EMBO reports

RASSF1A disrupts the NOTCH signaling axis via SNURF/RNF4-mediated ubiquitination of HES1

Angelos Papaspyropoulos, Andriani Angelopoulou, Ioanna Mourkioti, Aikaterini Polyzou, Daniela Pankova, Konstantinos Toskas, Simone Lanfredini, Anastasia Pantazaki, Nefeli Lagopati, Athanassios Kotsinas, Konstantinos Evangelou, Efstathios Chronopoulos, Eric O'Neill, and Vassilis Gorgoulis
DOI: 10.15252/embr.202051287

Corresponding author(s): Vassilis Gorgoulis (vgorg@med.uoa.gr) , Eric O'Neill (eric.oneill@oncology.ox.ac.uk), Angelos Papaspyropoulos (a.papaspyropoulos@med.uoa.gr)

Review Timeline:

Submission Date:	9th Jul 20
Editorial Decision:	4th Aug 20
Revision Received:	29th Jun 21
Editorial Decision:	28th Jul 21
Revision Received:	22nd Oct 21
Editorial Decision:	16th Nov 21
Revision Received:	23rd Nov 21
Accepted:	26th Nov 21

Editor: Achim Breiling

Transaction Report:

(Note: With the exception of the correction of typographical or spelling errors that could be a source of ambiguity, letters and reports are not edited. Depending on transfer agreements, referee reports obtained elsewhere may or may not be included in this compilation. Referee reports are anonymous unless the Referee chooses to sign their reports.)

EMBO PRESS

YOU MUST COMPLETE ALL CELLS WITH A PINK BACKGROUND ↓

PLEASE NOTE THAT THIS CHECKLIST WILL BE PUBLISHED ALONGSIDE YOUR PAPER

Corresponding Author Name: Vassilis Gorgoulis, Eric O'Neill, Angelos Papaspyropoulos
Journal Submitted to: EMBO Reports
Manuscript Number: EMBOR-2020-51287V2-Q

Reporting Checklist For Life Sciences Articles (Rev. June 2017)

This checklist is used to ensure good reporting standards and to improve the reproducibility of published results. These guidelines are consistent with the Principles and Guidelines for Reporting Preclinical Research issued by the NIH in 2014. Please follow the journal's authorship guidelines in preparing your manuscript.

A- Figures

1. Data

The data shown in figures should satisfy the following conditions:

- ➔ the data were obtained and processed according to the field's best practice and are presented to reflect the results of the experiments in an accurate and unbiased manner.
- ➔ figure panels include only data points, measurements or observations that can be compared to each other in a scientifically meaningful way.
- ➔ graphs include clearly labeled error bars for independent experiments and sample sizes. Unless justified, error bars should not be shown for technical replicates.
- ➔ if n< 5, the individual data points from each experiment should be plotted and any statistical test employed should be justified
- ➔ Source Data should be included to report the data underlying graphs. Please follow the guidelines set out in the author ship guidelines on Data Presentation.

2. Captions

Each figure caption should contain the following information, for each panel where they are relevant:

- ➔ a specification of the experimental system investigated (eg cell line, species name).
- ➔ the assay(s) and method(s) used to carry out the reported observations and measurements
- ➔ an explicit mention of the biological and chemical entity(ies) that are being measured.
- ➔ an explicit mention of the biological and chemical entity(ies) that are altered/varied/perturbed in a controlled manner.

- ➔ the exact sample size (n) for each experimental group/condition, given as a number, not a range;
- ➔ a description of the sample collection allowing the reader to understand whether the samples represent technical or biological replicates (including how many animals, litters, cultures, etc.).
- ➔ a statement of how many times the experiment shown was independently replicated in the laboratory.
- ➔ definitions of statistical methods and measures:
  - common tests, such as t-test (please specify whether paired vs. unpaired), simple $\chi 2$ tests, Wilcoxon and Mann-Whitney tests, can be unambiguously identified by name only, but more complex techniques should be described in the methods section;
  - are tests one-sided or two-sided?
  - are there adjustments for multiple comparisons?
  - exact statistical test results, e.g., P values = x but not P values < x;
  - definition of 'center values' as median or average;
  - definition of error bars as s.d. or s.e.m.

Any descriptions too long for the figure legend should be included in the methods section and/or with the source data.

In the pink boxes below, please ensure that the answers to the following questions are reported in the manuscript itself. Every question should be answered. If the question is not relevant to your research, please write NA (non applicable). We encourage you to include a specific subsection in the methods section for statistics, reagents, animal models and human subjects.

B- Statistics and general methods

Please fill out these boxes ↓ (Do not worry if you cannot see all your text once you press return)

1.a. How was the sample size chosen to ensure adequate power to detect a pre-specified effect size?	All experiments performed are representative of at least 3 biological replicates
1.b. For animal studies, include a statement about sample size estimate even if no statistical methods were used.	NA
2. Describe inclusion/exclusion criteria if samples or animals were excluded from the analysis. Were the criteria pre-established?	NA
3. Were any steps taken to minimize the effects of subjective bias when allocating animals/samples to treatment (e.g. randomization procedure)? If yes, please describe.	NA
For animal studies, include a statement about randomization even if no randomization was used.	NA
4.a. Were any steps taken to minimize the effects of subjective bias during group allocation or/and when assessing results (e.g. blinding of the investigator)? If yes please describe.	NA
4.b. For animal studies, include a statement about blinding even if no blinding was done	NA
5. For every figure, are statistical tests justified as appropriate?	Yes
Do the data meet the assumptions of the tests (e.g., normal distribution)? Describe any methods used to assess it.	Based on the sample size, all the requirements were met in order to safely conduct the indicated statistical tests. Statistical analyses were validated using the GraphPad Prism 6 software.
Is there an estimate of variation within each group of data?	Yes

USEFUL LINKS FOR COMPLETING THIS FORM

http://www.antibodypedia.com
http://1degreebio.org
http://www.equator-network.org/reporting-guidelines/improving-bioscience-research-repor

http://grants.nih.gov/grants/olaw/olaw.htm
http://www.mrc.ac.uk/Ourresearch/Ethicsresearchguidance/Useofanimals/index.htm
http://ClinicalTrials.gov
http://www.consort-statement.org
http://www.consort-statement.org/checklists/view/32-consort/66-title

http://www.equator-network.org/reporting-guidelines/reporting-recommendations-for-tumo

http://datadryad.org

http://figshare.com

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gap

http://www.ebi.ac.uk/ega

http://biomodels.net/

http://biomodels.net/miriam/
http://jjj.biochem.sun.ac.za
https://osp.od.nih.gov/biosafety-biosecurity-and-emerging-biotechnology/
http://www.selectagents.gov/

Is the variance similar between the groups that are being statistically compared?	Yes

C- Reagents

6. To show that antibodies were profiled for use in the system under study (assay and species), provide a citation, catalog number and/or clone number, supplementary information or reference to an antibody validation profile. e.g., Antibodypedia (see link list at top right), 1DegreeBio (see link list at top right).	The following antibodies were used in the study: β-CATENIN (sc-7199), p73 (ep436Y), YAP (sc-15404 and sc-101199), HES1 (AB5702), GAPDH (Abcam;2251-1), TAZ (sc-48805 and Ab118373), FLAG (M2; Agilent; 200472-21), RASSF1A (sc-58470), NANOG (Cell Signaling; 4893S), OCT4 (ab19857), SOX2 (MAB4423), LAMIN B1 (Ab16048), RNF4 (Novus Biologicals), TEAD1 (BD Transduction Laboratories; 610922), p73 (EP436Y), Ub (Cell Signaling; 3936), HA (Cell Signaling; 3724S), ICN1 (Cell Signaling; 4147S), β-ACTIN (Cell Signaling; 4967L), IgG (Cell Signalling; 2729S); HRP conjugated anti-mouse (Jackson Immunoresearch; 315-035-048) and anti-rabbit (Jackson Immunoresearch; 711-035-152) secondary antibodies and Alexa secondary antibodies (Invitrogen; A-21422; A-11005; A-11012; A-11001; A-31576; A-11008). This information can be found in the Materials and Methods, Co-immunoprecipitation, immunoblotting, immunofluorescence and chromatin immunoprecipitation sections.
7. Identify the source of cell lines and report if they were recently authenticated (e.g., by STR profiling) and tested for mycoplasma contamination.	U2OS, HeLa and H1299 cells were provided from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC) and Clontech, and were maintained in culture for no longer than 2 months. This information can be found in the Materials and Methods, Cell lines and Reagents section.

* for all hyperlinks, please see the table at the top right of the document

D- Animal Models

8. Report species, strain, gender, age of animals and genetic modification status where applicable. Please detail housing and husbandry conditions and the source of animals.	NA
9. For experiments involving live vertebrates, include a statement of compliance with ethical regulations and identify the committee(s) approving the experiments.	NA
10. We recommend consulting the ARRIVE guidelines (see link list at top right) (PLoS Biol. 8(6), e1000412, 2010) to ensure that other relevant aspects of animal studies are adequately reported. See author guidelines, under 'Reporting Guidelines'. See also: NIH (see link list at top right) and MRC (see link list at top right) recommendations. Please confirm compliance.	NA

E- Human Subjects

11. Identify the committee(s) approving the study protocol.	NA
12. Include a statement confirming that informed consent was obtained from all subjects and that the experiments conformed to the principles set out in the WMA Declaration of Helsinki and the Department of Health and Human Services Belmont Report.	NA
13. For publication of patient photos, include a statement confirming that consent to publish was obtained.	NA
14. Report any restrictions on the availability (and/or on the use) of human data or samples.	NA
15. Report the clinical trial registration number (at ClinicalTrials.gov or equivalent), where applicable.	NA
16. For phase II and III randomized controlled trials, please refer to the CONSORT flow diagram (see link list at top right) and submit the CONSORT checklist (see link list at top right) with your submission. See author guidelines, under 'Reporting Guidelines'. Please confirm you have submitted this list.	NA
17. For tumor marker prognostic studies, we recommend that you follow the REMARK reporting guidelines (see link list at top right). See author guidelines, under 'Reporting Guidelines'. Please confirm you have followed these guidelines.	NA

F- Data Accessibility

18: Provide a "Data Availability" section at the end of the Materials & Methods, listing the accession codes for data generated in this study and deposited in a public database (e.g. RNA-Seq data: Gene Expression Omnibus GSE39462, Proteomics data: PRIDE PXD000208 etc.) Please refer to our author guidelines for 'Data Deposition'. Data deposition in a public repository is mandatory for: a. Protein, DNA and RNA sequences b. Macromolecular structures c. Crystallographic data for small molecules d. Functional genomics data e. Proteomics and molecular interactions	A Data Availability section at the end of the Materials & Methods has been added.
19. Deposition is strongly recommended for any datasets that are central and integral to the study; please consider the journal's data policy. If no structured public repository exists for a given data type, we encourage the provision of datasets in the manuscript as a Supplementary Document (see author guidelines under 'Expanded View' or in unstructured repositories such as Dryad (see link list at top right) or Figshare (see link list at top right).	Supplementary Documents are provided.
20. Access to human clinical and genomic datasets should be provided with as few restrictions as possible while respecting ethical obligations to the patients and relevant medical and legal issues. If practically possible and compatible with the individual consent agreement used in the study, such data should be deposited in one of the major public access-controlled repositories such as dbGAP (see link list at top right) or EGA (see link list at top right).	NA
21. Computational models that are central and integral to a study should be shared without restrictions and provided in a machine-readable form. The relevant accession numbers or links should be provided. When possible, standardized format (SBML, CellML) should be used instead of scripts (e.g. MATLAB). Authors are strongly encouraged to follow the MIRIAM guidelines (see link list at top right) and deposit their model in a public database such as Biomodels (see link list at top right) or JWS Online (see link list at top right). If computer source code is provided with the paper, it should be deposited in a public repository or included in supplementary information.	NA

G- Dual use research of concern

22. Could your study fall under dual use research restrictions? Please check biosecurity documents (see link list at top right) and list of select agents and toxins (APHIS/CDC) (see link list at top right). According to our biosecurity guidelines, provide a statement only if it could.	NA