iScience, Volume 25

## Supplemental information

## Upregulation of CD14 in mesenchymal stromal

## cells accelerates lipopolysaccharide-induced

## response and enhances antibacterial properties

Matthew P. Hirakawa, Nikki Tjahjono, Yooli K. Light, Aleyna N. Celebi, Nisa N. Celebi, Prem Chintalapudi, Kimberly S. Butler, Steven S. Branda, and Raga Krishnakumar





Figure S1. Analysis of traditional MSC cell-surface markers in different MSC types, 3 related to Figure 1. Cells were inspected for the expression of MSC cell-surface markers 4 5 by immunostaining with the Mouse Mesenchymal Marker Antibody Panel (R&D Systems) followed by flow cytometry. Both (A) C57-MSCs and (B) BALB-MSCs stained positively 6 (>99% of cells) for the MSC markers Sca-1, CD29, CD44 and CD106. The MSC markers 7 CD73 and CD90 were observed only in subsets of cells analyzed indicating population 8 heterogeneity that has been observed with these proteins in MSCs. C57-MSCs and 9 10 BALB-MSCs exhibited negative or low staining of CD105, respectively, and subpopulations of CD105-negative MSCs have also been previously characterized. The 11 negative MSC markers, CD45 and CD11b, were not detected in either C57-MSC or 12 BALB-MSCs. Plots shown are one representative of three independent experiments. 13



Figure S2. MSC differentiation assays, related to Figure 1. C57-MSCs and BALB-MSCs were tested for their ability to differentiate using (A) adipogenic and (B) osteogenic assays (Cyagen, Inc). Using this approach, we observed both cell types to be capable of adipogenesis and osteogenesis as visualized by Oil Red O and Alizarin Red S staining, respectively (Scale bars = 200 um). Images shown are representative of at least 3 independent experiments.





Figure S3. Antibacterial assays with physical separation of MSCs and *E. coli*. 27 28 related to Figure 1. To test if MSCs needed to be in direct contact with bacteria to inhibit 29 bacterial growth, antibacterial assays were performed using transwell inserts to physically 30 separate *E. coli* from MSCs. (A) These experiments were performed like those in Figure 1, however *E. coli* were added to the upper chamber of a transwell insert so they could 31 not directly contact MSCs in the bottom section of the well. (B) E. coli abundance was 32 33 quantified using CFU assays after 6-hrs incubation in the transwell inserts, and normalized to CFU values of *E. coli* controls grown in identical transwell systems but in 34 monoculture. Here, we observed that MSCs inhibited *E. coli* growth with and without 35 LPS-priming when compared to a control with media only (p = 0.03 and p = 0.04, 36 Bars depict the mean with error bars representing SD, statistical 37 respectively). significance was determined using t-test on three biological replicates, and \* represents 38 p < 0.05. 39



54 (see Figure 2A for quantification data, and the materials and methods section for more

55 details on the imaging and quantification procedure).

56









Figure S6. Transcriptional differences between MSCs and fibroblasts, related to 74 **Figure 3.** (A) PCA plot depicting transcriptional profiles using RNA-seg of C57-MSCs. 75 76 BALB-MSCs, MEFs and MDFs under standard growth conditions. (B) Venn diagram showing numbers of genes upregulated in pairwise comparisons of MSCs vs fibroblasts 77 (upregulated genes were defined as having a fold change > 2 and an adjusted P < 0.05). 78 The center overlapping area of 523 genes represented shared upregulated genes 79 between both MSC types when compared to both fibroblasts. (C) These MSC-specific 80 genes were then inspected for functional enrichment using GO-term analysis. The most 81 functionally enriched GO category involved the regulation of branching involved in 82 salivary gland morphogenesis by mesenchymal-epithelial signaling (GO:0060665), which 83 84 was a result of upregulation of Fgf7, Hgf, and Met. Of particular note, MSCs were enriched for the TLR-signaling pathway (GO:0002224) that included higher expression of 85 genes involved with responding to bacterial PAMPs including Lbp, Tlr2 and Irf1. 86 87 Additionally, MSCs were enriched for the genes involved with cell killing (GO:0031341) which included chemokines (Cxcl1 and Cxcl5), MHC genes (H2-BI, H2-T22, H2-Q6, H2-88 89 Q2, H2-K1), as well as pro-apoptotic genes (Stat5a, Bcl2I11, Gapdh, Arrb2).

90



91 92 Figure S7. CD14 immunostaining in C57-MSCs and BALB-MSCs, related to Figure **4.** Both MSC types were live-stained using a PE conjugated anti-mouse CD14 antibody 93 and expression levels were measured using flow cytometry. The mean fluorescence 94 intensity (MFI) of immunostained MSCs was compared to MFIs from respective unstained 95 controls. Using this approach, we observed increased fluorescence intensity of C57-96 97 MSCs stained with CD14 when compared to their unstained controls (MFI = 68198 vs. 98 57669, respectively), indicating the presence of CD14 protein expression. Alternatively, the BALB-MSCs stained with CD14 were more similar to their unstained controls (MFI = 99 100 67100 vs. 65679, respectively), indicating these cells have extremely low or absent CD14 expression. Results shown are one representative of three independent experiments. 101



103 Figure S8. Comparison of sgRNAs to activate protein expression in BALB-MSC-**CRISPRa cells, related to Figure 5.** BALB-MSCs expressing the CRISPRa SAM system 104 105 were transduced with lentiviral constructs to express one of six sgRNAs that target either 106 (A) CD14 of (B) TLR4. Each sgRNA was designed to target a different distance upstream 107 of the transcription start site (represented by the number after the gene name). MSCs were live-stained using anti-CD14-PE or anti-TLR4-APC antibodies and examined for 108 fluorescence intensity using flow cytometry. Negative controls used here were BALB-109 110 MSC-CRISPRa not expressing sgRNA with no antibody staining (labeled no antibody), or 111 the same cells treated with antibody (labeled no sgRNA). (C) The two sgRNAs that were 112 selected for subsequent experiments were additionally tested for CD14 expression levels when directly compared to wildtype C57-MSCs. In these experiments, we observed the 113 114 BALB-MSC-CRISPRa-CD14 cells to exhibit slightly elevated CD14 expression compared

- to C57-MSCs. Plots shown are representative staining of one of three independent
- 116 experiments.
- 117
- 118



120 Figure S9. Functional analysis of TLR4 overexpression in BALB-MSCs, related to 121 **Figure 5.** (A) BALB-MSCs overexpressing TLR4 were examined for their antibacterial 122 properties by co-culturing these MSCs with *E. coli* for 6 hours and measuring the CFUs in the media (For scrambled sgRNAs: circle = non-targeting control 1, square = non-123 124 targeting control 2, triangle = non-targeting control 3; For TLR4 sgRNAs: circle = TLR4-53, square = TLR4-126, triangle = TLR4-159). CFUs were normalized to a 125 126 corresponding *E. coli* monoculture control performed alongside each biological replicate, 127 and statistical significance was determined using t-test (Lines reference the median, and statistical significance is represented by \*\* P < 0.01, \*\*\* P<0.001). (B) BALB-MSC-128 129 CRISPRa-TLR4 cells were also inspected for their rates of NF-KB nuclear translocation after LPS-exposure compared to cells expressing a non-targeting "Scrambled" control. 130 Overexpression of *TIr4* did not lead to a change in NF-kB nuclear translocation rates in 131 these cells. Data points represent the mean of at least 3 biological replicates, and error 132 133 bars represent SEM.

119



138 Figure S10. Antibacterial activity and LPS-response kinetics in C57-MSCs with upregulated Cd14 or Tlr4, related to Figure 5. (A) C57-MSCs overexpressing Cd14 or 139 140 Tlr4 via CRISPRa SAM system were examined for antibacterial properties by co-culturing 141 with *E. coli* for 6 hours and measuring the CFUs in the media (For scrambled sgRNAs: 142 circle = non-targeting sgRNA 1, square = non-targeting sgRNA 2, triangle = non-targeting sgRNA 3; For CD14 sgRNAs: circle = CD14-83, square = CD14-105, triangle = CD14-143 131; For TLR4 sgRNAs: circle = TLR4-53, square = TLR4-126, triangle = TLR4-159). 144 145 CFUs were normalized to a corresponding 'media only' control performed alongside each biological replicate (Lines reference the median, and statistical significance is represented 146 by \*, p < 0.05). (B) NF- $\kappa$ B nuclear translocation assays in C57-MSC-CRISPRa-CD14 147 cells after LPS-exposure. We observed a significant increase in nuclear NF-kB at 2-hrs 148 post LPS-exposure in C57-MSC-CRISPRa-CD14 when compared to cells expressing a 149 150 non-targeting scrambled sgRNA (Data points represent mean with error bars +/- SEM, n 151 = 3 biological replicates, p < 0.05). (C) NF- $\kappa$ B nuclear translocation assays were also performed in C57-MSC-CRISPRa-TLR4, however there was no significant difference 152

- 153 observed when these cells were compared to cells expressing a scrambled control (Data
- points represent mean with error bars +/- SEM, n = 3 biological replicates).



Figure S11. Single-cell RNA-seq visualizations and Euclidean distance plots,
related to Figure 5. (A and B) Population structure visualization of single-cell RNA-seq
profiles from MSCs during LPS-exposure time course using UMAP and tSNE

162 dimensionality reduction methods. (C) UMAP plot depicting single cell transcriptional profiles of untreated C57-MSC-CRISPRa-Scrambled and BALB-MSC-CRISPRa-163 Scrambled cells distribution of transcriptomic cell states without LPS treatment or CD14 164 165 addition. (D) Violin plot depicting pairwise n-dimensional Euclidian distances (with n being number of genes) between all cells in the UMAP projection from panel C within each cell 166 type. A Kruskal-Wallis non-parametric rank sum test shows a significance of p < 2.2e-16167 with a chi-squared statistic of 141984, suggesting that C57-MSCs have more closely 168 169 transcriptional profiles within related the population compared to BALB-170 MSCs. (E) Seurat's shared nearest neighbors (SNN) algorithm was used to identify clusters of cells. Using a resolution value set at 0.1 we observed all C57-MSCs clustered 171 together and BALB-MSCs were spread across three clusters (with some cells overlapping 172 173 with the C57-MSC cluster). (F and G) Violin plot depicting pairwise n-dimensional Euclidian distances during LPS-exposure between members of the same experimental 174 sample for both (F) UMAP (G) tSNE approaches. 175

Primer Name	Sequence
CD14-7-F	CACCGGTACGCACCAGACAAGTCCG
CD14-7-R	AAACCGGACTTGTCTGGTGCGTACC
CD14-49-F	CACCGGAATAATGATCTAAGGCACT
CD14-49-R	AAACAGTGCCTTAGATCATTATTCC
CD14-83-F	CACCGGAAAATGGAGGTGAATCAAT
CD14-83-R	AAACATTGATTCACCTCCATTTTCC
CD14-105-F	CACCGTTGCTAGCAACTAAGACTAG
CD14-105-R	AAACCTAGTCTTAGTTGCTAGCAAC
CD14-131-F	CACCGAAGAGCTGGATTTGAACGGT
CD14-131-R	AAACACCGTTCAAATCCAGCTCTTC
CD14-160-F	CACCGTGAATGTAATTGGACATTTG
CD14-160-R	AAACCAAATGTCCAATTACATTCAC
TLR4-8-F	CACCGCAGATCGTCATGTTCTCTCA
TLR4-8-R	AAACTGAGAGAACATGACGATCTGC
TLR4-32-F	CACCGTGGTGGCAGCGCAGAGTCCC
TLR4-32-R	AAACGGGACTCTGCGCTGCCACCAC
TLR4-53-F	CACCGAGGGAAGAGGCAGGTGTCCC
TLR4-53-R	AAACGGGACACCTGCCTCTTCCCTC
TLR4-76-F	CACCGCTTGCAGAGGGGGCACCCACT
TLR4-76-R	AAACAGTGGGTGCCCCTCTGCAAGC
TLR4-126-F	CACCGAACCTTAGCATTCTCACTTT
TLR4-126-R	AAACAAAGTGAGAATGCTAAGGTTC
TLR4-159-F	CACCGGAATCGATCTGCCCCGTCGC
TLR4-159-R	AAACGCGACGGGGGCAGATCGATTCC
NonTargetingControl_0001_F	CACCGGCGAGGTATTCGGCTCCGCG
NonTargetingControl_0001_R	AAACCGCGGAGCCGAATACCTCGCC
NonTargetingControl_0002_F	CACCGGCTTTCACGGAGGTTCGACG
NonTargetingControl_0002_R	AAACCGTCGAACCTCCGTGAAAGCC

NonTargetingControl\_0003\_F CACCGATGTTGCAGTTCGGCTCGAT

**Table S1. Primers used in this study, related to Figure 5.**