Study		Mean	imental SD		Mean	Control SD	Standardised Mean Difference	SMD	95%-CI	Weight
Blinding = 0										
chen Y 2021	6	10.66	0.6200	6	7 01	0.7000		5 10	[2.36; 7.83]	5.9%
Huang J 2020	-		0.7100	-		0.7300			[3.07; 6.96]	
Jia X 2021			2.1900			1.1100			[2.03; 5.69]	
Jian Z 2021			1.6600			1.1000			[2.31; 4.58]	
Tao Y 2019			0.8300		8.70	0.9300			[4.41; 7.40]	
Ji W 2019	6	3.14	0.0900	6	2.68	0.0600			[2.61; 8.49]	
Random effects model	66			66					[3.66; 5.63]	56.0%
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 38\%$, τ^2	= 0.60	056, p =	0.15							
Blinding = 1										
Kang J 2019	3	16.60	2.0600	3	8.06	1.4900		3.80	[-0.20; 7.80]	3.3%
Kang J 2020	-		1.6600	-		1.4000			[1.44; 4.53]	
Zhang M 2021			0.6500		6.81	0.4800			[5.30; 15.89]	
Liu W 2020	8	8.65	0.3200	8	7.67	0.3400		2.81	[1.32; 4.29]	11.8%
Wang J 1 2020	4	7.11	0.6100	8	4.19	0.5000			[2.30; 7.77]	
Wang J 2 2020	4	7.11	0.6100	8	5.07	0.6800		2.85	[1.02; 4.69]	9.6%
Random effects model	33			41			♦	3.33	[2.48; 4.17]	44.0%
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 48\%$, τ^2	= < 0.	.0001, p	9 = 0.08							
Random effects model	99			107			♦	4.19	[3.40; 4.99]	100.0%
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 50\%$, τ^2	² = 0.8 ⁻	165, <i>p</i> =	0.02							
Test for subgroup difference	es: γ_1^2 =	= 3.94. (df = 1 (p	= 0.05)		-	-15 -10 -5 0 5 10 15			

Fig S1. Forest plot summarizing the relationship between blinding and motor function scores in preclinical models of SCI.0: blinding;1: non-blind.