Online-Only Supplementary Material

eFigure 1. Distribution of FI scores among 19,110 ASPREE participants at baseline (upper panel) and, among 11,361 ASPREE participants with available scores at baseline and year 4 follow-up (lower panel).

eFigure 2: Frailty Index score at study entry according to age, and with the best fitting linear line and 95% confidence interval, separately for men (R2=0.034) and women (R2=0.052).

eFigure 3: Frailty Index Score according to Fried frailty phenotype categories.

eFigure 4: Cumulative incidence of Disability-free survival (DFS) according to Frailty Index (FI) score categorised into seven groups based on 0.05 change in FI. The Hazard Ratio (HR) and 95% CI is shown, relative to the group with a FI score of 0.

eFigure 5: Mean Frailty Index trajectory in participants who reached the DFS endpoint and those who did not.

eTable 1. The 67-Item ASPREE Frailty Index.

eTable 2. Summary statistics of the ASPREE Frailty Index score across follow-up, for men (top) and women separately.

eTable 3: The comparison (n; %) between participants classified as pre-frail and frail defined using the FI and the Fried phenotype.

eTable 4. Cox proportional hazards regression for the association between ASPREE Frailty Index score and persistent physical disability endpoint over a median 4.7 years, when FI scores were grouped into seven categories (n=19,110).

eTable 5. Cox proportional hazards regression for the association between Frailty Index score at baseline and the ASPREE composite primary endpoint (DFS) and persistent physical disability endpoint over a median 4.7 years (n=19,110).

eTable 6. Hazard ratios (95% confidence intervals) from multivariable Cox proportional hazards regression for the association between Frailty index and the ASPREE composite primary endpoint (DFS)^a across different ethno-racial groups.

eTable7: The risk of the composite DFS¹, and persistent physical disability endpoints associated with Frailty Index and Fried phenotype, when considered together in adjusted multivariate models (n=18,629²).

eTable8. Separate multivariate^a Cox proportional hazards regression for the association between Frailty Index and Fried phenotype at baseline and the ASPREE composite primary endpoint (DFS)¹, and persistent physical disability endpoints over a median 4.7 years (n=19,110).

eTable 9. Cox proportional hazards regression¹ for the association between composite frailty category² and the ASPREE composite primary endpoint (DFS) over a median 4.7 years (n=18,629).



eFigure 1. Distribution of FI scores among 19,110 ASPREE participants at baseline (upper panel) and, among 11,361 ASPREE participants with available scores at baseline and year 4 follow-up (lower panel).



eFigure 2: Frailty Index score at study entry according to age, and with the best fitting linear line and 95% confidence interval, separately for men (R2=0.034) and women (R2=0.052).



eFigure 3: Frailty Index Score according to Fried frailty phenotype categories.



eFigure 4: Cumulative incidence of Disability-free survival (DFS) according to Frailty Index (FI) score categorised into seven groups based on 0.05 change in FI. The Hazard Ratio (HR) and 95% CI is shown, relative to the group with a FI score of 0.



eFigure 5: Mean Frailty Index trajectory in participants who reached the DFS endpoint and those who did not.

eTable 1. The 67-Item ASPREE Frailty Index.

Health deficit	Source	Scoring & cut-offs
Disease diagnosis (11)		
Cancer	ASPREE endpoint (self-report at	No = 0; Past cancer at baseline = 0.5; Yes = 1
	baseline)	
Depression (CES-D-10 score)	ASPREE endpoint	\leq 7 = 0; 8-11 = 0.5; \geq 12 or hospitalization for depression = 1
Diabetes	Clinical measure & drugs	No = 0; Yes = 1
Stroke (ischemic or hemorrhagic)	ASPREE endpoint	No = 0; Yes =1
Major Hemorrhage (excluding stroke)	ASPREE endpoint	No = 0; Yes =1
Myocardial infarction	ASPREE endpoint	No = 0; Yes =1
Gastroesophageal reflux disease	Self-report	No = 0; Yes =1
Hospitalization for heart failure	ASPREE endpoint	No = 0; Yes =1
Osteoarthritis	Self-report	No = 0; Yes =1
Osteoporosis	Prescription drugs	No = 0; Yes =1
Urinary incontinence	Prescription drugs	No = 0; Yes =1
Disease indicators (13)		
Albuminuria	Clinical measure	No = 0; micro = 0.5; macro = 1
Anemia	Clinical measure	No = 0; Yes =1 (men <13g/dl & women <12 g/dl)
Central adiposity	Clinical measure	No = 0; Yes = 1 (>105cm men & >88cm women)
Dyslipidemia	Clinical & drugs	No = 0; Yes = 1
Hospitalizations in last year	Medical reports	0 = 0; 1-4 = 0.33; 5-9 = 0.67; ≥10 = 1
Hypertension	Clinical measure	SBP <140mmHg = 0; 140-159 = 0.33; 160-179 = 0.67; ≥ 180 = 1
Hypotension	Clinical measure	No = 0, Yes =1 (SBP <100 mg Hg)
Number of Drugs	Prescription drugs	0 = 0; 1-4 = 0.33; 5-9 = 0.67; ≥10 = 1
Obesity	Clinical measure	BMI <30 = 0; BMI ≥ 30 kg/m²;
Chronic Kidney Disease	Clinical measure	No = 0; Yes =1 (Estimated Glomerular Filtration Rate, eGFR <60)
Self-rated health status	SF-12 questionnaire	0 = excellent; 0.25 = very good; 0.5 = good; 0.75 = fair; 1 = poor
Smoking	Self-report	Never = 0; Past = 0.5; Current = 1
Underweight	Clinical measure	No = 0; Yes =1 (BMI < 18.5 kg/m²)
Functional status (26)		
Difficulty with bathing	ADL questionnaire	None = 0; a little = 0.25; some = 0.5; a lot = 0.75; unable to do or requires
		assistance = 1
Difficulty with dressing	ADL questionnaire	None = 0; a little = 0.25; some = 0.5; a lot = 0.75; unable to do or requires
		assistance = 1
Difficulty with eating	ADL questionnaire	None = 0; a little = 0.25 ; some = 0.5 ; a lot = 0.75 ; unable to do or requires
_		assistance = 1

Health deficit	Source	Scoring & cut-offs
Difficulty with toileting	ADL questionnaire	None = 0; a little = 0.25; some = 0.5; a lot = 0.75; unable to do or requires
Diffi aultur unitle tears of a units a		assistance = 1
Difficulty with transferring	ADL questionnaire	None = 0; a little = 0.25 ; some = 0.5 ; a lot = 0.75 ; unable to do or requires
Difficulty wellsing		assistance = 1
	ADL questionnaire	None = 0; a little = 0.25 ; some = 0.5 ; a lot = 0.75 ; unable to do or requires
Accomplished loss	SE 12 guantiannaira	dssistance - 1
Accomplished less	SF-12 questionnaire	None – 0, a little – 0.25, some – 0.50, most – 0.75, aiways – 1
	SF-12 questionnaire	NO = 0, a Intelle = 0.5, a Iot = 1
Limited in kind of work/activities	SF-12 questionnaire	None = 0; a little = 0.25 ; some = 0.50 ; most = 0.75 ; always = 1
Moderate activities limited	SF-12 questionnaire	No = 0; a little = 0.5; a lot = 1
Pain interfere with work	SF-12 questionnaire	No = 0; a bit = 0.35 ; moderate = 0.5 ; quite a bit = 0.75 ; extreme = 5
Requires a walking aid	LIFE questions	No = 0; Yes = 1
Any walking outside	LIFE questions	Yes =0, No = 1
Difficulty walking several blocks	FAST questionnaire	No=0, Yes=1
Difficulty walking 8-12 blocks	LIFE questions	No=0, Yes=1
Difficulty climbing 1 flight of stairs	FAST questionnaire	None = 0; a little = 0.25; some = 0.5; a lot = 0.75; unable to do = 1
Difficulty getting into a car	FAST questionnaire	None = 0; a little = 0.25; some = 0.5; a lot = 0.75; unable to do = 1
Difficulty gripping objects	FAST questionnaire	None = 0; a little = 0.25; some = 0.5; a lot = 0.75; unable to do = 1
Difficulty lifting 10 pounds	FAST questionnaire	None = 0; a little = 0.25; some = 0.5; a lot = 0.75; unable to do = 1
Difficulty lifting heavy objects	FAST questionnaire	None = 0; a little = 0.25; some = 0.5; a lot = 0.75; unable to do = 1
Difficulty raising arms above head	FAST guestionnaire	None = 0; a little = 0.25; some = 0.5; a lot = 0.75; unable to do = 1
Difficulty running errands	FAST questionnaire	None = 0; a little = 0.25; some = 0.5; a lot = 0.75; unable to do = 1
Difficulty walking 1 block	FAST questionnaire	None = 0; a little = 0.25; some = 0.5; a lot = 0.75; unable to do = 1
Difficulty with light housework	FAST questionnaire	None = 0; a little = 0.25; some = 0.5; a lot = 0.75; unable to do = 1
Difficulty with meal preparation	FAST questionnaire	None = 0; a little = 0.25; some = 0.5; a lot = 0.75; unable to do = 1
Difficulty with shopping	LIFE questions	No=0. Yes=1
Mental & Psychosocial (11)		
Accomplished less due to emotional	SF-12 questionnaire	None = 0; a little = 0.25; some = 0.50; most = 0.75; always = 1
problems		-, -, -, <u>,</u>
A lot of energy	SF-12 questionnaire	Always = 0; most = 0.25; some = 0.50; a little = 0.75; never = 1
Calm or peaceful	SF-12 questionnaire	Always = 0; most = 0.25; some = 0.50; a little = 0.75; never = 1
Difficulty participating in community	FAST guestionnaire	None = 0; a little = 0.25; some = 0.5; a lot = 0.75; unable to do = 1
activities		
Difficulty taking care of family	FAST questionnaire	None = 0; a little = 0.25; some = 0.5; a lot = 0.75; unable to do = 1
Difficulty using the telephone	FAST questionnaire	None = 0; a little = 0.25; some = 0.5; a lot = 0.75; unable to do = 1
Difficulty with financial management	FAST questionnaire	None = 0; a little = 0.25; some = 0.5; a lot = 0.75; unable to do = 1

Health deficit	Source	Scoring & cut-offs
Difficulty visiting relatives/friends	FAST questionnaire	None = 0; a little = 0.25; some = 0.5; a lot = 0.75; unable to do = 1
Downhearted and depressed	SF-12 questionnaire	None = 0; a little = 0.25; some = 0.50; most = 0.75; always = 1
Less careful in work/activities	SF-12 questionnaire	None = 0; a little = 0.25; some = 0.50; most = 0.75; always = 1
Social activities impacted by health	SF-12 questionnaire	None = 0; a little = 0.25; some = 0.50; most = 0.75; always = 1
Cognition & Performance (6)		
Episodic memory, delayed recall	HVLT-R questionnaire	No = 0, Yes=1 [≤4 at baseline or >1.5SD decline over follow-up]
Low Global cognition	3MS questionnaire	No = 0, Yes=1 [< 78 or decline >10-point decline over follow-up]
Low Psychomotor Speed	SDMT questionnaire	No = 0, Yes=1 [≤14 at baseline or >1.5SD decline over follow-up]
Low Language and Executive Function	COWAT questionnaire	No = 0, Yes=1 [≤7 at baseline or >1.5SD decline over follow-up]
Slow Gait speed over 3 meters	Clinical measure	No = 0; Yes = 1 [Lowest quintile defined at baseline according to sex and height]
Weak handgrip strength	Clinical measure	No = 0; Yes = 1 [Lowest quintile defined at baseline according to sex and BMI]

Notes: 3MS: Modified Mini-Mental State Examination; ADL: Activity of Daily Living; BMI: Body mass index, calculated based on measured weight (kg) and height (m²); CES-D: Center for the Epidemiologic Studies Depression scale; COWAT: Controlled Oral Word Association Test; HVLT-R: Hopkins Verbal Learning Test Revised; SBP: Systolic Blood Pressure; SDMT: Symbol Digit Modalities Test; SF-12: 12-item short-form questionnaire

MEN	Baseline	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6
Ν	8,331	7,922	7,595	6,598	4,910	3,075	1,092
Mean (SD)	0.10 (0.06)	0.10 (0.07)	0.11 (0.07)	0.11 (0.07)	0.12 (0.08)	0.12 (0.08)	0.13 (0.08)
Median (25-75%)	0.09 (0.06-0.13)	0.09 (0.06-0.13)	0.09 (0.06-0.13)	0.09 (0.06-0.14)	0.10 (0.06-0.15)	0.10 (0.06-0.15)	0.10 (0.06-0.16)
99% submaximal	0.29	0.34	0.38	0.37	0.40	0.43	0.43
FI pre-frail ¹ (%)	35.6%	31.8%	34.6%	35.0%	35.7%	35.7%	38.3%
FI frail ² (%) `´´	4.7%	6.5%	8.4%	9.0%	11.5%	12.2%	12.9%
WOMEN							
Ν	10,779	10,168	9,706	8,501	6,451	4,107	1,591
Mean (SD)	0.12 (0.07)	0.13 (0.08)	0.14 (0.09)	0.14 (0.09)	0.15 (0.10)	0.15 (0.09)	0.15 (0.10)
Median (25-75%)	0.11 (0.07-0.16)	0.11 (0.07-0.16)	0.12 (0.08-0.18)	0.11 (0.08-0.18)	0.12 (0.08-0.20)	0.13 (0.08-0.20)	0.13 (0.08-0.19)
99% submaximal	0.34	0.39	0.42	0.41	0.46	0.45	0.48
FI pre-frail ¹ (%)	35.6%	40.5%	41.2%	41.0%	40.9%	41.5%	43.1%
FI frail ² (%)	4.7%	13.5%	17.1%	17.4%	21.1%	21.5%	20.6%

eTable 2. Summary statistics of the ASPREE Frailty Index score across follow-up, for men (top) and women separately.

¹An FI score > 0.1 and \leq 0.21.

²An FI score >0.21.

Fried frailty phenotype ¹			Frailty Index (FI)	
		<u>Not frail (≤ 0.10)</u>	<u> Pre-frail (>0.10 & <0.21)</u>	<u>Frail (≥ 0.21)</u>
Not frail (0 components)	n; %	7236; 66.2%	3541; 32.4%	159; 1.4%
Pre-frail (1-2 components)	n; %	2337; 32.1%	3862; 53.1%	1078; 14.8%
Frail (3+ components)	n; %	19; 4.6%	149; 35.8%	248; 59.6%

eTable 3: The comparison between participants classified as pre-frail and frail defined using the FI and the Fried phenotype.

¹Cut-offs were used to define pre-frail and frail according to modified Fried frailty phenotype, as previously ¹. Chi-square p<0.0001

eTable 4. Cox proportional hazards regression for the association between ASPREE Frailty Index score and persistent physical disability endpoint over a median 4.7 years, when FI scores were grouped into seven categories (n=19,110).

Frailty Index score	Events n (%)	HR per 0.01 (95% Cl), p
FI = 0	14 (3.4)	1.00 [Ref]
0 < FI ≤ 0.05	44 (10.7)	1.11 (0.61-2.03, p=0.73)
0.05 < FI ≤ 0.10	76 (18.5)	2.64 (1.49-4.67), p=0.001
0.10 < FI ≤ 0.15	82 (19.9)	5.89 (3.33-10.40), p<0.001
0.15< FI ≤ 0.25	84 (20.4)	14.10 (7.97-24.95), p<0.001
0.25 < FI ≤ 0.30	45 (10.9)	17.15 (9.38-31.37), p<0.001
FI > 0.30	67 (16.3)	48.23 (26.96-86.27), p<0.001

Notes: Models were adjusted for age and gender.

eTable 5. Cox proportional hazards regression for the association between Frailty Index score at baseline and the ASPREE composite primary endpoint (DFS)¹ and persistent physical disability endpoint over a median 4.7 years (n=19,110).

	Primary Composite Endpoint (1,835 events)	Persistent Disability (412 events)
Univariate		
Frailty Index ²	1.08 (1.07 to 1.08), p<0.001	1.15 (1.14 to 1.16), p<0.001
Multivariable		
Frailty Index ²	1.07 (1.06 to 1.08), p<0.001	1.15 (1.13 to 1.16), p<0.001
Age, years	1.10 (1.10 to 1.11), p<0.001	1.09 (1.07 to 1.10), p<0.001
Male	1.70 (1.56 to 1.88), p<0.001	1.61 (1.31 to 1.97), p<0.001
Ethno-racial group		
White Australian	1 (reference)	1 (reference)
White U.S.	0.99 (0.82-1.18), p=0.88	0.73 (0.49-1.11), p=0.14
African-American	1.45 (1.18-1.79), p<0.001	0.92 (0.58-1.44), p=0.69
Hispanic/Latino	1.09 (0.79-1.51), p=0.60	1.04 (0.52-2.11), p=0.91
Other	0.90 (0.60-1.35), p=0.62	0.15 (0.02-1.06), p=0.06

¹The primary endpoint was a composite of death, dementia or physical disability.

²HR is per 0.01-unit

eTable 6. Hazard ratios (95% confidence intervals) from multivariable Cox proportional hazards regression for the association between Frailty index and the ASPREE composite primary endpoint (DFS)¹ across different ethno-racial groups.

	Australian white N=16,360 HR (95% CI)	U.S. white N=1088 HR (95% CI)	U.S. African-American N=899 HR (95% CI)	U.S. Hispanic-Latino N=488 HR (95% CI)	Other N=275 HR (95% CI)
Frailty Index, per 0.01-unit	1.07 (1.06-1.08)	1.06 (1.03-1.08)	1.06 (1.03-1.09)	1.07 (1.02-1.12)	1.07 (1.06-1.08)
Age, year	1.11 (1.10-1.12)	1.12 (1.08-1.15)	1.07 (1.04-1.11)	1.10 (1.03-1.17)	1.11 (1.10-1.12)
Male	1.66 (1.50-1.84)	1.64 (1.14-2.37)	2.23 (1.46-3.41)	2.20 (1.13-4.27)	1.71 (1.56-1.88)

¹The primary endpoint was a composite of death, dementia or physical disability.

DFS (1,776 events)	Disability (394 events)
<u>HR per 0.1 unit (95% CI), p value</u>	<u>HR per 0.1 unit (95% CI), p value</u>
1.72 (1.59 to 1.85), p<0.001	3.72 (3.27 to 4.23), p<0.001
1.11 (1.08 to 1.15), p<0.001	1.07 (1.00 to 1.14), p=0.04
<u>HR (95% CI), p value</u>	<u>HR (95% CI), p value</u>
1.00 (Reference)	1.00 (Reference)
1.54 (1.38-1.73), <0.001	3.47 (2.52-4.79), <0.001
3.01 (2.58-3.52), <0.001	16.4 (11.5-23.4), <0.001
1.00 (Reference)	1.00 (Reference)
1.46 (1.31-1.63), <0.001	1.70 (1.30-2.20), <0.001
2.05 (1.65-2.54), <0.001	2.39 (1.60-3.56), <0.001
	DFS (1,776 events) HR per 0.1 unit (95% Cl), p value 1.72 (1.59 to 1.85), p<0.001

eTable7: The risk of the composite DFS¹, and persistent physical disability endpoints associated with Frailty Index and Fried phenotype, when considered together in adjusted multivariate models (n=18,629²).

¹The primary endpoint was a composite of death, dementia or physical disability.

²481 participants were missing one of the components of the Fried frailty phenotype, and are thus not included here.

eTable8. Separate multivariate^a Cox proportional hazards regression for the association between Frailty Index and Fried phenotype at baseline and the ASPREE composite primary endpoint (DFS)¹, and persistent physical disability endpoints over a median 4.7 years (n=19,110).

	Frailty Index (n=19,110)	Fried phenotype (n=18,629 ⁴)
DFS ²	<u>HR³ (95% CI), p value</u>	<u>HR³ (95% CI), p value</u>
Continuous measure ³	1.95 (1.84 to 2.07), p<0.001	1.25 (1.22 to 1.29), p<0.001
Categorical measure		
Not Frail	1.00 (Reference)	1.00 (Reference)
Pre-frail	1.73 (1.56 to 1.93), p<0.001	1.88 (1.68 to 2.06), p<0.001
Frail	3.91 (3.41 to 4.48), p<0.001	3.74 (3.07 to 4.55), p<0.001
DISABILITY	<u>HR³ (95% CI), p value</u>	<u>HR³ (95% CI), p value</u>
Continuous measure ³	3.88 (3.52-4.28), <0.001	1.49 (1.42-1.56), <0.001
Categorical measure		
Not Frail	1.00 (Reference)	1.00 (Reference)
Pre-frail	3.85 (2.85-5.19), <0.001	3.51 (2.78-4.45), <0.001
Frail	21.15 (15.5-28.8), <0.001	11.3 (7.84-16.2), <0.001

¹The models were adjusted for age, sex and ethno-racial group.

²The primary endpoint was a composite of death, dementia or physical disability.

³HR is per 0.1-unit increase.

⁴481 participants were missing one of the components of the Fried frailty phenotype, and are thus not included here.

eTable 9. Cox proportional hazards regression¹ for the association between composite frailty category² and the ASPREE composite primary endpoint (DFS) over a median 4.7 years (n=18,629).

Composite Frailty Category	Events n (%)	HR (95% CI), p
Not Frail both	369 (11.2)	1.00 [Ref]
Not Frail Index, Pre-Frail Fried	203 (18.6)	1.43 (1.20-1.70), p<0.001
Pre-Frail Index, Not Frail Fried	270 (17.2)	1.55 (1.32-1.81), p<0.001
Pre-Frail both	530 (30.6)	2.20 (1.92-2.53), p<0.001
Not Frail Index, Frail Fried ³	2 (24.8)	1.46 (0.36-5.87), p=0.60
Frail Index, Not Frail Fried ³	16 (23.4)	2.23 (1.35-3.68), p=0.002
Pre-Frail Index, Frail Fried	42 (65.7)	3.59 (2.59-4.97), p<0.001
Frail Index, Pre-Frail Fried	259 (58.5)	4.53 (3.84-5.35), p<0.001
Frail both	85 (87.9)	5.82 (4.57-7.41), p<0.001

¹Models were adjusted for age, gender and ethno-racial category.

²The composite frailty score considered categorization of participants into frailty status groups, based on both the FI and the Fried phenotype.

 3 The numbers of events in these discordant groups are very small, and the estimates are thus less likely to be reliable. These curves are thus not shown in Figure 3b.