

PEER REVIEW HISTORY

BMJ Open publishes all reviews undertaken for accepted manuscripts. Reviewers are asked to complete a checklist review form (<http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/resources/checklist.pdf>) and are provided with free text boxes to elaborate on their assessment. These free text comments are reproduced below.

ARTICLE DETAILS

TITLE (PROVISIONAL)	External validation and recalibration of an incidental meningioma prognostic model – IMPACT: protocol for an international multicentre retrospective cohort study
AUTHORS	Islim, Abdurrahman; millward, Christopher; Piper, Rory; Fountain, Daniel; Mehta, Shaveta; Kolamunnage-Dona, Ruwanthi; Ali, Usama; Koszdin, Shelli; Georgious, Theo; Mills, Samantha; Brodbelt, Andrew; Mathew, Ryan; Santarius, Thomas; Jenkinson, Michael

VERSION 1 – REVIEW

REVIEWER	Shu, Kai Huazhong University of Science and Technology
REVIEW RETURNED	22-Jul-2021

GENERAL COMMENTS	I have no objection against this manuscript. The study design is scientific and well-organised. I am also looking forward to the results of international multi-center validation of IMPACT model.
-------------------------	--

REVIEWER	Gadelha Figueiredo, Eberval University of Sao Paulo
REVIEW RETURNED	26-Jul-2021

GENERAL COMMENTS	This is a well written paper on a relevant topic.
-------------------------	---

VERSION 1 – AUTHOR RESPONSE

Reviewer: 1

Dr. Kai Shu, Huazhong University of Science and Technology

Comments to the Author:

I have no objection against this manuscript. The study design is scientific and well-organised. I am also looking forward to the results of international multi-center validation of IMPACT model.

Response: Thank you. No changes to address

Reviewer: 2

Dr. Eberval Gadelha Figueiredo, University of Sao Paulo

Comments to the Author:

This is a well written paper on a relevant topic.

Response: Thank you. No changes to address