Supplementary Table 1. Statistical analysis

All post hoc testing used the Tukey-Kramer method, controlling for multiple comparisons. All statistical tests are two-sided unless otherwise specified.

Figure	Sample Size (numbers indicate sessions unless otherwise stated)	Statistical Test	Values
Task performance (percent correct) compared to chance (11%)	Ketamine- WM 18 Saline-WM 7 Ketamine- Perception 4	Binomial test	Pre-Injection:Ketamine-WM sessions $p<0.001$ Saline-WM sessions $p<0.001$ Ketamine-Perception sessions $p<0.001$ Early Post-Injection:Ketamine-WM sessions13 sessions, $p<0.05$ Saline-WM sessions $p<0.0001$ Ketamine-Perception sessions $p<0.0001$ Late Post-Injection:Ketamine-WM sessions $p<0.0001$ Late Post-Injection:Ketamine-WM sessions $p<0.0001$ Late Post-Injection:Ketamine-WM sessions $p<0.05$ Saline-WM sessions $p<0.05$ Ketamine-Perception sessions $p<0.05$ Ketamine-Perception sessions $p<0.05$ Ketamine-Perception sessions $p<0.05$
Fig. 1g Task performance (percent correct) compared between	Ketamine- WM 18 Saline-WM 7		Ketamine-WM:Pre-Injection, mean=72, median=77Early Post-Injection, mean=34, median=28Late Post-Injection, mean=64, median=66Saline-WM:Pre-Injection, mean=80, median=84Early Post-Injection, mean=77, median=90

injection			Late Post-Injection, <i>mean</i> =66, <i>median</i> =76				
periods		Two-way analysis of variance with post hoc	Drug: F=9.57, p=0.003, df=1,69 Injection Period: F=4.3, p=0.017, df=2,69 Interaction: F=4.85, p=0.011, df=2,69				
		pairwise comparisons	Ket pre-injection, Ket pre-injection, Ket pre-injection, Ket pre-injection, Sal pre-injection, Sal pre-injection, Sal pre-injection, Sal pre-injection, Ket early post-injection, Ket early post-injection, Ket early post-injection, Sal early post-injection, Sal early post-injection, Ket late post-injection,	Sal pre-injection, Ket early post-injection, Sal early post-injection, Ket late post-injection, Sal late post-injection, Ket early post-injection, Sal early post-injection, Sal late post-injection, Sal early post-injection, Sal late post-injection, Ket late post-injection, Sal late post-injection,	p=0.9958 p=0.8788 p=0.9893		
	Ketamine- Perception 4	Repeated measures analysis of variance	Ketamine-Perception: Pre-Injection, <i>mean</i> =79, <i>m</i> Early Post-Injection, <i>mean</i> = Late Post-Injection, <i>mean</i> =	n=84, <i>median</i> =90			
			F=0.25, p=0.786, df=2,6				
Fig. 1h Response time compared between injection periods	Ketamine- WM 18 <i>n</i> =126 (values calculated per target location for conditions with trials in all injection periods)	Repeated measures analysis of variance with post hoc pairwise comparisons	Ketamine-WM:Pre-Injection, mean=2.6, mEarly Post-Injection, mean=Late Post-Injection, mean= $F=16.81, p<0.0001, df=2,2$ Post HocEarly Post-Injection, Pre-I $p<0.0001$ Late Post-Injection, Pre-In $p=0.330$ Early Post-Injection, Late $p<0.0001$	n=3.2, median=2.9 =2.7, median=2.5 250 njection			

	Saline-WM 7 <i>n</i> =55 Ketamine- Perception 4 <i>n</i> =31		Saline-WM: Pre-Injection, mean=2.6, median=2.4 Early Post-Injection, mean=2.7, median=2.5 Late Post-Injection, mean=2.7, median=2.4 F=1.71, p=0.186, df=2,108 Ketamine-Perception: Pre-Injection, mean=2.5, median=2.3 Early Post-Injection, mean=2.5, median=2.5 Late Post-Injection, mean=2.5, median=2.5 F=0.22, p=0.800, df=2,60
Fig. 11 Navigation in environment (difference in cells entered)	NHP T 8 Number of cells (25) * number of target locations with trials Early post injection – pre-injection Ketamine, n=154 Saline, n=161 Late post- injection – pre-injection Ketamine, n=124 Saline, n=124 Saline, n=125 Early post- injection – late post- injection – late post- injection Ketamine, n=159	Two-way analysis of variance with interaction effect with post hoc comparisons	NHP T: KetamineEarly Post-Injection – Pre-Injection $mean=6.9, median=4.2$ Late Post-Injection – Pre-Injection $mean=3.3, median=0.9$ Early Post-Injection – Late Post-Injection $mean=6.6, median=2.9$ SalineEarly Post-Injection – Pre-Injection $mean=3.2, median=0$ Late Post-Injection – Pre-Injection $mean=4.8, median=0$ Early Post-Injection – Late Post-Injection $mean=4.8, median=0$ Early Post-Injection – Late Post-Injection $mean=2.5, median=0$ Ketamine and Saline ComparisonEpoch, $F=0.97, p=0.380, df=2,832$ Drug, $F=12.1, p=0.0005, df=1,832$ Interaction, $F=8.73, p=0.0002, df=2,832$ Post HocEarly Post-Injection, Pre-Injection $p=0.002$ Late Post-Injection, Pre-Injection $p=0.717$

	Saline,	Early Post-Injection, Late Post-Injection
	<i>n</i> =115	<i>p</i> =0.001
	NHP B	
	9	NHP B:
	Number of	Ketamine
	cells (25) *	Early Post-Injection – Pre-Injection
	number of	<i>mean</i> =4.6, <i>median</i> =2.1
	target	Late Post-Injection – Pre-Injection
	locations	<i>mean</i> =3.6, <i>median</i> =1.8
	with trials	Early Post-Injection – Late Post-Injection
	Early post	<i>mean</i> =4.1, <i>median</i> =2.3
	injection –	
	pre-injection	
	Ketamine,	Saline
	<i>n</i> =162	Early Post-Injection – Pre-Injection
	Saline,	<i>mean</i> =2.6, <i>median</i> =1.2
	<i>n</i> =158	Late Post-Injection – Pre-Injection
	Late post-	<i>mean</i> =3.4, <i>median</i> =1.4,
	injection –	Early Post-Injection – Late Post-Injection
	pre-injection	mean=2.4, median=0.8
	Ketamine,	
	<i>n</i> =163	
	Saline,	Ketamine and Saline Comparison
	<i>n</i> =157	Epoch, F=0.51, p=0.604, df=2,913
	Early post-	Drug, F=15.16, p=0.0001, df=1,913
	injection –	Interaction, F=3.4, p=0.034, df=2,913
	late post-	
	injection	Post Hoc
	Ketamine,	Early Post-Injection, Pre-Injection
	<i>n</i> =134	<i>p</i> =0.004
	Saline,	Late Post-Injection, Pre-Injection
	<i>n</i> =145	<i>p</i> =1
		Early Post-Injection, Late Post-Injection
		<i>p</i> =0.044
	Ketamine-	
	Perception	
	4	Perception
	Early post	Early Post-Injection – Pre-Injection
	injection –	<i>mean</i> =1.8, <i>median</i> =0
	pre-injection	Late Post-Injection – Pre-Injection
	Perception,	<i>mean</i> =2.2, <i>median</i> =0
	<i>n</i> =197	Early Post-Injection – Late Post-Injection
	Late post-	
1	injection –	

			
	pre-injection		<i>mean</i> =2.0, <i>median</i> =0
	Perception,		
	<i>n</i> =194		
	Early post-		Ketamine-WM and Ketamine-Perception Comparison
	injection –		
	late post-		Epoch, F=3.09, p=0.046, df=2,1022
	injection		Drug, $F=25.6$, $p=0$, $df=1,1022$
	Perception,		Interaction, $F=5.26$, $p=0.005$, $df=2,1022$
	<i>n</i> =203		
			Post Hoc
	Ketamine-		Early Post-Injection, Pre-Injection
	WM		p=0
	17		Late Post-Injection, Pre-Injection
	17		p=0.999
			Early Post-Injection, Late Post-Injection
			5 5 7
			<i>p</i> =0.007
	17	A malavair - f	Dro Inightion manuell 26 modime 10.02
Fig. 2c	17	Analysis of	Pre-Injection, mean=11.36, median=10.93
Proportion of	n=51,	variance with	Early Post-Injection, <i>mean</i> =6.23, <i>median</i> =5.20
tuned units	selectivity	post hoc	Late Post-Injection, <i>mean</i> =9.62, <i>median</i> =7.79
ketamine-	proportions	testing	
WM sessions	combined		F=8.73, p=0.0002, df=2,303
compared	(17 per		Post Hoc
between	epoch)		Pre-Injection, Early Post-Injection
injection			<i>p</i> =0.0001
periods			Pre-Injection, Late Post-Injection
			<i>p</i> =0.342
			Early Post-Injection, Late Post-Injection
			<i>p</i> =0.018
		Chi-Square	Pre-Injection- Early Post-Injection, $p=0, X^2=128.67$
		Test	Pre-Injection- Late Post-Injection, $p=0.97$, $X^2=0.002$
			Early Post-Injection- Late Post-Injection, $p=0, X^2=126.52$
Fig. 2d	7	One-way	Pre-Injection, <i>mean</i> =11.7, <i>median</i> =13.5
Proportion of	<i>n</i> =21,	analysis of	Early Post-Injection, <i>mean</i> =10.04, <i>median</i> =10.26
tuned units	selectivity	variance	Late Post-Injection, mean=8.04, median=8.39
saline-WM	proportions		
sessions	combined (7		F=1.93, p=0.1498, df=2,123
compared	per epoch)		
between	/		
injection			
periods		Chi-Square	Pre-Injection- Early Post-Injection, $p=0.231$, $X^2=1.44$
1		Test	<i>y y y y y y y y y y</i>
	1	1.000	I

			Pre-Injection- Late Post-Injection, $p=5.26e-07$, $X^2=25.17$ Early Post-Injection- Late Post-Injection, $p=1.3e-04$, $X^2=14.65$
Fig. 2f Change in slope magnitude between injection periods	17	Kruskal- Wallis one- way analysis of variance with post hoc testing	KetaminePre-Injection, mean=-0.411, median=-0.413Early Post-Injection, mean=-0.286, median=-0.298Late Post-Injection, mean=-0.315, median=-0.306 $H=13.48, p=0.0012, df=2,48$ Post HocPre-Injection, Early Post-Injection, $p=0.001$ Pre-Injection, Late Post-Injection, $p=0.017$ Early Post-Injection, Late Post-Injection, $p=0.741$ Saline $H=5.7, p= 0.058, df=2,18$ Post HocPre-Injection, Early Post-Injection, $p=0.097$ Pre-Injection, Late Post-Injection, $p=0.097$ Pre-Injection, Late Post-Injection, $p=0.097$ Early Post-Injection, Late Post-Injection, $p=1$
Fig. 3a SVM decoding accuracy ketamine- WM compared between injection periods	16	Kruskal- Wallis one- way analysis of variance with post hoc testing	Cue: $df=2$ # of Neurons p H pre-post, p CI-pre CI-post 1 0.013 8.76 0.012 53.4, 59.5 46.7, 53.2 2 0.008 9.74 0.007 64.1, 75.9 52.2, 62.7 3 0.008 9.61 0.008 68.0, 80.6 55.5, 66.9 4 0.015 8.37 0.016 70.1, 83.3 57.9, 70.6 5 0.016 8.25 0.018 72.0, 85.3 59.2, 72.6 6 0.024 7.42 0.023 73.1, 86.9 60.3, 74.0 7 0.046 6.15 0.039 73.1, 87.5 61.4, 75.4 8 0.050 5.99 0.042 73.6, 88.0 62.0, 76.3 9 0.052 5.91 0.046 73.8, 88.8 62.7, 77.2 10 0.049 6.03 0.043 74.1, 89.5 63.0, 77.6 11 0.069 5.36 0.062 74.9, 89.9 63.3, 78.0 12 0.075

	2	0.004	10.86	0.006	66.9, 79.2	51.6, 62.4
	3	0.008	9.79	0.011	70.5, 83.0	55.0, 67.3
	4	0.009	9.43	0.016	73.1, 85.5	57.7, 70.1
	5	0.013	8.63	0.023	74.0, 87.0	58.9, 71.7
	6	0.006	10.21	0.013	75.5, 88.5	59.5, 72.4
	7	0.004	10.91	0.012	76.4, 89.7	60.5, 73.4
	8	0.006	10.21	0.018	76.9, 90.3	61.7, 74.5
	9	0.006	10.34	0.017	77.5, 90.7	61.7, 75.1
	10	0.004	11.06	0.010	78.5, 91.1	61.6, 75.5
	11	0.002	12.71	0.006	79.7, 91.9	62.0. 75.9
	12	0.003	11.35	0.012	79.2, 92.0	62.3, 76.3
	13	0.004	11.08	0.015	79.4, 92.1	62.4, 76.6
	14	0.004	11.07	0.013	79.1, 92.1	62.3, 76.6
	15	0.004	11.07	0.014	79.2, 92.4	62.5, 77.0
	16	0.004	11.26	0.015	79.4, 92.3	62.4, 77.1
	Response:	df=2				
	# of Neuro		H	pre-post.	<i>p CI</i> -pre	CI-post
	1	0.007	10.03	0.007	53.6, 60.7	46.6, 52.5
	2	0.014	8.48	0.010	65.4, 79.5	53.1, 63.3
	3	0.010	9.32	0.007	70.3, 84.1	55.4, 67.0
	4	0.007	9.94	0.005	72.8, 86.3	56.8, 68.9
	5	0.009	9.42	0.007	74.0, 87.8	58.0, 71.0
	6	0.009	9.46	0.007	75.8, 88.6	58.5, 72.2
	7	0.006	10.18	0.006	77.5, 89.5	59.1, 72.9
	8	0.011	9.08	0.013	78.1, 89.9	60.0, 74,1
	9	0.011	8.96	0.014	78.7, 90.6	60.5, 74.9
	10	0.010	9.15	0.014	79.5, 90.8	61.0, 75.4
	11	0.010	9.25	0.014	79.5, 91.1	61.4, 75.7

			12	0.010	9.3	0.016	79.3, 91.3	61.7, 75.9
			13	0.013	8.68	0.020	78.8, 91.3	62.0, 75.9
			14	0.014	8.54	0.018	78.9, 91.3	61.9, 75.8
			15	0.013	8.75	0.017	78.9, 91.4	62.0, 76.0
			16	0.018	8	0.023	78.8, 91.6	62.1, 76.3
Fig. 3b SVM decoding accuracy saline-WM compared between injection periods	7	Kruskal- Wallis one- way analysis of variance	Ensemble of Cue: Pre-Injection Early Post-Late Post-I H=0.54, p= Delay: Pre-Injection Early Post-Late Post-I H=1.12, p= Response: Pre-Injection Early Post-Late Post-I H=1.36, p= All other no	on, $mean=8$ Injection, m njection, m =0.763, $df=$ on, $mean=8$ Injection, m =0.571, $df=$ on, $mean=8$ Injection, m =0.507, $df=$ euron ense	 82.6, mea mean=74 mean=76. 2,18 83.6, mea mean=77 mean=73. 2,18 83.9, mea mean=76. 2,18 mble size 	4, media 0, median 1ian=92.5 23, media 6, median 9, median 9, median	n=91.0 n=88.6 n=90.9 n=89.2 n=91.1 n=85.9	
Fig. 3c LDA decoding accuracy ketamine- WM compared between injection periods	17	Kruskal- Wallis one- way analysis of variance with post hoc testing	Pre-Injectio Early Post- Late Post-I <i>H</i> =13.37, <i>p</i> Post Hoc Pre-Injectio Early Post-	Injection, <i>n</i> njection, <i>m</i> p=0.001, <i>df</i> on, Early P on, Late Po	mean=66 hean=69. =2,48 ost-Inject	5.0, media 0, median tion, $p=0$ ion, $p=0.0$	n=63.6 n=64.7 .001 038	

Fig. 3c LDA decoding accuracy ketamine- WM compared to chance (50%)	17	One sample t- test, 2-tailed	Pre-Injection, <i>T</i> =13.10, <i>p</i> =5.70e-10, <i>df</i> =16 Early Post-Injection, <i>T</i> =9.22, <i>p</i> =8.42e-08, <i>df</i> =16 Last Post-Injection, <i>T</i> =10.58, <i>p</i> =1.24e-08, <i>df</i> =16
Fig. 3d Theoretical decoding accuracy ketamine- WM compared between injection periods	17	Kruskal- Wallis one- way analysis of variance with post hoc testing	Pre-Injection, mean=80.5, median=82.9 Early Post-Injection, mean=67.4, median=65.6 Late Post-Injection, mean=69.8, median=66 H=17.96, p=0.0001, df=2,48 Post Hoc Pre-Injection, Early Post-Injection, p=0.0002 Pre-Injection, Late Post-Injection, p=0.004 Early Post-Injection, Late Post-Injection, p=0.684
Fig. 3e Population signal compared between injection periods	Ketamine- WM 17 Saline-WM 7	Kruskal- Wallis one- way analysis of variance with post hoc testing	Ketamine-WMPre-Injection, mean=4.8, median=5.1Early Post-Injection, mean=2.8, median=2.6Late Post-Injection, mean=3.8, median=3.5 $H=8.13, p=0.017, df=2,48$ Post HocPre-Injection, Early Post-Injection, $p=0.012$ Pre-Injection, Late Post-Injection, $p=0.374$ Early Post-Injection, Late Post-Injection, $p=0.286$ Saline-WMPre-Injection, mean=5.2, median=6.3Early Post-Injection, mean=4.0, median=5.0Late Post-Injection, mean=3.3, median=3.9 $H=3.44, p=0.179, df=2,18$
Fig. 3f Projected precision ketamine- WM compared between	Ketamine- WM 17 Saline-WM 7	Kruskal- Wallis one- way analysis of variance with post hoc testing	Ketamine-WMPre-Injection, mean=0.49, median=0.44Early Post-Injection, mean=0.43, median=0.39Late Post-Injection, mean=0.38, median=0.34H=8.2, p=0.017, df=2,48

injection periods			Post Hoc Pre-Injection, Early Post-Injection, $p=0.380$ Pre-Injection, Late Post-Injection, $p=0.012$ Early Post-Injection, Late Post-Injection, $p=0.275$ Saline-WM Pre-Injection, mean=0.44, median=0.42 Early Post-Injection, mean=0.42, median=0.42 Late Post-Injection, mean=0.42, median=0.37 H=0.36, p=0.834, df=2,18
Fig. 4d Change in FR between injection periods for narrow spiking neurons	17 <i>n</i> =13 (arrays per session containing selective neurons)	Wilcoxon signed-ranks test, 1-tailed	Preferred Location, Pre-Injection, mean=0.45, median=0.47 Post-Injection, mean=0.28, median=0.21 $Z=1.66, p=0.049$ Least-Preferred Location, Pre-Injection, mean=0.21, median=0.13 Post-Injection, mean=0.24, median=0.09 $Z=-0.116, p=0.546$
Fig. 4f Change in FR between injection periods for broad spiking neurons	17 n=27 (arrays per session containing selective neurons)	Wilcoxon signed-ranks test, 1-tailed	Preferred Location, Pre-Injection, mean=0.43, median=0.43 Post-Injection, mean=0.42, median=0.43 $Z=0.383, p=0.649$ Least-Preferred Location, Pre-Injection, mean=0.23, median=0.25 Post-Injection, mean=0.34, median=0.36 $Z=-2.50, p=0.006$
Fig. 5b Percent of fixation time on target	Ketamine 18 Saline 7	Two-way analysis of variance with interaction	Ketamine:Pre-Injection, mean=6.97, median=3.64Early Post-Injection, mean=16.92, median=13.07Late Post-Injection, mean=6.98, median=4.25Saline:Pre-Injection, mean=7.28, median=1.85

Fig. 5c Eye data SVM decoding compared between injection periods	16	Kruskal- Wallis one- way analysis of variance	Early Post-Injection, mean=6.65, median=2.15 Late Post-Injection, mean=5.73, median=2.86 Drug: $F=1.73$, $p=0.193$, $df=1,69$ Injection Period: $F=1.42$, $p=0.248$, $df=2,69$ Interaction: $F=1.35$, $p=0.267$, $df=2,69$ Cue: Pre-Injection, mean=53.9, median=57.6 Early Post-Injection, mean=52.2, median=52.0 Late Post-Injection, mean=57.7, median=59.3 H=4.01, $p=0.135$, $df=2,45Delay:Pre-Injection, mean=47.8, median=47.8Early Post-Injection, mean=46.5, median=46.7Late Post-Injection, mean=52.1, median=51.8H=4.59$, $p=0.101$, $df=2,45$
Fig. 5c Eye data SVM decoding accuracy compared between cue and delay epochs	16 <i>n</i> =48, data combined between injection periods	Wilcoxon signed-ranks test, 2-tailed	Z=3.18, p=0.002
Fig. 5d SVM decoding accuracy for eye data compared to decoding accuracy for neural ensembles	16	Kruskal- Wallis one- way analysis of variance	Cue: Eye data $mean=53.9, median=57.6$ Neural data $mean=83.6, median=91$ $H=14.78, p=0.0001, df=1,30$ Delay: Eye data $mean=47.8, median=47.8$ Neural data $mean=86.2, median=91.9$

			H=22.91, p=1.69e-06, df=1,	30	
Fig. 5d Eye data decoding accuracy compared to chance (33%)	16	One sample t- test, 2-tailed	Cue: T=8.38, p=4.82e-07, df=15 Delay: T=8.53, p=3.87e-07, df=15		
Extended Data 1a, b Task performance (percent correct) compared between injection periods	NHP B Ketamine- WM 9 Saline-WM 4 NHP T Ketamine- WM 9 Saline-WM 3	Repeated measures analysis of variance with post hoc pairwise comparisons	NHP BKetamine-WM:Pre-Injection, mean=89, median=90Early Post-Injection, mean=39, median=43Late Post-Injection, mean=80, median=83 $F=22.49, p<0.0001, df=2,16$ Post HocPre-Injection, Early Post-Injection, $p<0.0001$ Pre-Injection, Late Post-Injection, $p=0.442$ Early Post-Injection, Late Post-Injection, $p=0.0003$		
			Saline-WM: Pre-Injection, <i>mean</i> =91, <i>median</i> =90 Early Post-Injection, <i>mean</i> =93, <i>median</i> =92 Late Post-Injection, <i>mean</i> =84, <i>median</i> =84 <i>F</i> =3.88, <i>p</i> =0.083, <i>df</i> =2,6		
		Two-way analysis of variance	Drug: F=12.95, p=0.001, df Injection Period: F=6.78, p= Interaction: F=9.57, p=0.000	=0.0034, <i>df</i> =2,33	
			Ket pre-injection, Ket pre-injection, Ket pre-injection, Ket pre-injection, Ket pre-injection, Sal pre-injection, Sal pre-injection, Sal pre-injection, Sal pre-injection,	Sal pre-injection, $p=1$ Ket early post-injection, $p=0$ Sal early post-injection, $p=0.999$ Ket late post-injection, $p=0.763$ Sal late post-injection, $p=0.988$ Ket early post-injection, $p=0.0001$ Sal early post-injection, $p=1$ Ket late post-injection, $p=0.827$ Sal late post-injection, $p=0.984$	

Repeated measures analysis of variance with post hoc pairwise comparisons	Ket early post-injection, Ket early post-injection, Ket early post-injection, Sal early post-injection, Sal early post-injection, Ket late post-injection, Ket late post-injection, Ket late post-injection, Pre-Injection, mean=54, mean=4 Early Post-Injection, mean=4 F=6.19, p=0.010, df=2,16 Post Hoc Pre-Injection, Early Post-Inje Pre-Injection, Early Post-Inje Early Post-Injection, Late Post-Inje Early Post-Injection, Late Post-Inje Early Post-Injection, mean=4 F=1.55, p=0.318, df=2,4	30, median=24 7, median=56 ection, p=0.0099 ection, p=0.662 ost-Injection, p=0.057 dian=70 55, median=56	<i>p</i> =0 <i>p</i> =0.0001 <i>p</i> =0.735 <i>p</i> =0.962 <i>p</i> =0.998
Two-way analysis of variance	Drug: $F=2.76$, $p=0.107$, $df=$ Injection Period: $F=2.99$, $p=$ Interaction: $F=2.15$, $p=0.133$ Ket pre-injection, Ket pre-injection, Ket pre-injection, Ket pre-injection, Sal pre-injection, Sal pre-injection, Sal pre-injection, Sal pre-injection, Sal pre-injection, Sal pre-injection,	5, <i>df</i> =2,30 Sal pre-injection, <i>p</i> Ket early post-injection, <i>p</i> Sal early post-injection, <i>p</i> Ket late post-injection, <i>p</i>	p=1 p=0.961 p=0.864 p=0.027

Extended Data 1c, d Response time compared between injection periods	NHP B 9 <i>n</i> =64 NHP T 9 <i>n</i> =62	Repeated measures analysis of variance with post hoc pairwise comparisons	Ket early post-injection, Ket early post-injection, Ket early post-injection, Sal early post-injection, Sal early post-injection, Ket late post-injection, Ket late post-injection, Ket late post-injection, mean=2.6, mean=2.6, mean=2.6, mean=2.6, mean=2.6, mean=2.7, mean=2.7, mean=2.7, post-Injection, mean=2.5, post-Injection, mean=2.7,	=3.5, median=3.0 2.7, median=2.5 126 jection, p<0.0001 ection, p=0.553 ost-Injection, p<0.0001 edian=2.3 =2.9, median=2.8	p=0.198 p=0.205 p=0.873 p=0.980 p=0.904 p=0.993
Extended Data 2a, b Proportion of tuned units ketamine- WM compared between injection periods	NHP B 9 <i>n</i> =27 NHP T 8 <i>n</i> =24	Analysis of variance with post hoc testing Chi-Square Test	F=1.5, p=0.226, df=2,122 NHP B: F=9.9, p<0.0001, df=2,159 Post Hoc Pre-Injection, Early Post-Inje Early Post-Injection, Late Post-Inje Pre-Injection, Early Post-Inje Pre-Injection, Late Post-Inje Early Post-Injection, Late P	ection, $p=0.820$ ost-Injection, $p=0.0013$ jection, $p=0, X^2=133.83$ ection, $p=0.62, X^2=0.25$	3.7
		Analysis of variance with	NHP T: <i>F</i> =3.96, <i>p</i> =0.021, <i>df</i> =2,141 Post Hoc Pre-Injection, Early Post-Inj	jection, <i>p</i> =0.019	

Extended Data 2c Overall change in FR between injection periods	17 Cue, $n=604$ neurons Delay, n=475 neurons Response, n=671 neurons	post hoc testing Chi-Square Test One-way analysis of variance	Pre-Injection, Late Post-Injection, $p=0.108$ Early Post-Injection, Late Post-Injection, $p=0.769$ Pre-Injection, Early Post-Injection, $p=0.14$, $X^2=2.22$ Pre-Injection, Late Post-Injection, $p=0.105$, $X^2=2.63$ Early Post-Injection, Late Post-Injection, $p=0.89$, $X^2=0.018$ Cue: Pre-Injection, mean=8.7, median=6.1 Post-Injection, mean=9.7, median=6.5 F=3.28, $p=0.073$, $df=1,1206Delay:Pre-Injection, mean=8.2, median=5.6Post-Injection, mean=8.8, median=5.7F=1.21$, $p=0.272$, $df=1,948Response:Pre-Injection, mean=8.4, median=5.6Post-Injection, mean=9.0, median=5.7$
Extended Data 3c, d SVM decoding accuracy ketamine- WM compared between injection periods	NHP T 7 NHP B 9	Kruskal- Wallis one- way analysis of variance with post hoc testing	F=1.56, p=0.212, df=1,1340NHP T: Ensembles of 16 neurons Cue: $H=1.9, p=0.387, df=2,18$ Cue: $H=1.9, p=0.387, df=2,18$ Delay: $H=8.22, p=0.016, df=2,18$ Post HocPre-Injection, Early Post-Injection, $p=0.021$ Pre-Injection, Late Post-Injection, $p=0.021$ Pre-Injection, Late Post-Injection, $p=0.065$ Early Post-Injection, Late Post-Injection, $p=0.903$ Response: $H=6.24, p=0.044, df=2,18$ Post HocPre-Injection and Early Post-Injection, $p=0.033$ Pre-Injection and Early Post-Injection, $p=0.424$ Early Post-Injection and Late Post-Injection, $p=0.424$

			NHP B: Ensembles of 16 neuronsCue: $H=9.76$, $p=0.008$, $df=2,24$ Post HocPre-Injection and Early Post-Injection, $p=0.008$ Pre-Injection and Late Post-Injection, $p=0.062$ Early Post-Injection and Late Post-Injection, $p=0.738$ Delay: $H=12.67$, $p=0.002$, $df=2,24$ Post HocPre-Injection, Early Post-Injection, $p=0.009$ Pre-Injection, Late Post-Injection, $p=0.004$ Early Post-Injection, Late Post-Injection, $p=0.961$ Response: $H=6.43$, $p=0.040$, $df=2,24$
			Post Hoc Pre-Injection, Early Post-Injection, <i>p</i> =0.054 Pre-Injection, Late Post-Injection, <i>p</i> =0.100 Early Post-Injection, Late Post-Injection, <i>p</i> =0.961
Extended Data 3c, d SVM decoding accuracy ketamine- WM	NHP T 7 NHP B 9	One sample t- test, 2-tailed	NHP T: Ensembles of 16 neurons Cue: Pre: T=5.5, p=0.002, df=6 Early-Post: T=14.3, p=7.39e-06, df=6 Late-Post: T=8.32, p=0.0002, df=6
compared to chance (33%)			Delay: Pre: <i>T</i> =8.42, <i>p</i> =0.0002, <i>df</i> =6 Early-Post: <i>T</i> =7.9, <i>p</i> =0.0002, <i>df</i> =6 Late-Post: <i>T</i> =14.8, <i>p</i> =5.97e-06, <i>df</i> =6
			Response: Pre: <i>T</i> =8.65, <i>p</i> =0.0001, <i>df</i> =6 Early-Post: <i>T</i> =8.04, <i>p</i> =0.0002, <i>df</i> =6 Late-Post: <i>T</i> =12.18, <i>p</i> =1.86e-05, <i>df</i> =6
			NHP B: Ensembles of 16 neurons Cue: Pre: <i>T</i> =74.78, <i>p</i> =1.14e-12, <i>df</i> =8 Early-Post: <i>T</i> =17.15, <i>p</i> =1.36e-07, <i>df</i> =8 Late-Post: <i>T</i> =17.05, <i>p</i> =1.42e-07, <i>df</i> =8

			Delay: Pre: <i>T</i> =53.21, <i>p</i> =1.73e-11, <i>df</i> =8 Early-Post: <i>T</i> =11.88, <i>p</i> =2.32e-06, <i>df</i> =8 Late-Post: <i>T</i> =8.21, <i>p</i> =3.62e-05, <i>df</i> =8
			Response: Pre: <i>T</i> =37.13, <i>p</i> =3.04e-10, <i>df</i> =8 Early-Post: <i>T</i> =9.81, <i>p</i> =9.79e-06, <i>df</i> =8 Late-Post: <i>T</i> =7.67, <i>p</i> =5.90e-05, <i>df</i> =8
Extended Data 3e Decoding accuracy compared to shuffled	16	Kruskal- Wallis one- way analysis of variance	Pre-Injection: <i>H</i> =71.26, <i>p</i> =3.13e-17, <i>df</i> =1,94 Early Post-Injection: <i>H</i> =71.26, <i>p</i> =3.13e-17, <i>df</i> =1,94
results			Late Post-Injection: <i>H</i> =71.26, <i>p</i> =3.13e-17, <i>df</i> =1,94
Extended Data 4a Correct trial SVM decoding accuracy compared between injection periods	Pre-injection 13 Early Post- Injection 12 Late Post- Injection 16	Kruskal- Wallis one- way analysis of variance with post hoc testing	Cue: Pre-Injection, mean=94.5, median=95.8 Early Post-Injection, mean=76.9, median=71.4 Late Post-Injection, mean=82.4, median=88.3 H=11.11, p=0.004, df=2,38 Post Hoc Pre-Injection, Early Post-Injection, $p=0.004$ Pre-Injection, Late Post-Injection, $p=0.031$ Early Post-Injection, Late Post-Injection, $p=0.671$
			Delay: Pre-Injection, <i>mean</i> =93.4, <i>median</i> =95.2 Early Post-Injection, <i>mean</i> =80.5, <i>median</i> =79.5 Late Post-Injection, <i>mean</i> =86.6, <i>median</i> =90.9 H=8.35, p =0.015, df =2,38 Post Hoc Pre-Injection and Early Post-Injection, p =0.012 Pre-Injection and Late Post-Injection, p =0.139 Early Post-Injection and Late Post-Injection, p =0.499

			Response:Pre-Injection, mean=95.8, median=96.3Early Post-Injection, mean=87.6, median=92.9Late Post-Injection, mean=90.4, median=94 $H=5.5, p=0.064, df=2,38$ Post HocPre-Injection and Early Post-Injection, $p=0.062$ Pre-Injection and Late Post-Injection, $p=0.201$ Early Post-Injection and Late Post-Injection, $p=0.768$
Extended Data 4a Correct trial SVM decoding accuracy compared to chance (33%)	Pre-injection 13 Early Post- Injection 12 Late Post- Injection 16	One sample t- test, 2-tailed	Cue: Pre: T =40.61, p =3.21e-14, df =12 Early-Post: T =10.19, p =6.1e-07, df =11 Late-Post: T =13.38, p =9.65e-10, df =15 Delay: Pre: T =28.01, p =2.66e-12, df =12 Early-Post: T =12.88, p =5.6e-08, df =11 Late-Post: T =18.84, p =7.46e-12, df =15
			Response: Pre: <i>T</i> =52.63, <i>p</i> =1.46e-15, <i>df</i> =12 Early-Post: <i>T</i> =15.05, <i>p</i> =1.1e-08, <i>df</i> =11 Late-Post: <i>T</i> =24.81, <i>p</i> =1.36e-13, <i>df</i> =15
Extended Data 4b SVM decoding accuracy compared between	Pre-injection 13 Early Post- Injection 12	Wilcoxon signed-ranks test, 1-tailed	Pre-Injection Period: Cue: Z=1.38, p=0.083 Delay: Z=0.719, p=0.236 Response: Z=1.44, p=0.075 Early Post-Injection Period:
correct and all trials	Late Post- Injection 16		Cue: $Z=1.53$, $p=0.063$ Delay: $Z=1.76$, $p=0.039$ Response: $Z=2.80$, $p=0.003$
			Cue: Z=1.52, p=0.063 Delay: Z=3.07, p=0.001

			Response: Z=3.41, p=0.0003
Extended Data 5b LDA decoding accuracy compared to theoretical decoding accuracy	17 n=51 (values combined between trial epochs for pre-injection period)	Kruskal- Wallis one- way analysis of variance	H=1.87, p=0.171, df=1,100
Extended Data 5c, e LDA decoding accuracy ketamine- WM compared between injection periods	NHP B 9 NHP T 8	Kruskal- Wallis one- way analysis of variance with post hoc testing	NHP B:Pre-Injection, mean=84.3, median=85.6Early Post-Injection, mean=69.8, median=66.5Late Post-Injection, mean=73.4, median=77.7 $H=13.24, p=0.001, df=2,24$ Pre-Injection, Early Post-Injection, $p=0.002$ Pre-Injection, Late Post-Injection, $p=0.021$ Early Post-Injection, Late Post-Injection, $p=0.702$ NHP T:Pre-Injection, mean=70.8, median=70.9Early Post-Injection, mean=61.6, median=62.0Late Post-Injection, mean=64.0, median=63.7 $H=8.42, p=0.015, df=2,21$ Post HocPre-Injection, Early Post-Injection, $p=0.011$ Pre-Injection, Late Post-Injection, $p=0.265$ Early Post-Injection, Late Post-Injection, $p=0.371$
Extended Data 5c, e LDA decoding accuracy ketamine- WM compared to chance (50%)	NHP B 9 NHP T 8	One sample t- test, 2-tailed	NHP B Pre-Injection: $T=25.15$, $p=6.68e-09$, $df=8$ Early Post-Injection: $T=7.63$, $p=6.12e-05$, $df=8$ Late Post-Injection: $T=9.11$, $p=1.70e-05$, $df=8$ NHP T Pre-Injection: $T=8.49$, $p=6.21e-05$, $df=7$ Early Post-Injection: $T=12.75$, $p=4.23e-06$, $df=7$ Late Post-Injection: $T=18.28$, $p=3.63e-07$, $df=7$

Extended Data 5d, f Theoretical decoding accuracy ketamine- WM compared between injection periods	NHP B 9 NHP T 8	Kruskal- Wallis one- way analysis of variance with post hoc testing	NHP B:Pre-Injection, mean=85.1, median=85.9Early Post-Injection, mean=71.3, median=67.9Late Post-Injection, mean=73.3, median=76.9 $H=13.36, p=0.001, df=2,24$ Post HocPre-Injection, Early Post-Injection, $p=0.004$ Pre-Injection, Late Post-Injection, $p=0.006$ Early Post-Injection, Late Post-Injection, $p=0.988$ NHP T:Pre-Injection, mean=75.3, median=77.4Early Post-Injection, mean=63.0, median=62.8Late Post-Injection, mean=65.9, median=65.2 $H=11.54, p=0.003, df=2,21$ Post HocPre-Injection, Early Post-Injection, $p=0.002$ Pre-Injection, Late Post-Injection, $p=0.002$ Pre-Injection, Late Post-Injection, $p=0.169$ Early Post-Injection, Late Post-Injection, $p=0.250$
Extended Data 5g LDA decoding accuracy saline-WM compared between injection periods	7	Kruskal- Wallis one- way analysis of variance	Pre-Injection, <i>mean</i> =77.0, <i>median</i> =79.5 Early Post-Injection, <i>mean</i> =72.9, <i>median</i> =77.3 Late Post-Injection, <i>mean</i> =68.9, <i>median</i> =73.5 <i>H</i> =2.43, <i>p</i> =0.297, <i>df</i> =2,18
Extended Data 5h Theoretical decoding accuracy saline-WM compared between injection periods	7	Kruskal- Wallis one- way analysis of variance	Pre-Injection, mean=79.6, median=81.2 Early Post-Injection, mean=73.4, median=77.1 Late Post-Injection, mean=70.2, median=73.1 H=3.95, $p=0.139$, $df=2,18$

Extended	7	Wilcoxon	Narrow:
Data 6a, b	/ Narrow, <i>n</i> =5	signed-ranks	Preferred Location,
Change in FR	(arrays per	test, 1-sided	Pre-Injection, <i>mean</i> =0.43, <i>median</i> =0.47
for narrow	· • •	icsi, 1-siucu	
	session		Post-Injection, <i>mean</i> =0.42, <i>median</i> =0.48
and broad	containing		0.500
spiking	selective		<i>p</i> =0.500
neurons	neurons)		
saline-WM	Broad, <i>n</i> =11		
			Least-Preferred Location,
			Pre-Injection, mean=0.32, median=0.35
			Post-Injection, mean=0.26, median=0.33
			<i>p</i> =0.500
			Broad:
			Preferred Location,
			Pre-Injection, mean=0.52, median=0.51
			Post-Injection, mean=0.42, median=0.45
			5
			<i>p</i> =0.803
			Least-Preferred Location,
			Pre-Injection, mean=0.29, median=0.29
			Post-Injection, mean=0.32, median=0.28
			1 oot injootion, mean 0.02, meanan 0.20
			<i>p</i> =0.422
Extended	Narrow,	Wilcoxon	
Data 6c	n=13	signed-ranks	Rank 1
Narrow	11 15	test, 1-sided	Pre-Injection, <i>mean</i> =0.343, <i>median</i> =0.363
spiking		test, 1-51ded	Post-Injection, mean=0.212, median=0.161
ranked target			Z=1.66, p=0.049
location			Z = 1.00, p = 0.049
			Rank 2
responses			
			Pre-Injection, mean=0.302, median=0.383
			Post-Injection, mean=0.245, median=0.255
			<i>Z</i> =0.843, <i>p</i> =0.120
			Rank 3
			Pre-Injection, mean=0.264, median=0.323
			Post-Injection, mean=0.278, median=0.282
			Z=-0.217, p=0.586
			Rank 4

			Pre-Injection, mean=0.246, median=0.224 Post-Injection, mean=0.254, median=0.164 $Z=0.232, p=0.592$ Rank 5 Pre-Injection, mean=0.219, median=0.242 Post-Injection, mean=0.230, median=0.234 $Z=0.027, p=0.511$ Rank 6 Pre-Injection, mean=0.210, median=0.213 Post-Injection, mean=0.210, median=0.113 Post-Injection, mean=0.246, median=0.194 $Z=-0.299, p=0.382$ Rank 7 Pre-Injection, mean=0.196, median=0.147 Post-Injection, mean=0.274, median=0.298 $Z=-0.708, p=0.240$ Rank 8 Pre-Injection, mean=0.204, median=0.184 Post-Injection, mean=0.312, median=0.278 $Z=-0.380, p=0.352$ Rank 9 Pre-Injection, mean=0.158, median=0.102 Post-Injection, mean=0.182, median=0.072 $Z=0, p=0.500$
Extended Data 6d Broad spiking ranked target location responses	Broad, <i>n</i> =27	Wilcoxon signed-ranks test, 1-sided	Rank 1 Pre-Injection, mean=0.388, median=0.388 Post-Injection, mean=0.375, median=0.383 $Z=0.436$, $p=0.332$ Rank 2 Pre-Injection, mean=0.348, median=0.366 Post-Injection, mean=0.323, median=0.325 $Z=0.779$, $p=0.218$ Rank 3 Pre-Injection, mean=0.343, median=0.331 Post-Injection, mean=0.358, median=0.395 $Z=-0.621$, $p=0.733$ Rank 4 Pre-Injection, mean=0.320, median=0.312

Extended Data 6i,LAI spinsNHP T Signct-ranks retary per sersion externine NMP B Narrow, n=10 (arrays per sersion)Wilcoson selective neurons)WHP T, Broad: pre-logetion, mean=0.19, median=0.11 pe-0.90Extended Narrow, n=10 (arrays per selective neurons)NHP T, Broad: pe-0.900NHP T, Broad: pre-logetion, mean=0.19, median=0.11 pe-0.900				
Extended Data 6j,kl, romanowNHP T session containing selectiveWilcoxon session containing selectiveWilcoxon session containing selectiveWilcoxon session containing selectiveWilcoxon session containing selectiveWilcoxon session containing selectiveWilcoxon session containing selectiveWilcoxon session containing selectiveHere-Injection, mean=0.299, median=0.298 Pre-Injection, mean=0.242, median=0.249 Post-Injection, mean=0.229, median=0.249 Post-Injection, mean=0.229, median=0.249 Post-Injection, mean=0.229, median=0.249 Post-Injection, mean=0.229, median=0.249 Post-Injection, mean=0.229, median=0.240 Post-Injection, mean=0.229, median=0.241 Post-Injection, mean=0.229, median=0.301 Z=-2.30, p=0.011Extended pata 6j,kl, romarow spling removes retring containing session containing session containing selectiveWilcoxon session containing selective session containing selectiveWMNHP B 9 9 Narrow, n=10 (arrays per session containing selectiveWilP T, Broad:				
Extended Data 6i,jk,jl Schange in FR Narrow, n=3 selectiveNHP T signed-ranks test, 1-sidedWilcoxon signed-ranks test, 1-sidedNHP T, Narrow: Pre-Injection, mean=0.299, median=0.299 Z=-0.711, p=0.238Extended Data 6i,jk,jl Schange in FR spiking neurons petronsNHP T signed-ranks test, 1-sidedWilcoxon signed-ranks test, 1-sidedNHP T, Narrow: Pre-Injection, mean=0.299, median=0.223 Post-Injection, mean=0.299, median=0.321 Z=-1.318, p=0.094Extended Data 6i,jk,jl Schange in FR spiking neurons petrons (arrays per session containing selectiveWilcoxon signed-ranks test, 1-sidedNHP T, Narrow: Pre-Injection, mean=0.65, median=0.85 Post-Injection, mean=0.09, median=0.12 p=0.200WMBroad, n=9 P PPre-Injection, mean=0.09, median=0.11 p=0.900PHP B P P P Narrow, n=10 (arrays per session containing selectiveNHP T, Broad:WHP T Narrow, n=10 (arrays per session containing selectiveNHP T, Broad:				Pre-Injection, <i>mean</i> =0.299, <i>median</i> =0.298 Post-Injection, <i>mean</i> =0.350, <i>median</i> =0.358
Pre-Injection, mean=0.242, median=0.249 Post-Injection, mean=0.273, median=0.290 Z=-0.711, p=0.238Rank 8 Pre-Injection, mean=0.229, median=0.244 				Pre-Injection, <i>mean</i> =0.284, <i>median</i> =0.280 Post-Injection, <i>mean</i> =0.341, <i>median</i> =0.323
Pre-Injection, $mean=0.229$, $median=0.244$ Post-Injection, $mean=0.268$, $median=0.301$ $Z=-1.318$, $p=0.094$ Rank 9 Pre-Injection, $mean=0.211$, $median=0.223$ 				Pre-Injection, <i>mean</i> =0.242, <i>median</i> =0.249 Post-Injection, <i>mean</i> =0.273, <i>median</i> =0.290
Extended Data 6i,j,k,l Change in FR and broad 				Pre-Injection, <i>mean</i> =0.229, <i>median</i> =0.244 Post-Injection, <i>mean</i> =0.268, <i>median</i> =0.301
Data 6i,j,k,l8signed-ranks test, 1-sidedPreferred Location, Pre-Injection, mean=0.65, median=0.85 Post-Injection, mean=0.09, median=0.12and broad 				Pre-Injection, <i>mean</i> =0.211, <i>median</i> =0.223 Post-Injection, <i>mean</i> =0.299, <i>median</i> =0.321
Data 6i,j,k,l8signed-ranks test, 1-sidedPreferred Location, Pre-Injection, mean=0.65, median=0.85 Post-Injection, mean=0.09, median=0.12and broadsessionpe0.200spikingcontaining 	Extended	NHP T	Wilcoxon	NHP T, Narrow:
for narrow and broad(arrays per sessionPost-Injection, mean=0.09, median=0.12spiking neuronscontaining selective $p=0.200$ wMBroad, $n=9$ Least-Preferred Location, Pre-Injection, mean=0.05, median=0.04NHP B 9 Narrow, $n=10$ (arrays per session containing selective $p=0.900$ NHP T, Broad:NHP T, Broad:	Data 6i,j,k,l	8	signed-ranks	
and broad spiking neuronssession containing selective hetamine- neurons) $p=0.200$ WMBroad, $n=9$ Least-Preferred Location, Pre-Injection, mean=0.05, median=0.04 Post-Injection, mean=0.19, median=0.11NHP B 9 Narrow, $n=10$ (arrays per session containing selective $p=0.900$ NHP T, Broad:NHP T, Broad:	-	Narrow, <i>n</i> =3	test, 1-sided	Pre-Injection, mean=0.65, median=0.85
spiking neurons ketamine- WMcontaining selective neurons) $p=0.200$ WMBroad, $n=9$ Least-Preferred Location, Pre-Injection, mean=0.05, median=0.04 Post-Injection, mean=0.19, median=0.11NHP B 9 Narrow, $n=10$ (arrays per session containing selective $p=0.900$ NHP T, Broad:NHP T, Broad:				Post-Injection, <i>mean</i> =0.09, <i>median</i> =0.12
WMBroad, n=9Least-Preferred Location, Pre-Injection, mean=0.05, median=0.04 Post-Injection, mean=0.19, median=0.119Narrow, n=10 (arrays per session containing selectivep=0.900NHP T, Broad:NHP T, Broad:	spiking neurons	containing selective		<i>p</i> =0.200
NHP BPre-Injection, mean=0.05, median=0.049Post-Injection, mean=0.19, median=0.119Narrow, n=10 (arrays per session containing 				Least-Preferred Location
NHP BPost-Injection, mean=0.19, median=0.119Narrow, n=10 (arrays per session containing selectivep=0.900NHP T, Broad:	A A TAT	D1000, <i>n</i> -7		
Narrow, n=10 (arrays per session containing selectivep=0.900NHP T, Broad:		NHP B		
<i>n</i> =10 (arrays per session containing selective NHP T, Broad:				
per session containing selective NHP T, Broad:				<i>p</i> =0.900
containing selective NHP T, Broad:		· ·		
selective NHP T, Broad:		-		
neurons) Preferred Location,		•		
		neurons)		Preferred Location,

Extended Data 7a, c Theoretical decoding for	Broad 17 sessions	Kruskal- Wallis one- way analysis of variance	Broad: Pre-Injection, <i>mean</i> =81.5, <i>median</i> =84.7 Post-Injection, <i>mean</i> =67.9, <i>median</i> =66.4
			Least-Preferred Location, Pre-Injection, <i>mean</i> =0.26, <i>median</i> =0.26 Post-Injection, <i>mean</i> =0.35, <i>median</i> =0.36 p=0.003
			NHP B, Broad: Preferred Location, Pre-Injection, mean=0.46, median=0.43 Post-Injection, mean=0.45, median=0.44 p=0.654
			Least-Preferred Location, Pre-Injection, <i>mean</i> =0.26, <i>median</i> =0.22 Post-Injection, <i>mean</i> =0.31, <i>median</i> =0.33 p=0.552
			NHP B, Narrow: Preferred Location, Pre-Injection, <i>mean</i> =0.55, <i>median</i> =0.59 Post-Injection, <i>mean</i> =0.36, <i>median</i> =0.36 <i>p</i> =0.039
			Least-Preferred Location, Pre-Injection, <i>mean</i> =0.20, <i>median</i> =0.22 Post-Injection, <i>mean</i> =0.34, <i>median</i> =0.33 p=0.081
	Broad, <i>n</i> =18		Pre-Injection, <i>mean</i> =0.40, <i>median</i> =0.47 Post-Injection, <i>mean</i> =0.38, <i>median</i> =0.39 <i>p</i> =0.697

broad and narrow spiking neurons compared between injection periods	Narrow 12 sessions		<i>H</i> =13.71, <i>p</i> =0.0002, <i>df</i> =1,32 Narrow: Pre-Injection, <i>mean</i> =79.7, <i>median</i> =77.8 Post-Injection, <i>mean</i> =69.1, <i>median</i> =66.1 <i>H</i> =5.07, <i>p</i> =0.024, <i>df</i> =1,22
Extended Data 7b, d Population signal for broad and narrow spiking neurons compared between injection periods	Broad 17 sessions Narrow 12 sessions	Kruskal- Wallis one- way analysis of variance	Broad: Pre-Injection, mean=5.13, median=5.36 Post-Injection, mean=3.16, median=2.79 H=7.5, p=0.006, df=1,32 Narrow: Pre-Injection, mean=4.89, median=4.04 Post-Injection, mean=3.05, median=2.82 H=1.33, p=0.248, df=1,22
Extended Data 9c Decoding accuracy compared to chance (25%)	Cue 11 Delay 7	One sample t- test, 2-tailed	Cue: mean=31.7, CI=24.3, 39.0, median=30.0 T=1.72, p=0.117, df=10 Delay: mean=23.3, CI=17.6, 32.6, median=22.4 T=-0.48, p=0.646, df=6
Extended Data 10a-f Eyes on screen time compared between injection periods	Ketamine- WM 18 Saline-WM 7 Ketamine- Perception 4	Kruskal- Wallis one- way analysis of variance with post hoc testing	Ketamine-WMCue:Pre-Injection, $mean=1382.60$, $median=1388.31$ Early Post-Injection, $mean=1434.18$, $median=1439.26$ Late Post-Injection, $mean=1412.15$, $median=1411.39$ $H=14.16$, $p=0.0008$, $df=2,51$ Post HocPre-Injection, Early Post-Injection, $p=0.0005$ Pre-Injection, Late Post-Injection, $p=0.114$

Delay: Pre-Injection, <i>mean</i> =866.65, <i>median</i> =890.28 Early Post-Injection, <i>mean</i> =939.50, <i>median</i> =946.17 Late Post-Injection, <i>mean</i> =901.11, <i>median</i> =938.40 H=11.15, $p=0.004$, $df=2,51Post HocPre-Injection, Early Post-Injection, p=0.003Pre-Injection, Late Post-Injection, p=0.176Early Post-Injection, Late Post-Injection, p=0.264$
Saline-WM Cue: Pre-Injection, <i>mean</i> =1399.29, <i>median</i> =1407.69 Early Post-Injection, <i>mean</i> =1386.25, <i>median</i> =1377.65 Late Post-Injection, <i>mean</i> =1383.77, <i>median</i> =1380.16 <i>H</i> =0.27, <i>p</i> =0.872, <i>df</i> =2,18
Delay: Pre-Injection, <i>mean</i> =875.11, <i>median</i> =863.25 Early Post-Injection, <i>mean</i> =873.23, <i>median</i> =839.04 Late Post-Injection, <i>mean</i> =890.06, <i>median</i> =892.58 <i>H</i> =0.14, <i>p</i> =0.932, <i>df</i> =2,18
Ketamine-Perception Cue: Pre-Injection, <i>mean</i> =1397.99, <i>median</i> =1397.98 Early Post-Injection, <i>mean</i> =1448.03, <i>median</i> =1447.44 Late Post-Injection, <i>mean</i> =1436.54, <i>median</i> =1443.50 <i>H</i> =3.85, <i>p</i> =0.146, <i>df</i> =2,9
Delay: Pre-Injection, <i>mean</i> =879.42, <i>median</i> =896.63 Early Post-Injection, <i>mean</i> =954.42, <i>median</i> =953.70 Late Post-Injection, <i>mean</i> =942.55, <i>median</i> =945.68 <i>H</i> =1.5, <i>p</i> =0.472, <i>df</i> =2,9

Extended	Ketamine-	Kruskal-	Ketamine-WM
Data 10g-l	WM	Wallis one-	Cue:
Percent of	18	way analysis	Pre-Injection, <i>mean</i> =22.5, <i>median</i> =24.2
fixations on	<i>n</i> =9 target	of variance	Early Post-Injection, <i>mean</i> =26.9, <i>median</i> =24.6
target	locations	or variance	Late Post-Injection, mean=24.0, median=24.9
location	locations		Late 1 ost injection, mean 24.0, mean 24.9
compared	Saline-WM		H=0.84, p=0.658, df=2,24
between	7		n=0.04, p=0.050, u=2,24
injection	<i>n</i> =9 target		Dalam
periods	locations		Delay:
	T Z .		Pre-Injection, mean=26.9, median=26.2
	Ketamine-		Early Post-Injection, <i>mean</i> =24.9, <i>median</i> =20.8
	Perception		Late Post-Injection, <i>mean</i> =28.2, <i>median</i> =26.5
	4		
	<i>n</i> =9 target		<i>H</i> =1.03, <i>p</i> =0.598, <i>df</i> =2,24
	locations		
			Saline-WM
			Cue:
			Pre-Injection, <i>mean</i> =21.5, <i>median</i> =22.9
			Early Post-Injection, <i>mean</i> =20.0, <i>median</i> =21.1
			Late Post-Injection, mean=23.0, median=25.2
			<i>H</i> =0.79, <i>p</i> =0.673, <i>df</i> =2,24
			Delay:
			Pre-Injection, <i>mean</i> =22.0, <i>median</i> =22.5
			Early Post-Injection, <i>mean</i> =27.2, <i>median</i> =27.6
			Late Post-Injection, mean=35.4, median=36.1
			Late 1 0st-injection, mean 55.4, mean 50.1
			<i>H</i> =3.65, <i>p</i> =0.161, <i>df</i> =2,24
			11 5.05, p 0.101, u 2,24
			Ketamine-Perception
			Cue:
			Pre-Injection, <i>mean</i> =28.9, <i>median</i> =30.0
			Early Post-Injection, <i>mean</i> =22.6, <i>median</i> =21.7
			Late Post-Injection, mean=23.1, median=26.9
			<i>H</i> =1.03, <i>p</i> =0.599, <i>df</i> =2,24
			Delay:
			Pre-Injection, <i>mean</i> =21.7, <i>median</i> =17.3
			Early Post-Injection, mean=21.9, median=22.0

	Late Post-Injection, mean=20.6, median=20.3
	<i>H</i> =0.7, <i>p</i> =0.704, <i>df</i> =2,24