S	upplemental Appendix Extended Methods	3
	Expression and purification of wild-type, mutant and truncated Pus7 proteins.	3
	Selenomethionine Expression	3
	Crystallization	3
	Crystal data processing	3
	Crystal structure solution	4
	Preparation of 5'-fluorescein labeled RNA substrates.	4
	Electrophoretic mobility shift assays (EMSAs)	5
	Single-turnover pseudouridinylation assays	6
	Stop Flow Assays: Pus7/ D256A binding with fluorescently labeled mRNA	6
	Wild-type and pus7 Δ growth assessment	7
	Phylogenetic tree generation	7
	Ribosome profiling data analysis	7
	Modeling of thermal stability of PUS7	8
	Detection and quantification of pseudouridylation: CLAP assay	8
	CLAP Primers	10
S	upplemental Appendix Figures	12
	Figure S1: Phylogenetic relations in TruD and Pus7 family.	12
	Figure S2: Comparison of Pus7 structures	13
	Figure S3: Sequence alignment of representative TruD family members.	16
	Figure S4: ID-I contains a single strand nucleic acid binding R3H domain. DALI alignment of the R3H motifs (based on 56 atoms, RMSD: 2.788 Å) from humanPus7 ID-I (yellow, PDB: 5kkp) and PARN (blue, PDB: 2a1s).	17
	Figure S5: S. cerevisiae cell growth under different conditions.	18
	Figure S6: Example S. cerevisiae cell growth in liquid media	19
	Figure S7: Ribosome occupancies are affected in the absence of Pus7.	20
	Figure S8: Raw EMSA data	21
	Figure S9: Yeast PUS1 nonspecifically binds RNA and catalyzes pseudouridinylation outside its consensus sequence	26
	Figure S10: Models utilized in analysis of EMSA data.	27
	Figure S11: Stopped flow assessment of binding kinetics.	28
	Figure S12: Deletion of ID1 does not broadly affect pseudouridinylation of total RNA in vitro.	29
	Figure S13: Deletion of ID-I influences pseudouridylation efficiency in a target dependent manner.	30
	Figure S14: Expression of PUS7 Δ ID1 confers no obvious phenotypic defects relative to PUS7FL	31
	Figure S15: Isolation of PUS7fl and PUS7ΔID1 expressing clones	32

	Figure S16: Secondary structure prediction of Pus7 modified sites in mRNA coding regions reported in Carlile, al. Nature (2014) (23).	et 33
	Figure S17: Model - Pus7 rapidly samples RNAs for specific modifiable sequences.	45
	Figure S18: Secondary structure predictions at 30°C and 45°C of randomly selected Pus7 heat shock targets Schwartz, et al. Cell (2014)	46
	Figure S19: The observed rate constant for pseudouridinylation on short target 1 (ST1) is increased ~10-fold a elevated temperature	ıt 56
	Figure S20: Modeled thermal stability of PUS7.	57
S	UPPLEMENTAL APPENDIX TABLES	58
	Table S1: Crystallographic parameters.	58
	Table S2: Impact of Pus7 mutations on CDC8 binding and modification	60
	Table S3: Dissociation constants for Pus7 binding to various substrates.	61
	Table S4: Observed rate constants for pseudouridinylation on different substrates.	62
	Table S5: RNAs used for biochemical assays.	63
S	UPPLEMENTAL APPENDIX REFERENCES	64

SUPPLEMENTAL APPENDIX EXTENDED METHODS

Expression and purification of wild-type, mutant and truncated Pus7 proteins.

Saccharomyces cerevisiae wild-type and truncated (Pus7_{AN34C9}, Pus7_{AID1}) Pus7 protein encoding DNA-sequences were ordered from GeneArt. Ligation independent cloning was used to incorporate these sequences into a pMCSG7 vector containing an N-terminal His₆-tag and TEV cleavage site. Single and double mutants were incorporated into the Pus7 sequence by QuikChange® site-directed mutagenesis (Stratagene) using appropriate primers (IDT) (SI Methods). Sequences were confirmed by Sanger DNA sequencing (UMich sequencing core). All proteins were expressed in BL21(DE3)-P-LysS E. coli cells grown in 1L Terrific Broth, 100 µg/mL ampicillin at 37°C and 250 RPM. Protein expression was induced by the addition of isopropyl β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) to a final concentration of 0.15 mM when cells reached OD_{600} of ~0.6. Following induction, cells were grown for 18 hours at 20°C and harvested by centrifugation at 5,000 RPM for 30 minutes. Pus7 proteins were purified on a Ni²⁺ Hi-Trap column (GE healthcare), the His-tag was removed by TEV protease treatment followed by a second Ni²⁺ Hi-Trap column. The protein was further purified by anion exchange chromatography on a 5 mL Resource[™] Q column (GE Healthcare), and size exclusion chromatography using a Superdex 200 column (GE Healthcare). Purified protein was either concentrated and stored at -80° C or used immediately for crystallization.

Selenomethionine Expression

pMCSG7-yPus7 was expressed in BL21(DE3) cells grown in Terrific Broth media (4% glycerol), 100 μ g/mL ampicillin at 37°C overnight. The cells were pelleted resuspended in 1.1L of selenomethionine minimal media, supplemented with 50 μ g/mL L-selenomethionine, and 100 mL of freshly prepared, and sterile filtered nutrient solution 20% (w/v) glucose, 0.3% (w/v) MgSO₄, 0.1mg/mL Fe(II)(SO₄)₃, 0.1 mg/mL Thiamine, adjust to pH 7.4, sterile. The cells were then grown at 37°C and 250 RPM until OD₆₀₀ of 0.6. The cells were induced with IPTG to a final concentration of 0.2 mM and grown for 18 hours at 20°C before harvesting by centrifugation.

Crystallization

Unlabeled and SeMet derivatized Pus7 was concentrated 10 mg/mL in 50 mM TRIS, pH 7.5, 50 mM NaCl, 1 mM tris(2-carboxyethyl)phosphine (TCEP). Crystals of Pus7 were obtained by the sitting-drop vapor-diffusion method at 20°C by mixing 0.5uL of protein solution (10mg/mL) with 0.5uL of the reservoir solution which contained 2 M ammonium sulfate, 10 mM nickel (II) chloride, 100 mM TRIS pH 8.5. The crystals were then cryoprotected in a solution of 15% glycerol, 1.7 M ammonium sulfate, 0.85 mM nickel (II) chloride, 85 mM TRIS pH 8.5 before being flash cooled in liquid-nitrogen.

Crystal data processing

Diffraction data were collected at 100 K and at the Se edge on LS-CAT 21-ID-D at Advanced Photon Source, Argonne National Laboratory using a DECTRIS EIGER 9M. Three data sets were collected from two crystals, and all were separately processed with XDS to 3.2 Å resolution were Friedel pairs were treated as equal. Reflections from a total of 1500 selected frames (first 500 from 2 datasets and first 400 from the third) were merged and scaled with Aimless (cite) and the

resulting reflection file was used for subsequent refinements of our Pus7 model. The data were indexed to space group C222 (unit-cell parameters a = 117.9, b = 171.8, c = 105.3 Å) with 1 molecule in the asymmetric unit (Matthew's coefficient $V_{\rm M} = 3.46$ Å³ Da⁻¹, 64.5% solvent content). 500 frames from a single data set were processed anomalous (Friedel pairs were not treated as equal) with XDS to 3.2 Å and the resulting reflection file was used for the SAD phasing.

Crystal structure solution

Initial structure solutions were obtained by molecular replacement using the human Pus7 (PDB:5KKP) as a search model and initial phases were calculated using Phaser (1). However, we were unable to obtain a structure solution for insertion domain one, which necessitated the growth of Se-Met Pus7 crystals. AutoSol (2) was used to identify selenium sites and calculate density-modified 3.3 Å experimental maps based on a single-wavelength single-wavelength anomalous dispersion (SAD) data set from SeMet Pus7 (the experimentally determined SeMet f' and f" values that were used were -7.4 and 5.0 respectively). Specifically, 16 selenium sites were located and used for SAD phasing, using phenix.hyss. Subsequently, Phaser was used to calculate the experimental phases, followed by density modification with RESOLVE (figure of merit 0.36 before and 0.78 after density modification). The experimental density map showed clear features of the protein backbone and well-defined side chains. RESOLVE traced and automatically built 389 residues and their side chains in the experimental electron density. The final experimental model was in really good agreement with our original MR derived model but also provided us with a partial model of ID-1. The partial model of ID-1 included residues 129 to 148, a region of ID-1 that packs against the core of an adjacent monomer and includes the only SeMet present in ID1. The electron density corresponding to the insertion domain is overall poor and of rather low resolution, as also reflected in the very high average B-factors (165.02) as compared to the average B-factors (117.44) for the rest of the protein (Figure S2E). Ultimately, using SAD phasing, in combination with our MR model, we were able to obtain a structure solution for the insertion domain, completing our structure model. An overlay of the final Pus7 model with all 16 experimentally determined selenium heavy atoms is shown in Fig. S2. The structural model was refined with REFMAC5 as part of the CCP4I2 package (3) using isotropic individual B-factors with maximum-likelihood targets where the Babinet model for bulk-solvent scaling was utilized. Refinement was followed by model building and modification with Coot (4). We performed several iterative rounds of refinement followed by model building and modification. All crystallographic information as well as refinement statistics are provided in Table 1. The geometric quality of the model and its agreement with the structure factors were assessed with MolProbity (5). Figures displaying crystal structures were generated by PyMOL(6).

Preparation of 5'-fluorescein labeled RNA substrates.

RNA was prepared via *in vitro* transcription from DNA oligonucleotide templates ordered from Integrated DNA Technologies (IDT) and transcribed by recombinant T7 RNA polymerase (7). Transcription reactions were carried out in 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 4 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM spermidine, 5 mM DTT, 4 mM ATP, 4 mM CTP, 4 mM UTP, 1 mM GTP, 4 mM guanosine-5'-Omonophosphorothiolate (GMPS), 350 µg/mL purified T7 RNA polymerase, 12.5 µM purified DNA template containing T7 promoter and 4 U/µI SUPERaseIn. After stopping the transcription by the addition of 50 mM EDTA and 500 mM NaCl, the RNA was washed with degassed TE pH 7.2 three times using Amicon spin column (10 kDa MWCO). The washed RNA (~250 μ I) was incubated with 20 μ I 45 mM fluorescein overnight at 37°C to label the 5'end. All following steps were carried out in the dark. The reaction was stopped by addition of an equal volume of 2X loading dye (0.05% Bromophenol Blue, 0.05% Xylene Cyanol dye, 50% m/v urea, 0.1 M EDTA) and run on a 12% urea-polyacrylamide gel. The RNA was eluted via crush-and-soak method into buffer (TE, 0.1% SDS, and 0.5 M NaCl) overnight at 4°C. The elution products were subsequently filtered, washed, and concentrated using degassed TE and an Amicon spin column (10 kDa MWCO). The RNA was then ethanol precipitated at -20°C for 12 hours. The resulting pellet was resuspended in 20 μ I of RNase free H₂O. The concentration of the total and labeled RNA were measured photometrically using A260 and A494 respectively, using a Nanodrop spectrophotometer. Select FI-labeled substrates were also purchased from Dharmacon.

Electrophoretic mobility shift assays (EMSAs)

For gel-shift assays, serially diluted protein (0-2000 μ M) was incubated with 10 nM of 5'fluorescein labeled RNA in 10 μ L reaction volumes for \geq 5 min at 25°C in a binding buffer containing 100 mM NH₄OAc, 100 mM Tris, pH 8.0, 5 mM MgCl₂, 2 mM DTT, and 6% (w/v) sucrose. An aliquot of each reaction (5 μ L) was loaded on a preequilibrated, native 6% polyacrylamide (37.5:1) gel in 1xTBE. Gels were electrophoresed at 30V for ~4h at 4°C. When fluorescently labeled RNA substrates were used, electrophoresis was performed in the dark. Gels were then rinsed in 1xTBE and imaged on an Amersham Typhoon Biomolecular Imager (GE Healthcare). If unlabeled RNA was used, the gel was stained with SYBR[®] Gold Nucleic Acid Gel Stain (Invitrogen) in 1xTBE for \geq 30 min in the dark before imaging on the Typhoon. Band intensities were quantified using ImageQuant (Cytiva) and the percentage of RNA bound calculated using Equation 1:

$$RNA_{bound}(\%) = 100 \times \frac{E_T^{n_h}}{K_{D,app}^{n_h} + E_T^{n_h}}$$

Binding data were fit using equations derived from the binding models shown in Figure S10. In general, simpler models were tried first, and if systematic errors remained in the fit, more complex models were used to fit the data. The simplest model used was a Hill curve, Equation 2:

$$RNA_{bound}(\%) = 100 \times \frac{E_T^{n_h}}{K_{D,app}^{n_h} + E_T^{n_h}}$$

In this model, $K_{D,app}$ is the apparent K_D for binding of Pus7 to one of the many sites on a given RNA; $K_{D,app}{}^n{}_h$ is the concentration of Pus7 at which 50% of available sites are bound. When systematic errors remained in the fit, a more complex model was used in which Pus7 bound first

to a single specific site on the RNA, followed by the binding of multiple Pus7 moieties to multiple nonspecific sites on the same RNA (Figure S10B). These data were analyzed using Equation 3:

$$RNA_{bound}(\%) = 100 \times \frac{\binom{E_T}{K_{D,app1}} \times \left(1 + \binom{E_T}{K_{D,app2}}\right)^{n_h}}{1 + \binom{E_T}{K_{D,app1}} \times \left(1 + \binom{E_T}{K_{D,app2}}\right)^{n_h}}$$

Neither of these models are theoretically correct, in particular because there is no evidence for cooperative binding of Pus7 to RNA. A theoretically correct binding model would need to account for random binding of Pus7 to all of the possible binding sites on a given RNA. Each RNA has many binding sites, which are not all equivalent because of differences in sequence and structure, and the binding sites can interact with one another negatively (via steric occlusion, for example) and positively (e.g. binding of Pus7 at one site changes structure at a second site, increasing binding affinity). Our experimental methods do not provide enough information to develop such a model. The simplified models we use to analyze the data are therefore the best available tool, and allow for quantitative comparison of differences in binding that are identifiable via visual inspection of EMSA gel images.

Single-turnover pseudouridinylation assays

RNA substrates containing 5,6-[³H]-uridine were prepared by *in vitro* transcription (7) and denaturing gel purification. Reaction buffer was as described for the EMSA experiments. RNA substrates were folded in 1X reaction buffer by heating to 60°C for 5 minutes, followed by a 30 minute incubation at 30°C (8). Indicated concentrations of protein were mixed with the smallest detectable amount of substrate (~3,000 cpm per uridine in each timepoint, which allows reliable detection of tritium release above 5% turnover). At each timepoint an aliquot of reaction mix (containing ~3,000 cpm/U) was quenched in 1,250 µL 0.1 M HCI (final) containing 250 µg Norit-A. Quenched timepoints were mixed, centrifuged at 21,000 x g for 5 minutes, and 1000 μ L of supernatant was transferred to a new tube containing 250 µL of 0.1 M HCl with 250 µg Norit-A. Mixing and centrifugation were repeated, and 1000 µL of the supernatant was filtered through glass wool in a 1 mL pipet tip to remove residual charcoal. Aliguots of the filtrate (500 µL) were removed for liquid scintillation counting in a Beckman LSC-6500. For each reaction mix, input controls were prepared by passing an aliquot of reaction through the same process using 0.1 M HCl without the Norit-A. Counts observed in the input sample are used to calculate cpm/uridine, allowing calculation of the amount of Ψ produced at each timepoint. Background counts were determined by processing an RNA only reaction aliquot through the sample pipeline; these counts were routinely equivalent to background in our instrument (~30 cpm). Fraction of target U converted to Ψ data were fitted using Equation 4:

 $U > \Psi$ (fraction) = 1 - $e^{-k_{obs} \times t}$

Stop Flow Assays: Pus7/ D256A binding with fluorescently labeled mRNA

D256A Pus7 and 5'-fluorescein labeled CDC8 were generated and purified as described as above. Kinetic binding experiments were performed using the Kintek SF-300x stop-flow apparatus. Fluorescently labeled mRNA (5 nM final concentration) was mixed with D256A at

varied concentrations (20 nM – 750 nM final). Binding experiments were performed at room temperature in same buffer used in the EMSA experiments over the span of 1-1.5 seconds. Lower concentrations of Pus7/D256A (0-100nM) displayed monophasic behavior and were fit with a single exponential equation: $A_1e^{-k1t} + c$ to obtain a k_{obs1} . Higher concentrations displayed biphasic behavior and therefore were fit with a double exponential equation: $A_1e^{-k1t} + A_2e^{-k2t} + c$ to obtain k_{obs1} and k_{obs2} . The k_{obs1} values from both fits were then plotted against the concertation of D256A PUS7 mutant, displaying a linear relationship. The y-intercept gave a k_{off} of approximately 35 s⁻¹ and the slope gave a k_{on} of ~4.3 x 10⁸ M⁻¹s⁻¹. The K_D For D256A binding CDC8 was obtained using Equation 5: K_D = k_{off}/k_{on} .

Wild-type and *pus*7∆ growth assessment

Wild-type and *pus7* Δ yeast cells were inoculated into 3 mL YPD media and grown overnight. Then, they were diluted to OD₆₀₀=1 as a starting point, and 7 ml of 10-fold serial dilutions were spotted on fresh YPD agar plates supplemented with 0.75-1.0 M NaCl, 250 mM MgSO₄, 200 mM puromycin, 100 ng/mL cycloheximide, 25-50 mg/mL hygromycin B, 50 mM MG132 and 1.5-3 mg/mL paromomycin. Growth of the cells were also tested in the presence of different carbon sources including 2% glucose, 2% sucrose and 2% galactose in YEP agar media (1% yeast extract and 2% peptone). The plates were incubated for 2-3 days at 30°C unless otherwise indicated.

Phylogenetic tree generation

Annotated TruD/Pus7 sequences (>400 total sequences) from GenBank (NCBI) were aligned using ClustalW. Then, a representative 44 amino acid sequence was used for further analysis. Evolutionary analyses were conducted using MEGAX tool (9). The phylogenetic tree was generated using the Maximum Likelihood method (10). The bootstrap consensus tree inferred from 100 replicates is taken to represent the evolutionary history of the taxa analyzed (11). The percentage of replicate trees in which the associated taxa clustered together in the bootstrap test (100 replicates) are shown next to the branches. Initial tree(s) for the heuristic search were obtained automatically by applying Neighbor-Join and BioNJ algorithms to a matrix of pairwise distances estimated using the JTT model, and then selecting the topology with superior log likelihood value. A discrete Gamma distribution was used to model evolutionary rate differences among sites (5 categories (+G, parameter = 1.3722)). The rate variation model allowed for some sites to be evolutionarily invariable ([+I], 0.89% sites). There were a total of 1344 positions in the final dataset.

Ribosome profiling data analysis

Raw ribosome profiling sequencing data from two studies (12, 13) were downloaded and processed using the procedures described below. Briefly, adapter contaminations and low-quality reads were filtered out from the raw reads using the Cutadapt tool (14) like as previously described (15). Subsequently rRNA and tRNA contaminations were removed by aligning reads to the non-coding RNA (ncRNA) sequences of *S. cerevisiae* using Bowtie2 (16). Next, the remaining unaligned sequences were aligned against the transcriptome (coding RNA) of *S. cerevisiae* (R64-1-1 genome built) using TopHat2 (17). After that perfect match alignments were extracted from the TopHat output. For further downstream analysis, 3'- and 5'-end P-site offset values were

determined using riboWaltz (18). These P-site offset values are required to identify where ribosomes are located on each ribosome protected footprints (RPFs). After P-site offset calculation, actively translating ribosomes that represent trinucleotide periodicity were identified. Then the number of mapped RPFs was counted for each codon position within a gene using Samtools (19).

Modeling of thermal stability of PUS7

Using the established relationship between a protein's stability and its heat capacity (ΔC_{ρ}), stability (ΔG) chain length can be reasonably modeled as a function of chain length (N) and temperature (T) (26-29). Pus 7's stability curve was modeled as a function of N and T using previously published model seen in, Equation 6 (27, 29-31).

$$\Delta G(N,T) = \Delta H_R + \Delta C_p (T - T_R) - T \Delta S_R - T \Delta C_p \ln \ln \left(\frac{T}{T_R}\right)$$
(6)

Where enthalpy (ΔH_R) and entropy (ΔS_R) are calculated at a reference temperature and (ΔC_p) is the heat capacity of a protein, T_R is the reference temperature of 373 K for both ΔH_R and ΔS_R . These previous studies took advantage of the correlation between a protein's thermodynamic parameter and chain length to derive linear equations from experimental measurements collected into databases. The linear equations can be expressed as equations 7, 8, 9, (27, 29)

$$\Delta H_R = m_h \cdot N + b_h \tag{7}$$

$$\Delta S_R = m_s \cdot N + b_s \tag{8}$$

$$\Delta C_p = m_c \cdot N + b_c \tag{9}$$

Where m_h and b_h are the slope and intercept of ΔH_R , m_s and b_s are the slope and intercept of ΔS_R and m_c and b_c are the slope and intercept of ΔC_p when these parameters are plotted as a function of *N*. Equations 7, 8, 9 can be inserted into Equation 6, in order to get stability as a function of N and T as seen in Equation 10.

$$\Delta G(N, T) = (10)$$

$$(m_h N + b_h) + (m_c N + b_c)(T - T_R) - T(m_s N + b_s) - T(m_c N + b_c) \ln\left(\frac{T}{T_R}\right)$$

Detection and quantification of pseudouridylation: CLAP assay

The CLAP assay was adapted from Zhang, 2019 (25).

Pseudouridylation of total RNA or in vitro transcribed CDC8

Briefly, 150 μ g of total RNA purified from BY4741 yeast $\Delta pus7::kanMX$ was mixed with 50 μ M Pus7-WT or Pus7- Δ ID1 and incubated for 10 minutes at 30°C or 37°C in 1X pseudouridinylation buffer (100 mM TRIS-HCl pH 8.0, 100 mM NH₄OAc, 2 mM DTT, 5 mM MgCl₂) to modify the RNA. The reaction was stopped by adding 1/10th volume of 3 M NaOAc pH 5.2, followed by two phenol:chloroform (1:1) extractions with saturated acid phenol, and a final chloroform extraction to isolate the RNA. The RNA was then precipitated by adding an equal volume of 100% EtOH and 1 μ L of GlycoBlue (Thermo Fisher, AM9515) and incubated at -20°C for 3 hours.

CMC treatment

RNA was resuspended in 41.5 μ L of BEU buffer (50 mM Bicine pH 8.3, 4 mM EDTA, 7 M Urea). For CMC treated samples, 8.5 μ L of freshly prepared 1 M CMC dissolved in BEU buffer was added, for a final concentration of 170 mM CMC. For CMC non-treated samples, 8.5 μ L of BEU buffer was added, for a final reaction volume of 50 μ L. Samples were incubated at 37°C for 20 minutes. The reaction was stopped by adding 100 μ L of Stop Buffer (300 mM NaOAc pH 5.2, 0.1 mM EDTA) for a final volume of 150 μ L. Excess CMC was removed by two sequential ethanol precipitations. Briefly, 700 μ L 100% EtOH, and 1 μ L GlycoBlue were added to the reaction before incubating 3 hours at -20°C. Sample was spun down for 30 min, 15kRPM, at 4°C before removing the supernatant, and washing the pellet by adding 500 uL of 70% EtOH, and spin for 5 min at 15kRPM. Remove supernatant and allow pellet to dry. Resuspend the RNA pellet in 100 μ L of Stop Buffer and repeat ethanol precipitation and wash.

Alkali Treatment

Resuspend the pellet in 40 μ L of 50 mM Na₂CO₃ pH 10.4 (pH taken at 37°C, temperature of incubation) and incubate for 3 hours at 37°C. Precipitate RNA via ethanol precipitation, as described above, with an additional 70% ethanol wash. Let pellet air dry. Resuspend the pellet in 20 μ L sterile water and determine concentration by nano-drop.

RNA 5' Phosphorylation

To 6 μ g RNA in 6.5 μ L, add 1 μ L 10X T4 PNK reaction buffer (NEB B0201S), 1 μ L of 1 mM ATP, 0.5 μ L 20 U/ μ L SUPERase-In RNase Inhibitor (Thermo Fisher AM2694), and 1 μ L 10 U/ μ L T4 PNK (NEB M0201L) for a final volume of 10 μ L. Incubate at 37°C for 30 minutes.

Blocker Ligation

To the reaction above, add 1 μ L 10X T4 RNA Ligase reaction buffer (NEB B0216L), 1 μ L of 100 μ M 5' RNA blocker oligo (IDT /5AmMC6/rArCrCrCrA), 1 μ L of 1 mM ATP, 1 μ L 20 U/ μ L SUPERase•In RNase Inhibitor (Thermo Fisher AM2694), 3 μ L DMSO, 2 μ L sterile water and 1 μ L 10 U/ μ L T4 RNA Ligase I (NEB M0204L) for a final volume of 20 μ L. Incubate at 16°C for 16 h. Stop ligation reaction by adding 1.2 μ L 200 mM EDTA.

Reverse transcription

For reverse transcription, the RT primer was first annealed by taking 3 μ L of ligation mixture, adding 1 μ L of 10 X annealing buffer (250 mM Tris-HCl, 480 mM KCl, pH 7.4) and 1 μ L of 0.5 μ M target specific reverse transcription primer (IDT). Samples were heated to 95°C for 3 minutes and slowly cooled to 37°C at a rate of -0.01°C/s (~15 min). To annealed sample, add 5 μ L of 2 X AMV reverse transcription reaction mixture (1.2 U/ μ L AMV RT (NEB M0277L), 2X AMV RT buffer, and 1 mM of each dNTP) for a final concentration of 0.6 U/ μ L AMV RT, 1X AMV RT buffer, and 0.5 mM of each dNTP. Incubate at 42°C for one hour. Inactivate AMV RT by heating to 85 °C for 5 min before placing on ice. To digest RNA, add 1 μ L of 5 U/ μ L RNaseH and incubate at 37°C for 20 minutes. Inactivate RNaseH by heating to 85 °C for 5 min and before placing reaction on ice. Add 1 μ L of splint/adaptor oligo mix (1.5 μ M adaptor oligo, 1.5 μ M splint oligo) and incubate mixture at 75°C for 3 minutes followed 3 minutes at room temperature to anneal the splint/adaptor.

Add 4 μ L of 4x ligation mixture (40 U/ μ L T4 DNA ligase, 4X T4 DNA ligase buffer, and 50% DMSO) for a final concentration of (10 U/ μ L T4 DNA ligase, 1X T4 DNA ligase buffer, and 12.5% DMSO). Incubate at 16°C for 16 h. Heat reaction to 65°C for 10 min to deactivate T4 DNA ligase, place immediately on ice.

PCR

Use 2 μ L of reaction above, mix with 3.5 μ L of 5 μ M forward primer and 3.5 μ L of 5 μ M reverse primer (or reverse transcription primer). Add components for Q5 DNA polymerase reaction to a final volume of 35 μ L and final concentration of 1X Q5 reaction buffer, 1X Q5 GC enhancer, 200 μ M of each dNTP, 0.5 μ M of forward and reverse primers, and 0.2 U/ μ L Q5 high fidelity DNA polymerase (NEB M0491L). Perform 35 cycles of PCR at requisite annealing temperatures for each site. 5 μ L of PCR reaction was mixed with 1 μ L of 6X TriTrack DNA loading dye and loaded on to a native 10% acrylamide (29:1) gel in 1X TBE pre-run at 10V/cm for 1 hour. Gel ran 3 hours at 10V/cm before being stained in 1X SYBR gold nucleic acid gel stain in 1X TBE for ~10 minutes. Gels were imaged on Amersham Typhoon imager and quantified using ImageQuant.

CLAP Primers	
ARG5,6_RT	CCCATAGCAAGATTAATATTT
ARG5,6_FWD	TAGTTATTGGTGGTTTCA
ARG5,6_REV	TGCAGACATTGAGTAGC
ARG5,6_ADAPT	pCCATGTGAAACCACCAATAACTA
ARG5,6_SPLINT	TTTCACATGGAGTTGTTTGC/3SpC3/
BET2_RT	GCTTGAGCTGCATGGGATTCA
BET2_FWD	ACTATCAATTTTGGGTGAATTAA
BET2_REV	GCATTAGGACATAATCCAAAG
BET2_ADAPT	pCCATGTTAATTCACCCAAAATTGATAGT
BET2_SPLINT	ATTAACATGGAGACTTTGTA/3SpC3/
U2snRNA_RT	TATTATTTGGGTGCCAAAAA
U2snRNA_56_FWD	CCTTTTGGCTTAGATCAA
U2snRNA_REV	ATGTGTATTGTAACAAATTAAAAGG
U2snRNA_56_ADAPT	pCCATGTTGATCTAAGCCAAAAGG
U2snRNA_56_SPLINT	ATCAACATGGAACAACTGAA/3SpC3/
U2snRNA_35_FWD	ACGAATCTCTTTGCCTTT
U2snRNA_35_ADAPT	pCCATGAAAGGCAAAGAGATTCGT
U2snRNA_35_SPLINT	CCTTTCATGGAGTATCTGTT/3SpC3/
CDC8_RT	ATATGCGTACTCAAAACAGGC
CDC8_FWD	GCTATTGGATAAAGAGATAAGGA
CDC8_REV	TCAACGATTTGCCAAATAAGC
CDC8_ADAPT	pCCATGTCCTTATCTCTTTATCCAATAGC
CDC8_SPLINT	AAGGACATGGAGACGTTACT/3SpC3/

GTTGAACCATCTGGAGAATTC EFB1/TEF5_81_RT EFB1/TEF5 81 FWD GAAACAATTAAACGCTTCTTT TGGGTAAGCAGATTGGAAA EFB1/TEF5 81 REV EFB1/TEF5 81 ADAPT pCCATGAAAGAAGCGTTTAATTGTTTC EFB1/TEF5_81_SPLINT TCTTTCATGGACTGCTGTTT/3SpC3/ RTC3 77 RT TCCTGAGGAGTGAAAACTTCG RTC3 77 FWD GGTGAAAATACAGATTTGATTG RTC3 77 REV AAGAGTTCGACAACTTCAGAT RTC3 77 ADAPT pCCATGCAATCAAATCTGTATTTTCACC RTC3 77 SPLINT GATTGCATGGAGACGAATAT/3SpC3/ RTC3 288 RT/REV TCAATTGTAGGCTTTGGTTC RTC3 288 FWD GTTATCGATTTGATATTGAGAAA RTC3_288_ADAPT pCCATGTTTCTCAATATCAAATCGATAAC RTC3 288 SPLINT AGAAACCATGGAGTCTCAAAA/3SpC3/ TEF2 555 RT GGACTTCAAGAACTTTGGATG TEF2 555 FWD GAAACCTCCAACTTTATCAA TEF2_555_REV GGTGGTAGCTTCAATCATGTT TEF2 555 ADAPT pCCATGTTGATAAAGTTGGAGGTTTC TEF2 555 SPLINT ATCAACATGGGTTCCATTCG/3SpC3/ TEF2_1104_RT ACCCTTGTACCATGGAGCGTT TEF2_1104_FWD TTACTCTCCAGTTTTGGA TEF2 1104 REV GTCTTCCAACTTCTTACCAGA TEF2 1104 ADAPT pCCATGTCCAAAACTGGAGAGTAA TTGGACATGGAGATTCGACG/3SpC3/ TEF2_1104_SPLINT

SUPPLEMENTAL APPENDIX FIGURES

Figure S1: Phylogenetic relations in TruD and Pus7 family. This tree shows the relation of Pus7 family proteins in different species. It also represents the relation between Pus7 family with TruD family proteins.



Figure S2: Comparison of Pus7 structures. (A) Rendering of the electrostatic surface potential of yeast Pus7 generated with ABPS Electrostatics (20). Negatively charged regions are shown in red, and positively charged regions are shown in blue. (B) Catalytic residue D256, Pus7 numbering, is shifted ~4A relative to the same residue in TruD (D80). Figure shows alignment of the yeast Pus7 active site (purple) and residues (gray) with the equivalent residues in TruD (vellow, PDB: 1SB7)(21). Pus7 numbering in black, TruD numbering in yellow. Distances measured both from CAlpha position and from the carboxyl on D256/D80. (C) Superposition of yeast Pus7 (light gray, blue) and human Pus7 (dark gray, yellow, PDB: 5KKP)(22), (Caloha RMSD = 3.743 for 144 atoms) and rotated 180 degrees to show the difference in position of the insertions (I, II, and III) in yeast (blue) and human (yellow) Pus7. The catalytic residue D256, yeast numbering, is shown in the active site (light gray spheres). (D) Top down view of yeast and human superposition, looking down into the active site. (E) Putty representation of Pus7 colored according to B factors. Residues with the lowest B factors in blue (min = 20Å) and maximum in red (max=200Å). (F) 2Fo-Fc maps showing experimental electron density (gray mesh) around veast Pus7 ID-I (blue) contoured at 1.5σ . Methionine residues (M88 and M145, orange), shown as sticks, used for SAD phasing.(G) Superposition (using 136 - 336 c-alpha atoms of the TRUD and PUS domains, RMSD: ~2.52Å for these domains) of TruD homologs, including: each molecule in the asymmetric unit of each *E.coli* TruD structure (gray, PDB: 1sb7, 1si7, 1szw), both TruD molecules in the asymmetric unit of the Methanosarcina Mazei structure (gray, PDB: 1z2z), the single Pus7 molecule in the human structure (vellow, PDB: 5kkp), and the single molecule in the yeast Pus7 structure reported here (blue).





Pus7 S.cerevisiae Pus7 H.sapiens Pus7 D.rerio Pus7 M.musculus TruD E.coli	1 1 1 1	MEMTEMTGVSLKRGALVVEDNDSGVPVEETKKQKLSECSLTKGQDGLONDFLSISCOVPRPPDTVSTGKGGKNSEAQLED MEDTAAS
Pus7 S.cerevisiae	15	
Pus7 H.sapiens Pus7 D.rerio Pus7 M.musculus TruD E.coli	81 31 78 1	EEEFOEDGLSEECEEEESESFADMMKHGL.TEADVGITKEFUSSH.OGFSGILMERYSDFVHBIGK EEEFOEDEEEEPEEEEESFADMMKHGL.TEADVGIREFISH.TGFSGILMERYSDFVHBIGK EEEEEEEEGGLSE.AGEEEAESFADMMKHGL.TELDVGICKFVSSH.HGFSGILMERYSDFVHBIGK MIEFDNL.TYLHGKPQGTGLLMANPEDFVVVBDL.
		Insertion Domain I (aa75-215) α1 α2 α3
Pus7 S.cerevisiae Pus7 H.sapiens Pus7 D.rerio Pus7 M.musculus TruD E.coli	75 145 99 144	EĞKVIHÜTDÜKGFKMPKK.PQRSKEEVNAEKESEAARRQEFNVDPELRNQLVEIFGEEDVLKIES DÖRISHUNDLSIPVDEEDPSEDIFTVLTAEEKQRLEELQLFKNKETSVA EĞRMVRUDDLCVPAEEQDRLDPSESSAEAQTLSEEQKQQLEDLQLFRNKEGQVF. DĞRISHUDDLSVPVDEEDPPEDALTVLTAEDRQLEELQLFKNKETSVA.
Pus7 S.cerevisiae Pus7 H.sapiens Pus7 D.rerio Pus7 M.musculus TruD E.coli	138 194 154 193 34	VYRTANKMETAKNFEDMSVMCTKIHQULKEAPKNELESVITDINIFKIMR.SN.RNSRINKQEKINQITRDANGVENMGY IEVIEDIKEKRTIIHQAIKSIPPGETKIE.DECKKYIVMAYHAAGKKALANPKKAN IQVQEDSKEQRTLLHRAVKILPPGLEIKIE.ERDGQRVIVMAYHAAGKKALAELRPSAAPRKHSMPK IEVIEDIMEKRTVIHQAIKSLPPGETKIE.DREGRKYIVMAYHAAGKKALAKVRAAAPRKHSMPK GFEPD
		β3 α5 β4 β5 β6 α6 η6 β7
Pus7 S.cerevisiae Pus7 H.sapiens Pus7 D.rerio Pus7 M.musculus TruD E.coli	214 253 219 258 39	GPSKDFIHFTLHGENKDEMEAVNVITKLURVPSRVIRYAGTKDRRAVTCORVSISKIGLDRLNALNRTØKGMIIGNY SRG.SYCHFVLYGENKDEMEAINVLSKYBRVKPNIFSYMGTKDRRAITVOEIAVLKITAQRLAHLNKCMNNFKLONF NRG.SFCHFVLYGENKDEMEAINVLSKYBRVRPNFSYMGTKDRRAISVOEIAVLKISAERLSHLNKCMNNLKLGNF SRG.SYCHFVLYGENKDEMAAINVLSKYBRVRVRNMFSYMGTKDRRAITVOEIAVLKISAQRLAHLNKCMNLKLGNF GEG.EHILVRILKNGCNNRFVADALAKFIKIHAREVSFAGOKDKHAVTEGWLCARVPGKEMP.DESAEQDEGCVLEY
Pus7 S.cerevisiae Pus7 H.sapiens Pus7 D.rerio Pus7 M.musculus TruD E.coli	291 329 295 334 115	NESDAS BNIGDER VVVIR DVTIGNSEVSLEEIVSNGCKSISEN GFINYFGMORFGTFS.ISHHIGRELLISNMKK SYQKNEDKIGED GONHETVVLRNITGTDDQVQQ.AMNSIKEIGFINYYGMORFGTTA.VPMYQVGRAILONNMKE CYKKHEDKIGED GONHETVVLRNITGTDDQVQQ.AMNSICNTGFINYYGMORFGTTA.VPMYVVGRAILONNMKE SYQKTELKIGADGNETVVLRNITGTDCQVDQ.AMNSICHTGFINYYGMORFGTTA.VPMYVVGRAILONNMKE ARHKRKIRIGADKGNAFTLVLRVS.NRDDVEQRLIDICVKGVENYFGAORFGIGGSNLQGAQRWAQTNTPV
		Insertion II (aa365-443)
Pus7 S.cerevisiae Pus7 H.sapiens Pus7 D.rerio Pus7 M.musculus TruD E.coli	370 402 368 407 186	AAELIISDODNV. LPKSKEARKIWAETKDAALAIKOMPROCLAENALIYSESNORKEEDGTYSENAYYTÄIMKIPRNET VMDLIIKPRSGAEKGYLVKCREEWAKTKOPTAALRKLPVKRCVEGOLLRGLSKYGM.KNIVSAFGIIPRNEL VVDLIIKPRSGAEKGYLVKCREEWAKTOPAAALRKLPVKRCVEGOLLRGLAKHG.K.HNIITAFALIPRNEL VMDLIIKPRSGAEKGYLVKCREEWAKTOPASAALRKLPVKRCVEGOLLRGLSKYG.M.KNIVSAFGIIPRNEL
Pus7 S.cerevisiae Pus7 H.sapiens Pus7 D.rerio Pus7 M.musculus TruD E.coli	449 474 440 479 193	MYVHAYOSYVWNSIASKEIELHGIK. LUVODUVIDTSEKSPLI.SGIDDEDFDEDVREAQFIRAKAVTQEDIDSVKY MYIHSYOSYVWNNWSKEIEDYGIKP. VPGDLVIKGA
		TRUD Domain (aa444-580)
Pus7 S.cerevisiae Pus7 H.sapiens Pus7 D.rerio Pus7 M.musculus TruD E.coli	524 523 489 528 250	TMEDVVLPSPCFDVLVPSNEELKQLVVDILKADNMDPFNMRRKVRDFSLAGSYRTVIQKDKSLEVRIHVDDPSQQLVN SIHDVVMPLCCFDVLV, PKHKIQEAVREMLIKADNLDIDNMRHKIRDYSLSGAYRKIIRQONVSMEVVAVDDPKIFLFN SIHDVVMPLCCFDVLV, PKHKIQEAVREMLIKADNLDIDNMRHKIRDYSLSGAYRKIIRQONVSMEVVAVDDPKFLFL SIHDVVMPLCCFDVLV, PKHKIGEAVREMLSKADLDIDNMRHKVRDYSLAGAYRKIIRQONVSMEVVAVDDP SIHDVMPLCCFDVLV, PKHKISEAVREMLSKADLDIDNMRHTVRVDYSLSGAYRKIIRQONVSMEVVAVDDP DKELMITAALPGSGEWG. TQREALAFEQAAVARETELQALLVREKVEAARRAMLLYDQQLSW
		"Insertion III (aa594-634)
Pus7 S.cerevisiae Pus7 H.sapiens Pus7 D.rerio Pus7 M.musculus TruD E.coli	603 601 567 606 311	TDLDILUNTRAKESGOKYMKAKLDRYMPD.KG.GEKTAVUKEOMGTSAYATMALRELMKLETSRRGDM.CDVKENI. TDVDNLEGKTPPV

Figure S3: Sequence alignment of representative TruD family members.

Figure S4: ID-I contains a single strand nucleic acid binding R3H domain. DALI alignment of the R3H motifs (based on 56 atoms, RMSD: 2.788 Å) from humanPus7 ID-I (yellow, PDB: 5kkp) and PARN (blue, PDB: 2a1s).



Figure S5: *S. cerevisiae* cell growth under different conditions.

		wild-type	pus7∆	
YPD – 30 °C (Control)	rep1	· · · · ·		2 days
YPD – 22 °C	rep1 rep2			3 days
YPD – 37 °C	rep1 rep2			2 days
NaCl (0.75 M)	rep1	●●● 等 **	•••***** •••***	3 days
NaCl (1.00 M)	rep1	 ●●● 微 · ●●● 微 校 · 		3 days
HygromycinB (25 µg/mL)	rep1 rep2			2 days
HygromycinΒ (50 μg/mL)	rep1		6 () © ()	3 days
Cycloheximide (75 ng/mL)	rep1	•••• ••• •••		3 days
Cycloheximide (100 ng/mL)	rep1			3 days
Paromomycin (1.5 mg/mL)	rep1		 ●●● ※ ? ●●● ※ ? ●●● ※ ? 	2 days
Paromomycin (3 mg/mL)	rep1		••••* •••••	2 days
Puromycin (200 µM)	rep1	●●● 秦 · · · ●●● · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		2 days
MG132 (50 μM)	rep1	•••**		2 days
MgSO ₄ (250 mM)	rep1		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	2 days
YPD – pH 4.5	rep1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	 ●●●参 ●●● ●●● ●● ●● ● ●<!--</td--><td>2 days</td>	2 days
YPD – pH 8.5	rep1			2 days
YEP – Glucose	rep1		000%. 00%&	2 days
YEP – Sucrose	rep1	●●● 得 ¥	●●●◎◎ * * ●●● * *	2 days
YEP – Galactose	rep1 rep2	00001/ 00001/ 00001/		3 days

Figure S6: Example S. cerevisiae cell growth in liquid media. Growth curves for wild-type and $pus7\Delta$ cells in YPD at 30°C after the addition of (A) nothing, (B) cycloheximide, and (C) paromomycin.



Time after Paromomycin addition (hr)

60

0

20

Figure S7: Ribosome occupancies are affected in the absence of Pus7. (A) Ribosome protected footprint (RPF) read length distribution. Distribution of ribosome protected fragments (RPFs) length show that most of the RPFs are between 27-30 nucleotide length. (B) ~50-60 % of these RPFs are in-frame (frame 0) (C) Ribosome occupancies are altered in $pus7\Delta$ compared to wild-type cells. Fold change in the ribosome codon occupancies was simply calculated by dividing the number of mapped RPFs in the P-site of $pus7\Delta$ to wild-type.



Figure S8: Raw EMSA data

Each panel is titled "SUBSTRATE PROTEIN" in bold text. Panels are grouped by substrate and then by protein mutations. Each panel shows the binding model used for curve fitting, one gel image, and a single curve fitted to all replicate data sets. The dissociation constant for the specific binding step of the model is noted along with the error of the fitted parameter.











Figure S9: Yeast PUS1 nonspecifically binds RNA and catalyzes pseudouridinylation outside its consensus sequence. A. EMSA using PUS1 and its GLK1 target RNA showing specific and nonspecific binding events. B. Measurement of pseudouridine synthase activity on a variety of PUS7 and PUS1 substrate RNAs. The tRNA substrates are positive controls and show the expected pattern of activity. The MFKKX substrate contains two UGUAG motifs and mutation of one of them eliminates pseudouridinylation at that site by both PUS1 and PUS7.





Figure S10: Models utilized in analysis of EMSA data. (A) Simultaneous binding of n PUS7 enzymes to a single RNA substrate, otherwise known as a Hill binding curve. This model was used when no specific binding was apparent. Binding curves were fit to the equation shown. The EMSA assay allowed direct estimation of free enzyme concentrations, so we fit the data using both free and total enzyme concentrations. The differences between these fits was much smaller than the difference in fits of data from independent replicates. Since using total enzyme as the independent variable was not the limiting factor in the precision of our measurements, we used total enzyme as the independent variable for simplicity. (B) Model for binding of one PUS7 enzyme to a single specific site on the substrate RNA, followed by simultaneous binding of n PUS7 enzymes to n non-specific sites on the same RNA. This model was used to fit data when the Hill equation underestimated the fraction bound at lower concentrations of enzyme, reflecting the existence of a unique site with a lower K_D for PUS7. (C) A realistic model for binding of one or more PUS7 enzymes, in arbitrary order, to a single specific site and one or more nonspecific sites on a single RNA. Occupancy of nonspecific sites is indicated by superscripts *i*, *j*, *k*, ... on the S. Nonspecific sites can be bound in any order (e.g., k,l,l,i) but are depicted in alphabetical order for convenience.

$$K_{D,app}$$

Sf + *n* Ef \iff SE.

В

Α

$$\mathbf{S}_{f} + \mathbf{E}_{f} \stackrel{\mathbf{K}_{D,app1}}{\longrightarrow} \mathbf{E}_{S} \mathbf{S} + n \, \mathbf{E}_{f} \stackrel{\mathbf{K}_{D,app2}}{\longrightarrow} \mathbf{E}_{S} \mathbf{S} \mathbf{E}_{NS,n} \qquad \theta_{b} \approx \frac{\mathbf{E}_{T} \cdot \left(\mathbf{K}_{D,app2}^{n_{H}} + \mathbf{E}_{T}^{n_{H}}\right)}{\mathbf{K}_{D,app1} + \mathbf{E}_{T} \cdot \left(\mathbf{K}_{D,app2}^{n_{H}} + \mathbf{E}_{T}^{n_{H}}\right)}$$

С



Figure S11: Stopped flow assessment of binding kinetics. (A) Experimental set-up, as described in the corresponding SI Appendix Methods. **(B)** Stopped-flow traces of FI-CDC8 rapidly mixed with 0, 20 and 750 nM of D256A Pus7 protein. **(C)** Traces at higher D256A concentrations were biphasic. This shows a 750 nM trace fit with one or two phases. **(D)** All of the $k_{obs,1}$ values measured are plotted as a function of D256A Pus7 concentration.



Figure S12: Deletion of ID1 does not broadly affect pseudouridinylation of total RNA in vitro.

Total cellular RNA extracted from Δpus7::kanMX was pseudouridinylated in vitro using PUS7FL or PUS7ΔID1. Pseudouridinylation of known sites was assayed using CLAP (25).



Figure S13: Deletion of ID-I influences pseudouridylation efficiency in a target dependent manner.

Total cellular RNA was extracted from *pus7::kanMX* yeast and pseudouridinylated in vitro with PUS7fl or PUS7ΔID1 (left side) or extracted from *pus7::kanMX* yeast expressing PUS7FL or PUS7ΔID1 (right side). Pseudouridinylation was assayed at specific sites using CLAP (25). The difference between mean pseudouridinylation level at sites in RNA exposed to PUS7ΔID1 and RNA exposed to PUS7fl is shown on the y axis.



Figure S14: Expression of PUS7 Δ ID1 confers no obvious phenotypic defects relative to PUS7FL. PUS7 was expressed from a CEN plasmid under the control of a GPD promoter in WT and $\Delta pus7::kanMX$ yeast and assayed by spot plating under the indicated conditions. Three independent transformants were assayed for each plasmid.



Figure S15: Isolation of PUS7fl and PUS7ΔID1 expressing clones. Three independent transformants were isolated for each strain/plasmid combination.



Figure S16: Secondary structure prediction of Pus7 modified sites in mRNA coding regions reported in Carlile, et al. Nature (2014) (23).

YKL103C

Target UGUAG GCACAGGAAUUCAUUGAUUUCAUUUACAAGAACC CUACCACUUACCAUGUAGUAUCAUUUUUCGCGG AGCUGUUAGAUAAGCAUAACUUCAAAUACUU



Probability >= 99% ability >= 50 ENERGY = 7.3 YKL103C-target50 Fold

obability >= 999

GY = -11.1 YKL103C 50mt

Non-target UGUAG GUAUUGGUAAACACUUCCUUUUUGCACCACGUCU AGAUGACAGGUUG**UGUAG**UUUCGCAGCAAUGAUU GCUUUGAUUUGCUACGCUAAGGAUGUUAAUA



YER069W

Target UGUAG

CAUGGUGUAGUUAUUGGUGGUUUCAAGCUGAAU GAUGCUGAAGAUCG<u>UGUAG</u>UUGUUUGCGCAACC AUCGAUAACUUACUUAAAGGCGCCGCUACUCAA



Non-target UGUAG

GUCAUCGAUGAUAUCCCACUGGUUAAAGAUAUUG AGGGCACCCAUGGUGUUAUUGGUGGUUUCAA GCUGAAUGAUGCUGAAGAUCGUGUAGUUGUU



YER065W-50nt-nontarget -25.4

YMR062C

Target UGUAG

UCGAAAAAAGUCCUUGAAACUGCUCGUGGUAAAA AUAUUAACGCUAUUGUGUCAAUUCCGGUUGUG CUAACUCAGUCACAGGUGAUCUUQGUAUGAAA



Fold

MaxExpect

		Probability
99%	*	Probability
90%	*	Probability
625		Probability.
70%		Probability

VMR0620

Probability >= 99% Probability >= 95%

YNL336W

Target UGUAG

AUGAAAGAGAAUGAACUUAAAAAUGAGAAGAG UAG AUGUAUUAUCCUUCAAACAGCUCGAAUCCC AAAAGAUUGUUCUACCUCAAGAUCUUUUCAGA



Max	Expect	
- 105	Probability >= Probability >=	9

INL336W 50nt





YPR176C

Target UGUAG

GCACUAUCAAUUUUGGGUGAAUUAACGUCUGAA GUUGUUGACCCUGC<u>UGUAG</u>ACUUUGUACUCAAG UGUUAUAAUUUUGAUGGUGGCUUUGGAUUAUGU



MaxExpect

25.1 VPR176C S

Probability >= 99% Probability >= 95%

YBR032C

Target UGUAG

Probability >= 995

AUGAAAGAGAAUGAACUUAAAAAUGAGAAGAG **UAG**AUGUAUUAUCCUUCAAACAGCUCGAAUCCC AAAAGAUUGUUCUACCUCAAGAUCUUUUCAGA



ability >>



-15.1

YDR380W

Target UGUAG

UCUAUUCACCUAGUGUUGAAUCAGCUGGCCUAA GAUGGGUCGGCACG<u>UGUAA</u>UGAACUGAACGCCG CUUAUGCGGCCGACGGAUAUUCCCGUUACUCUA



MaxExpect	Fold
Probability >= 99%	Probability >= 99%
99% > Probability >= 95%	99% > Probability >= 95%
954 > Probability >= 80%	
90% > Probability >= 80%	90% > Probability >= 80%
80% > Probability == 70%	SOX > Probability x+ 70%
70% > Probability >= 60%	70% > Frobability >= 60%
60% > Probability >= 50%	60% > Probability >= 50%
50% > Probability	50% + Freibahility
ENERGY = 8.2 YDR380W_50nt_Target	ENERGY = -36.5 YDR380W_50nt_Targ

Non-Target UGUAA-2 UACAAGCGCAUUGACGUUUCUAAACUUUCUUUG CAAUAUGAUUCAAAUGUAACUCAAUAUACGAACG AAACAAUGCGGUUAGAAGAUCCUACCAAUGGA



Non-Target UGUAA-1

AUCUGCAAAACUGGGAUUUGGAAUUUUUCCACU GUUAUGGGAAAAUC<u>UGUAA</u>UUGAUGAGUCAAAC CCAACUUAUAUGGGUCAAUAUAAUGGUAAAGAA



Fold

MaxExpect						
		Probability		995		
391		Probability	-	954		
90%	×	Probability	-	80%		
305	*	Probability	-	265		
70%	×.	Probability	20	60%		
60%	>	Probability	38	50%		
		Frehability				
_						

bability >= 999 VDB280W B

YNR028W

Target UUUAG

AUĞAAGAGCUUUUUUCUUUAUCUUUAUGUGGCA UUCAUG<u>UUUAG</u>UUGCAUAACGGCUCUGCCAUUA CCUGUGGAUAACAAAAGGGCUUCUUCAGACUCC







-29.3 YNRO28W UUUAG target

YML132W

Target UGUAG

AUGAAAGAGAAUGAACUUAAAAAUGAGAAGAG UAGAUGUAUUAUCCUUCAAACAGCUCGAAUCCC AAAAGAUUGUUCUACCUCAAGAUCUUUUCAGA

Non-Target UGUAG

UCUGCGAAGAAAUCUAUGUGGCCUUUACCAUUG AAUGUGGAACUAUGGCCAUACAUUAAAGAAGCG CAAUUAUCCCGCAGUGAGGUGCUCUUAG<u>UGUAG</u>



YJR161C

Target UGUAG

AUGAAAGAGAAUGAACUUAAAAAUGAGAAGAGAG UAGAUGUAUUAUCCUUCAAACAGCUCGAAUCCC AAAAGAUUGUUCUACCUCAAGAUCUUUUCAGA

YBR036C

Target UGUAA

AUGUCUACCACACUACUUUGGUUUUCAAG<u>UGUA</u> AUAGGCUACGUGAUUCAAACAAAUGUUUGUCU AACAUACAAUCUAAAAAGGAAAUCUCCGUGGGG





Fold

bability >= 504

ENERGY = -15.1 YJR161C_tax





Fold Probability == 55 500 + Probability == 55 500 + Probability == 500 500 + Probability == 600 500 + Probability == 600 500 + Probability == 500 EMERGY = -100 + TRADSC_DODALTE

YPR124W

Target AUUAU

CAGUAGCUCUUCAGGGAUGGAUAUGGACAUGAG UAUGGGAAUGAACUAUUAUCUGACUCCCACAUA UAAAAACUAUCCAGUUUUGUUUCACCAUUUGCA



Non-Target AUUAU - 2

UAAAUAUUCCACUUUACCUUCAGCAAACUCCAAA GACGAAGGAAAACAUUAUGACACAGAGAAUAAUU UUGAAAUUCAAGGUUUACCUAAGCUGCCAAA



Non-Target AUUAU - 1

AUGGCGUCGAUGAGCAUGGAUGCGAUGUCUAGU GCCAGCAAAACGAUAUUAUCGAGCAUGUCAUCG AUGAGCAUGGAAGCGAUGUCCAGUGCGAGCAAA



GT = 8.4 YPR124W AAUAU NT1



Non-Target AUUAU – 3 & 4 UAUUUGUUCCAUCUUUAAUGGAUCUCUUUCAUG ACAUUAUAAGGGCGUUCUUAGUAUUUACCUCUA CGAUGAUUAUUUAUAUGUUGAUGCUUGCUACCA







Fold

Probability >= 991 ability == 80% ability == 60% ability == 50%

EMERGY = -11.5 YPR124N AUUAU MT2





YDR152W

YLR258W

Target UGUAG

GGČGUGGUGGGCACAGGCGACGAGUAUAUGGAA GAGGAUGACGCGAG<u>UGUAG</u>AUGACGUAGCCAAG GGACUUGCCAAGACCGAAAUAGCAAAUCAAUAA

Target UGUAG UGGUUGGCCGGUGUUGCGUUACCAUUAUGCCG UAAAAGGCGUAUCGA<u>UGUAG</u>UUACCAUUtgtUUCA CCACUCAUGCUACUUUAUUGGGACGGUAUUUA



		Pr	obab	list	y >=	991
391		10	dede	1110	y ha	951
						203
90%	*	Pri	obab:	lit	y >=	80%
10%		Pro	shab	11:55	1. 1.00	701
70%		Pri	obab:	list	y >=	60%
60%	>	Pr	obab	ilit	y >=	50%
	2	Par				

MaxExpect







YCL026B-C

YDR497C

 Target UGUAA

 GCCGGUCAAAAGAGGCCUGCUUCAGCAAGGGAU

 GAGGCCUUUGGUUC

 UCUUCUUCACCGAC

 GACAAGGUAACUGAAAAGCUAAAGGCUGACUUC

Target UGUAG AAGACAUCGCAAUCAAAUGUUGGUGAUGCCGUU GGCAACGCUGAUAG<u>UGUAG</u>AGUUCAACAGUGAG CAUGACUCACCUUCAAAGAGAGGUAAAAUUACA



		Probability >= 99%
591		Probability >> 954
100	÷	Probability >= 80%
101		Probability as 70%
70%	×	Probability >> 60%
601	>	Probability >= 50%
		Prubability

Fold Probability == 99% 9%
Probability == 9% 9%
Probability ==

60% > Probability >= 50% 50% > Probability ENERGY = -31.1 YCL026C-B_target

AaxExpect					
	Probability >= 99%				
291 -	Probability >= 955				
901 >	Probability >= 80%				
205 >	Probability >= 80%				
601 >	Probability >= 50%				
INFR	Y = 7 1 TDR497C tarms				

994			
23.5			
80%			
74.8			
60%			
50%			
target			



YNL117W

2351

Target UGUAG

AUUAUCGUGAGACCCCGUGGUUGGCACAUGGUG GAAAAGCACCUUUA<u>UGUAG</u>AUGAUGAACCAAUCA GCGCUUCCAUCUUUGAUUUUGGUUUAUAUUUC

minin 100

Non-Target UGUAG

AAGAUGGAGCACCACUUGGAAGCUAAACUAUGG AACGACGUCUUCUG<u>UGUAG</u>CUCAAGAUUACAUU GGGAUCCCAAGGGGUACAAUCAGAGCUACUGUG



MaxExpect	Fold
Probability >= 99%	Probabili
99% > Probability >= 85%	39% > Probabil:
90% > Probability >= 80%	90% > Probabil:
BOX > Festability >= 706	BON + Probabili
70% > Probability >= 60%	70% + Probabil:
60% > Probability >= 50%	60% > Probabil:
50% + Prutuability	5Dt > Probabali
ENERGY = 8.6 YNL117W_target	ENERGY = -25.1

YBR122C

Target UGUAG

GCUAUUUAUCACCAAUUCAAUGUCAAAAUGGAGU UGAGUGAUGGAAGUGUAGUUAUCCGGAGAUCCC AAUAUCCAAAGGGUGAAAUUAGAUUAAUUCAA



MaxExpect Probability >= 99% 90% > Probability 705 > = 7.4 YNL117W_NT



YNR036C

Target UGUAA

GCUCCACAACUGGAUCAGUGUCCCCAGCGGAAG GGUGUCGUAUUACG<u>UGUAA</u>UGGUUUUAAAGCCC AAGAAGCCGAAUUCUGCGCAGAGAAAGGCGUGC



MaxExpect

		Probability	**	991
394		Probability	2.00	951
100	*	Probability	2-10	801
104		Probability	-	701
20%	*	Probability.	20	601
60%		Probability	>10	50%

Fo	ble	d		
		Probability		991
334		Probability	-	904
		Probability.		
90%		Probability	-	80%
804		Probability.	-	108
701		Probability	-	50%
60%		Probability	-	50%

ENERGY = -26.3 YBR122C

YNL117W_target

MaxExpect





-24.5

YCL043C

Target UGUAG

GAUGAUUUCAAGCUUUCUAUUUACUUGCCCUCC GCCAUGGACGAGCC<u>UGUAG</u>UAUACAACGGUAAG AAAGCCGAUAUCGCUGACGCUGAUGUUUUUGAA



Probability >= 994 995 > Probability >= 955

ENERGY = 9.1 YCL043



YOL038W

Target UGUGU

GGUGUGCAACAAAGGUACACGCAGUCAGGAGGU GUUAGACCAUUUGG<u>UGUGU</u>CGACGCUGAUUGCC GGCUUCGACCCGAGAGAUGAUGAACCCAAGCUU



Non-target UGUGU -1

AGGCGGUAAAGAGGGGUACCUGUGCUGUAGGUG UCAAGGGUAAGAAU<u>UGUGU</u>AGUAUUGGGCUGCG AAAGAAGGUCUACUUUGAAGCUGCAAGACACUA

Non-target UGUGU - 2

UGGAGAAGAAUUACGAUCGCAAAGAACCACCAGC CACAGUGGAAGAA<u>UGUGU</u>CAAACUUACUGUAAG AUCUCUGUUGGAGGUAGUUCAAACAGGUGCAA



		Probability		991
224	×	Probability	-	954
153		Frohability.		HGA
904	*	Probability	1.00	80%
201	÷	Probability	-	201
70%	5	Probability.	200	603
601	>	Probability	>=	50%

ENERGY = 7.7 YOL038W_UGUGU-NT1

bability >>

># 50% GY = -26.3 YOLO38W_00

Ma	æ	Expect	t	
		Probability.	-	995
994		Frubability	-	955
204	*	Probability	-	80%
854		Probability.	-	70.4
70%		Probability.	-	60%
604	*	Probability	-	50%

YOLO38W

601 ability >* = -18.8 YOL038W_U

YMR308C

Target UGUAG

AUUGAAAAUAAUUCGCCAAUUGUGUGUGCUCAA UCUAAUAUCUCCGCUGUAGUUGAUUCAGUCAUA CAAGCCUUGAAUGAGAGAAGUUUGACCGAAAGG



YHR141C

4 ain

Target UGUUA AUGGGUAUGUGGACGAUUAGGAAUAGACAAACC AUGUUAUUUAUCUCCAUUAGGGCGUGAGAGUGU AAUUAGUACACAGGUACUACUAGAAUGCUAAAG

Non-Target UGUAG

CUUCAGAUUGUCCAGGCGUUUGCUUCCCCUGAC AAUCAAAUACGUUCUUGAGAAGGCUCUU AGUGAAGAAUGGAUUACCGAAAACAAUAUUGAG



	Probability	20
295	Probability	-
905 2	Probability	20
805 3	Probability	
701.)	Probability.	-
608 3	Probability	-

YDR322C-A

Probability >= (F3 > Probability >=

60% > Probability

- 9.1 YMR308C_NT

PON > Pro

Target UGUAG

UUGAAGCUGGUAGAGGAGGCAAAGAAGGAAUAC GCCAAGCUACACCCUGUAGUAACUCCUAAAGAU GUGCCUGCGAACGCCUCAUUUAAUUUGGAAGAU

In m In m Fold MaxExpect Probability == 995 Probability == 99%



		Probabil	Lity	-	994	
594		Probabil:	Liny.	-	955	
90%		Probabi	lity	24	80%	
100		Probable	Lity		704	
70%		Probabil	lity		60%	
601	*	Probabi	lity	-	50%	

MayExpect





Probability == 994 Probability == 955 90% > Probability >= 80% 80% = Probability == 70% 90% > Probability == 60% 60% > Probability >= 50%

ENERGY = -17.0 YDR322C-A

YOR305W

Target AGUAG

ACCGGUGGCGCCCAUGAUGGUGGCGUAGACAUA AAGGGAAGCUGGCCAGUAGAUAUUUAUUGG AAAAUUUCAUCGUUAAUGCCCAAUUUGGAAAUG



Probability == 33%

bability >= 60 bability >= 50 -24.5 YOR305W_ta

90% > Probability >= 80%

Non-Target AGUAG

AAGGCUUUCACUAAAUCAAAAUUAUCUCCUAGAG AAUUCCGUGAGUUAGUAGGAACAUUCACCUCAC UAGUAUCACAUAGUCAACGCAACAAAACAGUA



YPR198W

Probability >= 994 90% > Probability == 95%

90% > Probability >= 80%

70% > Probability >= 60% 60% > Probability >= 50%

ENERGY = 5.8 YOR305W_targe

Target UGUAG

AGAGUCGUUGCUGGGUUUGGAGGAAGUGGAAUU GAAUCACUUGCUUU<u>UGUAG</u>UUGGAACAUCCAUU GUCCGAGAAAACCAUAGAGGAAUUAUGAUAACG

Non-Target UGUAG

AAAAACCAGAUGCGCUCUUCCCAAUUAAACAUAC CACAAUUUACGUC<u>UGUAG</u>AAACACUUUUAGCGU AUAGCACAGAACAUUAUGAUGGCCCCCAAUCU



ENERGY = 7.3 YPR198W_target

YGL115W



YPR009W

Target UGUAA

AUGAAGCCGAACAAUCGAACUUGUGA<u>UGUAA</u>UU ACCAACAAAGAUGAAUCUCUUCCUGCACUUUUG CUGCCUGCACUGAACAGUUACACCUGUGACGAU



Target UGUAAGCCAUGGCCUCUACGGCUCUCGGAUUGGUAUCUAAUUCUAGUUCCUCUCUGUAAAGCGAUGCUACUAUUGCCGGUAACGAUACAGCC



MaxExpect	



Fold		







YER150W

F	0	ld
	~	



YLR354C

Target UGUAA

AAGGUAUUCAAGCUGCCAAAGAAUUGGAAGAAAA GGACGGUAUCCAC<u>UGUAA</u>UUUGACUCUAUUAUU CUCCUUCGUUCAAGCAGUJJGCCUGUGCCGAGG



MaxExpect Probability >= 995 505 > Probability >= 055 505 > Probability >= 005 805 > Probability >= 005 705 > Probability >= 005 705 > Probability >= 505

ENERGY = 7.7 YLR354C



YHR140W

Target UGUAG

ACCGCGUGUCUUUUGACGAGCACCUGGGGGUUU GUUAGGGCCACAUC<u>UGUAG</u>UCUUACCUCCAAGU UUAAGUAAAGCAGGCCAUAAACAGUUUCUAACC





50% > Probability >= 80% 50% > Probability = 70% 70% > Probability >= 60% 60% > Probability >= 50% EMERGY = 8.4 YHR140W

R	
Fol	d
. 0.	
	Probability >= 99%
995.>	Probability -= 255
	Probability on 205
90% >	Probability >= 804
405 -	Probability 76%
705 >	Probability >= 404
60% >	Probability >= 50%
	Pershaltility

IIII II

YPL230W

Target UGUAG

ACUGCAGGCGUUCUUACGAGCAGCAAUGGUAAC UUUGCCACCAAUAG<u>UGUAG</u>CGGCAUCAACUCCG AAGAGGUCCAAAAGUGCUCGAAGGAAAACGUUC







Figure S17: Model - Pus7 rapidly samples RNAs for specific modifiable sequences. RNAs contain multiple (often overlapping) potential Pus7 binding sites. These sites have varying degrees of accessibility to Pus due to their secondary/tertiary structures or occlusion by RNA-binding proteins. Pus7 rapidly samples all accessible sites on a given RNA, forming nonspecific interactions with most sequences. When Pus7 interacts with a modifiable (e.g. UG<u>U</u>AR) sequence, it forms a tighter, 'specific' interaction that results in Ψ installation. Only a handful of the potential Pus7 sites are modifiable and 'specific.'

PUS7 bound to specific site (accessible consensus sequence)

Figure S18: Secondary structure predictions at 30°C and 45°C of randomly selected Pus7 heat shock targets Schwartz, et al. Cell (2014) (24).

YBL030C

GY = 6.8 YBR103W-30

= -23.2 YBR103W-3



Y = 7.0 YBR103W-450

= -13.3 YBR103W-45od

YBR118W

Target UGUAG - 30°C

CUGCUGGUUACUCUCCAGUUUUGGAUUGUCACA CUGCUCACAUUGCU<u>UGUAG</u>AUUCGACGAAUUGU UGGAAAAGAACGACAGAAGAUCUGGUAAGAAGU



20% 60% bability ENERGY = 8.4 YBR118W-30oC



YBR191W

Target UGUAG - 30°C UAGAAAAAAGAUUAAACUUAAGAGUUGAACACAU CAAGCACUCCAAGUGUAGACAAGAAUUUUUGGAA AGAGUUAAGGCCAAUGCUGCUAAGCGUGCUG

45°C

MaxExpect

Probability >= 99% 99% - Probability >= 95%

bability >= 80%

ability >=

ENERGY = 6.4 YBR118W-4500

Fold

224

90%

70%) 60%)

Probability >= 99% Probability >= 95%

Probability >= 80% Probability >= 80% Probability >= 70% Probability >= 60% Probability >= 50%

ENERGY = -14.0 YBR118W-45oC



45°C

YBR252W

Target UGUAG – 30°C GCCCAAUUGAUUCUGGAAAAAAUUGUCGAUGAU GCCCAGAUCGUUGU<u>UGUAG</u>ACUCUCUGGAAGAA AGUGCAAGAGGGGCCGGUGGCUUUGGUAGCACU 45°C



YBR230C

Target UGUAA – 30°C UUGUCUUGGUCAACUUGUUGCUGCAUUCUUCAG UGGUAUGUUAUUUA<u>UGUAA</u>CGGGUAUGCGAACC ACAACGCCAGAUUCUUGAAGGGGAAACCUAACU



	Probability	># 999
591 ×	Probability	>= 255
224 2	Probability.	>+ 30s
50% ×	Probability	>+ 80%
804 ×	Probability	am 70%
70% >	Prohability	>= 604
601 >	Probability	>= 50%
503 +	Probability.	
ENERGY	r = 6.1 YBR	230C_30

Probability >= 999

GY = -20.9 YBR230C_30

45°C



MaxExpect	
Probability >= 99%	
99% > Probability >= 95%	
90% > Probability >= 80%	
SC4 - Probability as 70%	
60% > Probability >= 50%	
509 * Probability	
ENERGY = 5.9 YBR230C_45	

		Probability	>=	991
591	*	Probability	20	951
100		Probability	>=	80%
80%	*	Probability		704
70%	×	Probability	20	60%
601	*	Probability	>=	50%
50%	1.	Probability		

YDL022W - U403

Target UGUAG - 30°C UCGACAUCAUCGUUUUCAACAUUCCACAUCAAUU UUUGCCCCGUAUC<u>UGUAG</u>CCAAUUGAAAGGUCA UGUUGAUUCACACGUCAGAGCUAUCUCCUGUC





YDL022W - U172

Target UGUAA – 30°C GAUCUGGUAACUGGGGUACUACUAUUGCCAAGG UGGUUGCCGAAAAU<u>UGUAA</u>GGGAUACCCAGAAG

45°C

45°C



	MaxExpect
	Probability >= 99%
99%	> Probability >= 95%
	· Probability 50%
90%	> Probability >= H0%
	* Probability == 708
201	> Probability >= 60%
60%	> Probability >= 50%
	· Fridalitikty





Fold Probability >= 999 Probability == 959 Probability >= 80%

705 > 605 >

Probability >= 60% Probability >= 50% TY = -19.6 YDL022W_UGUAA_45

YDL084W



Target UGUAA – 30°C AAAAGGAUCAAGGUGAUGACGAAGCUCAAUUAGU CGAUGAAACCUUC<u>UGUAA</u>UGCUCUAGAAUACGG UUUACCACCAACUGGUGGUUGGGGUUGUGGUA 45°C



Probability >= 205 Probability >= 805 Probability >= 705 Probability >= 605 Probability >= 505

ENERGY = 6.1 YDR037W_30

90% 90% 70% 60%









YDR077W

Target UGUAG – 30°C ACCACUCCUUACAACCCAUCUACUGACUACA CCACUGACUACAC<u>UGUAG</u>UCACUGAAUAUACUAC UUACUGUCCAGAACCAACCACUUUCACCACA 45°C





YFL038C

Target UGUAG – 30°C ACCAACGACUACAUCUCCACAAUUGGAGUGGACU UCAAGAUUAAGAC<u>UGUAG</u>AACUGGACGGCAAGA CUGUAAAGCUACAGAUUUGGGACACUGCAGGU 45°C



MaxExpect

90% > Probability >= 80% 90% > Probability >= 80% 90% > Probability >= 60% 60% > Probability >= 50%

ENERGY = 7.4 YFL038C 30

995 F

Fold

Probability >= 994 Probability >= 954

Probability >= 80%
 Probability == 70%
 Probability == 60%
 Probability >= 50%

ENERGY = -24.9 YFL038C 30

995

90% > 80% > 70% > 60% >

MaxExpect

90% 20% 60% Probability >= 995

Probability >= 60% Probability == 10% Probability == 60% Probability >= 50%

ENERGY = 6.7 YFLO38C 45

			٠		
- 1	_	~		-	
	_		H.		
		~			

	Probabilis	EY an	994
391 >	Probabili	ty PH	951
904 >	Probabili	ty >=	80%
804 ×	Probabilit	ty an	104
101 >	Probabili	ty am.	60%
60% >	Probabili	ty >=	50%
223. 81	Probabilis	5¥	
ENERGY	= -15.6	AMPT03	8C_45

YGL116W

Target UGUAG - 30°C

AUUGCAGAUCUGGACGUUCUUUUGGGCCUAAAU GGUCGCUCGUCGGA<u>UGUAG</u>ACAUGACAACCACA UUGCCGAGUUUGAAGCCACCUCUGCAAAACGGA



MaxExpect

50% > Frobability >= 60% 60% > Probability >= 50%

ENERGY = 7.3 YGL116W_45

45°C



		Probability	-	99%
29%	×	Probability	24	955
		Prehability		104
90%		Probability	20	80%
101		Probability	-	704
10%		Probability	-	60%
603	*	Probability	38	50%
		Probability		

YIL076W

Target UGUAG – 30°C ACGGCUCAAGCUAUCUUGGGUGACUUAGAUAAA AGUUUGGAGACAUG<u>UGUAG</u>AAGGGAUUGACAAU GACGAAGCAGAAGGGACUACAGAAUUAUUGCUG

mi im

45°C











MaxExpect

Probability >> 994 93% > Probability >> 994 95% > Probability >> 925 90% > Probability >> 80% 90% > Probability >> 60% 90% > Probability >> 50% 90% >> 50% > Probability >> 50% 90% > Probability >> 50% >> 50% > Probability >> 50% >> 50% > 70% >> 7

YJL158C

Target UGUAG – 30°C 45°C CAAUGUCUAUCCGGCAAUUUCUACAACUUGUAU GAUCAAAACGUCGCCGAACAAUGUGCCAUU CAUUUGGAAGCUGUUUCUUUGGUCGACUGUUAA





YLR168C

Target UGUAG - 30°C UCCAGCAGGAAGCCCAAAUUACAGCAUAUGGAUC CAUUAGAAAGCUG**UGUAA**UAAGAUGGAAGAUUG GUCAGUUCAAAGGUUUUGCGAGAACGCUAAAA

45°C

MaxExpect Fold MaxExpect Fold Probability >= 995 955 > Probability >= 855 Probability >= 99% Probability >= 595 Probability >= 99% Probability >= 05% 395 -395 -

90% > Probability >= 80% 10% > Probability >= 80% 70% > Probability >= 60% 60% > Probability >= 50% ENERGY = 7.3 YLR156C 30



50% > Probability >= 50% 50% > Probability >= 50% 70% > Probability == 60% 60% > Probability >= 50% ENERGY = -16.1 YLR156C 30



90% > Probability >= 80% 90% > Probability == 80% 90% > Probability >= 60% 60% > Probability >= 50%

ENERGY = -8.7 YLR156C 45

80%

bility >= 60%

YLR259C

Target UGUAG – 30°C CCUUUAUUGCGUCGUGCUUACUCCUCUCAUAAA GAAUUGAAAUUCGG<u>UGUAG</u>AAGGAAGAGCCUCC CUUCUUAAGGGUGUCGAAACUUUAGCUGAAGCG

45°C







ENERGY = -13.4 YLR259C_45

YMR083C

Target UGUAG – 30°C CCAUUACCUGUUAAACUACCAUUAGUAGGUGGU CAUGAAGGUGCUGG<u>UGUAG</u>UUGUCAAACUAGGU UCCAAUGUCAAGGGCUGGAAAGUCGGUGAUUUA 45°C



Fold

Probability >= 994 995 Probability >= 056 905 Probability >= 005 906 Probability >= 005 906 Probability >= 005 006 Probability >= 005 506 Probability >= 506 EXERCY == 21.1 YM0083C.45

YMR226C

Target UGUAG – 30°C GACGUGGCUGAUCUGAUCGUCUAUGCAACUUCC AGAAAACAAAAUAC<u>UGUAA</u>UUGCAGACACUUUAA UCUUUCCAACAAACCAAGCGUCACCUCAUCAU



MaxExpect

10% > Frobability >= 60% 60% > Probability >= 50%

GY = 7.6 YMR226C_45



YNL098C

Target UGUAG - 30°C

GGUGUUGGUAAAUCUGCUUUGACCAUACAAUUG ACCCAAUCGCACUU<u>UGUAG</u>AUGAAUACGAUCCCA CAAUUGAGGAUUCAUACAGGAAGCAAGUGGUG 45°C

45°C





MaxEx	pect
-------	------

Fold





MaxExpect

Probability

GY = 6.4 YNL098C 45

٢	pec	t	

Frobability == 98% Frobability == 95% Frobability == 80% Frobability == 80%



Fold

Figure S19: The observed rate constant for pseudouridinylation on short target 1 (ST1) is increased ~10-fold at elevated temperature. A. Observed rate constants for pseudouridinylation increase more than 10-fold as temperature increases, suggesting that increased conformational flexibility of the RNA structure allows more rapid access of PUS7. B. A set of stochastic structure predictions (32) demonstrating possible temperature-dependent changes in the structural environment of the target U in substrate sT1.



Figure S20: Modeled thermal stability of PUS7.

Using the chain length (N) of Pus 7, its stability was modeled as a function temperature range to find its maximum stability. Its maximum stability of about 65 kJ/mol is at approximately 22 ° C.



SUPPLEMENTAL APPENDIX TABLES Table S1: Crystallographic parameters. Table S1. X-Ray Crystallography Data Collection and Refinement

Statistics	
	Pus7
Data collection	
Beamline	APS, LSCAT 21-IDD
Wavelength (Å)	0.979
Temperature (K)	100
Resolution (Å)	48.27-3.20 (3.42-3.20)
Space group	C222
Cell dimensions (Å)	a = 117.9, b = 171.8, c = 105.3
Cell dimensions (°)	$\alpha = \beta = \gamma = 90$
Observed reflections	184,895 (31,045)
Unique reflections	18,019 (3,207)
R_{meas} (%)	17.8 (141.7)
$R_{ m merge}$ (%)	17.8 (132.6)
<i _{0=""></i>	9.5 (2.0)
CC(1/2)	0.996 (0.802)
Multiplicity	10.3 (9.7)
Completeness (%)	99.9 (100)
Overall B (Å ²) (Wilson plot)	121.9
Refinement	
Resolution range	46.32 - 3.20
Number of reflections (work/test set)	18017/881
Rwork/Rfree (%)	22.4/27.6
No. of non-H atoms	
Protein	9394
Water	14
Ligand	15
B-factors (Å ²)	
Protein	130.1
Water	88.7
Ligand	164.3
Rmsd deviations	
Bond lengths (Å)	0.0025
Bond angles (°)	1.21
Estimated coordinate error (Å); maximum likelihood based	0.4200
Cruickshank's DPI ¹ (Å) Ramachandran plot	0.4688
Favored/allowed/outliers	87.7/12.1/0.2

MolProbity Score	1.63 (100 th percentile)
PDB	7MZV

variant	k₀ыs (s⁻¹) ^{a,b}			k _{obs} defect (fold) ^c	K _{D, app1} (nM) ^{d,e}		
WT ^e	9.9 × 10 ⁻¹	±	1.0 × 10 ⁻¹	1	76	±	15
D256A ^e		nc	o reaction		60	±	16
K61A	2.6 × 10 ⁻²	±	0.1 × 10 ⁻²	38 ± 6	400	±	200
F67A	4.6 × 10⁻³	±	0.2 × 10 ⁻³	210 ± 30	180	±	40
E71A	5.2 × 10⁻³	±	0.3 × 10 ⁻³	190 ± 30	210	±	50
F307Y	2.6 × 10 ⁻³	±	0.1 × 10 ⁻³	390 ± 60	378	±	102
N305A	4.0 × 10 ⁻⁴	±	≤ 1 × 10 ⁻⁵	2,400 ± 300	230	±	60
F307A	1.3 × 10⁻⁵	±	≤ 1 × 10 ⁻⁶	74,000 ± 9,000	344	±	170
WT ^f	8.4 × 10 ⁻¹	±	0.5 × 10 ⁻¹	1		n.	d. ^{<i>g</i>}
H161A ^f	6.9 × 10⁻¹	±	0.9 × 10 ⁻¹	1.2 ± 0.2	170	±	40
$\Delta ID1^{f}$	3.8 × 10⁻¹	±	0.6 × 10 ⁻¹	2.2 ± 0.5	160	±	40

Table S2: Impact of Pus7 mutations on CDC8 binding and modification

^{*a*} errors are standard error of the fit

^b k_{obs} determined by fitting a curve of the form $y = 1 - e^{-k_{obs} \times t}$ ^c relative to WT at the same concentration on full-length cdc8 substrate

 d K_D determined by curve fitting as described in Supplemental Appendix – Extended Methods e All K_D values determined using D256A-double mutants, except for WT (no mutation), and the F307Y and D256A single mutants. ^{*f*}Concentration of [Pus7] used to determine $k_{obs} = 10 \ \mu$ M. All other reactions carried out with

[Pus7] = 2 µM

^g n.d., not determined

substrate	variant	К _{D,арр1} (nM) ^{<i>a,b</i>}		
	WT	16	±	2
COCO-A	D256A	57	±	4
cdc8-B	D256A	802	±	320
cdc8-C	WT	74	±	19
	D256A	131	±	13
sT1	D256A	34	±	4
	∆ID1-	60		13
	D256A	09	T	
sT2	D256A not analyzed weak		d – very k	
	∆ID1-	not analyzed – ve very weak		d – very,
	D256A			eak
tRNA ^{Asp} ,GUC	D256A	16	±	1
	∆ID1-	24		1
	D256A	34	Ĩ	I

 Table S3: Dissociation constants for Pus7 binding to various substrates.

^a errors are standard error of the fit ^b K_D determined by curve fitting as described in the Extended Methods

substrate	varian t	[PUS7] (µM)		<i>k</i> obs (s ⁻¹) ^{a,}	b
cdc8-A	WT	1	4.9 × 10 ⁻¹	±	0.5 × 10⁻¹
		5	9.3 × 10 ⁻¹	±	1.4 × 10⁻¹
		10	7.7 × 10 ⁻¹	±	0.8 × 10 ⁻¹
cdc8-B	WT	2	6.4 × 10 ⁻¹	±	1.0 × 10 ⁻¹
		10	7.8 × 10 ⁻¹	±	0.8 × 10 ⁻¹
cdc8-C	WT	2	8.9 × 10 ⁻¹	±	2.1 × 10⁻¹
		10	9.9 × 10 ⁻¹	±	1.4 × 10⁻¹
sT1	WT	10	5.1 × 10 ⁻³	±	0.2 × 10 ⁻³
	∆ID1	10	9.4 × 10 ⁻³	±	0.7 × 10 ⁻³
sT2	WT	10	2.4 × 10 ⁻⁴	±	0.2 × 10 ⁻⁴
tRNA Asp ,GUC	WT	10	9.1 × 10 ⁻³	±	0.5 × 10 ⁻³

Table S4: Observed rate constants for pseudouridinylation on different substrates.

^{*a*} errors are standard error of the fit ^{*b*} k_{obs} determined by fitting a curve of the form $fraction U \rightarrow = 1 - e^{-k_{obs} \times t}$

 Table S5: RNAs used for biochemical assays.

substrate name	RNA sequence
cdc8-FL	GUCAAUCACGAU <u>UGUAG</u> ACGUUACUAAUAAGGGCAUUCAGGAAGU UGAAGCGCUUAUUUGG
CDC8-FL-NT	GUCAAUCACGAU <u>UGCAG</u> ACGUUACUAAUAAGGGCAUUCAGGAAGU UGAAGCGCUUAUUUGG
CDC 8-A	GAU <u>UGUAG</u> ACGUUACUAAUAAGGGCAUUCAGGAAGUUGAAGCGCU UAUUUGG
CDC 8-A-NT	GAU <u>UGCAG</u> ACGUUACUAAUAAGGGCAUUCAGGAAGUUGAAGCGCU UAUUUGG
CDC 8-B	GUCAAUCACGAU <u>UGUAG</u> ACGUUACU
CDC 8-B-NT	GUCAAUCACGAU <u>UGCAG</u> ACGUUACU
CDC 8-C	GUCAAUCACGAU <u>UGUAG</u> ACGUUACUAAUAAGGGCGGAAGUGCGCU UAUUUGG
CDC 8-C-NT	GUCAAUCACGAU <u>UGCAG</u> ACGUUACUAAUAAGGGCGGAAGUGCGCU UAUUUGG
ST1	GGUGUCUUGCGAGGAUAAGUGCAUU <u>UGUAG</u> GCCCUUCCCA
SNT1	GGUGUCUUGCGAGGAUAAGUGCAUU <u>UGCAG</u> GCCCUUCCCA
ST2	GGGAUC <u>UGUAG</u> CCCACCAA
SNT2	GGGAUC <u>UGCAG</u> CCCACCAA
tRNA ^{Asp,GUC}	GCCGUGAUAG <u>U</u> UUAAUGGUCAGAAUGGGCGCUUGUCGCGUGCCA GAUCGGGGUUCAAUUCCCCGUCGCGGCGCCA
tRNA ^{Asp,GUC} -NT	GCCGUGAUAG <u>C</u> UUAAUGGUCAGAAUGGGGCUUGUCGCGUGCCAG AUCGGGGUUCAAUUCCCCGUCGCGGCGCCA
CLAP-CDC8	GGCUAUUGGAUAAAGAGAUAAGGAAAGGCGAUGAGUCAAUCACGA UUGUAGACGUUACUAAUAAGGGCAUUCAGGAAGUUGAAGCGCUUA UUUGGCAAAUCGUUGAGCCUGUUUUGAGUACGCAUAU

SUPPLEMENTAL APPENDIX REFERENCES

- 1. McCoy AJ, et al. (2007) Phaser crystallographic software. J Appl Crystallogr 40(Pt 4):658-674.
- 2. Terwilliger TC, et al. (2009) Decision-making in structure solution using Bayesian estimates of map quality: the PHENIX AutoSol wizard. Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr 65(Pt 6):582-601.
- 3. Potterton L, *et al.* (2018) CCP4i2: the new graphical user interface to the CCP4 program suite. *Acta Crystallogr D Struct Biol* 74(Pt 2):68-84.
- 4. Emsley P, Lohkamp B, Scott WG, & Cowtan K (2010) Features and development of Coot. *Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr* 66(Pt 4):486-501.
- 5. Williams CJ, *et al.* (2018) MolProbity: More and better reference data for improved allatom structure validation. *Protein Sci* 27(1):293-315.
- 6. Anonymous (The PyMOL Molecular Graphics System (Schrödinger, LLC).
- 7. Milligan JF, Groebe DR, Witherell GW, & Uhlenbeck OC (1987) Oligoribonucleotide synthesis using T7 RNA polymerase and synthetic DNA templates. *Nucleic Acids Res* 15(21):8783-8798.
- 8. Wright JR, Keffer-Wilkes LC, Dobing SR, & Kothe U (2011) Pre-steady-state kinetic analysis of the three Escherichia coli pseudouridine synthases TruB, TruA, and RluA reveals uniformly slow catalysis. *RNA* 17(12):2074-2084.
- 9. Kumar S, Stecher G, Li M, Knyaz C, & Tamura K (2018) MEGA X: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis across Computing Platforms. *Mol Biol Evol* 35(6):1547-1549.
- 10. Le SQ & Gascuel O (2008) An improved general amino acid replacement matrix. *Mol Biol Evol* 25(7):1307-1320.
- 11. Felsenstein J (1985) Confidence Limits on Phylogenies: An Approach Using the Bootstrap. *Evolution* 39(4):783-791.
- 12. Blanchet S, et al. (2018) Deciphering the reading of the genetic code by near-cognate tRNA. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 115(12):3018-3023.
- 13. Chou HJ, Donnard E, Gustafsson HT, Garber M, & Rando OJ (2017) Transcriptomewide Analysis of Roles for tRNA Modifications in Translational Regulation. *Mol Cell* 68(5):978-992 e974.
- 14. Martin M (2011) Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing reads. *EMBnet.journal* 17(1).
- 15. Tardu M, Jones JD, Kennedy RT, Lin Q, & Koutmou KS (2019) Identification and quantification of modified nucleosides in Saccharomyces cerevisiae mRNAs. *ACS Chem Biol* 14(7):1403-1409.
- 16. Langmead B & Salzberg SL (2012) Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. *Nat Methods* 9(4):357-359.
- 17. Kim D, *et al.* (2013) TopHat2: accurate alignment of transcriptomes in the presence of insertions, deletions and gene fusions. *Genome Biol* 14(4):R36.
- 18. Lauria F, *et al.* (2018) riboWaltz: Optimization of ribosome P-site positioning in ribosome profiling data. *PLoS Comput Biol* 14(8):e1006169.
- 19. Li H, *et al.* (2009) The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics* 25(16):2078-2079.
- 20. Jurrus E, *et al.* (2018) Improvements to the APBS biomolecular solvation software suite. *Protein Sci* 27(1):112-128.
- 21. Hoang C & Ferre-D'Amare AR (2004) Crystal structure of the highly divergent pseudouridine synthase TruD reveals a circular permutation of a conserved fold. *RNA* 10(7):1026-1033.

- 22. Dong A, Zeng, H., Walker, J.R., Bountra, C., Arrowsmith, C.H., Edwards, A.M., BROWN, P.J., WU, H., Structural Genomics Consortium (SGC) (2016) Crystal Structure of Human Pseudouridylate Synthase 7. (Protein Data Bank).
- 23. Carlile TM, *et al.* (2014) Pseudouridine profiling reveals regulated mRNA pseudouridylation in yeast and human cells. *Nature* 515(7525):143-146.
- 24. Schwartz S, *et al.* (2014) Transcriptome-wide mapping reveals widespread dynamicregulated pseudouridylation of ncRNA and mRNA. *Cell* 159(1):148-162.
- 25. Zhang W, *et al.* (2019) Sensitive and quantitative probing of pseudouridine modifications in mRNA and long noncoding RNA. *RNA* 25(9):1218-1225.
- 26. Myers, J. K.; Pace, C. N.; Scholtz, J. M. Denaturant m Values and Heat Capacity Changes: Relation to Changes in Accessible Surface Areas of Protein Unfolding. Protein Science : A Publication of the Protein Society 1995, 4 (10), 2138. https://doi.org/10.1002/pro.5560041020.
- 27. Ghosh, K.; Dill, K. A. Computing Protein Stabilities from Their Chain Lengths. PNAS 2009, 106 (26), 10649–10654. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0903995106.
- 28. Sawle, L.; Ghosh, K. How Do Thermophilic Proteins and Proteomes Withstand High Temperature? Biophys J 2011, 101 (1), 217–227. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpj.2011.05.059.
- 29. Watson, M. D.; Monroe, J.; Raleigh, D. P. Size-Dependent Relationships between Protein Stability and Thermal Unfolding Temperature Have Important Implications for Analysis of Protein Energetics and High-Throughput Assays of Protein–Ligand Interactions. J. Phys. Chem. B 2018, 122 (21), 5278–5285. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcb.7b05684.
- 30. Rees, D. C.; Robertson, A. D. Some Thermodynamic Implications for the Thermostability of Proteins. Protein Sci 2001, 10 (6), 1187–1194.
- 31. Robertson, A. D.; Murphy, K. P. Protein Structure and the Energetics of Protein Stability. Chem. Rev. 1997, 97 (5), 1251–1268. <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/cr960383c</u>.
- 32. Harmanci, A. O., Sharma, G., & Mathews, D. H. (2009). Stochastic sampling of the RNA structural alignment space. Nucleic Acids Research. 37, 4063-4075.