

Supplementary Online Content

Thampi V, Hariprasad R, John A, et al. Feasibility of training community health workers in the detection of oral cancer. *JAMA Netw Open*. 2022;5(1):e2144022. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2021.44022

eAppendix 1. Mobile-Based Questionnaire by CHW

eAppendix 2. Details Collected by the Dentists at ICMR-NICPR-HPC

eTable 1. Identification of the Oral Lesion by CHWs and Dentists in the Screened Population

eTable 2. Distribution and Agreement by Type of Individual Findings on Oral Visual Inspection by CHWs and Dentists

This supplementary material has been provided by the authors to give readers additional information about their work.

eAppendix 1: Mobile-based questionnaire by CHW

- 1.Name of the participant
- 2.Age of the participant
- 3.Select participant's gender
- 4.Address of the participant
- 5.Contact no
- 6.Alternative contact no
- 7.Tobacco chewer
 - a. Yes b. No
- 8.Smoker
 - a. Yes b. No
- 9.Alcohol
 - a. Yes b. No
- 10.Areca nut
 - a. Yes b. No
- 11.Does the participant had any symptoms of mouth ulcer, bleeding from the teeth or gums, difficulty in swallowing, pain or burning sensation, decreased mouth opening or others: Yes, or No
- 12.On Oral visual examination by the CHW did the participant had any white patch, red patch, growth mass, ulcer, others: Yes, or No

eAppendix 2: Details collected by the dentists at ICMR-NICPR-HPC

- 1.Date of registration
- 2.Patient's name
- 3.Age/Sex
- 4.ID no
- 5.Mobile no
- 6.Smoking: cigarette/bidi/hookah-times per day, years, quit since
- 7.Chewing raw tobacco: times per day, years, quit since
- 8.Gutkha: times per day, years, quit since
- 9.Pan masala: times per day, years, quit since
- 10.Areca nut: times per day, years, quit since
- 11.Alcohol intake: times per day, years, quit since
- 12.Site of the lesion:
- 13.Provisional diagnosis:
- 14.Type of investigation:
- 15.Site of investigation:
- 16.Date of investigation:
- 17.Result of investigation:
- 18.Type of treatment given:
- 19.Recall:

eTable 1. Identification of the oral lesion by CHWs and dentists in the screened population

TOTAL		Dentists' findings		
		Positive	Negative	Total
CHW findings	Positive	321	9	330
	Negative	11	677	688
	Total	332	686	1018

Statistic	Value (95% CI)
Sensitivity	96.69% (94.15 to 98.33%)
Specificity	98.69% (97.52 to 99.40%)
Positive Likelihood Ratio	73.70 (38.50 to 141.08)
Negative Likelihood Ratio	0.03 (0.02 to 0.06)
Disease prevalence (*)	32.41%
Positive Predictive Value (*)	97.20% (94.77 to 98.52%)
Negative Predictive Value (*)	98.44% (97.25 to 99.12%)
Accuracy (*)	98.29% (97.29 to 98.99%)

(*) These values are dependent on disease prevalence

eTable 2. Distribution and agreement by type of individual findings on oral visual inspection by CHWs and dentists

CHW findings		Dentists findings			Kappa
		Positive	Negative	Total	
White patch	Positive	150	6	156	0.9
	Negative	7	855	862	
	Total	157	912	1018	
Red patch	Positive	1	2	3	1.0
	Negative	0	1015	1015	
	Total	1	1017	1018	
Growth mass	Positive	27	2	29	1.0
	Negative	0	989	989	
	Total	27	991	1018	
Ulcer	Positive	46	2	48	0.9
	Negative	2	968	970	
	Total	48	970	1018	
Others	Positive	180	1	181	0.9
	Negative	2	825	827	
	Total	182	826	1018	