

Change	Reason	Date
The protocol was only deposited at one site (University of Guelph Atrium)	One open access site seemed sufficient for <i>a priori</i> documentation.	May 22 2020
An additional question was added to the title/abstract screening process: “could this article be potentially relevant to the review?”	The literature search generated > 10,000 references. This necessitated another data screening stage: rapid title screening for potentially relevance.	May 26 2020
We did not extract data on whether cages were open top or individually ventilated (IVC)	These data were very rarely reported so were not extracted.	June 5 2020
Multiple subgroup analysis was exchanged for meta-regression analysis	We realised we could successfully perform a meta-regression, rather than analyze each subgroup individually, so increasing power and allowing analysis of some interactions.	January 16 2021
Analysis was categorized by ‘resource type’ rather than tallying all resources	‘Resource’ category was modified based on a recognition that resources have qualitatively different effects (e.g. exercise is qualitatively different from nesting). These categories were also pragmatic: based on available data on how EH actually differed from CH. Thirdly, precision was also an issue (e.g. was a ‘structure’ used for climbing, or to nest within, or not at all?) making some resources difficult to assess.	February 6 2021
Studies reporting tumor incidence in 2x2 tables were not included in the analysis	Odds ratios were not compatible with other (standardized mean difference) results.	February 7 2021
Added analysis of coefficient of variation	Further reading suggested the value of assessing whether coefficients of variation differed between conventional and enriched conditions.	May 22 2021