nature portfolio

Corresponding author(s):	Anton Sirota
Last updated by author(s):	Nov 30, 2021

Reporting Summary

Nature Portfolio wishes to improve the reproducibility of the work that we publish. This form provides structure for consistency and transparency in reporting. For further information on Nature Portfolio policies, see our Editorial Policies and the Editorial Policy Checklist.

C .			
St:	at.	ıstı	CS

For a	Il statistical analyses, confirm that the following items are present in the figure legend, table legend, main text, or Methods section.
n/a	Confirmed
	\boxtimes The exact sample size (n) for each experimental group/condition, given as a discrete number and unit of measurement
\boxtimes	A statement on whether measurements were taken from distinct samples or whether the same sample was measured repeatedly
	The statistical test(s) used AND whether they are one- or two-sided Only common tests should be described solely by name; describe more complex techniques in the Methods section.
	A description of all covariates tested
	A description of any assumptions or corrections, such as tests of normality and adjustment for multiple comparisons
	A full description of the statistical parameters including central tendency (e.g. means) or other basic estimates (e.g. regression coefficient) AND variation (e.g. standard deviation) or associated estimates of uncertainty (e.g. confidence intervals)
	For null hypothesis testing, the test statistic (e.g. <i>F</i> , <i>t</i> , <i>r</i>) with confidence intervals, effect sizes, degrees of freedom and <i>P</i> value noted Give P values as exact values whenever suitable.
	For Bayesian analysis, information on the choice of priors and Markov chain Monte Carlo settings
	For hierarchical and complex designs, identification of the appropriate level for tests and full reporting of outcomes
	\boxtimes Estimates of effect sizes (e.g. Cohen's d , Pearson's r), indicating how they were calculated
	Our web collection on <u>statistics for biologists</u> contains articles on many of the points above.
Sof	tware and code

Policy information about <u>availability of computer code</u>

Data collection

OpenEphys GUI (v0.4 - v0.5), Bonsai (v2.0 - v2.6)

Data analysis

Matlab 2014 - 2019b, open source Neuroscope, Ndmanager processing utilities; open source Mountainsort and Kilosort2 spike sorting software, open source Chronux, MVGC toolboxes, custom code (https://github.com/nikolaskaralis/Karalis2021_NatureComm)

For manuscripts utilizing custom algorithms or software that are central to the research but not yet described in published literature, software must be made available to editors and reviewers. We strongly encourage code deposition in a community repository (e.g. GitHub). See the Nature Portfolio guidelines for submitting code & software for further information.

Data

Policy information about availability of data

All manuscripts must include a <u>data availability statement</u>. This statement should provide the following information, where applicable:

- Accession codes, unique identifiers, or web links for publicly available datasets
- A description of any restrictions on data availability
- For clinical datasets or third party data, please ensure that the statement adheres to our policy

Relevant data that support the findings of this study are available from the authors upon reasonable request. Source data are provided with this paper.

_						•					
H١	9		-51	ne	CIT	10	re	$n \cap$	rti	n	Ø
		ı u	. J	\cup	CII		1	$P \cup$	1 (1		

Please select the o	ne below that is the best fit for your research. If you are not sure, read the appropriate sections before making your selection.
∑ Life sciences	Behavioural & social sciences Ecological, evolutionary & environmental sciences
For a reference copy of	the document with all sections, see <u>nature.com/documents/nr-reporting-summary-flat.pdf</u>
Life scier	nces study design
All studies must dis	sclose on these points even when the disclosure is negative.
Sample size	Sample sizes for animals and neurons used for phase modulation analyses were chosen based on established procedures in the field and were selected to be sufficient to determine significance both in behavior tests and electrophysiological recordings. The numbers were calculated based on the difficulty of the recordings performed from each brain region and the recording yield (number of units recorded per session). For the olfactory deafferentation experiments, the same mice were used (paired analysis - before vs after), allowing lower sample size.
Data exclusions	All animals with sufficient quality of electrophysiology recordings were included in the study. No data that were applicable for each analysis were excluded.
Replication	There was no replication carried out in this study due to the large numbers of animals and experimental conditions/measurements required to complete the analysis. However, we ensured results are consistent across batches of mice, serving as internal replication. Some effects reported in the manuscript (entrainment of ripples by respiration, LFP coherence to respiration) have been assessed both in chronic mice and head-fixed mice.
Randomization	For each animal batch, animals were randomly allocated into experimental groups. We followed this approach in order to avoid unintentional bias from the evolving surgical skills or batch of animals.
Blinding	All data analysis was performed in automatic regime across all sessions regardless of conditions, i.e. processing and quantification throughout

Reporting for specific materials, systems and methods

We require information from authors about some types of materials, experimental systems and methods used in many studies. Here, indicate whether each material, system or method listed is relevant to your study. If you are not sure if a list item applies to your research, read the appropriate section before selecting a response.

the analysis was blind. For the data collection, blinding was not possible for the olfactory deafferentation experiments (before vs after

Materials & experimental systems			Methods		
n/a Invol	ved in the study	n/a	Involved in the study		
	ntibodies	\times	ChIP-seq		
	ukaryotic cell lines	\boxtimes	Flow cytometry		
⊠ □ P	alaeontology and archaeology	\boxtimes	MRI-based neuroimaging		
	nimals and other organisms				
$\boxtimes \Box $	luman research participants				
	Clinical data				
	Oual use research of concern				

condition) and was not relevant for the conclusions drawn.

Animals and other organisms

Ethics oversight

Policy information about <u>studies involving animals</u>; <u>ARRIVE guidelines</u> recommended for reporting animal research

Laboratory animals

Mus musculus, C57BL/6J, male, 8-20 weeks old.

Naive male C57BL6/J mice (3 months old, Jackson Laboratory) were individually housed for at least a week before all experiments,

under a 12 light-dark cycle, ambient temperature 22C, 50% humidity, and provided with food and water ad libitum.

Wild animals No wild animals were used

Field-collected samples This study did not require samples collected from the field

Electrophysiological experiments with C57BL/6J mice were carried out under the German Law for Protection of Animals (TierSchG) and were approved by the local authorities (Regierung von Oberbayern - ROB-55.2-2532.Vet_02-16-170).

Note that full information on the approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.