# **TransDTI: Transformer-based language models for estimating DTIs and building a drug-recommendation workflow**

### [Supplementary file 1]

#### Yogesh Kalakoti, Shashank Yadav and Durai Sundar\*

DAILAB, Department of Biochemical Engineering & Biotechnology, Indian Institute of Technology (IIT) Delhi, New Delhi - 110 016, India

\*Corresponding author

Email addresses:

YK: yogesh.kalakoti@dbeb.iitd.ac.in SY: shashank.yadav1@alumni.iitd.ac.in DS: sundar@dbeb.iitd.ac.in

### 1. Supplementary tables

	ESM Family			ProtBert family					Alphafa	Validations			
-	Esm1	Esm1b	Esm1v	protbert	proteber	prott5xl	prott5xl	protxlne	protalbe	Alphalo d	DeepDT	DeepCo	DeepDT
					tbfd		bfd	t	rt	u	Ι	nvDTI	Α
Esm1	0.00	2.81	-2.43	0.63	-1.73	2.63	2.60	-1.27	-4.06	2.01	11.47	7.42	4.75
Esm1b	-2.81	0.00	-3.78	-0.74	-3.65	-0.48	-0.25	-2.80	-5.52	0.20	8.42	4.84	2.68
Esm1v	2.43	3.78	0.00	2.26	1.12	3.64	3.67	1.03	-0.91	3.39	8.66	6.55	5.15
protbert	-0.63	0.74	-2.26	0.00	-1.59	0.52	0.62	-1.39	-3.30	0.78	5.57	3.60	2.41
Protebertbfd*	1.73	3.65	-1.12	1.59	0.00	3.51	3.50	0.04	-2.37	2.95	10.28	7.28	5.25
prott5xl	-2.63	0.48	-3.64	-0.52	-3.51	0.00	0.22	-2.62	-5.44	0.52	9.51	5.56	3.15
prott5xlbfd	-2.60	0.25	-3.67	-0.62	-3.50	-0.22	0.00	-2.67	-5.41	0.37	8.76	5.11	2.88
protxlnet	1.27	2.80	-1.03	1.39	-0.04	2.62	2.67	0.00	-2.09	2.48	8.21	5.89	4.38
Protalbert*	4.06	5.52	0.91	3.30	2.37	5.44	5.41	2.09	0.00	4.73	10.86	8.44	6.73
alphafold	-2.01	-0.20	-3.39	-0.78	-2.95	-0.52	-0.37	-2.48	-4.73	0.00	5.86	3.39	1.93
DeepDTI	-11.47	-8.42	-8.66	-5.57	-10.28	-9.51	-8.76	-8.21	-10.86	-5.86	0.00	-2.83	-3.95
DeepConvDTI	-7.42	-4.84	-6.55	-3.60	-7.28	-5.56	-5.11	-5.89	-8.44	-3.39	2.83	0.00	-1.38
DeepDTA	-4.75	-2.68	-5.15	-2.41	-5.25	-3.15	-2.88	-4.38	-6.73	-1.93	3.95	1.38	0.00

Table S1: Paired t-test to compare docking scores of all the models under consideration for tgfb.

Significant scores (p-value<0.005) have been marked in **bold** 

\* Relatively better models based on t-test stastic

Table S2: Paired t-test to cor	npare docking scores	of all the models	under consideration	for MAP2K.
--------------------------------	----------------------	-------------------	---------------------	------------

	ESM Family				ProtBert family					Alnhaf	Validations		
	Esm1	Esm1b	Esm1v	protbert	proteber	prott5xl	prott5xl	protxlne	protalbe	old	DeepDT	DeepCo	DeepDT
					tbfd		bfd	t	rt	oiu	Ι	nvDTI	Α
Esm1	0.00	0.00	-6.27	-4.39	-7.51	-8.74	-21.80	-6.28	-12.51	-9.69	0.81	-0.87	-3.32
Esm1b	0.00	0.00	-6.27	-4.39	-7.51	-8.74	-21.80	-6.28	-12.51	-9.69	0.81	-0.87	-3.32
Esm1v	6.27	6.27	0.00	-0.82	-1.44	-0.42	-10.93	0.24	-4.43	-2.65	6.50	4.00	1.21
protbert	4.39	4.39	0.82	0.00	-0.11	0.65	-5.14	0.98	-1.72	-0.77	4.69	3.44	1.60
protebertbfd	7.51	7.51	1.44	0.11	0.00	1.31	-8.51	1.71	-2.60	-1.03	7.68	5.13	2.33
prott5xl	8.74	8.74	0.42	-0.65	-1.31	0.00	-14.12	0.74	-5.14	-2.81	8.59	4.94	1.66
prott5xlbfd*	21.80	21.80	10.93	5.14	8.51	14.12	0.00	11.78	7.10	8.29	20.22	13.78	9.33
protxlnet	6.28	6.28	-0.24	-0.98	-1.71	-0.74	-11.78	0.00	-4.89	-3.00	6.50	3.89	1.05
protalbert	12.51	12.51	4.43	1.72	2.60	5.14	-7.10	4.89	0.00	1.68	12.14	8.12	4.64
Alphafold*	9.69	9.69	2.65	0.77	1.03	2.81	-8.29	3.00	-1.68	0.00	9.64	6.43	3.30
DeepDTI	-0.81	-0.81	-6.50	-4.69	-7.68	-8.59	-20.22	-6.50	-12.14	-9.64	0.00	-1.41	-3.71
DeepConvDTI	0.87	0.87	-4.00	-3.44	-5.13	-4.94	-13.78	-3.89	-8.12	-6.43	1.41	0.00	-2.17
DeepDTA	3.32	3.32	-1.21	-1.60	-2.33	-1.66	-9.33	-1.05	-4.64	-3.30	3.71	2.17	0.00

Significant scores (p-value<0.005) have been marked in **bold** 

\* Relatively better models based on t-test stastic

#### 2. Supplementary figures

Figure S1: Schematic representation of the seed model architecture on which all the proposed methods are based on.











#### Figure S4: TSNE mappings for proteins



## **Figure S5**: ROC and PR curves for all the models under consideration in the classification task. auPR and auROC scores are also mentioned for each model







Training performance (PROT\_XLNET) Training performance (PROTBERT) Training performance (PROTBERT\_BFD) Training Validation Training Validatio Training Training Validation Training Training Validatio Validati Validati Losses Losses Losses Regression MSE MSE Regression MSE Regression 1 150 200 250 300 350 Epoch 150 200 250 300 350 Epoch 150 200 250 300 350 50 200 250 300 350 150 200 250 300 350 150 200 250 300 Epoch Epoch Epoch Epoch 1.0 2. 1.0 Training Validation Training Validation Training 2. 0.8 - Validation 0.8 0.8 2.0 2.0 0.6 0.6 0.6 1.5 MAE **B**A M 1.5 2 0.4 MAE MAE R2 0.4 2 0.4 0.2 0 0.3 Training Validatio Training 0. Training Validatio Validatio 0. -0.2 -0 0.5 -0.3 0.5 300 350 150 200 250 300 Epoch 150 200 250 300 Epoch 150 200 250 300 350 150 200 250 300 350 Epoch 50 200 2 Epoch Epoch Epoch Training performance (PROT\_T5\_XL) Training performance (PROTALBERT) Training performance (ESM1B) Training Validation Training Validation Training Validation Training Validation Training Validation Training Validation Losses Losses Losses Regression L Regression L MSE MSE MSE Regression ٥Ļ 150 200 250 300 350 ٥L 150 200 250 300 350 150 200 250 300 350 50 200 250 300 350 150 200 250 300 350 150 200 250 300 350 100 Epoch Epoch Epoch Epoch Epoch Epoch 1.0 1.6 1.0 Training Training Training Training
Validation 2.0 2.0 2. 0.8 0.8 - Validation 0.8 - Validation 0.6 0.6 1.5 1 0.6 1. MAE MAE MAE 2 0.4 R2 R2 0.4 0.4 0.3 0. 0.2 Training 0.0 Training Training 0.0 Validat Validatio Validatio 0.5 0.5 0.5 -0.2 -0.2 0.0 150 200 250 300 200 250 200 250 150 200 250 300 350 150 200 250 300 Epoch Epoch Epoch Epoch Epoch Epoch Training perfor Training pe nce (ESM1) Training performance (PROT\_T5\_XL\_BFD) nce (ESM1V) Training Training Training Validation Training Validation Training Training - Validation Validation Validation Validation Regression Losses Losses Regression Losses MSE MSE MSE Regression

## **Figure S7:** Training statistics for all the models under consideration shows excellent statistics and minimal overfitting

0

1

50

MAE

Training Validation

150 200 250 300 350

Epoch

150 200 250 Epoch

100 150 200 250 300 350

Epoch

Training Validation 150 200 2 Epoch

1.0

8.0

0.

0.3

0.0

-0.2

2 0.4

150 200 2 Epoch

100 150 200 250 300 350

Epoch

Training

Validat

2.0

1

0.

MAE

Training

Validatio

100 150 200 250 300 350

Epoch

150 200 250 Epoch

1.0

8.0

0.6

0.0

-0.2

0.4 50 B3

50 200 250 Epoch

1.0

0.8

0.6

0.3

0.0

2 0.4

0 200 2 Epoch

2.0

MAE

0.5

50 100

Training Validation

150 200 250 300 350

Epoch

Training Validation

150 200 250 300 350

Epoch

**Figure S8:** PANTHER enrichment results for a selected group of proteins in the data for classification, molecular function and biological processes

