1	Supplementary material:
2	Immunoglobulin signature predicts
3	risk of post-acute COVID-19 syndrome
4	
5	Authors: Carlo Cervia ¹ , Yves Zurbuchen ¹ , Patrick Taeschler ¹ , Tala Ballouz ² , Dominik
6	Menges ² , Sara Hasler ¹ , Sarah Adamo ¹ , Miro E. Raeber ¹ , Esther Bächli ³ , Alain Rudiger ⁴ ,
7	Melina Stüssi-Helbling ⁵ , Lars C. Huber ⁵ , Jakob Nilsson ¹ , Ulrike Held ² , Milo A. Puhan ² ,
8	and Onur Boyman ^{1,6*}
9	
10	Affiliations:
11	¹ Department of Immunology, University Hospital Zurich, University of Zurich; Zurich,
12	Switzerland.
13	² Epidemiology, Biostatistics and Prevention Institute, University of Zurich; Zurich,
14	Switzerland.
15	³ Clinic for Internal Medicine, Uster Hospital; Uster, Switzerland.
16	⁴ Department of Medicine, Limmattal Hospital; Schlieren, Switzerland.
17	⁵ Clinic for Internal Medicine, City Hospital Triemli Zurich; Zurich, Switzerland.
18	⁶ Faculty of Medicine, University of Zurich; Zurich, Switzerland.
19	* Corresponding author. Email: onur.boyman@uzh.ch.

Supplementary Table 1. History of respiratory disease and SARS-CoV-2 infection 20

during follow-up in study participants (n = 174). 21

22

Disease severity	Control group (<i>n</i> = 40)	Mild COVID-19 (<i>n</i> = 89)	Severe COVID-19 (<i>n</i> = 45)	
Respiratory symptoms – no. (%)	13 (32.5)	26 (29.2)	7 (15.6)	
SARS-CoV-2 exposureª – no. (%)	8 (20.0)	14 (15.7)	4 (8.9)	
Respiratory symptoms upon SARS-CoV-2 exposure – no. (%)	2 (5.0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	
Positive SARS-CoV-2- specific RT-qPCR test – no. (%)	5 (12.5)	0 (0)	0 (0)	
Negative SARS-CoV-2- specific test ^b – no. (%)	13 (32.5)	31 (34.8)	13 (28.9)	
^a Exposure to SARS-CoV-2-positive individual without adequate safety measures				

^b SARS-CoV-2-specific RT-qPCR or antigen rapid testing Abbreviations: RT-qPCR, reverse-transcriptase quantitative polymerase chain reaction.

23	Supplementary Table 2. Logistic regression models for prediction of PACS.
24	Different logistic regression models were applied on all patients of the derivation ($n = 134$)
25	and validation cohort ($n = 389$), outpatients of the derivation ($n = 80$) and validation cohort
26	(n = 372), and hospitalized patients of the derivation $(n = 54)$ and validation cohort $(n = 54)$
27	17). Area under the curve (AUC) values and 95% confidence intervals (CI) of the receiver
28	operating characteristic curve are listed for the prediction of PACS. The color scheme
29	reflects the performance of different scores and shows the lowest (light green) and
30	highest (dark green) AUC in each subgroup analysis of the patient cohorts (columns).

Predictor variables	Derivation cohort			Validation cohort		
Prediction model - AUC (CI)	All	Out- patients	Hospitalized	All	Out- patients	Hospitalized
Age + Sex + No. of symptoms ^{a,14}	683 (586-780)	614 (488-739)	569 (341-798)	587 (530-644)	582 (523-640)	712 (446-979)
Age	643 (543-745)	568 (439-697)	460 (219-700)	513 (454-571)	511 (451-570)	591 (270-912)
Age + Sex	(547-748)	689)	544 (304-785) 595	535 (477-593)	533 (474-592) 621	(221-839)
Age + No. of symptoms	(610-799)	(573-806)	(385-804)	(574-685)	(564-679)	(580-1000)
Age + No. of symptoms + Lung disease ^b	748 (660-835)	740 (633-846)	632 (428-836)	635 (579-690)	627 (570-684)	848 (580-1000)
Age + No. of symptoms + Asthma bronchiale ^c	754 (668-839)	735 (627-842)	648 (445-851)	643 (589-698)	636 (580-692)	833 (564-1000)
Age + Disease severity (at sampling timepoint) ^d	656 (559-754)	568 (439-697)	568 (358-779)			
Age + Disease severity (followed-up)	668 (572-764)	574 (446-702)	602 (385-820)	521 (463-580)	511 (451-570)	583 (269-897)
Age + Disease severity (followed-up) + Asthma bronchiale	727 (640-815)	655 (535-774)	671 (476-865)	553 (495-610)	544 (485-603)	583 (269-897)
Age + Disease grades ^e (followed-up) + Asthma bronchiale	749 (666-832)	675 (558-792)	753 (586-921)			
Age + No. of symptoms + Asthma bronchiale + Body-Mass-Index	739 (644-834)	738 (622-855)	647 (444-850)	643 (589-698)	631 (574-688)	833 (564-1000)
Age + No. of symptoms + Asthma	751	726	647	639	631	894
bronchiale + IgM'	(666-837)	(617-835)	(450-845)	(584-694)	(574-687)	(725-1000)
bronchiale + IgA	(668-838)	(624-842)	(469-856)	(587-696)	(578-691)	(598-1000)
Age + No. of symptoms + Asthma	754	734	649	643	636	833
bronchiale + IgG1	(669-840)	(626-842)	(445-854)	(588-698)	(579-692)	(564-1000)
Age + No. of symptoms + Asthma bronchiale + IgG2	756 (670-842)	743 (636-850)	649 (442-856)	644 (589-699)	638 (581-694)	848 (606-1000)
Age + No. of symptoms + Asthma bronchiale + IgG3	756 (671-842)	745 (639-851)	647 (452-843)	642 (587-697)	635 (579-691)	879 (687-1000)
Age + No. of symptoms + Asthma bronchiale + IgG4	756 (670-842)	737 (629-845)	651 (445-857)	643 (588-698)	636 (580-692)	848 (580-1000)
Age + No. of symptoms + Asthma bronchiale + IgM + IgG3	753 (668-839)	737 (629-846)	651 (461-841)	636 (581-691)	628 (572-685)	879 (705-1000)
Age + No. of symptoms + Asthma	754	737	647	637	629	894
bronchiale + IgM + IgG2 + IgG3	(668-839)	(629-846)	(456-838)	(582-692)	(573-686)	(726-1000)
Age + No. of symptoms + Astrima	(660_830)	(634-849)	(465-856)	020 (570-681)	(560-674)	879 (713-1000)
Age + No. of symptoms + Asthma	771	723	741	636	626	985
bronchiale + IgM * IgG3	(691-851)	(613-834)	(580-902)	(581-691)	(569-683)	(943-1000)
Age + Fatigue ^g + Asthma bronchiale + IgM * IgG2	745 (661-829)	673 (556-790)	735 (569-902)	603 (547-660)	593 (535-651)	764 (456-1000)
Age + No. of symptoms + Level of care	754	735	648	643	636	833
(at sampling timepoint)	(668-839)	(627-842)	(445-851)	(589-698)	(580-692)	(564-1000)
Age + No. of symptoms + Level of care	751 (665-836)	(615-834)	645	639 (584-694)	630 (574-687)	894
Age + No. of symptoms + Level of care	756	744	647	642	635	879
(at sampling timepoint) + IgG3	(670-841)	(638-851)	(452-843)	(587-696)	(578-691)	(687-1000)

 (at sampling timepoint) + IgG3
 (670-841)
 (638-851)
 (452-843)
 (587-696)
 (57

 ^a No. of symptoms during primary infection (0-5; fever, fatigue, cough, dyspnea, and gastrointestinal symptoms)
 b
 b
 (587-696)
 (57

 ^b History of lung disease
 6
 6
 (638-851)
 (452-843)
 (587-696)
 (57

 ^b History of lung disease
 6
 6
 (638-851)
 (452-843)
 (587-696)
 (57

 ^b History of lung disease
 6
 6
 (638-851)
 (452-843)
 (587-696)
 (57

 ^b History of lung disease
 6
 (638-851)
 (452-843)
 (587-696)
 (57

 ^b History of lung disease
 6
 (638-851)
 (452-843)
 (587-696)
 (57

 ^d Disease system
 6
 (638-851)
 (452-843)
 (587-696)
 (57

 ^e Disease system
 (638-851)
 (638-851)
 (638-851)
 (638-851)
 (638-851)
 (638-851)

 ^e Disease system
 (638-851)
 (638-851)
 (638-851)
 (638-851)
 (638-851)

 ^e Disease system
 (638-851)
 (638-851)
 (638-851)
 (638-851)
 (638-851)<

- Supplementary Table 3. Variables of the PACS score. Estimated coefficients and their
 95% confidence intervals, p values, and coefficients after shrinkage are listed for the
 prediction of PACS (logistic regression model), including an interaction term between total
 IgM and IgG3 titers (IgM * IgG3). The global shrinkage factor was 0.72.

Variables	Coefficients = log odds ratios	95% confidence interval	p value	Coefficients after shrinkage
Intercept	-1.532	-3.74 to 0.36	0.139	-0.981
Patient age [years]	0.364	-0.04 to 0.79	0.085	0.262
No. of symptoms during primary SARS- CoV-2 infection [0-5]	0.460	0.11 to 0.84	0.014	0.331
History of asthma bronchiale [no/yes]	2.634	0.83 to 5.64	0.019	1.897
Total IgM (g/l)	1.171	-0.16 to 2.82	0.121	0.843
Total IgG3 (g/l)	1.905	-0.19 to 4.58	0.116	1.372
lgM * lgG3	-2.128	-4.45 to -0.29	0.044	-1.532

Supplementary Table 4. Model behavior at different probability thresholds. Two thresholds were selected for further examination. 0.523 was selected as optimal cut-off maximizing both sensitivity and specificity in the validation cohort. 0.746 was calculated as optimal cut-off maximizing both sensitivity and specificity in hospitalized patients of the derivation cohort (n = 134, with 85 having PACS) and hospitalized patients of the validation cohort (n = 389, with 212 having PACS).

Cohort	Sensitivity	Specificity	PPV	NPV	
Rule-in cut-off for gene	Rule-in cut-off for general population and outpatients (0.523)				
Derivation cohort	0.835	0.551	0.763	0.659	
- Outpatients	0.705	0.583	0.674	0.618	
Validation cohort	0.797	0.395	0.612	0.619	
- Outpatients	0.786	0.409	0.610	0.619	
Rule-in cut-off for general population and hospitalized patients (0.746)					
Derivation cohort	0.518	0.878	0.878	0.512	
- Hospitalized patients	0.634	0.769	0.897	0.400	
Validation cohort	0.283	0.870	0.723	0.503	
- Hospitalized patients	0.909	1.000	1.000	0.857	

Supplementary Table 5. Participant characteristics of entire derivation cohort,

including followed-up and non-followed-up patients.

Demographics	Whole cohort (<i>n</i> = 175)	Followed-up patients (<i>n</i> = 134)	Non-followed-up patients (n = 41)
Severe COVID-19 cases – no. (%)	65 (37.1)	45 (33.6)	20 (48.8)
Age – median (IQR) [yrs]	47 (31–67)	43 (30–64)	66 (34–78)
Sex – male no. (%)	94 (53.7)	75 (56.0)	19 (46.3)
Level of care ^a – hospitalized no. (%)	81 (46.3)	54 (40.3)	27 (65.9)
No. of symptoms ^b (IQR)	2 (1–3)	2 (1–3)	2 (2–3)
Pre-existing comorbidities and treatments -	- no. (%)		
Cardiovascular disease	32 (18.3)	18 (13.4)	14 (34.1)
Diabetes mellitus	25 (14.3)	19 (14.2)	6 (14.6)
Hypertension	50 (28.6)	31 (23.1)	19 (46.3)
Kidney disease	25 (14.3)	15 (11.2)	10 (24.4)
Lung disease	26 (14.9)	21 (15.7)	5 (12.2)
Asthma bronchiale	17 (9.7)	17 (12.7)	0
Malignancy	12 (6.9)	8 (6.0)	4 (9.8)
Systemic immunosuppression	10 (5.7)	9 (6.7)	1 (2.4)
Laboratory characteristics at primary infect	ion		
Time after symptom onset [days]	11 (7–16)	11 (7–17)	13 (7–15)
CRP [mg/L]	7.2 (0.9–55.5)	4.4 (0.7–45.0)	25.3 (1.6–78.3)
IL-6 [pg/mL]	3.9 (0.8–18.6)	3.6 (0.4–16.3)	6.9 (1.6–30.7)
TNF [pg/mL]	11.1 (8.6–16.2)	11.0 (8.6–15.5)	14.5 (8.3–19.1)
Leukocytes [10 ⁹ /L]	5.6 (4.5–7.0)	5.9 (4.6–7.1)	5.2 (3.8–6.3)
Neutrophils [10 ⁹ /L]	3.4 (2.4-4.5)	3.4 (2.6–4.5)	2.7 (2.2–4.4)
Lymphocytes [10 ⁹ /L]	1.4 (0.9–2.0)	1.6 (1.0–2.1)	1.0 (0.7–1.6)
NLR	2.0 (1.4-3.8)	2.0 (1.4–3.8)	2.2 (1.2–3.9)
SARS-CoV-2 IgA [OD ratio]	3.2 (0.8–7.4)	3.0 (0.8–7.3)	3.7 (0.8–7.9)
SARS-CoV-2 IgG [OD ratio]	1.1 (0.3–5.1)	1.0 (0.3–4.6)	1.2 (0.3–6.6)
Total IgM [g/l]	1.0 (0.7–1.4)	1.0 (0.7–1.4)	1.1 (0.7–1.3)
Total IgA [g/l]	2.3 (1.7–3.0)	2.3 (1.7–3.0)	2.4 (1.6–2.9)
Total IgG [g/l]	11.0 (9.3–12.9)	11.2 (9.4–13.0)	10.7 (7.9–12.0)
Total IgG1 [g/l]	5.7 (4.4–6.9)	5.9 (4.4–6.9)	5.5 (4.4–6.9)
Total IgG2 [g/l]	3.7 (2.6–4.6)	3.7 (2.9–4.7)	3.2 (2.2–4.6)
Total IgG3 [g/l]	0.7 (0.5–1.0)	0.7 (0.5–1.1)	0.7 (0.5–1.0)
Total IgG4 [g/l]	0.3 (0.2–0.5)	0.3 (0.2–0.5)	0.3 (0.2–0.4)
No information on BMI of non-followed-up patie	ents available.		

^a Level of care at sampling timepoint
 ^b No. of symptoms during primary infection (0-5; fever, fatigue, cough, dyspnea, and gastrointestinal symptoms)



Supplementary Figure 1

50	Supplementary Fig. 1. Immunoglobulin signatures in COVID-19 patients. a, Total
51	serum concentrations of IgM, IgA, and IgG subclasses IgG1 to IgG4 in healthy controls
52	(n = 40) versus COVID-19 patients with mild $(n = 77)$ or severe disease $(n = 38)$ at 6-
53	month (6m) follow-up. b-c , Total serum concentrations of IgA, IgG2, and IgG4 in healthy
54	controls versus (b) all ($n = 134$) or (c) mild ($n = 89$) and severe ($n = 45$) COVID-19 cases
55	during primary infection. d , Ig titers at primary infection as a function of age in COVID-19
56	patients, with adjusted R2 (R2adj) and p values of linear model (shown with 95%
57	confidence interval [CI]). e , Ig signatures in patients without or with PACS, during primary
58	infection ($n = 49$ and 85, respectively) and 6-month follow-up ($n = 41$ and 74, respectively).
59	f , Ig titers in patients attending all follow-up visits ($n = 34$) as a function of days after
60	symptom onset, with R2adj and p values of generalized additive model (shown with 95%
61	CI). Corresponding patients without (circles; $n = 12$) and with PACS (dots; $n = 22$) are
62	connected, with a spline overlaid for both groups (orange and red, respectively). Green
63	horizontal line indicates median in healthy controls (left). g , Total serum concentration of
64	IgG, and percentages of IgG1, IgG2, and IgG4 of total IgG during primary infection in
65	healthy controls, mild and severe COVID-19 patients without (top) or with PACS (bottom).
66	h , IgM (top) and IgG3 (bottom) titers in patients attending all follow-up visits ($n = 34$) as a
67	function of days after symptom onset, with additional 5 patients that were initially included
68	as healthy controls and later as COVID-19 patients upon SARS-CoV-2 infection.
69	Individuals not developing and developing PACS are visualized as circles or dots
70	respectively. Variables were compared using two-sided Wilcoxon's test.



а









No. of symptoms during primary infection



2 Total IgM (g/l)





73 Supplementary Fig. 2. Predictor variables of PACS. a, Linearity of continuous variables included in PACS score: age, age translated into terms of a scale of age norms, 74 number of symptoms during primary infection (0-5; fever, fatigue, cough, dyspnea, and 75 gastrointestinal symptoms), total IgM (g/l), and total IgG3 (g/l) during primary infection. 76 Variables were examined as univariate functions of the binomial outcome PACS using a 77 generalized additive model (n = 134, with 85 having PACS). **b**, Proportion (left) and 78 percentages (right) of patients with PACS in patients reporting zero to five symptoms 79 during primary infection. **c**, Proportion (left) and percentages (right) of patients with PACS 80 in patients with different followed-up disease grades. 81



b

Immunoglobulin signature of COVID-19 patients during pimary infection



83	Supplementary Fig. 3. Total immunoglobulin titers during primary infection in
84	validation cohort. a, Total IgM, IgG1 and IgG3 titers in patients without or with PACS, (n
85	= 177 and 212, respectively) as well as patients that reported full recovery or no full
86	recovery after six months (right; $n = 299$ and 90, respectively). Variables were compared
87	using two-sided Wilcoxon's test. b , Radar plots with wedge sizes representing median Ig
88	concentrations of patients without or with recovery after six months, normalized to median
89	concentrations of all patients.

а

b

PACS score:

Outcome: PACS (symptoms > 4 weeks) Derivation cohort (primary infection)







PACS score:

Outcome: Post-COVID-19 syndrome (symptoms > 12 weeks)



Outcome: No recovery after 6 months Validation cohort (primary infection)



92	Supplementary Fig. 4. PACS score subgroup and sensitivity analysis. a, Receiver
93	operating characteristic (ROC) curves reporting the area under the curve (AUC) with 95%
94	confidence intervals (CI) of the PACS score after shrinkage applied to all (black; $n = 134$
95	with 85 having PACS), mild (blue; $n = 89$ with 48 having PACS) and severe (red; $n = 45$
96	with 37 having PACS) COVID-19 patients of the derivation cohort during primary infection
97	(left) as well as validation cohort (right; $n = 389$, 380 and 9 with 212, 205 and 7 having
98	PACS respectively). ROC curves (middle) reporting the AUC of different disease grades
99	within severe COVID-19 patients of the derivation cohort, namely severe pneumonia,
100	mild, moderate and severe ARDS ($n = 20, 5, 10$ and 10 with 15, 4, 9 and 9 having PACS).
101	Disease severity was followed-up and independent of sampling timepoint. b , Sensitivity
102	analysis showing ROC curves, AUC and CI of the derivation cohort using post-COVID-
103	19 syndrome as outcome, defined as symptoms enduring longer than 12 weeks after
104	onset of first COVID-19-related symptoms (left; $n = 134$, with 61 showing post-COVID-19
105	syndrome) as well as using recovery after six months as outcome in the validation cohort
106	(right; <i>n</i> = 389, with 90 not having recovered after six months).



107 Supplementary Fig. 5. Blood sampling timepoints of COVID-19 patient follow-up.

Timepoints of blood sampling in COVID-19 patients of derivation cohort in relation to symptom onset. The second visit was planned around six months after symptom onset (left; n = 115) with an average timepoint of 199 days (interquartile range 185–216) and the third visit around one year after symptom onset (right; n = 38) with an average timepoint of 383 days (interquartile range 371–397).



Primary infection

Supplementary Figure 6

114	Supplementary Fig. 6. Sex differences in COVID-19 patients experiencing PACS.
115	Comparison of SARS-CoV-2 S1-specific IgA and IgG titers and total immunglobulin
116	concentrations of IgM, IgA, and IgG subclasses IgG1 to IgG4 in male and female COVID-
117	19 patients at primary SARS-CoV-2 infection ($n = 75$ and 59, respectively) and 6-month
118	(6m) follow-up ($n = 62$ and 53, respectively). SARS-CoV-2 vaccinated individuals have
119	been excluded from SARS-CoV-2 S1-specific IgA and IgG analysis at 6m follow-up
120	(adjusted $n = 57$ and 46, respectively). Boxplots represent median (middle line) with upper
121	and lower quartiles (box limits), and 1.5*interquartile ranges (whiskers). Variables were
122	compared using two-sided Wilcoxon's test.