## Spontaneous Formation of 3D-Breast Cancer Tissue on Electrospun Chitosan/Poly (ethylene Oxide) Nanofibrous Scaffolds

Amna M. I. Rabie<sup>1,2</sup>, Ahmed S. M. Ali<sup>3,4</sup>, Munir A. Al-Zeer<sup>3</sup>, Ahmed Barhoum<sup>2</sup>, Salwa EL-Hallouty<sup>5</sup>, Wafaa G. Shousha<sup>2</sup>, Johanna Berg<sup>3</sup>, Jens Kurreck<sup>3</sup>, Ahmed S. G. Khalil<sup>1,6\*</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Environmental and Smart Technology Group (ESTG), Faculty of Science, Fayoum University,
  63514 Fayoum, Egypt
- <sup>2</sup> Chemistry Department, Faculty of Science, Helwan University, Ain Helwan, 11795 Cairo, Egypt.
- <sup>3</sup> Department of Applied Biochemistry, Institute of Biotechnology, Technische Universität Berlin, 13355 Berlin, Germany
- <sup>4</sup> Nanotechnology Research Center (NTRC), The British University in Egypt (BUE), El-Sherouk City, Cairo, 11837, Egypt
- <sup>5</sup> Department of Medicinal Drugs, National Research Center, 12622 Giza, Egypt
- <sup>6</sup> Materials Science & Engineering Department, School of Innovative Design Engineering, Egypt-Japan University of Science and Technology (E-JUST), 21934 Alexandria, Egypt

Corresponding author: Prof. Ahmed S. G. Khalil, <u>asg05@fayoum.edu.eg</u>



Figure S1. Comparison between average fiber diameters of different scaffolds using two solutions with different concentration (C2P1 and C4P1) electrospun at different pump flow rate (0.006 and 0.024 ml/min).



Figure S2. Fiber diameter histograms of random and aligned electrospun nanofibrous scaffolds synthesized using (A) R-R1-C2P1, (B) R-R4-C2P1, (C) A-R4-C2P1, (D) R-R1-C4P1, (E) R-R4-C4P1, and (F) A-R4-C4P1.



Figure S3. Comparison between main flow pore size of randomly and aligned electrospun nanofibrous' scaffolds synthesized using C2P1 and C4P1 solution at different pump flow rate (0.006 and 0.024 ml/min).



Figure S4. FTIR spectra of CS/PEO nanofibrous scaffold.



Figure S5. Optical images of MCF-7 cells seeded on normal tissue culture plate (top) and ultralow attachment plate (bottom) over 17 days. The scale bar is 200  $\mu$ m.



Figure S6. Fluorescence images of MCF-7 cell viability assessment on TCP (top) and ULAP (bottom) after 17 days of culturing. The Calcein AM (green) stains the live cells while the ethidium homo dimer-1 (red) stains dead cells. The scale bar is 200  $\mu$ m.



Figure S7. The percentage of cell viability calculated from the live/dead staining images by the ImageJ software



Figure S7. (A, B) Phase contrast images of spheroids protrusions formed within the first week of culture on C2P1 scaffolds and (C, D) SEM images of 3D microtissue protrusions after 14 days of culture on C2P1 scaffolds.