Supplementary Online Content

Brabaharan S, Veettil SK, Kaiser JE, et al. Association of hormonal contraceptive use with adverse health outcomes: an umbrella review of meta-analyses of randomized clinical trials and cohort studies. *JAMA Netw Open*. 2022;5(1):e2143730. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2021.43730

eTable 1. Search Strategy

eTable 2. Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

eTable 3. Excluded Studies After Applying Inclusion Criteria for Overlapping Meta-analyses

eTable 4. Descriptive Characteristics of Included Meta-analyses of RCTs

eTable 5. Nonsignificant Associations From Meta-analyses of RCTs

eTable 6. Sensitivity Analyses of Meta-analysis of RCTs Initially Graded as High or Moderate

eTable 7. Descriptive Characteristics of Included Meta-analyses of Cohort Studies

eTable 8. Nonsignificant Associations From Meta-analyses of Cohort Studies

eTable 9. Sensitivity and Subgroup Analyses of Meta-analysis of Cohort Studies Initially Graded as Convincing or Highly Suggestive

eFigure 1. PRISMA Flow Diagram

eFigure 2. Forest Plot of Association Between LNG-IUS and Risk of Endometrial Polyps

eFigure 3. Forest Plot of Association Between COC (EE, 30 mcg/CPA) and FBG Levels

eFigure 4. Forest Plot of Association Between Past Oral Contraceptive Users and Endometriosis Risk

eFigure 5. Forest Plot of Association Between DSG-COC Low Dose and VTE Risk

eFigure 6. Schematic Network Diagram of Associations in RCTs (Binary Data)

eFigure 7. Schematic Network Diagram of Associations Between COC Users vs Non-COC Users With PCOS in RCTs (Continuous Data)

eFigure 8. Schematic Network Diagram of Associations in Cohort Studies

eReferences

This supplementary material has been provided by the authors to give readers additional information about their work.

eTable 1. Search Strategy

Databases

- 1) Medline
- 2) Embase
- 3) Cochrane Database of Systematic Review (CDSR)

No	Search term
	CONTRACEPTIVE
1)	exp contraception/
2)	exp postcoital/
3)	exp hormonal contraception/
4)	exp long-acting reversible contraception/
5)	exp Contraceptives, Oral/
6)	exp Contraceptive Agents, Female/
7)	exp contraceptives, oral, sequential/
8)	exp contraceptives, postcoital/
9)	exp progesterone/
10)	exp Hydroxyprogesterones/
11)	Hydroxyprogesterone.ti,ab.
12)	exp 20-alpha-dihydroprogesterone/
13)	exp desogestrel\$
14)	norethindrone\$ Acetate.ti,ab
15)	(megestrol\$ Acetate or Megestrol).ti,ab.
16)	norethynodrel.ti,ab.
17)	exp algestone/
18)	algestone\$.ti,ab
19)	norprogesterones\$.ti,ab.
20)	exp Levonorgestrel/
21)	mirena.ti,ab.
22)	norethisteron\$.ti,ab.
23)	medrogestone\$.ti,ab.
24)	exp dydrogesterone/
25)	dydrogesterone,ti.ab
26)	melengestrol adj2 acetate.ti,ab
27)	exp desogestrel/
28)	desogestrel.ti,ab.

29)	exp norgestrel/
30)	norgestrel,ti.ab
31)	exp norethindrone/
32)	norethindrone\$.ti,ab.
33)	progestat\$.ti,ab.
34)	medroxyprogest\$ acetate.ti,ab.
35)	intrauterine adj2 contracep\$.ti,ab
36)	(levonorgestrel adj2 intrauterine device).ti,ab
37)	nuvaring.ti,ab.
38)	((depo adj2 provera) or depo provera\$).ti,ab.
39)	(Depo-medroxyprogest\$ or (Depo\$ adj2 medroxyprogest\$)).ti,ab.
40)	exp Ethynodiol Diacetate/
41)	ethynodiol diacetate.ti,ab.
42)	exp Gestonorone Caproate/
43)	gestonorone caproate.ti,ab
44)	exp lynestrenol/
45)	lynestrenol.ti,ab.
46)	exp ethylestrenol/
47)	ethylestrenol.ti,ab.
48)	exp norethandrolone/
49)	Norethandrolone.ti,ab.
50)	((progesterone adj2 capsule\$) or (progest\$ adj2 capsule\$)).mp.
51)	(progest\$ adj2 implant).ti,ab.
52)	(implanon\$ or Nexplanon\$ or jadelle\$ or norplant\$ or uniplant\$ or sino-
	implant\$).ti,ab.
53)	exp contraceptives, oral, combined/
54)	exp Estrogens/
55)	exp "Estrogens, Conjugated (USP)"/
56)	exp Estradiol/
57)	(ethinyl estradiol and norgestrel).ti,ab
58)	estradiol\$ norgestrel.ti,ab
59)	((ethinyl adj2 estradiol) and norgestimate).ti,ab.
60)	(ethinyl adj2 estradiol and gestodene).ti,ab.
61)	(chlormadinone acetate and ethinylestradiol).ti,ab.
62)	(chlormadinone acetate and mestranol).ti,ab.

63)	(desogestrel and ethinylestradiol).ti,ab.
64)	(dienogest and ethinylestradiol).ti,ab
65)	(drospirenone and ethinylestradiol).ti,ab
66)	(ethinylestradiol and ethisterone).ti,ab
67)	(estradiol cypionate and medroxyprogest\$ acetate).ti,ab
68)	(ethinylestradiol and levonorgestrel).ti,ab
69)	(ethinylestradiol and megestrol acetate).ti,ab
70)	(ethinylestradiol and norethisterone).ti,ab
71)	(mestranol and norethisterone).ti,ab
72)	triphasic contracept\$ agent\$.ti,ab.
73)	(chlormadinone acetate and dienogest).ti,ab
74)	(ethinyl estradiol and cyproterone acetate).ti,ab
75)	(ethinyl estradiol and drospirenone).ti,ab.

AND

	SYTEMATIC REVIEW AND META ANALYSIS
1)	exp "Systematic Review"/
2)	(systematic adj2 review\$).ti,ab.
3)	"systematic review".ti,ab.
4)	exp Meta-Analysis as Topic/
5)	exp Meta-Analysis/
6)	meta-analys\$.ti,ab.
7)	(meta adj1 analys\$).ti,ab.

eTable 2. Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Selection process	
Review question	Is hormonal contraceptive (HC) use associated with adverse health outcomes?
	How reliable is the evidence behind this association in published meta-analyses?
Objective	To conduct an umbrella review of meta-analyses to gain a systematic, comprehensive overview of the existing evidence from meta-analyses of cohort studies and RCTs on HCs use and adverse health outcomes and to assess its strength and validity.
Inclusion criteria	Meta-analyses of cohort studies and meta-analyses of RCTs.
	PICO : participants/population: Pre-and post-menopausal women and women of reproductive age prescribed with HC for contraception and treatment: intervention(s), exposure(s): HCs (Oral contraceptives, injectable contraceptives, implants, patches, intravaginal rings, intrauterine system) for any condition at any dose; comparator(s)/control: no limitation will be applied for comparators; outcome: any adverse health outcome associated with exposure to HCs as defined by the original authors.
Exclusion criteria	We have excluded studies which have assessed non-contraceptive hormones such as tocolytic agents, hormonal replacement therapy for post- menopausal women, appetite stimulant, etc. We excluded 1) meta-analyses of studies with other study designs (e.g., cross-sectional, nested case-control and case-control studies); 2) pooled analyses of a non-systematic selection of observational studies, non-randomized trials and non-systematic reviews; and 3) meta-analyses that provided insufficient or inadequate data for quantitative synthesis.
Search	Search strategy used a combination of terms related to HC, to adverse health outcomes, and to meta-analysis with no limitations to age. Manual searches of the reference list of eligible articles were conducted to identify additional studies that may not have been retrieved through search strategies. No language restriction was applied for the selection process.
Data extraction	
Preliminary data extraction (Phase I)	For each eligible meta-analysis, we extracted the following data independently on to a Microsoft Excel spreadsheet (XP professional edition; Microsoft Corp): first author, year of publication, design, and the number of included studies, specific population under investigation, indication of intervention, the number of cases and participants in each arm, the total number of cases and participants, details of the treatment regimen, the control conditions, the adverse outcome (as defined by authors), the stated summary meta-analytic estimates and their corresponding 95% confidence interval (95% CI).

	Based on this information, we generated all possible associations. Then we applied the following criteria to prioritize meta- analysis for each association:
	Selection between overlapping meta-analyses ^{1–3}
	Association from meta-analyses from the largest data set is selected whenever there is more than one meta-analysis reported the same adverse health outcome. ^{4–6} An association by meta-analysis with the largest number of primary studies in RCT was prioritised. If more than one published meta-analysis on the same adverse health outcome included an equal number of studies, the one with the largest number of cases will be chosen. If more than one published meta-analysis fulfilled both criteria, the one with more available information on primary studies will be chosen. For meta-analyses of cohort studies, a similar approach was adhered if more than one published meta-analysis on the same outcome was identified.
Data extraction for evidence synthesis (Phase II data	Once we have selected a meta-analysis based on the criteria, the following data were extracted independently onto a separate Microsoft Excel spreadsheet (XP professional edition; Microsoft Corp) for cohort studies and RCTs:
synthesis)	Meta-analysis level: First author, year of publication, design, and the number of included studies, specific population under investigation, indication of intervention, the number of cases and participants in each arm, total number of cases and participants, details of the treatment regimen, control conditions, follow-up period (mean or median), adverse outcome (as defined by authors), stated summary meta-analytic estimates and their corresponding 95% CI, confounding factors, evidence grading (if reported), and quality score according to AMSTAR 2.
	Individual study level: First author, year of publication, design (e.g.: retrospective cohort or prospective cohort, the number of cases and participants in each arm, total number of cases and participants, quality assessment (Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS)), ⁷ if reported, stated summary meta-analytic estimates and their corresponding 95%CI.
	Meta-analyses investigating several outcomes were recorded separately in the data extraction sheets. We excluded other study designs during this stage. We performed meta-analysis using random-effects models based on the data from only RCTs or cohort studies separately. We presented summary meta-analytic estimates as follow: risk ratio (RR), odds ratio (OR), hazard ratio (HR), standardized mean difference or mean difference (SMD or MD), or weighted mean difference (WMD).
Quality assessment	To grade the methodological quality of each meta-analysis (high, moderate, low, or critically low), we used the revised AMSTAR 2 tool, a 16-item instrument to assess the methodological quality of systematic reviews of randomized and non-randomized studies. ⁸ The risk of bias (ROB) within each primary study in RCT was assessed using Cochrane Risk of Bias Tool Version 2, ⁹ whereas risk of bias in primary cohort studies was reported as it was originally stated by the author.

eTable 3. Excluded Studies After Applying Inclusion Criteria for Overlapping Meta-analyses

Reason for exclusion of meta-analysis: either not with the largest number of primary cohort studies or the largest number of cases.

No	Title of Article	Author (Ref)
1.	Efficacy and side-effects profile of the ethinylestradiol and etonogestrel contraceptive vaginal ring: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Eur J Contracept Reprod Health Care. 2017;22(2):131–46.	Lopez-Picado A 2017 ¹⁰
2.	Intrauterine Device Use and Cervical Cancer Risk: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. Obstet Gynecol. 2017;130(6):1226–36.	Cortessis VK 2017 ¹¹
3.	Is oral contraceptive use associated with an increased risk of cervical cancer? An evidence-based meta- analysis. J Obstet Gynaecol Res. 2017;43(5):913–22.	Peng Y 2017 ¹²
4.	Is the oral contraceptive or hormone replacement therapy a risk factor for cholelithiasis: A systematic review and meta-analysis. . Medicine (Baltimore). 2017;96(14):e6556.	Wang S 2017 ¹³
5.	A pooled analysis of case-control studies of thyroid cancer. III. Oral contraceptives, menopausal replacement therapy and other female hormones. Cancer Causes Control. 1999;10(2):157–66.	La Vecchia C 1999 ¹⁴
6.	Do oral contraceptive agents affect the risk of breast cancer? A meta-analysis of the case-control reports. J Am Board Fam Pract. 1993;6(2):123–35.	Hawley W 1992 ¹⁵
7.	Contraception and the risk of ectopic pregnancy: A meta-analysis. Contraception. 1995 Dec 1;52(6):337–41.	Mol BWJ 1995 ¹⁶
8.	Oral contraceptives and breast cancer. Review and meta-analysis. Cancer. 1990;66(11):2253–63.	Romieu I 1990 ¹⁷
9.	Oral contraceptives and the risk of rheumatoid arthritis: a meta-analysis of a conflicting literature. Br J Rheumatol. 1989;28 Suppl 1(b1t, 8302415):13–23.	Romieu I 1989 ¹⁸
10.	Oral contraceptives and venous thromboembolism: a quantitative discussion of the uncertainties. J Intern Med. 1995;238(1):31–7	Koster T 1995 ¹⁹

11.	The protective effect of the oral contraceptive pill on rheumatoid arthritis: an overview of the analytic epidemiological studies using meta-analysis. J Clin Epidemiol. 1990;43(11):1221–30.	Spector TD 1990 ²⁰
12.	A pooled analysis of 10 case-control studies of melanoma and oral contraceptive use. Br J Cancer. 2002;86(7):1085–92.	Karagas MR 2002 ²¹
13.	Oral contraceptives and colorectal cancer risk: a meta-analysis. Br J Cancer. 2001;84(5):722–7.	Fernandez E 2001 ²²
14	Risk of venous thromboembolism from oral contraceptives containing gestodene and desogestrel versus levonorgestrel: a meta-analysis and formal sensitivity analysis. Contraception. 2001;64(2):125–33	Hennessy S 2001 ²³
15	Oral contraceptive use and breast cancer risk: a meta-analysis of variations with age at diagnosis, parity and total duration of oral contraceptive use. Br J Obstet Gynaecol. 1992;99(3):239–46.	Rushton L 1992 ²⁴
16.	Hormonal contraceptives and cerebral venous thrombosis risk: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Front Neurol. 2015;6(101546899):7	Amoozegar F 2015 ²⁵
17.	Oral contraceptives and risk of ovarian and breast cancers in BRCA mutation carriers: a meta-analysis. Expert Rev Anticancer Ther 2011;11(8):1197–1207.	Cibula D 2011 ²⁶
18.	Depot medroxyprogesterone acetate and breast cancer. A pooled analysis of the World Health Organization and New Zealand studies. JAMA. 1995;273(10):799–804.	Skegg DC 1995 ²⁷
19.	Association between the current use of low-dose oral contraceptives and cardiovascular arterial disease: a meta-analysis. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2005;90(7):3863–70.	Baillargeon-J 2005 ²⁸
20.	Combination injectable contraceptives for contraception. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2005;(3):CD004568.	Gallo MF 2005 ²⁹
21.	Thrombophilic abnormalities, oral contraceptives, and risk of cerebral vein thrombosis: a meta-analysis. Blood. 2006;107(7):2766–73.	Dentali F 2006 ³⁰

22	Oral contraceptive use as a risk factor for premenopausal breast cancer: a meta-analysis. Mayo Clin Proc. 2006;81(10):1290–302.	Kahlenborn C 2006 ³¹	
23	Oral contraceptives containing drospirenone for premenstrual syndrome. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2012;(2):CD006586.	Lopez LM 2012 ³²	
24.	The risk of oral contraceptives in the etiology of inflammatory bowel disease: a meta-analysis. Am J Gastroenterol. 2008;103(9):2394–400.	Cornish JA 2008 ³³	
25.	Progestogen-only contraceptives and the risk of stroke: a meta-analysis. Stroke. 2009;40(4):1059–62.	Chakhtoura Z 2009 ³⁴	
26.	Oral contraceptive use and breast or ovarian cancer risk in BRCA1/2 carriers: a meta-analysis. Eur J Cancer. 2010;46(12):2275–84	lodice S 2010 ³⁵	
27.	Progestogen-only contraceptives and the risk of acute myocardial infarction: a meta-analysis. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2011;96(4):1169–74.	Chakhtoura Z 2011 ³⁶	
29.	Oral contraceptives and venous thromboembolism: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Drug Saf. 2012;35(3):191–205.	Manzoli L 2012 ³⁷	
29.	Types of progestogens in combined oral contraception: effectiveness and side-effects. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2011;(5):CD004861.	Lawrie TA 2011 ³⁸	
30.	Different combined oral contraceptives and the risk of venous thrombosis: systematic review and network meta-analysis. BMJ [Internet]. 2013 Sep 12 [cited 2020 Jul 26];347.	Stegeman BH 2013 ³⁹	
31.	Long-term effects of levonorgestrel-releasing intrauterine system on tamoxifen-treated breast cancer patients: a meta-analysis. Int J Clin Exp Pathol. 2014;7(10):6419–29.	Fu Y 2014 ⁴⁰	
32.	The Role of Oral Contraceptive Pills on Increased Risk of Breast Cancer in Iranian Populations: A Meta- analysis. J cancer prev. 2016;21(4):294–301.	Soroush A 2016 ⁴¹	
33.	Association between oral contraceptive use as a risk factor and triple-negative breast cancer: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Mol clin oncol. 2017;7(1):76–80.	Li L 2017 ⁴²	

34.	Combined oral contraceptives, thrombophilia and the risk of venous thromboembolism: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Thromb Haemost. 2016;14(7):1393–403.	Van Vlijmen 2016 ⁴³
35.	Effect of age at first use of oral contraceptives on breast cancer risk: An updated meta-analysis. Medicine (Baltimore). 2019;98(36):e15719.	Ji LW 2019 ⁴⁴
36.	Efficacy of levonorgestrel releasing intrauterine system as a postoperative maintenance therapy of endometriosis: A meta-analysis. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol. 2018;231(e4l, 0375672):85–92.	Song SY 2018 ⁴⁵
37.	Combined oral contraceptives: the risk of myocardial infarction and ischemic stroke. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2015 Aug 27;(8):CD011054.	Roach REJ 2015 ⁴⁶
38.	Safety of hormonal replacement therapy and oral contraceptives in systemic lupus erythematosus: a systematic review and meta-analysis. PLoS ONE. 2014;9(8):e104303.	Rojas Villarraga A 201447
39.	The effectiveness of metformin, oral contraceptives, and lifestyle modification in improving the metabolism of overweight women with polycystic ovary syndrome: a network meta-analysis. Endocrine. 2019;64(2):220–32.	Wang A 2019 ⁴⁸
40.	Second- and third-generation oral contraceptives and myocardial infarction: Systematic review and meta- analysis. Clin Invest Ginecol Obstet. 2016;43(4):174–8.	Rojas RF 2016 ⁴⁹
41.	A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of the Adverse Effects of Levonorgestrel Emergency Oral Contraceptive. Clin Drug Invest. 2020;40(5):395–420.	Leelakanok N 2020 ⁵⁰
42.	Modifiers of cancer risk in BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutation carriers: systematic review and meta-analysis. J Natl Cancer Inst;2014. 106(6):dju091	Friebel TM 2014 ⁵¹
43.	Meta-analysis of oral contraceptive use and risks of all-cause and cause-specific death. Int J Gynaecol Obstet. 2015;131(3):228–33.	Zhong G-C 2015 ⁵²

44.	The effects of Diane-35 and metformin in treatment of polycystic ovary syndrome: An updated systematic	Zhang J 2008 ⁵³
	review. Gynaecological Endrocrinology. 2008;24(10);590-600.	

eTable 4. Descriptive Characteristics of Included Meta-analyses of RCTs

Source	Adverse health outcome	Population	Exposed/ Unexposed	No of studies	Exposed Cases/total number	Unexposed Cases/total number	Length of follow- up	AMSTAR-2 quality
Significant	association		l	1	I			
Lethaby A 54	Weight gain	Women at reproductive age with regular heavy menstrual	LNG-IUS/Non-user	2	18/70	7/71	12 months	High
Chin J ⁵⁵	Endometrial polyps	Post/pre + post- menopausal women with adjuvant tamoxifen for breast cancer	LNG-IUS/Non-users	2	2/113	13/110	1 year	High
Chin J ⁵⁵	Endometrial polyps	Post/pre + post- menopausal women with adjuvant tamoxifen for breast cancer	LNG-IUS/Non-users	4	10/206	48/211	2-5 years	High
Amiri M ⁵⁶	Fasting insulin 12 months	Women of reproductive age with PCOS	COC (EE 30 mcg + DSG 150 mcg)/Non-users	2	NA	NA	12 months	Low
Amiri M ⁵⁶	FBG 6 months	Women of reproductive age with PCOS	COC (EE 30 mcg + DRSP 3 mg)/Non-users	4	NA	NA	6 months	Low
Amiri M ⁵⁶	FBG 6 months	Women of reproductive age with PCOS	COC (EE 35 mcg + CPA 2 mg) /Non-users	5	NA	NA	6 months	Low
Amiri M ⁵⁶	HOMA IR 3 months	Women of reproductive age with PCOS	COC (EE 35 mcg + CPA 2 mg) /Non-users	2	NA	NA	3 months	Low
Ralph L ⁽⁵⁸⁾	HIV risk	Sub Saharan African women aged 16-50 years	DMPA/Non-HC user	4	NA/5336	NA/9737	2-2.8 years	Low

Amiri M ⁵⁶	TC	Women of reproductive age with PCOS	EE 35 mcg + CPA 2 mg/Non-users	2	NA	NA	6 months	Low
Amiri M ⁵⁶	тс	Women of reproductive age with PCOS	EE 35 mcg + CPA 2 mg/Non-users	2	NA	NA	12 months	Low
Amiri M ⁵⁶	LDL-C	Women of reproductive age with PCOS	EE 35 mcg + CPA 2 mg/Non-users	2	NA	NA	12 months	Low
Amiri M ⁵⁶	HDL-C	Women of reproductive age with PCOS	EE 35 mcg + CPA 2 mg/Non-users	2	NA	NA	12 months	Low
Amiri M ⁵⁶	LDL-C	Women of reproductive age with PCOS	EE 35mcg + DRSP 3 mg/Non-users	2	NA	NA	12 months	Low
Amiri M ⁵⁶	HDL-C	Women of reproductive age with PCOS	EE 35mcg + DRSP 3 mg/Non-users	2	NA	NA	6 months	Low
Lethaby A ⁵⁴	Ovarian cyst	Women of reproductive age >18 years old	LNG-IUS/Other medical treatment	3	17/390	5/394	3-10 years	High
Non-Signif	icant association							
Amiri M ⁵⁶	BMI change	Women of reproductive age with PCOS	EE 35 mcg + CPA 2 mg/Non-users	5	NA	NA	3 months	Low
Amiri M ⁵⁶	BMI change	Women of reproductive age with PCOS	EE 35 mcg + CPA 2 mg/Non-users	5	NA	NA	6 months	Low
Amiri M ⁵⁶	BMI change	Women of reproductive age with PCOS	EE 35 mcg + CPA 2 mg/Non-users	3	NA	NA	12 months	Low
Amiri M ⁵⁶	BMI change	Women of reproductive age with PCOS	EE 35 mcg + DRSP 3 mg/Non-users	3	NA	NA	6 months	Low

Amiri M ⁵⁶	BMI change	Women of reproductive	EE 35 mcg + DRSP 3	3	NA	NA	12	Low
		age with PCOS	mg/Non-users				months	
Lopez	Weight gain	Women at reproductive	Vaginal ring etonogestrel	2	11/544	12/588	11-13	High
LM ⁵⁷		age >18 years	120 mcg/EE 15 mcg/				months	
			COC (LNG 150 mcg-EE					
			30 mcg)					
Draper ⁵⁸	Weight gain	Women at reproductive	DMPA/NET-EN	2	NA/871	NA/492	12	High
		age					months	
Gallo MF ⁵⁹	Depression	Women aged 18-39	EE 20 mcg + GSD 75	2	14/459	4/258	12-13	High
		years	mcg/ EE 30 mcg + GSD				months	
			75 mcg					
French R ⁶⁰	Ectopic pregnancy	Women aged 18-40	LNG-IUS 20/Non-	2	1/1985	1/1094	1 year	High
		years	hormonal IUD					
French R ⁶⁰	Ectopic pregnancy	Women of reproductive	Progestasert®	2	3/358	0/350	1 year	High
		age and mixed parity						
Chin J ⁵⁵	Endometrial fibroids	Post/pre + post-	LNG-IUS/Non-users	3	4/152	9/162	1-2 years	High
		menopausal women with						
		adjuvant tamoxifen for						
		breast cancer						
Lopez	FBG at cycle 6	Reproductive aged	EE 30/DSG/EE 30/GSD	2	NA/25	NA/32	6 months	Critically low
LM ⁶¹		women without diabetes						
Lopez	FBG at cycle 6	Reproductive aged	EE 30/DSG/ EE 30/LNG	2	NA/33	NA/39	6 months	Critically low
LM ⁶¹		women without diabetes						
Lopez	FBG at cycle 6	Reproductive aged	EE 35/Norethindrone/ EE	2	NA/72	NA/72	6 months	Critically low
LM ⁶¹		women without diabetes	30-40/LNG					
Lopez	FBG at cycle 12	Reproductive aged	EE 30/DSG/ EE 30/LNG	2	NA/27	NA/35	12	Critically low
LM ⁶¹		women without diabetes					months	

Lopez	Glucose area under	Reproductive aged	EE 35/Norethindrone/ EE	2	NA/72	NA/72	6 months	Critically low
LM ⁶¹	curve at cycle 6	women without diabetes	30-40/LNG					
Amiri M ⁵⁶	FBG 6 months	Women with PCOS	OC/Non-users	2	NA	NA	3-24	Low
							months	
Amiri M ⁵⁶	FBG 12 months	Women with PCOS	COC (EE 30 mcg + DSG	2	NA	NA	12	Low
			150 mcg)/Non-users				months	
Amiri M ⁵⁶	Fasting insulin 6	Women with PCOS	COC (EE 30 mcg + DSG	2	NA	NA	6 months	Low
	months		150 mcg)/Non-users					
Amiri M ⁵⁶	HOMA-IR	Women with PCOS	COC (EE 30 mcg + DSG	2	NA	NA	6 months	Low
			150 mcg)/Non-users					
Amiri M ⁵⁶	HOMA-IR	Women with PCOS	COC (EE 30 mcg + DSG	2	NA	NA	12	Low
			150 mcg) /Non-users				months	
Amiri M ⁵⁶	HOMA-IR	Women with PCOS	COC (EE 35 mcg +	5	NA	NA	6 months	Low
			DRSP 3 mg) /Non-users					
Amiri M ⁵⁶	HOMA-IR	Women with PCOS	COC (EE 35 mcg +	2	NA	NA	12	Low
			DRSP 3 mg) /Non-users				months	
Amiri M ⁵⁶	Fasting insulin	Women with PCOS	COC (EE 35 mcg + CPA	4	NA	NA	3 months	Low
			2 mg) /Non-users					
Amiri M ⁵⁶	Fasting insulin	Women with PCOS	COC (EE 35 mcg + CPA	4	NA	NA	6 months	Low
			2 mg) /Non-users					
Amiri M ⁵⁶	Fasting insulin	Women with PCOS	COC (EE 35 mcg + CPA	2	NA	NA	12	Low
			2 mg) /Non-users				months	
Amiri M ⁵⁶	HOMA-IR	Women with PCOS	COC (EE 35 mcg + CPA	3	NA	NA	6 months	Low
			2 mg) /Non-users					
Amiri M ⁵⁶	HOMA-IR	Women with PCOS	COC (EE 35 mcg + CPA	2	NA	NA	12	Low
			2 mg) /Non-users				months	
Ralph L ⁶²	HIV risk	Sub Saharan African	COC/Non-HC user	4	NA/3262	NA/9737	1-2 years	Low
		women aged 16-50 years						

Ralph L ⁶²	HIV risk	Sub Saharan African	NET-EN (injection)/Non-	2	86/1390	225/5457	1 year	Low
		women aged 16-50 years	HC user					
Morrison	HIV risk	Sub Saharan African	DMPA/COC user	3	NA/1462	NA/2023	2-2.8	Low
CS ⁶³		women aged 16-50 years					years	
Amiri M ⁵⁶	SBP	Women at reproductive	COC (EE 35 mcg +	2	NA	NA	6 months	Low
		age with PCOS	DRSP 3 mg) /Non-users					
Amiri M ⁵⁶	DBP	Women at reproductive	COC (EE 35 mcg +	2	NA	NA	6 months	Low
		age with PCOS	DRSP 3 mg) /Non-users					
Amiri M ⁵⁶	SBP	Women at reproductive	COC (EE 35 mcg +	2	NA	NA	12	Low
		age with PCOS	DRSP 3 mg) /Non-users				months	
Amiri M ⁵⁶	DBP	Women at reproductive	COC (EE 35 mcg +	2	NA	NA	12	Low
		age with PCOS	DRSP 3 mg) /Non-users				months	
Draper ⁵⁸	SBP	Women at reproductive	DMPA/NET-EN	2	NA/871	NA/492	1-2 years	High
		age						
Draper ⁵⁸	DBP	Women at reproductive	DMPA/NET-EN	2	NA/871	NA/492	1-2 years	High
		age						
Amiri M ⁵⁶	LDL-C	Women with PCOS	COC (EE 30 mcg+ DSG	2	NA	NA	6 months	Low
			150 mcg)/Non-users					
Amiri M ⁵⁶	TG	Women with PCOS	COC (EE 35 mcg + CPA	3	NA	NA	3 months	Low
			2 mg)/Non-users					
Amiri M ⁵⁶	TG	Women with PCOS	COC (EE 35 mcg + CPA	2	NA	NA	6 months	Low
			2 mg)/Non-users					
Amiri M ⁵⁶	ТС	Women with PCOS	COC (EE 35 mcg + CPA	4	NA	NA	3 months	Low
			2 mg)/Non-users					
Amiri M ⁵⁶	LDL-C	Women with PCOS	COC (EE 35 mcg + CPA	4	NA	NA	3 months	Low
			2 mg)/Non-users					
Amiri M ⁵⁶	LDL-C	Women with PCOS	COC (EE 35 mcg + CPA	3	NA	NA	6 months	Low
			2 mg)/Non-users					

Amiri M ⁵⁶	HDL-C	Women with PCOS	COC (EE 30 mcg + CPA	4	NA	NA	3 months	Low
			2 mg)/Non-users					
Amiri M ⁵⁶	LDL-C	Women with PCOS	COC (EE 30 mcg +	3	NA	NA	6 months	Low
			DRSP 3 mg)/Non-users					
Amiri M ⁵⁶	HDL-C	Women with PCOS	COC (EE 30 mcg +	2	NA	NA	12	Low
			DRSP 3 mg)/Non-users				months	

Source	Healt h	Population	Intervention (I)	Comparis on (C)	Follow up (range)	No. of studie	Total no of	Met ric	ES (95% CI)	P Value	GRADE serious (evidence (l VS)	Not serio	us (NS), se	rious (S), ve	ry	AMSTA R 2
	outco me					S	particip ants				ROB	I2/ inconst ancy	Indire ctnes s	Impreci sion	Egger's p-value/ publicatio n bias	Overa II certai nty of evide nce	
Amiri M 2017 ⁵⁶	BMI chang e	Women of reproductiv e age with PCOS	EE 35 mcg + CPA 2 mg	Non- users	3 months	5	81	MD	0.17 (- 0.47 to 0.82) ^{UA}	0.60	S	NS	NS	NS	0.24/No	Mode rate	Low
Amiri M 2017 ⁵⁶	BMI chang e	Women of reproductiv e age with PCOS	EE 35 mcg + CPA 2 mg	Non- users	6 months	5	132	MD	-0.28 (- 1.13 to 0.57) ^{UA}	0.52	S	VS	NS	S	0.43/No	Very Iow	Low
Amiri M 2017 ⁵⁶	BMI chang e	Women of reproductiv e age with PCOS	EE 35 mcg + CPA 2 mg	Non- users	12 months	3	75	MD	-0.63 (- 1.60 to 0.34) ^{UA}	0.20	S	NS	NS	S	NA	Low	Low
Amiri M 2017 ⁵⁶	BMI chang e	Women of reproductiv e age with PCOS	EE 35 mcg + DRSP 3 mg	Non- users	6 months	3	163	MD	-0.18 (- 0.87 to 0.51) ^{UA}	0.61	S	VS	NS	S	0.61/No	Very low	Low
Amiri M 2017 ⁵⁶	BMI chang e	Women of reproductiv e age with PCOS	EE 35 mcg + DRSP 3 mg	Non- users	12 months	3	136	MD	-1.94 (- 4.23 to 0.36) ^{UA}	0.10	S	VS	NS	S	0.92/No	Very low	Low
Lopez LM 2008 ⁶¹	Weig ht increa se	Women at reproductiv e age>18 years	Vaginal ring etonogestrel 120 mcg/EE 15 mcg	COC (LNG 150 mcg/EE 30 mcg)	11-13 months	2	1132	RR	1.70 (0.19- 15.48) ^{NR}	0.64	NS	S	S	VS	NA	Very low	High
Draper 2006 ⁵⁸	Weig ht gain	Women at reproductiv e age	DMPA	NET-EN	12 months	2	1363	MD	0.37 (- 0.33 to 1.07) ^{NR}	0.30	NS	NS	NS	S	NA	Mode rate	High
Gallo MF 2013 ⁶⁴	Depre ssion	Women aged 18-39 years	EE 20mcg + GSD 75 mcg	EE 30 mcg + GSD 75 mcg	12-13 months	2	717	OR	2.12 (0.80- 5.66) ^{UA}	0.13	S	NS	NS	S	NA	Low	High

eTable 5. Nonsignificant Associations From Meta-analyses of RCTs

French R 2001 ⁶⁰	Ectop ic pregn ancy	Women aged 18-40 years	LNG-IUS 20 (Mirena ®)	Nova-T IUD ®	1 year	2	3079	RR	0.70 (0.04- 11.12) ^{NR}	0.80	S	NS	NS	S	NA	Low	High
French R 2001 ⁶⁰	Ectop ic pregn ancy	Mixed parity	Progestasert ®	Non hormonal IUD	1 year	2	708	RR	3.85 (0.43- 34.7) ^{NR}	0.23	S	NS	S	VS	NA	Very low	High
Chin J 2009 ⁵⁵	Endo metri al fibroid s	Post/pre+p ost- menopaus al women with adjuvant tamoxifen for breast cancer	LNG-IUS + Endometrial surveillance	Endomet rial surveillan ce	1-2 years	3	314	OR	0.48 (0.16- 1.48) ^{NR}	0.20	NS	NS	S	S	0.83/No	Mode rate	High
Lopez LM 2014 ⁶¹	FBG at cycle 6	Reproducti ve aged women without diabetes	EE 30/DSG	EE 30/GSD	6 months	2	57	MD	0.34 (- 3.99 to 4.67) ^{NR}	0.88	NS	NS	S	S	NA	Low	Criticall y low
Lopez LM 2014 ⁶¹	FBG at cycle 6	Reproducti ve aged women without diabetes	EE 30/DSG	EE 30/LNG	6 months	2	72	MD	0.20 (0- 0.41) ^{NR}	0.05	VS	NS	S	NS	NA	Very low	Criticall y low
Lopez LM 2014 ⁶¹	FBG at cycle 6	Reproducti ve aged women without diabetes	EE 35/Norethind rone	EE 30- 40/LNG	6 months	2	144	MD	-0.88 (- 2.83 to 1.06) ^{NR}	0.37	S	NS	S	NS	NA	Low	Criticall y low
Lopez LM 2014 ⁶¹	FBG at cycle 12	Reproducti ve aged women without diabetes	EE 30/DSG	EE 30/LNG	12 months	2	62	MD	0.15 (- 0.08 to 0.38) ^{NR}	0.20	VS	NS	S	NS	NA	Very low	Criticall y low
Lopez LM 2014 ⁶¹	Gluco se AUC at cycle 6	Reproducti ve aged women without diabetes	EE 35/Norethind rone	EE 30- 40/LNG	6 months	2	144	MD	-10.83 (- 29.62 to 7.96) ^{NR}	0.26	S	NS	S	VS	NA	Very Iow	Criticall y low

Amiri M 2017 ⁵⁶	FBG 6 mont hs	Women with PCOS	OC users	Non- users	3-24 months	2	88	MD	-0.93 (- 3.73 to 1.86)	0.51	S	S	NS	S	NA	NA	Low
Amiri M 2017 ⁵⁶	FBG 12 mont hs	Women with PCOS	COC (EE 30 mcg + DSG 150 mcg)	Non- users	12 months	2	76	MD	-1.23 (- 9.46 to 11.92)	0.82	S	VS	NS	VS	NA	Very low	Low
Amiri M 2017 ⁵⁶	Fastin g insuli n 6 mont hs	Women with PCOS	COC (EE 30 mcg + DSG 150 mcg)	Non- users	6 months	2	88	MD	0.80 (- 1.42 to 3.03)	0.48	S	NS	NS	S	NA	Low	Low
Amiri M 2017 ⁵⁶	HOM A-IR	Women with PCOS	COC (EE 30 mcg + DSG 150 mcg)	Non- users	6 months	2	88	MD	0.08 (- 0.41 to 0.57)	0.74	S	NS	NS	S	NA	Low	Low
Amiri M 2017 ⁵⁶	HOM A-IR	Women with PCOS	COC (EE 30 mcg + DSG 150 mcg)	Non- users	12 months	2	76	MD	0.40 (- 0.67 to 1.46)	0.47	S	VS	NS	S	NA	Very low	Low
Amiri M 2017 ⁵⁶	HOM A-IR	Women with PCOS	COC (EE 35 mcg + DRSP 3 mg)	Non- users	6 months	5	193	MD	-0.23 (- 0.57 to 0.11)	0.19	S	S	NS	S	0.63/No	Very low	Low
Amiri M 2017 ⁵⁶	HOM A-IR	Women with PCOS	COC (EE 35 mcg + DRSP 3 mg)	Non- users	12 months	2	116	MD	-0.09 (- 0.83 to 0.65)	0.82	S	S	NS	S	NA	Very low	Low
Amiri M 2017 ⁵⁶	Fastin g insuli n	Women with PCOS	COC (EE 35 mcg + CPA 2 mg)	Non- users	3 months	4	81	MD	-1.29 (- 3.31 to 0.73)	0.21	S	S	NS	S	0.88/No	Very Iow	Low
Amiri M 2017 ⁵⁶	Fastin g insuli n	Women with PCOS	COC (EE 35 mcg + CPA 2 mg)	Non- users	6 months	4	106	MD	1.35 (- 0.38 to 3.08)	0.13	NS	NS	NS	S	0.46/No	Mode rate	Low
Amiri M 2017 ⁵⁶	Fastin g insuli n	Women with PCOS	COC (EE 35 mcg + CPA 2 mg)	Non- users	12 months	2	74	MD	3.00 (- 3.19 to 9.19)	0.34	S	VS	NS	S	NA	Very low	Low
Amiri M 2017 ⁵⁶	HOM A-IR	Women with PCOS	COC (EE 35 mcg + CPA 2 mg)	Non- users	6 months	3	97	MD	0.41 (- 0.31 to 1.13)	0.27	NS	NS	NS	S	0.95/No	Mode rate	Low

Amiri M 2017 ⁵⁶	HOM A-IR	Women with PCOS	COC (EE 35 mcg + CPA 2 mg)	Non- users	12 months	2	74	MD	0.70 (- 0.30 to 1.70)	0.17	S	VS	NS	S	NA	Very low	Low
Amiri M 2017 ⁵⁶	LDL- C	Women with PCOS	COC (EE 30 mcg+ DSG 150 mcg)	Non- users	6 months	2	44	MD	14.68 (- 1.25 to 30.61)	0.07	VS	VS	NS	VS	NA	Very low	Low
Amiri M 2017 ⁵⁶	TG	Women with PCOS	COC (EE 35 mcg + CPA 2 mg)	Non- users	3 months	3	66	MD	-4.13 (- 13.00 to 4.75)	0.36	VS	NS	NS	S	0.05/Yes	Very low	Low
Amiri M 2017 ⁵⁶	TG	Women with PCOS	COC (EE 35 mcg + CPA 2 mg)	Non- users	6 months	2	55	MD	9.93 (- 0.62 to 20.48)	0.07	VS	NS	NS	VS	NA	Very low	Low
Amiri M 2017 ⁵⁶	TC	Women with PCOS	COC (EE 35 mcg + CPA 2 mg)	Non- users	3 months	4	76	MD	10.82 (- 15.80 to 37.44)	0.43	VS	VS	NS	VS	0.34/No	Very low	Low
Amiri M 2017 ⁵⁶	LDL- C	Women with PCOS	COC (EE 35 mcg + CPA 2 mg)	Non- users	3 months	4	76	MD	3.62 (- 13.4 to 20.66)	0.68	VS	VS	NS	VS	0.13/No	Very low	Low
Amiri M 2017 ⁵⁶	LDL- C	Women with PCOS	COC (EE 35 mcg + CPA 2 mg)	Non- users	6 months	3	65	MD	3.41 (- 22.80 to 29.50)	0.8-	VS	VS	NS	VS	0.88/No	Very low	Low
Amiri M 2017 ⁵⁶	HDL- C	Women with PCOS	COC (EE 30 mcg + CPA 2 mg)	Non- users	3 months	4	76	MD	4.30 (- 2.75 to 11.36)	0.23	VS	VS	NS	S	0.01/Yes	Very low	Low
Amiri M 2017 ⁵⁶	LDL- C	Women with PCOS	COC (EE 30 mcg + DRSP 3 mg)	Non- users	6 months	3	121	MD	0.82 (- 7.47 to 9.11)	0.85	VS	S	NS	S	0.63/No	Very low	Low
Amiri M 2017 ⁵⁶	HDL- C	Women with PCOS	COC (EE 30 mcg + DRSP 3 mg)	Non- users	12 months	2	79	MD	6.23 (- 1.11 to 13.58)	0.10	VS	VS	NS	S	NA	Very low	Low
Ralph L 2015 ⁶²	HIV risk	Sub Saharan African women aged 16-50 years	COC	Non-HC user	1-2 years	4	12999	HR	0.90 (0.73- 1.11)	0.32	S	NS	NS	NS	0.55/No	Mode rate	Low
Ralph L 2015 ⁶²	HIV risk	Sub Saharan African women aged 16-50 years	NET-EN (injection)	Non-HC user	1 year	2	6847	HR	1.19 (0.88- 1.61)	0.27	S	NS	NS	S	NA	Low	Low

Morrison CS 2015 ⁶³	HIV risk	Sub Saharan African women aged 16-50	DMPA	COC user	2-2.8 years	3	3485	HR	1.23 (0.87- 1.74)	0.23	S	NS	NS	S	0.62/No	Low	Low
Amiri M 2007 ⁵⁶	SBP	Women at reproductiv e age with PCOS	COC (EE 30 mcg + DRSP 3 mg)	Non- users	6 months	2	116	MD	0.69 (- 3.79 to 5.16) ^{UA}	0.76	S	S	NS	S	NA	Very low	Low
Amiri M 2007 ⁵⁶	DBP	Women at reproductiv e age with PCOS	COC (EE 30 mcg + DRSP 3 mg)	Non- users	6 months	2	116	MD	-1.93 (- 9.34 to 5.47) ^{UA}	0.61	S	VS	NS	S	NA	Very low	Low
Amiri M 2007 ⁵⁶	SBP	Women at reproductiv e age with PCOS	COC (EE 30 mcg + DRSP 3 mg)	Non- users	12 months	2	116	MD	-0.87 (- 4.99 to 3.25) ^{UA}	0.68	S	NS	NS	S	NA	Low	Low
Amiri M 2007 ⁵⁶	DBP	Women at reproductiv e age with PCOS	COC (EE 30 mcg + DRSP 3 mg)	Non- users	12 months	2	116	MD	-2.10 (- 11.48 to 7.29) ^{UA}	0.66	S	VS	NS	VS	NA	Very low	Low
Draper H 2006 ⁵⁸	SBP	Women at reproductiv e age	DMPA	NET-EN	1-2 years	2	1363	MD	-2.31 (- 8.79 to 4.16) ^{NR}	0.48	NS	VS	NS	S	NA	Very low	High
Draper H 2006 ⁵⁸	DBP	Women at reproductiv e age	DMPA	NET-EN	1-2 years	2	1363	MD	-0.57 (- 1.81 to 0.66) ^{NR}	0.36	NS	NS	NS	S	NA	Mode rate	High

Abbreviations: NR = adjusted or unadjusted effect size is not reported; UA = unadjusted effect size; AUC= Area under the curve; BMI = Body Mass Index; CI = Confidence interval; CPA = Cyproterone acetate; COC = Combined oral contraceptive; DBP = Diastolic blood pressure; DMPA = Depot medroxyprogesterone acetate; DRSP = Drospirenone; DSG = Desogestrel; EE = Ethniyl estradiol; ES = Effect size; FBG = Fasting blood glucose; HIV = Human immunodeficiency virus; HDL = High-density lipoprotein; HOMA-IR = Homeostatic Model Assessment for Insulin Resistance; HC = Hormonal contraceptive; HR = Hazard ratio; IUS =Intrauterine system; LDL-C = Lowdensity lipoprotein; LNG-IUS = Levonorgestrel-intrauterine system; MD = Mean difference; NA = Not available; NET-EN; Norethisterone enanthate; NS= Not serious; OR = odds ratio; PCOS = Polycystic ovarian syndrome; RR = Risk ratio; TC = Total cholesterol; S = Serious; SBP = Systolic blood pressure; VS = Very serious

			Primary analysis		oononny a		ј шуп ков	Sensitivity anal	ysis. excluul	ny sinan size	Jensitivity	analysis. Inv	SJ method
						trials		studie	s (25 th percen	ntile)		(<5 studies)	
		No of	ES (95%	GRADE	No of	ES (95% CI)	GRADE	No of studies	ES (95%	GRADE	No of	ES (95%	GRADE
		studies	CI)		studies				CI)		studies	CI)	
^a Endometri	Post/Pre- +	4	OR: 0.22	High	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	4	0.22	High
al polyps	Post-		(0.13-									(0.09-	
r	menopausal		0.38) ^{NR}									0.54) ^{NR}	
v	women with											,	
	adiuvant												
ta	tamoxifen for												
b	preast cancer												
^b Sub	Post -	2	OR: 0.30	High	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Not apr	licable for su	Ibaroup
aroup r	menonausal		(0 14-	g.i								analysis	
Endometria	women with		0.65) ^{NR}									analysis	
	adiuvant		0.00)										
1 polyp3	tamovifen for												
DI		_				4							
°FBG at 6-	Women of	5	MD: -	Moderate	3	°MD: -3.03 (-	High	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
month rep	productive age		2.05 (-			4.92 to -							
,	with PCOS		2.82 to -			1.14)							
			1.28)										
a: RoB, Inconsisten	ncy, Imprecision &	Indirectness	: Not serious.	Publication bi	as – – no (Egge	r's test: 0.731)							

eTable 6. Sensitivity Analyses of Meta-analysis of RCTs Initially Graded as High or Moderate

b: RoB, Inconsistency, Imprecision & Indirectness: Not serious. Publication bias - undetected.

c: RoB: Serious; Inconsistency & Indirectness: Not serious; Imprecision: Not serious: Publication bias - - no (Egger's test: 0.221)

d: RoB, Inconsistency, Imprecision & Indirectness: Not serious. Publication bias – – no (Egger's test: 0.948)

Note: NR = adjusted or unadjusted effect size is not reported; CI: Confidence interval; ES: Effect size; FBG; Fasting blood glucose; GRADE: Grading of Recommendation, Assessment, Development and Evaluations; HKSJ: Hartung-Knapp-Sidik-Jonkman; MD: Mean difference; NA: Not applicable; OR: Odds Ratio; PCOS: Polycystic ovarian syndrome; ROB: Risk of bias

Author; publication year	Outcomes	No of studies	Total number of participants	Adjustment for confounding variables
Significant associations				
Moorman PG 201365	Breast cancer	2	NA	Age, parity, family cluster, history of oophorectomy before right censoring, age at menarche, breastfeeding, year of birth
Moorman PG 201365	Breast cancer	2	NA	Age, parity, family cluster, history of oophorectomy before right censoring, age at menarche, breastfeeding, year of birth
Moorman PG 201365	Breast cancer	2	NA	Age, age at first pregnancy, marital status, parity or number of pregnancies, age at menarche, breastfeeding, year of birth
Amiri M 2017 ⁵⁶	BMI	3	228	Not adjusted
Asthana 202066	Cervical cancer	5	NA	Age, parity, BMI, marital status, level education, physical activity, smoking habit, OC use and duration, menopausal status with hormonal replacement therapy (HRT) use, social class, smoking, influence of age at first marriage, age at first-term pregnancy, ever diaphragm and condom use
Asthana 2020 ⁶⁶	Cervical cancer	5	NA	Age, parity, BMI, marital status, level education, physical activity, smoking habit, OC use and duration, menopausal status with HRT use, social class, smoking, influence of age at first marriage, age at first-term pregnancy, ever diaphragm and condom use
Asthana 2020 ⁶⁶	Cervical cancer	4	NA	Age, parity, BMI, marital status, level education, physical activity, smoking habit, OC use and duration, menopausal status with HRT use, social class, smoking
Delgado-Rodriguez 1992 ⁶⁷	Carcinoma in situ	5	NA	Age, race, age at first intercourse, parity or number of pregnancies, socioeconomic status, marital status, age at first pregnancy, number of previous pap smears, history of sexually transmitted disease and tobacco use
Luan NN 2015 ⁶⁸	Colorectal cancer adenoma	8	NA	Age, smoking status, diabetes, BMI, physical activity, alcohol use, menopausal status, pack years of smoking, education, parity, social class
Bosetti C 2009 ⁶⁹	Colorectal cancer adenoma	6	NA	Age, parity, smoking, social class, duration use of HRT, BMI, menopausal status, pack years of smoking, education, randomized treatment assignment, family history of colorectal cancer, previous history of benign colorectal polyps, physical activity, aspirin use, alcohol consumption, intake of red meat, multivitamin use, baseline postmenopausal hormone use, age at menarche, total energy intake, height, parity, total vitamin E intake, a total vitamin E by age interaction term and vitamin A supplement intake, randomized treatment assignment, family history of colorectal cancer, previous history of benign colorectal polyps
Bosetti C 2009 ⁶⁹	Colorectal cancer adenoma	6	NA	Age, parity, smoking, social class, duration use of HRT, BMI, menopausal status, pack years of smoking, education, randomized treatment assignment, family history of colorectal cancer, previous history of benign colorectal polyps, physical activity, aspirin use, alcohol consumption, intake of red meat, multivitamin use, baseline postmenopausal hormone use, age at menarche

eTable 7. Descriptive Characteristics of Included Meta-analyses of Cohort Studies

Xu J-L 2015 ⁷⁰	Dry socket	16	2470	Not adjusted
Vercellini 2011 ⁷¹	Endometriosis	5	NA	Age, parity, adjusted for age (months), calendar time (2-year questionnaire period), and body mass index at age 18 years, race, family history of endometriosis, time to regular menstrual periods and length of menstrual cycle.
Vercellini 2011 ⁷¹	Endometriosis	5	NA	Age, parity, adjusted for age (months), calendar time (2-year questionnaire period), and body mass index at age 18 years, race, family history of endometriosis, time to regular menstrual periods and length of menstrual cycle
Shere M 2015 ⁷²	Plasma folate concentration	12	2038	Not reported
Shere M 2015 ⁷²	RBC folate concentration	9	956	Not reported
Lan Y 2018 ⁽⁶⁸⁾	Glioma risk	4	NA	Age, study center, randomization group, parity, age at menarche (<12, 13–14, >14), menopausal status, smoking status, alcohol intake, socioeconomic level, parity, age at first birth, OC use, education, BMI
Liu H 2017 ⁷⁴	HTN (SBP ≥ 140mm Hg and DBP ≥ 90mm Hg)	3	58324	Age, BMI, smoking status, regular care, parity, alcohol intake, ethnicity, family history of hypertension, and physical activity
Ortizo R 2017 ⁷⁵	IBD	5	68382	Age, social class, smoking, sex, calendar year, OA, RA, depression, anxiety, stress, asthma, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, diabetes, irritable bowel syndrome and appendectomy
Ortizo R 2017 ⁷⁵	Crohn's Disease	5	68382	Age, social class, smoking, sex, calendar year, OA, RA, depression, anxiety, stress, asthma, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, diabetes, irritable bowel syndrome and appendectomy
Wang X 2019 ⁴⁸	Ulcerative colitis	3	295484	Age, social class, smoking, cohort, BMI, parity, age at menarche, HRT use and menopause status
Liu H 2014 ⁷⁶	Kidney cancer	4	NA	Age, age at first life birth, age at menarche, pack-years, BMI, menopausal status, education, study center, randomization group, parity, HRT use, smoking status, hypertension, alcohol intake, diuretic use, fruit intake, vegetable intake and race
Amiri M 2017 ⁵⁶	Triglycerides	3	107	Age and BMI
Amiri M 2017 ⁵⁶	Triglycerides	2	45	Age and BMI
Amiri M 2017 ⁵⁶	Total cholesterol	4	118	Age and BMI
Halperin J 201177	Triglycerides	6	119	Quality of studies, age, BMI and duration of study
Havrilesky 2013 ⁷⁸	Ovarian cancer	7	NA	Age, parity, menopausal status, HT, country, BMI, smoking, social class, height, age at first term pregnancy, age at first marriage, family history, breastfeeding, education, physical activity, other contraception methods, unilateral oophorectomy and hysterectomy
Havrilesky 2013 ⁷⁸	Ovarian cancer	5	NA	Age, parity, menopausal status, HT, country, BMI, smoking, social class, height, age at first term pregnancy, age at first marriage, unilateral oophorectomy and hysterectomy
Xu 2015 ⁷⁹	Ischemic stroke	3	1083196	Smoking, hypertension, diabetes, obesity, alcohol intake, lipid level

Johnston 1998 ⁸⁰	Mortality due to subarachnoid hemorrhage (SAH)	2	51144	Race, smoking, socioeconomic status
Pérez-López FR 2020 ⁸¹	Suicide risk	3	167689	Calendar time, age, BMI, smoking status, race, weight change, height, alcohol intake, physical activity, diet, SBP, use of antihypertensives drugs, history of diabetes, parenteral myocardial infarction, before age of 60 and time since menopause, parity, social class
Baratloo A 2014 ⁸²	VTE	3	NA	Current OCP user, calendar year, educational level, age, BMI, smoking, cancer, fractures, surgery, use of warfarin
Bateson D 2016 ⁸³	VTE	6	NA	Age, site, year of entry into study, diabetes, hypertension, hyperlipidemia, smoking, obesity, history of cancer, calendar year, length of schooling and education, duration of oral contraceptive use, smoking, ovarian stimulation drugs, recent surgery, EE dose, user type (current/new), BMI, insurance status, history of hormonal contraceptive use, history of use of other sexual hormones/modulators, history of pregnancy, childbirth & puerperium period, heart diseases, follow up examination after surgery
Martinez F 2012 ⁸⁴	VTE	4	283719	Age, calendar year, length of schooling and education, duration of oral contraceptive use, smoking, ovarian stimulation drugs, recent surgery, BMI, insurance status, history of hormonal contraceptive use, history of use of other sexual hormones/modulators, history of pregnancy, childbirth & puerperium period, heart diseases, follow up examination after surgery
Dragoman MV 2018 ⁸⁵	VTE	2	NA	Age, year and level of education, BMI and thrombophilia,
Mantha S 2012 ⁸⁶	VTE	3	NA	Age, duration of use, calendar year, length of schooling and education, length of oral contraceptive use, smoking, ovarian stimulation drugs, recent surgery
Oedingen C 2018 ⁸⁷	VTE	3	NA	Smoking, BMI, age, duration of use, calendar year and education
Martinez F 2012 ⁸⁴	VTE	8	503821	Age, calendar year, length of schooling and education, length of oral contraceptive use, smoking, ovarian stimulation drugs, recent surgery
Martinez F 2012 ⁸⁴	VTE	5	340729	Age, calendar year, length of schooling and education, duration of oral contraceptive use, smoking, ovarian stimulation drugs, recent surgery, BMI, insurance status, history of hormonal contraceptive use, history of use of other sexual hormones/modulators, history of pregnancy, childbirth & puerperium period, heart diseases, follow up examination after surgery
Oedingen C 2018 ⁸⁷	VTE	3	256815	Age, calendar year, length of schooling and education, length of oral contraceptive use, smoking, ovarian stimulation drugs, recent surgery
Non-significant associa	ations		L	
Zhu H 2012 ⁸⁸	Breast cancer	13	NA	Age, smoking, alcohol drinking, educational level, BMI, age at menarche, parity and family history of breast cancer, number of children, age at 1st pregnancy, duration of breastfeeding, smoking, exercise, obesity, energy consumption, geographical area, invitation to do breast screening, menopausal status, HRT use, BMI, alcohol consumption, age at first birth, history of benign breast disease, marital status, maternal history of breast cancer
Nindrea 2019 ⁸⁹	Breast cancer	2	7440	Age at recruitment, marital status, family history of cancer, breastfeeding history, genetic risk score, age at first live birth, age at menarche, family history, past breast biopsy, BMI

Conz 2020 ⁹⁰	Breast cancer	2	NA	Age, education, endometriosis, parity, family history of premenopausal breast or ovarian cancer, age at the start of follow-up, female hormones in the form of oral contraceptives (OC), fertility drugs, or HT or prophylactic use of tamoxifen
Goshtasebi A ⁹¹	Peak spinal BMD accrual 12 month	2	346	Age, race, body weight, tobacco use, physical activity, baseline BMD, BMI, weight-bearing physical activity, calcium intake and self-reported menstruation regularity when not using OC/pregnant/nursing
Goshtasebi A ⁹¹	Peak spinal BMD accrual 24 month	3	462	Age, race, height, body weight, tobacco use, 24-hour physical activity (kcal/d) baseline BMD, BMI, BMI change over 2 hours, weight-bearing physical activity, calcium intake, age at menarche, alcohol consumption, total calcium intake and self-reported menstruation regularity when not using OC/pregnant/nursing
Amiri M 2017 ⁵⁶	BMI	4	199	NA
Amiri M 2017 ⁵⁶	BMI	4	151	NA
Asthana 2020 ⁶⁶	Cervical cancer	4	91	Age, height, age at first-term pregnancy, social class, smoking, parity, BMI, influence of age at first marriage, ever use of HRT, ever diaphragm use, ever condom use
Delgado-Rodriguez 1992 ⁶⁷	Dysplasia	4	248	Age, race, age at first intercourse, parity or number of pregnancies, socioeconomic status, age at first pregnancy,
Delgado-Rodriguez 1992 ⁶⁷	Invasive cervical cancer	3	NA	Age, age at first pregnancy, marital status, parity or number of pregnancies
Song J 2019 ⁹²	Colorectal adenoma	4	742097	Age, race, education, BMI, smoking, alcohol, anti-inflammatory drug use, history of previous colorectal endoscopy and mutually adjusted other reproductive factors, family history of colorectal cancer, aspirin, NSAIDs, physical activity, sitting watching TV/VCR, calcium, beef/pork/lamb as main dish, region, strenuous exercise
Song J 2019 ⁹²	Colorectal adenoma	2	NA	Age, race, education, BMI, smoking, alcohol, anti-inflammatory drug use, mutually adjusted other reproductive factors, age at endoscopy, previous history of endoscopy before 1980, family history of colorectal adenoma, physical activity, aspirin use, intake of alcohol, red meat, folate, methionine and use of postmenopausal hormones
Amiri M 2017 ⁵⁶	FBG 3 months	3	108	Age and BMI
Amiri M 2017 ⁵⁶	FBG 6 months	2	151	Age and BMI
Amiri M 2017 ⁵⁶	FBG 6 months	3	199	Age and BMI
Amiri M 2017 ⁵⁶	Fasting insulin 3 months	2	158	Age and BMI
Amiri M 2017 ⁵⁶	Fasting insulin 6 months	2	125	Age and BMI
Amiri M 2017 ⁵⁶	HOMA-IR 3 months	2	119	Age and BMI
Amiri M 2017 ⁵⁶	HOMA-IR 6 months	2	116	Age and BMI
Amiri M 2017 ⁵⁶	HOMA-IR 6 months	2	241	Age and BMI

Morrison CS 2015 ⁶³	HIV infection	3	4513	Age, married/living with partner, number of sex partners, condom use, region, education level, parity, workplace, duration of sex work, vaginal washing practices, number of sexual partners per week, incident genital tract infection
Morrison CS 2015 ⁶³	HIV infection	2	3832	Age, married/living with partner, number of sex partners, condom use, region, education level, parity, workplace, duration of sex work, vaginal washing practices, number of sexual partners per week, incident genital tract infection
Morrison CS 2015 ⁶³	HIV infection	2	3757	Age, married/living with partner, laboratory-confirmed infection, number of sex partners, condom use, region, education level, parity, workplace, duration of sex work, vaginal washing practices, number of sexual partners per week, incident genital tract infection
Amiri M 2017 ⁵⁶	SBP 6 months	2	57	NA
Amiri M 2017 ⁵⁶	DBP 6 months	2	57	NA
Liu H 2014 ⁷⁶	Kidney cancer	5	1581	Age, BMI, waist to hip ratio, alcohol use, history of hypertension, pack-years, BMI, menopausal status, education, study center, randomization group, diuretic use, fruit intake, vegetable intake, race, smoking status
Amiri M 2017 ⁵⁶	Triglycerides	2	19	Age and BMI
Amiri M 2017 ⁵⁶	Total cholesterol	2	19	Age and BMI
Amiri M 2017 ⁵⁶	Total cholesterol	2	45	Age and BMI
Amiri M 2017 ⁵⁶	LDL-Cholesterol	3	107	Age and BMI
Amiri M 2017 ⁵⁶	LDL-Cholesterol	2	19	Age and BMI
Amiri M 2017 ⁵⁶	LDL-Cholesterol	2	45	Age and BMI
Amiri M 2017 ⁵⁶	HDL-Cholesterol	3	107	Age and BMI
Amiri M 2017 ⁵⁶	HDL-Cholesterol	2	19	Age and BMI
Amiri M 2017 ⁵⁶	HDL-Cholesterol	2	45	Age and BMI
Halperin J 201177	HDL-Cholesterol	9	269	Quality of studies, age, BMI, estrogen dose
An N 2015 ⁹³	Liver cancer	3	NA	Age, alcohol, BMI, diabetes, race, smoking, social status, parent cohort study, menopausal status, education, parity, having had a tubal ligation
Stampfer 1990 ⁹⁴	Non-fatal MI and fatal coronary disease	3	NA	Age, parenteral history of infarction before age of 60, menopausal status, hormone use, time period, smoking status, hypertension, diabetes, high cholesterol, age, Quetelet index,
Peragallo Urrutia 201395	MI	2	NA	Age, parity, BMI, smoking, social class, education, alcohol intake, physical activity, history of hypertension, history of diabetes, menopausal status
Khader YS 200396	MI	2	NA	Social class and smoking
Khader YS 200396	MI	2	NA	Social class and smoking

Lagergreen K 201497	Esophageal	2	356116	Age, study type, ethnicity, BMI, reflux, tobacco smoking, alcohol intake, education, BMI, physical activity and fruit vegetable intake, total energy and hysterectomy
Havrilesky 2013 ⁷⁸	Ovarian cancer	3	NA	Age, parity, menopausal status, HT, country, BMI, smoking, social class, height, age at first term pregnancy, age at first marriage, family history, age at menarche, breastfeeding, education, physical activity, other contraception methods
Qi S 2014 ⁹⁸	Rheumatoid arthritis	5	332897	Age, parity, smoking, social class, age, follow up cycle, age at menarche, time since menopause, BMI
Chen Q 2014 ⁹⁹	Rheumatoid arthritis	3	NA	Age, parity, cigarette smoking, weight, social class, follow up cycle, time since menopause, BMI, age at menarche
Chen Q 2014 ⁹⁹	Rheumatoid arthritis	4	NA	Age, cigarette smoking, weight, parity, social class, follow up cycle, BMI
Pladevall-Villa 1996 ¹⁰⁰	Rheumatoid arthritis	2	NA	Age, cigarette smoking, weight, follow up cycle, age at menarche, parity, time since menopause, BMI
Xu 2018 ¹⁰¹	Hemorrhagic stroke	5	602620	Smoking, hypertension, alcohol, socioeconomic status, race
Li F 2019 ¹⁰²	Stroke	7	1326249	Age, educational level, calendar year, diabetes, hypertension, hyperlipidemia, arrhythmia, smoking, BMI, pack-years of smoking, physical activity, high blood pressure, Quetelet index
Wu L 2015 ¹⁰³	Thyroid cancer	9	1291941	Age, study center, parity, age at recruitment, duration, ethnicity, age at menarche, BMI, age at menopause, hormone therapy, physical activity, height, observational study/clinical trial (CT), alcohol intake, pack years of smoking, and history of goiter/nodules, randomization status in each CT, education, family history of thyroid cancer, marital status, number of live births, cumulative breast-feeding months and other contraceptive methods, alcohol consumption, time since last pregnancy
Dragoman MV 2018 ⁸⁵	VTE risk	2	NA	Age, year, level of education, fibroids, endometriosis, menstrual disorders, hypertension, hyperlipidemia, CVD, diabetes, asthma, back pain, recent emergency room visits and recent physician visits
Dayan N 2011 ¹⁰⁴	VTE risk	2	235	BMI, age, thrombophilia
De Bastos M 2014 ¹⁰⁵	VTE risk	3	NA	Age, year, level of education
Glisic M 2018 ¹⁰⁶	VTE risk	3	NA	Age, thrombophilia, BMI, calendar year and education
Oedingen C 2018 ⁸⁷	VTE risk	3	277414	Age, duration of use, calendar year, length of schooling and education, smoking, ovarian stimulation drugs, recent surgery
Oedingen C 2018 ⁸⁷	VTE risk	3	926752	Age, calendar year, length of schooling and education, length of oral contraceptive use, smoking, ovarian stimulation drugs, recent surgery, complementary universal health insurance, medical risk factors, gynecological visit during previous year

Source	Advers e health outco me	Populati on	Exposed	Unex pose d	Number of studies per association	Follow- up (range)	Metric	Random effect measure , ES (95% CI)	No of cases	P value	l² (%)	Largest study (95% CI)	PrI (95% CI)	SSE	10% CCT (95% CI)	Amstar
Zhu H 2012 ⁸⁴⁾	Breast cancer	Pre- and post- menopau sal women	Ever OC user	Never user	13	5-28 years	OR	1.08 (0.99- 1.17)	11424	0.10	61.4	1.06 (1.00- 1.23)	0.82- 1.41	0.77	0.96- 1.10	Critically low
Nindre a 2019 ⁸⁹	Breast cancer	Women of reproduct ive age	Ever OC user (>5 years)	Never user	2	1 cohort:11 years	OR	1.15 (0.61- 2.15)	145	0.67	54	1.46 (0.98- 2.18)	NA	NA	0.58- 2.11	Moderate
Conz 2020 ⁹⁰	Breast cancer	Women aged <50 years	LNG user	Never user	2	10-17 years	OR	1.15 (0.98- 1.34)	7314	0.09	50.6	1.21 (1.11- 1.32)	NA	NA	0.91- 1.29	High
Goshta sebi A 2019 ⁹¹	Peak spinal BMD accrual	Women aged 12- 19 years	CHC user	Never user	2	12 months	MD	^a -0.01 (- 0.03 to 0.01)	NA	0.41	67.5	0 (-0.01 to 0.01)	NA	NA	-0.03 to 0.01	Moderate
Goshta sebi A 2019 ⁹¹	Peak spinal BMD accrual	Women aged 12- 19 years	CHC user	Never user	3	24 months	MD	-0.02 (- 0.04 to 0.00)	NA	0.06	90.2	-0.04 (- 0.05 to - 0.03)	-0.61 to 0.57	0.74	-0.04 to 0.001	Moderate
Amiri M 2017 ⁵⁶	BMI change s	Women of reproduct ive age	EE + CPA	Never user	4	3 months	MD	0.61 (- 0.96 to 2.17) ^{UA}	NA	0.45	0	0.09 (- 1.05 to 1.23)	- 40.53 to 15.75	0.42	-0.74 to 0.91	Critcally low
Amiri M 2017 ⁵⁶	BMI change s	Women of reproduct ive age	EA + CPA	Never user	4	6 months	MD	0.01 (- 2.19 to 2.21) ^{UA}	NA	0.99	0	0.10 (- 2.50 to 2.70)	NA	NA	-2.19 to 2.21	Critically low
Delgad o- Rodrig uez 1992 ⁶⁷	Dyspla sia	Women	Ever OC user	Non horm onal contr acepti	4	1-42 years	OR	1.88 (0.98- 3.60)	91	0.06	51	2.30 (0.80- 7.10)	0.20- 17.93	0.01	0.86- 2.43	Critcally low

eTable 8. Nonsignificant Associations From Meta-analyses of Cohort Studies

				ve users												
Delgad o- Rodrig uez ⁶⁷	Carcino ma in situ	Women	Ever OC user	Non horm onal contr acepti ve users	4	3-12 years	OR	1.20 (0.94- 1.55)	248	0.15	8.6	1.44 (1.03- 2.02)	0.65- 2.20	0.61	0.85- 1.49	Critically low
Delgad o- Rodrig uez ⁶⁷	Invasiv e cervical cancer	Women	Ever OC user	Non horm onal contr acepti ve users	3	6-20 years	OR	4.09 (0.88- 19.03)	>20	0.07	45.4	1.80 (1.00- 3.30)	NA	0.07	0.92- 5.10	Critically low
Song J 2019 ⁹²	CRC adeno ma	Women aged 30- 74 years	Ever OC user	Never user	4	14-24 years	OR	0.99 (0.89- 1.10)	>3000	0.79	72.3	0.93 (0.86- 1.01)	0.62- 1.56	0.74	0.89- 1.07	High
Song J 2019 ⁹²	CRC adeno ma	Women aged 30- 55 years	Ever OC user (> 5 years)	Never user	2	14-22 years	OR	1.09 (0.97- 1.22)	1341	0.15	0	1.10 (0.98- 1.25)	NA	NA	0.95- 1.24	High
Amiri M 2017 ⁵⁶	FBG	Reprodu ctive age women with PCOS	EE + CPA	Never user	3	3 months	WMD	1.44 (- 1.40 to 4.28)	NA	0.32	66	3.60 (1.47- 3.53)	- 29.78 to 32.66	0.77	-1.58 to 2.33	Critcally low
Amiri M 2017 ⁵⁶	FBG	Reprodu ctive age women with PCOS	EE + CPA	Never user	2	6 months	WMD	0.34 (- 5.40 to 6.09)	NA	0.91	0	0 (-7.83 to 7.83)	NA	NA	-5.40 to 6.09	Critically low
Amiri M 2017 ⁵⁶	FBG	Reprodu ctive age women with PCOS	EE + DRSP	Never user	3	6 months	WMD	0.28 (- 5.91 to 6.47)	NA	0.93	69.7	-4.50 (- 9.10 to 0.10)	-171 to 171.5 6	0.54	-5.28 to 5.78	Critically low
Amiri M 2017 ⁵⁶	Fasting insulin	Reprodu ctive age women with PCOS	EE + CPA	Never user	2	3 months	WMD	0.39 (- 1.81 to 2.58)	NA	0.73	0	0.55 (- 2.06 to 3.16)	NA	NA	-1.81 to 2.58	Critcally low

Amiri M 2017 ⁵⁶	Fasting insulin	Reprodu ctive age women with PCOS	EE + CPA	Never user	2	6 months	WMD	0.75 (- 3.44 to 1.95)	NA	0.59	0	0.61 (- 3.94 to 2.72)	NA	NA	-3.44 to 1.948	Critically low
Amiri M 2017 ⁵⁶	HOMA- IR 3 months	Reprodu ctive age women with PCOS	EE + CPA	Never user	2	3 months	WMD	0.09 (- 0.44 to 0.62)	NA	0.74	0	0.11 (- 0.49 to 0.71)	NA	NA	-0.44 to 0.62	Critically low
Amiri M 2017 ⁵⁶	HOMA- IR 6 months	Reprodu ctive age women with PCOS	EE + CPA	Never user	2	6 months	WMD	-0.15 (- 0.74 to 0.43)	NA	0.60	0	-0.10 (- 0.83 to 0.63)	NA	NA	-0.744 to 0.43	Critically low
Amiri M 2017 ⁵⁶	HOMA- IR 6 months	Reprodu ctive age women with PCOS	EE + DRSP	Never user	2	6 months	WMD	0.14 (- 0.88 to 1.16)	NA	0.78	93.6	0.64 (0.43- 0.85)	NA	NA	-0.96 to 1.06	Critically low
Morriso n CS 2015 ⁶³	HIV infectio n	Sub- Saharan African women aged 15- 49 yeas	DMPA user	Non- horm onal contr acepti ve user	3	1-2 years	HR	1.44 (0.83- 2.52)	355	0.20	70	1.22 (0.86- 1.72)	NA	0.63	0.78- 1.98	Moderate
Morriso n CS 2015 ⁶³	HIV infectio n	Sub- Saharan African women aged 15- 49 years	COC user	Non- horm onal contr acepti ve user	2	1-2 years	HR	1.19 (0.82- 1.72)	285	0.35	39.6	1.01 (0.70- 1.45)	NA	NA	0.82- 1.73	Moderate
Morriso n CS 2015 ⁶³	HIV infectio n	Sub- Saharan African women aged 15- 49 years	DMPA user	COC user	2	1-2 years	HR	1.27 (0.97- 1.66)	275	0.09	0	1.21 (0.87- 1.67)	NA	NA	0.96- 1.67	Moderate

Amiri M 2017 ⁵⁶	SBP 6 months	Women of reproduct ive age with PCOS	CPA 2 mg/EE 35 mcg	Non- OC user	2	6 months	MD	1.67 (- 3.06 to 6.39) ^{UA}	NA	0.49	0	2.00 (- 3.01 to 7.01)	NA	NA	-3.06 to 6.40	Critically low
Amiri M 2017 ⁵⁶	DBP 6 months	Women of reproduct ive age with PCOS	CPA 2 mg/EE 35 mcg	Non- OC user	2	6 months	MD	0.89 (- 2.88 to 4.66) ^{UA}	NA	0.64	0	1.00 (- 3.00 to 5.00)	NA	NA	-2.88 to 4.66	Low
Liu H 2014 ⁷⁴	Kidney cancer	Women of reproduct ive age	Ever OC user	Never user	5	12-28 years	SRR	0.90 (0.81- 1.01)	1581	0.08	0	0.87 (0.75- 1.02)	0.75- 1.09	0.38	0.80- 1.05	Moderate
Amiri M 2017 ⁵⁶	TG	Reprodu ctive age women with PCOS	EE + CPA	Never user	2	6 months	WMD	17.92 (- 8.32 to 44.15)	NA	0.18	32.4	26.57 (5.59- 47.55)	NA	NA	-16.82 to 41.87	Critically low
Amiri M 2017 ⁵⁶	тс	Reprodu ctive age women with PCOS	EE + CPA	Never user	2	6 months	WMD	11.75 (- 22.45 to 45.96)	NA	0.50	74.2	27.07 (9.62- 44.52)	NA	NA	-24.47 to 40.56	Critically low
Amiri M 2017 ⁵⁶	тс	Reprodu ctive age women with PCOS	EE + DRSP	Never user	2	6 months	WMD	31.19 (- 18.11 to 80.49)	NA	0.22	90.8	55.40 (39.25- 71.55)	NA	NA	-23.21 to 49.81	Critically low
Amiri M 2017 ⁵⁶	LDL	Reprodu ctive age women with PCOS	EE + CPA	Never user	3	3 months	WMD	4.80 (- 0.59 to 10.18)	NA	0.08	0	2.05 (- 5.64 to 9.74)	- 135.5 0 to 145.0 9	0.50	-2.12 to 9.57	Critically low
Amiri M 2017 ⁵⁶	LDL	Reprodu ctive age women with PCOS	EE + CPA	Never user	2	6 months	WMD	10.25 (- 2.73 to 23.23)	NA	0.12	0	11.61 (- 3.40 to 26.62)	NA	NA	-2.73 to 23.23	Critically low

Amiri M 2017 ⁵⁶	LDL	Reprodu ctive age women with PCOS	EE + DRSP	Never user	2	6 months	WMD	13.75 (- 21.93 to 49.43)	NA	0.45	87.8	30.70 (19.31- 42.09)	NA	NA	-27.36 to 40.06	Critically low
Amiri M 2017 ⁵⁶	HDL	Reprodu ctive age women with PCOS	EE + CPA	Never user	3	3 months	WMD	6.26 (- 7.21 to 19.73)	NA	0.36	96.5	10.31 (6.83- 13.79)	- 374.8 0 to 387.3 0	0.41	-12.45 to 20.58	Critically low
Amiri M 2017 ⁵⁶	HDL	Reprodu ctive age women with PCOS	EE + CPA	Never user	2	6 months	WMD	9.74 (- 3.03 to 22.50)	NA	0.14	79.3	15.47 (10.32- 20.62)	NA	NA	-5.12 to 14.13	Critically low
Amiri M 2017 ⁵⁶	HDL	Reprodu ctive age women with PCOS	EE + DRSP	Never user	2	6 months	WMD	11.61 (- 3.87 to 27.09)	NA	0.14	85.5	3.80 (- 4.20 to 11.80)	NA	NA	-2.88 to 12.58	Critically low
Halperi n J 2011 ⁷⁷	HDL	Heathy women	OC user	Never user	9	3-12 months	WMD	0.36 (- 0.13 to 0.85)	NA	0.15	99.3	1.21 (1.41- 1.28)	-2.58 to 3.30	0.36	-0.05 to 0.28	Critically low
An N 2015 ⁹³	Liver cancer	Women aged <30->70 years	OC user	Never OC user	3	11-36 years	RR	0.88 (0.64- 1.22)	696	0.45	46.9	0.82 (0.60- 1.13)	0.04- 22.18	0.50	0.67- 1.23	Critically low
Stampf er 1990 ⁹⁴	Non- fatal MI and fatal coronar y disease	Female aged 25- 79 years	Past CHC user	Non- users	3	8 years	RR	0.85 (0.69- 1.05)	209	0.13	0	0.80 (0.60- 1.00)	0.21- 3.35	0.80	0.67- 1.12	Critically low
Peragal lo Urrutia 2013 ⁹⁵	МІ	Female of reproduct ive age	Current OC user	Non- concu rrent user	2	11-18 years	RR	0.97 (0.46- 2.02)	106	0.93	41.4	0.70 (0.35- 1.40)	NA	NA	0.46- 2.02	Critically low
Khader YS 2003 ⁹⁶	МІ	Female aged 15- 55 years	Current OC user	Never user	2	1 study reported: 6.5 years	RR	1.45 (0.71- 2.93)	NA	0.93	15.9	1.80 (0.90- 3.60)	NA	NA	0.65- 2.91	Critically low

Khader YS 2003 ⁹⁶	МІ	Female aged 15- 55 years	Past OC user	Never user	2	1 study reported: 6.5 years	RR	0.95 (0.66- 1.36)	NA	0.76	0	1.00 (0.70- 1.60)	NA	NA	0.66- 1.36	Critically low
Lagergr een K 2014 ⁹⁷	Oesoph ageal adenoc arcino ma	Women aged 50- 79 years	OC user	Non- OC user	2	1-5 years	OR	0.84 (0.55- 1.31)	88	0.45	0	0.88 (0.53- 1.46)	NA	NA	0.55- 1.31	Low
Havrile sky 2013 ⁷⁸	Ovarian cancer	Women aged 25- 71 years	Ever OC user (<1 year use)	Non- users	3	2-7.5 years	OR	1.24 (0.90- 1.70)	477	0.19	14	1.36 (0.87- 2.13)	0.11- 14.29	0.93	0.88- 1.66	Moderate
Qi S 2014 ⁹⁸	RA	Women aged 16- 59 years	OC user (past + current)	Never user	5	1-26 years	RR	1.03 (0.91- 1.60)	1285	0.69	0	1.10 (0.90- 1.30)	0.84- 1.25	0.84	0.91- 1.16	Low
Chen Q 2014 ⁹⁹	RA	Women aged 16- 55 years	OC current user	Never user	3	1-20 years	RR	0.96 (0.69- 1.34)	295	0.83	12.2	0.82 (0.59- 1.15)	0.07- 12.91	0.54	0.69- 1.34	Critically low
Chen Q 2014 ⁹⁹	RA	Women aged 16- 55 years	OC past user	Never user	4	1-20 years	RR	0.93 (0.78- 1.12)	635	0.45	0	0.94 (0.72- 1.22)	0.63- 1.38	0.73	0.78- 1.12	Critically low
Pladev all-Vila 1996 ¹⁰⁰	RA	Women aged 25- 55 years	OC user (longest duration)	Never user	2	8-15 years	RR	1.19 (0.65- 2.16)	140	0.57	66.2	0.90 (0.60- 1.40)	NA	NA	0.63- 1.98	Critically low
Xu 2018 ¹⁰¹	Hemorr hagic stroke	Women aged 21- 70 years	Current OCP user	Non- curre nt user	5	2.9-12.9 years	OR	1.10 (0.42- 2.88)	1730	0.84	85.4	0.36 (0.18- 0.70)	0.03- 37.56	0.69	0.560- 2.08	Critically low
Li 2019 ¹⁰²	Stroke	Women aged 15- 19 years	OCP duration (every 5- year incremen t)	Non- user	7	8.8-18.6 years	OR	1.19 (0.92- 1.54)	5529	0.19	88.5	1.99 (1.71- 2.31)	0.49- 2.49	0.29	0.96- 1.18	Low
Wu L 2015 ¹⁰³	Thyroid cancer	Women of reproduct ive age	Longest duration of OC	Short est durati on of OC	9	7.5-15.9 years	RR	0.85 (0.71- 1.02)	1479	0.08	26.1	0.66 (0.50- 0.89)	0.58- 1.25	0.61	0.79- 1.11	Moderate

Drago man MV 2018 ⁸⁵	VTE	Women of reproduct ive age	NRG user	LNG user	2	1 cohort:7 years	OR	1.50 (0.93- 1.43)	370	0.19	0	1.20 (0.90- 1.60)	NA	NA	0.32- 1.43	High
Dayan N 2011 ¹⁰⁴	VTE	Women aged 13- 44 years	OCP users with thrombop hilia	Non- users witho ut throm bophil ia	2	1 cohort:33 months	OR	11.05 (0.47- 258.48)	39	0.15	74.4	51.33 (6.79- 388.35)	NA	NA	0.34- 30.79	Low
De Bastos M 2014 ¹⁰¹	VTE	Women aged 12- 50 years	1 st gen COC user	Non- User	3	5-36 years	OR	1.45 (0.70- 2.98)	>1890	0.32	61.1	0.94 (0.44- 1.98)	NA	0.36	0.70- 1.78	High
Glisic M 2017 ¹⁰⁶	VTE	Women aged 15- 53 years	Oral POC	Non- user	3	5-13 years	OR	0.81 (0.45- 1.48)	1863	0.50	3.8	1.30 (0.50- 3.00)	0.01- 49.7	0.98	0.46- 1.59	High
Oeding en C 2018 ⁸⁷	VTE	Women aged 15- 49 years	DSG- COC/20 E2	LNG- COC	3	2-36 years	OR	1.06 (0.77- 1.45)	2096	0.74	64	1.04 (0.86- 1.25)	0.44- 30.40	0.38	0.83- 1.19	Critically low
Oeding en C 2018 ⁸⁷	VTE	Women aged 15- 49 years	GSD- COC/20E E	LNG- C0C	3	2-8 years	OR	1.07 (0.89- 1.29)	1805	0.47	49.9	1.05 (0.86- 1.29)	0.16- 7.29	0.96	0.88- 1.21	Critically low

Abbreviations: NR = adjusted or unadjusted effect size is not reported; UA = unadjusted effect size; BMD = Bone mineral density; BMI = Body mass index; CCT = Credibility ceiling test; CE = Class of evidence; CHC = Combined hormonal contraceptive; CI = Confidence interval; COC = Combined oral contraceptive; CPA = Cyproterone acetate; DMPA = Depot medroxyprogesterone acetate; DRSP = Drospirenone; DSG = Desogestrel; EE = Ethniyl estradiol; ES = Effect size; ESB = Excess significance bias; FBG = Fasting blood glucose; GSD = Gestodene; HC = Hormonal contraceptive; HDL = High-density lipoprotein; HIV = Human immunodeficiency virus; HOMA-IR = Homeostatic Model Assessment for Insulin Resistance; HR = Hazard ratio; HTN = Hypertension; IBD = Inflammatory bowel disease; IUS =Intrauterine system; LDL-C = Low-density lipoprotein; LNG = Levonorgestrel; LNG-IUS = Levonorgestrel-intrauterine system; MD = Mean difference; MI = Myocardial infarction; NA = Not applicable; NP = Not pertinent; NRG = Norgestimate; OC = Oral contraceptive; OR = Odds ratio; PCOS = Polycystic ovarian syndrome; POC = Progesterone-only contraceptive; PrI = Prediction interval; RA = Rheumatoid arthritis; RR = Risk ratio; SAH = Subarachnoid hemorrhage; SRR = Summary risk ratio; SSE = Small study effect; TC = Total cholesterol; TG = Triglycerides; VTE = Venous thromboembolism; WMD = Weighted mean difference.

NA = not applicable because of non-significant effect estimate or the data is not available; NP = not pertinent, because estimated number is larger than observed, and there is no evidence of excess significance based on assumption made for plausible effect size

Outcome	Population	Pi	rimary analysis		Sensitivity ar	alysis: Excludi	ng low	Sensitivity anal small size studies	ysis: exclu s (25 th perc	iding entile)	Sensitivity analysis: HKSJ method (<5 studies)			
		No of studies	ES (95% CI)	CE	No of studies	ES (95% CI)	CE	No of studies	ES (95% CI)	CE	No of studies	ES (95% CI)	CE	
^a Endometriosis	Asymptomatic women/Women who undergone surgery for endometriosis	5	RR:1.60 (1.40-1.82)	Class I	NA	NA	NA	NA	NĂ	NA	NA	NA	NA	
^b Sub group : Endometriosis	Asymptomatic women	3	RR: 1.52 (1.09-2.12)	Class IV	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
°VTE risk	Women of reproductive age	6	RR: 2.05 (1.59-2.64)	Class II	2	^d RR: 2.52 (1.89-3.37)	Class IV	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
^e VTE risk	Women of reproductive age	3	RR: 2.42 (1.76-3.32)	Class II	3	RR: 2.42 (1.76-3.32)	Class II	NA	NA	NA	3	RR:2.42 (1.26-4.68)	Class IV	
a: Inconsistency: <50%; No of cases: >1000; Largest study effect: Significant; PrI: Significant; P value: 1.32×10^{-12} ; ESB: Not significant; 10% CCT: Significant; Publication bias – no (Egger's test: 0.338) b: Inconsistency: <50%; No of cases: 213; Largest study effect: Not significant; PrI: Not significant; P value: 4.94×10^{-4} ; ESB: NP; 10% CCT: Not significant; Publication bias – no (Egger's test: 0.997) c: Inconsistency: >50%; No of cases: >1000; Largest study effect: Significant; PrI: Not significant; P value: 2.66×10^{-8} ; ESB: NA; 10% CCT: Significant; Publication bias – no (Egger's test: 0.019) d: Inconsistency: >50%; No of cases: >1000; Largest study effect: Significant; PrI: NA; P value: 3.10×10^{-10} ; ESB: NA; 10% CCT: Not significant; Publication bias – undetected														

eTable 9. Sensitivity and Subgroup Analyses of Meta-analysis of Cohort Studies Initially Graded as Convincing or Highly Suggestive

e: Inconsistency: >50%; No of cases: >1000; Largest study effect: Significant; PrI: Not significant; P value: 4.54 x 10⁻⁸; ESB: NA; 10% CCT: Significant; Publication bias – – no (Egger' test: 0.504)

Note: CCT: Credibility ceiling test; CE: Class of evidence; CI: Confidence interval; ES: Effect size; ESB: Excess significance bias; HKSJ: Hartung-Knapp-Sidik-Jonkman; NA: Not applicable; NP: Not pertinent; PrI: Prediction interval; RR: Risk ratio; VTE: Venous thromboembolism

eFigure 1. PRISMA Flow Diagram





eFigure 2. Forest Plot of Association Between LNG-IUS and Risk of Endometrial Polyps

Abbreviations: CI = Confidence interval; ES = Effect size; LNG-IUS = Levonorgestrel-intrauterine system

Predictive interval for primary analysis: 0.07-0.73; for subgroup analysis: no predictive interval is generated due to <3 studies.

Note: The effect sizes reported are not stated as adjusted or unadjusted in their original meta-analyses' individual studies.



eFigure 3. Forest Plot of Association Between COC (EE, 30 mcg/CPA) and FBG Levels

Abbreviations: CI = Confidence interval; CPA = Cyproterone acetate; COC = Combined oral contraceptive; ES = Effect size; FBG; Fasting blood glucose; ROB = Risk of bias Predictive interval for primary analysis: -3.32 to -0.84

Predictive interval for sensitivity analysis: -15.29 to 9.23

Note: The effect sizes reported are not stated as adjusted or unadjusted in their original meta-analyses' individual studies.



eFigure 4. Forest Plot of Association Between Past Oral Contraceptive Users and Endometriosis Risk

Abbreviations: CI = Confidence interval; ES = Effect size; OC = Oral contraceptive

Predictive interval for primary analysis: 0.21-1.51

Predictive interval for subgroup analysis: 0.03 -6.99



eFigure 5. Forest Plot of Association Between DSG-COC Low Dose and VTE Risk

Abbreviations: CI = Confidence interval; COC = Combined oral contraceptive; DSG = Desogestrel; ES = Effect size; VTE = Venous thromboembolism

Predictive interval for primary analysis: 0.92-4.57; for subgroup analysis: no predictive interval is generated due to <3 studies.

eFigure 6. Schematic Network Diagram of Associations in RCTs (Binary Data)

Clinical Outcome Increased risk	Intervention	Indication	Comparison				٨	lo of Studies	Metric	ES	LCI	UCI	I ² (%) /	AMSTAR-2	GRADE
Weight gain ⁶⁶	LNG-IUS	HMB	Ablation	-		_		2	RR	2.60 ^{NR}	1.16	5.84	0	High	Moderate
HIV risk ⁶²	DMPA	Contraception	Non-HC user	 +				4	HR	1.30	1.10	1.53	0	Low	Low
Ovarian cysts ⁵⁴	LNG-IUS	Contraception	Other medical treatment				_	3	RR	3.05 ^{NR}	1.21	7.70	0	High	Very low
Reduced risk															
Endometrial polyps ⁵⁵	LNG-IUS	Contraception	Non-users 🔹	, 				4	OR	0.22 ^{NR}	0.13	0.38	0	High	High
				i											
			0	2	4	6	8	10							

Abbreviations: NR = adjusted or unadjusted effect size is not reported; AMSTAR-2 = A Measurement Tool to Assess Systematic Review version 2; CI = Confidence interval; COC = Combined oral contraceptive; DMPA = Depot medroxyprogesterone acetate; ES = Effect size; GRADE = Grading of Recommendation, Assessment, Development and Evaluations; HC = Hormonal contraceptive; HIV = Human immunodeficiency virus; HMB = Heavy menstrual bleed; I² = heterogeneity; LCI = Lower confidence interval; LNG-IUS: Levonorgestrel-intrauterine system; UCI = Upper confidence interval

Clinical Outcome	Intervention			No of Studies	Metric	ES	LCI	UCI	I² (%)	AMSTAR-2	GRADE
Increased risk		1									
Fasting insulin ⁵⁶	COC (EE 30 mcg + DSG 150 mcg)	ł		2	MD	2.32	1.15	3.49	3	High	Moderate
LDL ⁵⁶	COC (EE 35 mcg + CPA 2 mg)	+		2	MD	15.08	12.74	17.43	0	Low	Low
LDL ⁵⁸	COC (EE 30 mcg + DRSP 3 mg)			2	MD	11.53	4.73	18.34	0	Low	Very low
TC ⁵⁶	COC (EE 35 mcg + CPA 2 mg)	<u> </u>		2	MD	42.20	17.01	67.38	74.4	Low	Very low
Reduced risk											
FBG ⁵⁶	COC (EE 35 mcg + CPA 2 mg)	1		5	MD	- 2 .05	-2.82	-1.28	0	Moderate	Low
FBG ⁵⁸	COC (EE 30 mcg + DRSP 3 mg)			4	MD	-4.34	-7.55	-0.93	73.5	Very low	Low
HDL ⁵⁸	COC (EE 35 mcg + CPA 2 mg)	-		2	MD	10.00	8.41	11.59	0	Low	Low
HDL ⁵⁸	COC (EE 30 mcg + DRSP 3 mg)	i- -		2	MD	6.50	1.91	11.09	47.4	Very low	Low
HOMA-IR58	COC (EE 35 mcg + CPA 2 mg)	1		2	MD	-0.75	-1.24	-0.25	0	Very low	Low
TC ⁵⁸	COC (EE 35 mcg + CPA 2 mg)			2	MD	-3.67	-7.26	0.07	0	Very low	Low
		-1 0	40 90	-							

eFigure 7. Schematic Network Diagram of Associations Between COC Users vs Non-COC Users With PCOS in RCTs (Continuous Data)

Abbreviations: AMSTAR-2 = A Measurement Tool to Assess Systematic Review version 2; CI = Confidence interval; COC = Combined oral contraceptive; CPA = Cyproterone acetate; DRSP = Drospirenone; DSG = Desogestrel; EE = Ethniyl estradiol; ES = Effect size; FBG = Fasting blood glucose; GRADE = Grading of Recommendation, Assessment, Development and Evaluations; HDL = High-density lipoprotein; HOMA-IR = Homeostatic Model Assessment for Insulin Resistance; I^2 = heterogeneity; LCI = Lower confidence interval; LDL-C = Low-density lipoprotein; LNG-IUS = Levonorgestrel-intrauterine system; MD = Mean difference; PCOS = Polycystic ovarian syndrome; TC = Total cholesterol; UCI = Upper confidence interval

eFigure 8. Schematic Network Diagram of Associations in Cohort Studies

Clinical Outcome Increased risk	Exposed	Unexposed					No of Studies	Metric	ES	LCI	UCI	l² (%)	AMSTAR-2	Class Of Evidence
Endometriosis ⁷¹	OC past user	Never user		; -	-		5	RR	1.60	1.4	1.82	1.6	Moderate	I.
VTE ⁸²	OC user	Never user		; -	-		3	OR	2.42	1.76	3.32	73.8	Moderate	I
VTE ⁸³	DSG-COC (low dose EE)	LNG-user					6	RR	2.05	1.59	2.64	81.3	Moderate	I
VTE ⁸⁴	DSG-COC	LNG-COC		1			8	OR	1.93	1.31	2.85	89.1	Moderate	
VTE ⁸⁷	GSD-COC/30-40 EE	LNG-COC		1.	_		3	OR	1.45	1.16	1.18	46.9	Critically low	
Reduced risk Glioma risk ⁷³	OC user	Never user					4	OR	0.75 ^{nr}	0.67	0.85	0	Moderate	Ш
			0	1	2	3	4							

Abbreviations: NR = adjusted or unadjusted effect size is not reported; AMSTAR-2 = A Measurement Tool to Assess Systematic Review version 2; CI = Confidence interval; COC = Combined oral contraceptive; DRSP = Drospirenone; DSG = Desogestrel; EE = Ethniyl estradiol; ES = Effect size; GSD = Gestodene; LCI = Lower confidence interval; LNG = Levonorgestrel; OC = Oral contraceptive; OR = Odds ratio; RR = Risk ratio; UCI = Upper confidence interval; VTE = Venous thromboembolism

eReferences

- 1. Dragioti E, Solmi M, Favaro A, Fusar-Poli P, Dazzan P, Thompson T, et al. Association of Antidepressant Use With Adverse Health Outcomes: A Systematic Umbrella Review. JAMA Psychiatry. 2019 Dec 1;76(12):1241–55.
- Neuenschwander M, Ballon A, Weber KS, Norat T, Aune D, Schwingshackl L, et al. Role of diet in type 2 diabetes incidence: umbrella review of meta-analyses of prospective observational studies. BMJ. 2019 Jul 3;366:I2368.
- He Y, Li X, Gasevic D, Brunt E, McLachlan F, Millenson M, et al. Statins and Multiple Noncardiovascular Outcomes: Umbrella Review of Meta-analyses of Observational Studies and Randomized Controlled Trials. Ann Intern Med. 2018 Oct 16;169(8):543– 53.
- Hulot J-S, Collet J-P, Silvain J, Pena A, Bellemain-Appaix A, Barthélémy O, et al. Cardiovascular Risk in Clopidogrel-Treated Patients According to Cytochrome P450 2C19*2 Loss-of-Function Allele or Proton Pump Inhibitor Coadministration: A Systematic Meta-Analysis. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2010 Jul 6;56(2):134–43.
- 5. Kwok CS, Loke YK. Meta-analysis: the effects of proton pump inhibitors on cardiovascular events and mortality in patients receiving clopidogrel. Aliment Pharmacol Ther. 2010;31(8):810–23.
- Lin L, Cui B, Deng Y, Jiang X, Liu W, Sun C. The Efficacy of Proton Pump Inhibitor in Cirrhotics with Variceal Bleeding: A Systemic Review and Meta-Analysis. Digestion. 2021;102(2):117–27.
- 7. Ottawa Hospital Research Institute [Internet]. [cited 2021 Jan 13]. Available from: http://www.ohri.ca/programs/clinical_epidemiology/oxford.asp
- 8. Shea BJ, Reeves BC, Wells G, Thuku M, Hamel C, Moran J, et al. AMSTAR 2: a critical appraisal tool for systematic reviews that include randomised or non-randomised studies of healthcare interventions, or both. BMJ. 2017 Sep 21;358:j4008.
- 9. Higgins JPT, Altman DG, Gøtzsche PC, Jüni P, Moher D, Oxman AD, et al. The Cochrane Collaboration's tool for assessing risk of bias in randomised trials. BMJ. 2011 Oct 18;343:d5928.
- Lopez-Picado A, Lapuente O, Lete I. Efficacy and side-effects profile of the ethinylestradiol and etonogestrel contraceptive vaginal ring: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Eur J Contracept Reprod Health Care Off J Eur Soc Contracept. 2017;22(2):131–46.
- 11. Cortessis VK, Barrett M, Brown Wade N, Enebish T, Perrigo JL, Tobin J, et al. Intrauterine Device Use and Cervical Cancer Risk: A Systematic Review and Metaanalysis. Obstet Gynecol. 2017;130(6):1226–36.
- 12. Peng Y, Wang X, Feng H, Yan G. Is oral contraceptive use associated with an increased risk of cervical cancer? An evidence-based meta-analysis. J Obstet Gynaecol Res. 2017;43(5):913–22.
- 13. Wang S, Wang Y, Xu J, Chen Y. Is the oral contraceptive or hormone replacement therapy a risk factor for cholelithiasis: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Medicine (Baltimore). 2017;96(14):e6556.

- 14. La Vecchia C, Ron E, Franceschi S, Dal Maso L, Mark SD, Chatenoud L, et al. A pooled analysis of case-control studies of thyroid cancer. III. Oral contraceptives, menopausal replacement therapy and other female hormones. Cancer Causes Control CCC. 1999;10(2):157–66.
- 15. Hawley W, Nuovo J, DeNeef CP, Carter P. Do oral contraceptive agents affect the risk of breast cancer? A meta-analysis of the case-control reports. J Am Board Fam Pract. 1993;6(2):123–35.
- 16. Mol BW, Ankum WM, Bossuyt PM, Van der Veen F. Contraception and the risk of ectopic pregnancy: a meta-analysis. Contraception. 1995;52(6):337–41.
- 17. Romieu I, Berlin JA, Colditz G. Oral contraceptives and breast cancer. Review and meta-analysis. Cancer. 1990;66(11):2253–63.
- 18. Romieu I, Hernandez-Avila M, Liang MH. Oral contraceptives and the risk of rheumatoid arthritis: a meta-analysis of a conflicting literature. Br J Rheumatol. 1989;28 Suppl 1(b1t, 8302415):13–23.
- 19. Koster T, Small RA, Rosendaal FR, Helmerhorst FM. Oral contraceptives and venous thromboembolism: a quantitative discussion of the uncertainties. J Intern Med. 1995;238(1):31–7.
- 20. Spector TD, Hochberg MC. The protective effect of the oral contraceptive pill on rheumatoid arthritis: an overview of the analytic epidemiological studies using metaanalysis. J Clin Epidemiol. 1990;43(11):1221–30.
- 21. Karagas MR, Stukel TA, Dykes J, Miglionico J, Greene MA, Carey M, et al. A pooled analysis of 10 case-control studies of melanoma and oral contraceptive use. Br J Cancer. 2002;86(7):1085–92.
- Fernandez E, La Vecchia C, Balducci A, Chatenoud L, Franceschi S, Negri E. Oral contraceptives and colorectal cancer risk: a meta-analysis. Br J Cancer. 2001;84(5):722–7.
- 23. Hennessy S, Berlin JA, Kinman JL, Margolis DJ, Marcus SM, Strom BL. Risk of venous thromboembolism from oral contraceptives containing gestodene and desogestrel versus levonorgestrel: a meta-analysis and formal sensitivity analysis. Contraception. 2001;64(2):125–33.
- 24. Rushton L, Jones DR. Oral contraceptive use and breast cancer risk: a meta-analysis of variations with age at diagnosis, parity and total duration of oral contraceptive use. Br J Obstet Gynaecol. 1992;99(3):239–46.
- 25. Amoozegar F, Ronksley PE, Sauve R, Menon BK. Hormonal contraceptives and cerebral venous thrombosis risk: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Front Neurol. 2015;6(101546899):7.
- 26. Cibula D, Zikan M, Dusek L, Majek O. Oral contraceptives and risk of ovarian and breast cancers in BRCA mutation carriers: a meta-analysis. Expert Rev Anticancer Ther. 2011;11(8):1197–207.
- 27. Skegg DC, Noonan EA, Paul C, Spears GF, Meirik O, Thomas DB. Depot medroxyprogesterone acetate and breast cancer. A pooled analysis of the World Health Organization and New Zealand studies. JAMA. 1995;273(10):799–804.

- 28. Baillargeon J-P, McClish DK, Essah PA, Nestler JE. Association between the current use of low-dose oral contraceptives and cardiovascular arterial disease: a metaanalysis. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2005;90(7):3863–70.
- 29. Gallo MF, Grimes DA, Schulz KF, d'Arcangues C, Lopez LM. Combination injectable contraceptives for contraception. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2005;(3):CD004568.
- 30. Dentali F, Crowther M, Ageno W. Thrombophilic abnormalities, oral contraceptives, and risk of cerebral vein thrombosis: a meta-analysis. Blood. 2006;107(7):2766–73.
- Kahlenborn C, Modugno F, Potter DM, Severs WB. Oral contraceptive use as a risk factor for premenopausal breast cancer: a meta-analysis. Mayo Clin Proc. 2006;81(10):1290–302.
- 32. Lopez LM, Kaptein AA, Helmerhorst FM. Oral contraceptives containing drospirenone for premenstrual syndrome. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2012;(2):CD006586.
- Cornish JA, Tan E, Simillis C, Clark SK, Teare J, Tekkis PP. The risk of oral contraceptives in the etiology of inflammatory bowel disease: a meta-analysis. Am J Gastroenterol. 2008;103(9):2394–400.
- 34. Chakhtoura Z, Canonico M, Gompel A, Thalabard J-C, Scarabin P-Y, Plu-Bureau G. Progestogen-only contraceptives and the risk of stroke: a meta-analysis. Stroke. 2009;40(4):1059–62.
- Iodice S, Barile M, Rotmensz N, Feroce I, Bonanni B, Radice P, et al. Oral contraceptive use and breast or ovarian cancer risk in BRCA1/2 carriers: a metaanalysis. Eur J Cancer Oxf Engl 1990. 2010;46(12):2275–84.
- 36. Chakhtoura Z, Canonico M, Gompel A, Scarabin P-Y, Plu-Bureau G. Progestogenonly contraceptives and the risk of acute myocardial infarction: a meta-analysis. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2011;96(4):1169–74.
- Manzoli L, De Vito C, Marzuillo C, Boccia A, Villari P. Oral contraceptives and venous thromboembolism: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Drug Saf. 2012;35(3):191– 205.
- 38. Lawrie TA, Helmerhorst FM, Maitra NK, Kulier R, Bloemenkamp K, Gulmezoglu AM. Types of progestogens in combined oral contraception: effectiveness and side-effects. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2011;(5):CD004861.
- 39. Stegeman BH, de Bastos M, Rosendaal FR, van Hylckama Vlieg A, Helmerhorst FM, Stijnen T, et al. Different combined oral contraceptives and the risk of venous thrombosis: systematic review and network meta-analysis. BMJ. 2013;347(8900488, bmj, 101090866):f5298.
- 40. Fu Y, Zhuang Z. Long-term effects of levonorgestrel-releasing intrauterine system on tamoxifen-treated breast cancer patients: a meta-analysis. Int J Clin Exp Pathol. 2014;7(10):6419–29.
- 41. Soroush A, Farshchian N, Komasi S, Izadi N, Amirifard N, Shahmohammadi A. The Role of Oral Contraceptive Pills on Increased Risk of Breast Cancer in Iranian Populations: A Meta-analysis. J Cancer Prev. 2016;21(4):294–301.

- 42. Li L, Zhong Y, Zhang H, Yu H, Huang Y, Li Z, et al. Association between oral contraceptive use as a risk factor and triple-negative breast cancer: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Mol Clin Oncol. 2017;7(1):76–80.
- 43. van Vlijmen EFW, Wiewel-Verschueren S, Monster TBM, Meijer K. Combined oral contraceptives, thrombophilia and the risk of venous thromboembolism: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Thromb Haemost JTH. 2016;14(7):1393–403.
- 44. Ji L-W, Jing C-X, Zhuang S-L, Pan W-C, Hu X-P. Effect of age at first use of oral contraceptives on breast cancer risk: An updated meta-analysis. Medicine (Baltimore). 2019;98(36):e15719.
- 45. Song SY, Park M, Lee GW, Lee KH, Chang HK, Kwak SM, et al. Efficacy of levonorgestrel releasing intrauterine system as a postoperative maintenance therapy of endometriosis: A meta-analysis. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol. 2018;231(e4I, 0375672):85–92.
- 46. Roach REJ, Helmerhorst FM, Lijfering WM, Stijnen T, Algra A, Dekkers OM. Combined oral contraceptives: the risk of myocardial infarction and ischemic stroke. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2015;(8):CD011054.
- 47. Rojas-Villarraga A, Torres-Gonzalez J-V, Ruiz-Sternberg A-M. Safety of hormonal replacement therapy and oral contraceptives in systemic lupus erythematosus: a systematic review and meta-analysis. PloS One. 2014;9(8):e104303.
- 48. Wang A, Mo T, Li Q, Shen C, Liu M. The effectiveness of metformin, oral contraceptives, and lifestyle modification in improving the metabolism of overweight women with polycystic ovary syndrome: a network meta-analysis. Endocrine. 2019;64(2):220–32.
- 49. Rojas Rojas F. Second- and third-generation oral contraceptives and myocardial infarction: Systematic review and meta-analysis. Clin E Investig En Ginecol Obstet. 2016;43(4):174–8.
- 50. Leelakanok N, Methaneethorn J. A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of the Adverse Effects of Levonorgestrel Emergency Oral Contraceptive. Clin Drug Investig. 2020;40(5):395–420.
- 51. Friebel TM, Domchek SM, Rebbeck TR. Modifiers of cancer risk in BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutation carriers: systematic review and meta-analysis. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2014;106(6):dju091.
- 52. Zhong G-C, Cheng J-H, Xu X-L, Wang K. Meta-analysis of oral contraceptive use and risks of all-cause and cause-specific death. Int J Gynaecol Obstet Off Organ Int Fed Gynaecol Obstet. 2015;131(3):228–33.
- 53. Jing Z, Liang-Zhi X, Tai-Xiang W, Ying T, Yu-Jian J. The effects of Diane-35 and metformin in treatment of polycystic ovary syndrome: an updated systematic review. Gynecol Endocrinol Off J Int Soc Gynecol Endocrinol. 2008;24(10):590–600.
- 54. Lethaby A, Hussain M, Rishworth JR, Rees MC. Progesterone or progestogenreleasing intrauterine systems for heavy menstrual bleeding. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2015;(4):CD002126.

- 55. Chin J, Konje JC, Hickey M. Levonorgestrel intrauterine system for endometrial protection in women with breast cancer on adjuvant tamoxifen. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2009;(4):CD007245.
- 56. Amiri M, Ramezani Tehrani F, Nahidi F, Kabir A, Azizi F, Carmina E. Effects of oral contraceptives on metabolic profile in women with polycystic ovary syndrome: A meta-analysis comparing products containing cyproterone acetate with third generation progestins. Metabolism. 2017;73(mum, 0375267):22–35.
- 57. Lopez LM, Grimes DA, Gallo MF, Schulz KF. Skin patch and vaginal ring versus combined oral contraceptives for contraception. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2008;(1):CD003552.
- 58. Draper BH, Morroni C, Hoffman M, Smit J, Beksinska M, Hapgood J, et al. Depot medroxyprogesterone versus norethisterone oenanthate for long-acting progestogenic contraception. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2006;(3):CD005214.
- 59. Gallo MF, Nanda K, Grimes DA, Lopez LM, Schulz KF. 20 microg versus >20 microg estrogen combined oral contraceptives for contraception. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2008;(4):CD003989.
- 60. French R, Cowan F, Mansour D, Morris S, Hughes D, Robinson A, et al. Hormonally impregnated intrauterine systems (IUSs), versus other forms of reversible contraceptives as effective methods of preventing pregnancy. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2001;(2):CD001776.
- Lopez LM, Grimes DA, Schulz KF. Steroidal contraceptives: effect on carbohydrate metabolism in women without diabetes mellitus. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2014;(4):CD006133.
- 62. Ralph LJ, McCoy SI, Shiu K, Padian NS. Hormonal contraceptive use and women's risk of HIV acquisition: a meta-analysis of observational studies. Lancet Infect Dis. 2015;15(2):181–9.
- 63. Morrison CS, Chen P-L, Kwok C, Baeten JM, Brown J, Crook AM, et al. Hormonal contraception and the risk of HIV acquisition: an individual participant data meta-analysis. PLoS Med. 2015;12(1):e1001778.
- 64. Gallo MF, Nanda K, Grimes DA, Lopez LM, Schulz KF. 20 micro g versus >20 micro g estrogen combined oral contraceptives for contraception. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2013;(8):CD003989.
- 65. Moorman PG, Havrilesky LJ, Gierisch JM, Coeytaux RR, Lowery WJ, Peragallo Urrutia R, et al. Oral contraceptives and risk of ovarian cancer and breast cancer among high-risk women: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Clin Oncol Off J Am Soc Clin Oncol. 2013;31(33):4188–98.
- 66. Asthana S, Busa V, Labani S. Oral contraceptives use and risk of cervical cancer-A systematic review & meta-analysis. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol. 2020;247(e4l, 0375672):163–75.
- 67. Delgado-Rodriguez M, Sillero-Arenas M, Martin-Moreno JM, Galvez-Vargas R. Oral contraceptives and cancer of the cervix uteri. A meta-analysis. Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand. 1992;71(5):368–76.

- 68. Luan N-N, Wu L, Gong T-T, Wang Y-L, Lin B, Wu Q-J. Nonlinear reduction in risk for colorectal cancer by oral contraceptive use: a meta-analysis of epidemiological studies. Cancer Causes Control CCC. 2015;26(1):65–78.
- Bosetti C, Bravi F, Negri E, La Vecchia C. Oral contraceptives and colorectal cancer risk: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Hum Reprod Update. 2009;15(5):489– 98.
- 70. Xu J-L, Sun L, Liu C, Sun Z-H, Min X, Xia R. Effect of oral contraceptive use on the incidence of dry socket in females following impacted mandibular third molar extraction: a meta-analysis. Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 2015;44(9):1160–5.
- 71. Vercellini P, Eskenazi B, Consonni D, Somigliana E, Parazzini F, Abbiati A, et al. Oral contraceptives and risk of endometriosis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Hum Reprod Update. 2011;17(2):159–70.
- 72. Shere M, Bapat P, Nickel C, Kapur B, Koren G. Association Between Use of Oral Contraceptives and Folate Status: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. J Obstet Gynaecol Can JOGC J Obstet Gynecol Can JOGC. 2015;37(5):430–8.
- 73. Lan Y-L, Wang X, Lou J-C, Ma B-B, Xing J-S, Zou S, et al. Update on the effect of exogenous hormone use on glioma risk in women: a meta-analysis of case-control and cohort studies. J Neurooncol. 2018;137(2):357–65.
- 74. Liu H, Yao J, Wang W, Zhang D. Association between duration of oral contraceptive use and risk of hypertension: A meta-analysis. J Clin Hypertens Greenwich Conn. 2017;19(10):1032–41.
- 75. Ortizo R, Lee SY, Nguyen ET, Jamal MM, Bechtold MM, Nguyen DL. Exposure to oral contraceptives increases the risk for development of inflammatory bowel disease: a meta-analysis of case-controlled and cohort studies. Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2017;29(9):1064–70.
- Liu H., Wang X.-C., Hu G.-H., Huang T.-B., Xu Y.-F. Oral contraceptive use and kidney cancer risk among women: Evidence from a meta-analysis. Int J Clin Exp Med. 2014;7(11):3954–63.
- 77. Halperin IJ, Kumar SS, Stroup DF, Laredo SE. The association between the combined oral contraceptive pill and insulin resistance, dysglycemia and dyslipidemia in women with polycystic ovary syndrome: a systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies. Hum Reprod Oxf Engl. 2011;26(1):191–201.
- 78. Havrilesky LJ, Moorman PG, Lowery WJ, Gierisch JM, Coeytaux RR, Urrutia RP, et al. Oral contraceptive pills as primary prevention for ovarian cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Obstet Gynecol. 2013;122(1):139–47.
- Xu Z, Li Y, Tang S, Huang X, Chen T. Current use of oral contraceptives and the risk of first-ever ischemic stroke: A meta-analysis of observational studies. Thromb Res. 2015;136(1):52–60.
- 80. Johnston SC, Colford JMJ, Gress DR. Oral contraceptives and the risk of subarachnoid hemorrhage: a meta-analysis. Neurology. 1998;51(2):411–8.

- 81. Perez-Lopez FR, Perez-Roncero GR, Lopez-Baena MT, Santabarbara J, Chedraui P. Hormonal contraceptives and the risk of suicide: a systematic review and metaanalysis. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol. 2020;251(e4l, 0375672):28–35.
- 82. Baratloo A, Safari S, Rouhipour A, Hashemi B, Rahmati F, Motamedi M, et al. The Risk of Venous Thromboembolism with Different Generation of Oral Contraceptives; a Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Emerg Tehran Iran. 2014;2(1):1–11.
- 83. Bateson D, Butcher BE, Donovan C, Farrell L, Kovacs G, Mezzini T, et al. Risk of venous thromboembolism in women taking the combined oral contraceptive: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Aust Fam Physician. 2016;45(1):59–64.
- 84. Martinez F, Ramirez I, Perez-Campos E, Latorre K, Lete I. Venous and pulmonary thromboembolism and combined hormonal contraceptives. Systematic review and meta-analysis. Eur J Contracept Reprod Health Care Off J Eur Soc Contracept. 2012;17(1):7–29.
- Dragoman MV, Tepper NK, Fu R, Curtis KM, Chou R, Gaffield ME. A systematic review and meta-analysis of venous thrombosis risk among users of combined oral contraception. Int J Gynaecol Obstet Off Organ Int Fed Gynaecol Obstet. 2018;141(3):287–94.
- 86. Mantha S, Karp R, Raghavan V, Terrin N, Bauer KA, Zwicker JI. Assessing the risk of venous thromboembolic events in women taking progestin-only contraception: a metaanalysis. BMJ. 2012;345(8900488, bmj, 101090866):e4944.
- Oedingen C, Scholz S, Razum O. Systematic review and meta-analysis of the association of combined oral contraceptives on the risk of venous thromboembolism: The role of the progestogen type and estrogen dose. Thromb Res. 2018;165(vrn, 0326377):68–78.
- Zhu H, Lei X, Feng J, Wang Y. Oral contraceptive use and risk of breast cancer: a meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. Eur J Contracept Reprod Health Care Off J Eur Soc Contracept. 2012;17(6):402–14.
- Nindrea R.D., Anwar S.L., Harahap W.A., Lazuardi L., Dwiprahasto I., Aryandono T. Oral contraceptive used more than 5 years is associated with increased risk of breast cancer: A meta-analysis of 28,776 South east Asian women. Syst Rev Pharm. 2019;10(2):137–48.
- 90. Conz L, Mota BS, Bahamondes L, Teixeira Doria M, Francoise Mauricette Derchain S, Rieira R, et al. Levonorgestrel-releasing intrauterine system and breast cancer risk: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand. 2020;99(8):970– 82.
- 91. Goshtasebi A, Subotic Brajic T, Scholes D, Beres Lederer Goldberg T, Berenson A, Prior JC. Adolescent use of combined hormonal contraception and peak bone mineral density accrual: A meta-analysis of international prospective controlled studies. Clin Endocrinol (Oxf). 2019;90(4):517–24.
- 92. Song J, Jin Z, Han H, Li M, Guo Y, Guo H, et al. Hormone replacement therapies, oral contraceptives, reproductive factors and colorectal adenoma risk: a systematic review and dose-response meta-analysis of observational studies. Colorectal Dis Off J Assoc Coloproctology G B Irel. 2019;21(7):748–59.

- 93. An N. Oral Contraceptives Use and Liver Cancer Risk: A Dose-Response Meta-Analysis of Observational Studies. Medicine (Baltimore). 2015;94(43):e1619.
- 94. Stampfer MJ, Willett WC, Colditz GA, Speizer FE, Hennekens CH. Past use of oral contraceptives and cardiovascular disease: a meta-analysis in the context of the Nurses' Health Study. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 1990;163(1 Pt 2):285–91.
- 95. Peragallo Urrutia R, Coeytaux RR, McBroom AJ, Gierisch JM, Havrilesky LJ, Moorman PG, et al. Risk of acute thromboembolic events with oral contraceptive use: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Obstet Gynecol. 2013;122(2 Pt 1):380–9.
- 96. Khader YS, Rice J, John L, Abueita O. Oral contraceptives use and the risk of myocardial infarction: a meta-analysis. Contraception. 2003;68(1):11–7.
- 97. Lagergren K, Lagergren J, Brusselaers N. Hormone replacement therapy and oral contraceptives and risk of oesophageal adenocarcinoma: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Int J Cancer. 2014;135(9):2183–90.
- 98. Qi S, Xin R, Guo W, Liu Y. Meta-analysis of oral contraceptives and rheumatoid arthritis risk in women. Ther Clin Risk Manag. 2014;10(101253281):915–23.
- 99. Chen Q, Jin Z, Xiang C, Cai Q, Shi W, He J. Absence of protective effect of oral contraceptive use on the development of rheumatoid arthritis: a meta-analysis of observational studies. Int J Rheum Dis. 2014;17(7):725–37.
- 100. Pladevall-Vila M, Delclos GL, Varas C, Guyer H, Brugues-Tarradellas J, Anglada-Arisa A. Controversy of oral contraceptives and risk of rheumatoid arthritis: metaanalysis of conflicting studies and review of conflicting meta-analyses with special emphasis on analysis of heterogeneity. Am J Epidemiol. 1996;144(1):1–14.
- 101. Xu Z, Yue Y, Bai J, Shen C, Yang J, Huang X, et al. Association between oral contraceptives and risk of hemorrhagic stroke: a meta-analysis of observational studies. Arch Gynecol Obstet. 2018;297(5):1181–91.
- 102. Li F, Zhu L, Zhang J, He H, Qin Y, Cheng Y, et al. Oral Contraceptive Use and Increased Risk of Stroke: A Dose-Response Meta-Analysis of Observational Studies. Front Neurol. 2019;10(101546899):993.
- Wu L, Zhu J. Linear reduction in thyroid cancer risk by oral contraceptive use: a doseresponse meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. Hum Reprod Oxf Engl. 2015;30(9):2234–40.
- 104. Dayan N, Holcroft CA, Tagalakis V. The risk of venous thrombosis, including cerebral vein thrombosis, among women with thrombophilia and oral contraceptive use: a meta-analysis. Clin Appl Thromb Off J Int Acad Clin Appl Thromb. 2011;17(6):E141-52.
- 105. de Bastos M, Stegeman BH, Rosendaal FR, Van Hylckama Vlieg A, Helmerhorst FM, Stijnen T, et al. Combined oral contraceptives: venous thrombosis. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2014;(3):CD010813.
- 106. Glisic M, Shahzad S, Tsoli S, Chadni M, Asllanaj E, Rojas LZ, et al. Association between progestin-only contraceptive use and cardiometabolic outcomes: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Eur J Prev Cardiol. 2018;25(10):1042–52.