S1 Checklist. Standards for Reporting Qualitative Research (SRQR)

O'Brien BC, Harris IB, Beckman TJ, Reed DA, Cook DA. Standards for reporting qualitative research: a synthesis of recommendations. *Academic Medicine*, Vol. 89, No. 9 / Sept 2014 DOI: 10.1097/ACM.000000000000388

tle and abstract	Page/line no(s)
Title - Concise description of the nature and topic of the study Identifying the study as qualitative	
or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g.,	
interview, focus group) is recommended	Title Page
Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended	
publication; typically includes background, purpose, methods, results, and conclusions	Abstract
troduction	
Problem formulation - Description and significance of the problem/phenomenon studied; review	Intro ¶ 2;
of relevant theory and empirical work; problem statement	Methods ¶1-2
Purpose or research question - Purpose of the study and specific objectives or questions	Intro ¶ 2
ethods	1
Qualitative approach and research paradigm - Qualitative approach (e.g., ethnography,	
grounded theory, case study, phenomenology, narrative research) and guiding theory if	
appropriate; identifying the research paradigm (e.g., postpositivist, constructivist/ interpretivist)	
is also recommended; rationale	Methods ¶ 2, 4
Researcher characteristics and reflexivity - Researchers' characteristics that may influence the	,
research, including personal attributes, qualifications/experience, relationship with participants,	Recoding
assumptions, and/or presuppositions; potential or actual interaction between researchers'	Variables,
characteristics and the research questions, approach, methods, results, and/or transferability	Discussion ¶ 3
Context - Setting/site and salient contextual factors; rationale	Lines 61-72
Sampling strategy - How and why research participants, documents, or events were selected;	
criteria for deciding when no further sampling was necessary (e.g., sampling saturation);	
rationale	Methods, all ¶
Ethical issues pertaining to human subjects - Documentation of approval by an appropriate	, <u>"</u>
ethics review board and participant consent, or explanation for lack thereof; other confidentiality	
and data security issues	Methods ¶ 2
Data collection methods - Types of data collected; details of data collection procedures including	
(as appropriate) start and stop dates of data collection and analysis, iterative process,	
triangulation of sources/methods, and modification of procedures in response to evolving study	
findings; rationale	Methods, all ¶
Data collection instruments and technologies - Description of instruments (e.g., interview	
guides, questionnaires) and devices (e.g., audio recorders) used for data collection; if/how the	
instrument(s) changed over the course of the study	Methods ¶ 1, 3
Units of study - Number and relevant characteristics of participants, documents, or events	Methods ¶ 3;
included in the study; level of participation (could be reported in results)	Table 1
Data processing - Methods for processing data prior to and during analysis, including	Methods;
transcription, data entry, data management and security, verification of data integrity, data	Recoding
coding, and anonymization/de-identification of excerpts	Variables; Fig 1
Data analysis - Process by which inferences, themes, etc., were identified and developed,	Methods ¶ 1,3
including the researchers involved in data analysis; usually references a specific paradigm or	Recoding
approach; rationale	Variables; Fig 1
Techniques to enhance trustworthiness - Techniques to enhance trustworthiness and credibility	
of data analysis (e.g., member checking, audit trail, triangulation); rationale	Methods ¶ 2-4

Results/findings

Synthesis and interpretation - Main findings (e.g., interpretations, inferences, and themes);	Results, all ¶; Fig
might include development of a theory or model, or integration with prior research or theory	2
Links to empirical data - Evidence (e.g., quotes, field notes, text excerpts, photographs) to	
substantiate analytic findings	Tables 1, 2

Discussion

Integration with prior work, implications, transferability, and contribution(s) to the field - Short summary of main findings; explanation of how findings and conclusions connect to, support, elaborate on, or challenge conclusions of earlier scholarship; discussion of scope of	
application/generalizability; identification of unique contribution(s) to scholarship in a discipline or field	Discussion ¶ 1, 4- 5; Fig 2
Limitations - Trustworthiness and limitations of findings	Discussion ¶ 2-3

Other

Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence or perceived influence on study conduct and	Methods ¶ 2-4;
conclusions; how these were managed	Discussion ¶ 2-3;
	Competing
	Interests
Funding - Sources of funding and other support; role of funders in data collection, interpretation,	Funding
and reporting	Information