEL/ES chaperone encapsulating substrate inside its chamber, related to Figure 2. A Structures of GroEL, whose chamber is bound to substrates and is sealed with its lid-like domain GroES, are shown.



Supplementary Figure 5. Automatic particle picking from templates generated, related to Figure 1. A Templates generated from picked particles. B particles automatically picked on electron micrograph using generated templates.

Table S1.

| EMDB code (resolution) | EMD-1548 | EMD-2326 | GroEL:ES2- RuBisCO | GroEL:ES2- 2 * RuBisCO |
|--------------------------------|-----------|------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|
| Resolution | 10.1 Å | 9.2 Å | 7.6 Å | 8.7 Å |
| Substrate | gp23 | RuBisCO | RuBisCO | |
| GroEL:GroES (input) | 1:2.5* | 1:1 | 1:2 | |
| GroEL:GroES (in structure) | 1:1* | 1:1 | 1:2 | |
| GroEL:Substrate (input) | 1:2 | 1:1 | 1:10 | |
| GroEL:Substrate (in structure) | 1:2 | 1:1 | 1:1 | 1:2 |
| GroEL Construct | Wild-type | EL43Py398A | Wild-type | |
| Used ATP analog | ADP-AIFx | ATP | ADP-BeFx | |
| Released year | 2009 | 2013 | 2021 | |

*This structure used viral protein gp31 instead of GroES

Supplementary Table 1, related to Figure 2. List of structures of GroEL/ES chaperone with substrates encapsulated.