# nature research

| Corresponding author(s):   | Julian Lui |
|----------------------------|------------|
| Last updated by author(s): | 2022/01/03 |

# **Reporting Summary**

Nature Research wishes to improve the reproducibility of the work that we publish. This form provides structure for consistency and transparency in reporting. For further information on Nature Research policies, see our Editorial Policies and the Editorial Policy Checklist.

| _        |    |    |     |     |
|----------|----|----|-----|-----|
| <b>C</b> | トつ | +1 | cti | CC  |
| ار       | ιа | u  | ST  | เนอ |

| Fora | all statistical analyses, confirm that the following items are present in the figure legend, table legend, main text, or Methods section.  |
|------|--|
| n/a  | Confirmed  |
|      | The exact sample size (n) for each experimental group/condition, given as a discrete number and unit of measurement  |
|      | 🕱 A statement on whether measurements were taken from distinct samples or whether the same sample was measured repeatedly  |
|      | The statistical test(s) used AND whether they are one- or two-sided  Only common tests should be described solely by name; describe more complex techniques in the Methods section.  |
|      | 🗶 A description of all covariates tested   |
|      | 🗴 A description of any assumptions or corrections, such as tests of normality and adjustment for multiple comparisons  |
|      | A full description of the statistical parameters including central tendency (e.g. means) or other basic estimates (e.g. regression coefficient) AND variation (e.g. standard deviation) or associated estimates of uncertainty (e.g. confidence intervals) |
|      | For null hypothesis testing, the test statistic (e.g. <i>F</i> , <i>t</i> , <i>r</i> ) with confidence intervals, effect sizes, degrees of freedom and <i>P</i> value noted <i>Give P values as exact values whenever suitable.</i>                        |
|      | 🗷 For Bayesian analysis, information on the choice of priors and Markov chain Monte Carlo settings   |
|      | For hierarchical and complex designs, identification of the appropriate level for tests and full reporting of outcomes   |
|      | Estimates of effect sizes (e.g. Cohen's <i>d</i> , Pearson's <i>r</i> ), indicating how they were calculated   |

#### Our web collection on statistics for biologists contains articles on many of the points above.

## Software and code

Policy information about <u>availability of computer code</u>

Data collection

Patient bone biopsy histomorphometry image acquisition (Zeiss AxioCam videocamera), patient bone biopsy qBEI (digital scanning electron microscope DSM 962, Zeiss), Backscattered electrons measurement (four-quadrant semiconductor backscattered electron detector), mouse micro-CT (Bruker Skyscan 1172, 1272, 1176 suite, PerkinElmer, Quantum GX), western blot (Amersham Imager 680), qPCR (Applied biosystems ABI QuantStudio 6 Flex System), ChIP-Seq (illumina Hiseq X)

Data analysis

Patient bone biopsy (NIH Image software version 1.63). For micro-CT in mice, 3-Dimensional reconstruction of scanned datasets was performed using NRecon (Bruker, Billerica, MA) and rotated (only for long bones) with DataViewer (Bruker). Whole body  $\mu$ CT images and videos were generated by CTVox (Bruker). For study of gene expression in vitro, quantitative real-time PCR data were analyzed using Sigma Plot 11.

For manuscripts utilizing custom algorithms or software that are central to the research but not yet described in published literature, software must be made available to editors and reviewers. We strongly encourage code deposition in a community repository (e.g. GitHub). See the Nature Research guidelines for submitting code & software for further information.

#### Data

Policy information about availability of data

All manuscripts must include a <u>data availability statement</u>. This statement should provide the following information, where applicable:

- Accession codes, unique identifiers, or web links for publicly available datasets
- A list of figures that have associated raw data
- A description of any restrictions on data availability

The datasets generated during and/or analysed during the current study are available as source data file 1 (raw values from dot plots in Figure 2 and 3) and file 2 (uncropped images of western blot from Figure 2 and 3), or otherwise available from the corresponding author on reasonable request, ChIP-Seq data was deposited

| to GEO database with           | n accession number GSE151217 and GSE180367.  |  |  |  |  |
|--------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Etalal assa                    |  |  |  |  |  |
| •                              | cific reporting  |  |  |  |  |
|                                | ne below that is the best fit for your research. If you are not sure, read the appropriate sections before making your selection.  |  |  |  |  |
| Life sciences                  | Behavioural & social sciences  |  |  |  |  |
|                                |  |  |  |  |  |
| Life scien                     | ices study design  |  |  |  |  |
| All studies must dis           | close on these points even when the disclosure is negative.  |  |  |  |  |
| Sample size                    | Comparing S309W knock-in mouse skeletal phenotype: wild-type N=8, S309W(WT/Tg) N=1, S309W(Tg/Tg) N=3 Osteoblastic differentiation of chondrocytes and MSCs for ALP and Alizarin red staining, experiment replicated 3 times. quantitative real-time PCR for gene expression, N=4-5 for each time point western blot, experiment replicated 5 times with similar results co-IP of SP7 and DLX proteins, experiment replicated 3 times gel mobility shift assay, experiment replicated 3 times |  |  |  |  |
| Data exclusions                | no data were excluded  |  |  |  |  |
| Replication                    | all experimental findings, such as osteoblastic differentiation, qPCR, western blot, co-IP, and gel shift assays, reported in this study were successfully replicated  |  |  |  |  |
| Randomization                  | Bone histomorphometric analyses were performed on 4 randomly chosen throughout each bone section   |  |  |  |  |
| Blinding                       | for experiments such as qPCR, western blot, co-IP, and gel shift assay, the person performing the experiment did not know the order and identity of the samples.   |  |  |  |  |
| •                              | g for specific materials, systems and methods  |  |  |  |  |
|                                | on from authors about some types of materials, experimental systems and methods used in many studies. Here, indicate whether each material, ed is relevant to your study. If you are not sure if a list item applies to your research, read the appropriate section before selecting a response.   |  |  |  |  |
| Materials & exp                | perimental systems Methods   |  |  |  |  |
| n/a Involved in th             |  |  |  |  |  |
| Antibodies <b>x</b> Eukaryotic | cell lines Flow cytometry  |  |  |  |  |
|                                | cell lines    X  |  |  |  |  |
|                                | d other organisms  |  |  |  |  |
| Human res                      | earch participants   |  |  |  |  |
| Clinical data                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <b>x</b> Dual use re           | search of concern  |  |  |  |  |
| ۸ الماد مانية م                |  |  |  |  |  |
| Antibodies                     |  |  |  |  |  |
| Antibodies used                | FLAG antibody (M2, Sigma-Aldrich, 1:1000), myc antibody (TA150121, Origene; ab9106, abcam, 1:1000), HA antibody (ab18181, abcam, 1:1000), Col1a1 (ab34710, abcam, 1:500), Rankl (ab45039, abcam, 1:1000), Gapdh (ab9485, abcam, 1:5000)  |  |  |  |  |
| Validation                     | All antibodies are commercially available. For in house validation of epitope tags, we performed western blot comparing cell lysates transfected with tagged proteins or with empty vector. A single discrete band at the expected molecular size indicated that these antibodies can be used to detect FLAG-tagged, HA- tagged, and myc-tagged proteins, respectively.  |  |  |  |  |
| Eukaryotic ce                  | ell lines  |  |  |  |  |
| Policy information a           | about <u>cell lines</u>  |  |  |  |  |
| Cell line source(s)            | Three cell lines were used in the study: HEK293, Phoenix Ecotropic (ECO), and MC3T3-E1.  |  |  |  |  |

All three cell lines were purchased from ATCC. the cell lines were authenticated by ATCC

Authentication

| Mycoplasma contamination                          | all cell lines used were tested negative for mycoplasma contamination |
|---|---|
| Commonly misidentified lines (See ICLAC register) | none  |

# Animals and other organisms

Policy information about studies involving animals; ARRIVE guidelines recommended for reporting animal research

Laboratory animals For comparing S

For comparing S309W knock-in, C57BL6, both males and females studied at birth. For chondrocytes isolation, C57BL6 males at 7 days old wild-type mice were used. For MSCs isolation, 3-5 weeks old male and female C57BL6 mice were used. All animals obtained from Charles River laboratories.

Wild animals

Provide details on animals observed in or captured in the field; report species, sex and age where possible. Describe how animals were caught and transported and what happened to captive animals after the study (if killed, explain why and describe method; if released, say where and when) OR state that the study did not involve wild animals.

Field-collected samples

For laboratory work with field-collected samples, describe all relevant parameters such as housing, maintenance, temperature, photoperiod and end-of-experiment protocol OR state that the study did not involve samples collected from the field.

Ethics oversight

All animals were used in accordance with the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals (National Research Council 2003).

Note that full information on the approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.

# Human research participants

Policy information about studies involving human research participants

Population characteristics This study focuses on a

This study focuses on a single subject with a complex skeletal disorder including severe scoliosis, thickened calvarium, craniosynostosis, osteosclerosis of the clavicles and spine, and recurring fractures in the lower extremities.

Recruitment

( timeline: born 09/2009, first presentation with plagiocephalus 04/2010, scoliosis 07/2011, long bone fracture09/2011,BONE HISTOLOGY: 03/2013 (age 3.5 yrs),Genetic evaluation starting 05/2012 (age 2.6 yrs, Material sent to NIH lab (around 10/2014).

Ethics oversight

The studies described in this paper were conducted according to the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki, with particular attention to §20/vulnerable groups and individuals. Written consent to perform research on material available from an extensive diagnostic work-up was given by the legal guardians of the patient, in accordance with the Ethical Committee of the Medical University of Vienna. In addition, informed consent was obtained from the legal guardians to publish potentially identifying clinical information including the details of the case, radiographs, and photographs that were included in the final version of the manuscript.

Note that full information on the approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.

### Clinical data

Policy information about clinical studies

All manuscripts should comply with the ICMJEguidelines for publication of clinical research and a completed CONSORT checklist must be included with all submissions.

Clinical trial registration Th

The study did not involve a clinical trial

Study protocol

The study did not involve a clinical trial

Data collection

Clinical data and specimens were collected throughout the subjects life, i.e. the 11 years prior to study publications, in Austrian medical centers, especially at the Medical University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria. The Ethical Committee of the medical University of Vienna approved collection of clinical data for research purposes in a rare disease registry of the Vienna Bone and Growth Center.

Outcomes

Describe how you pre-defined primary and secondary outcome measures and how you assessed these measures.

# ChIP-sea

#### Data deposition

**x** Confirm that both raw and final processed data have been deposited in a public database such as <u>GEO</u>.

Confirm that you have deposited or provided access to graph files (e.g. BED files) for the called peaks.

Data access links

May remain private before publication.

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE151217 https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE180367 Files in database submission

Provide a list of all files available in the database submission.

Genome browser session (e.g. <u>UCSC</u>)

Provide a link to an anonymized genome browser session for "Initial submission" and "Revised version" documents only, to enable peer review. Write "no longer applicable" for "Final submission" documents.

#### Methodology

Replicates

For chondrocytes, no technical replicate, biological samples were each pooled from 3 retroviral infections. For MC3T3, there were both technical replicate and biological replicate. Cells from 2 transfection were pooled and the whole experiment was repeated.

Sequencing depth

For input DNA, total number of reads: 42673288; uniquely mapped reads: 13225574. For FLAG wild-type SP7 ChIP, total number of reads: 50696492; uniquely mapped reads: 16055743. For FLAG mutant SP7 ChIP, total number of reads: 88259526; uniquely mapped reads: 39045400. All samples are 150 bp sequences, paired-end.

Antibodies

FLAG M2 antibody (Sigma, F1804)

Peak calling parameters

Reads were aligned to the mm9 genome assembly using bowtie 1.2.2 with follwing options: -v 2 -m 1. Peak calling was performed by two-sample analysis on CisGenome software with a P-value cutoff of 10-5 comparing with the input control

Data quality

All the peaks obtained are FDR < 0.01

Software

GREAT GO analysis was performed utilizing the on line GREAT GO program, version 4.0.4. Each peak category was run against a whole-genome background with assembly mm9. De novo motif analyses were performed using DREME; a 100 bp region surrounding peak center was extracted from mm9 and used for the analysis. Peak intersection was performed by BEDTools-Version-2.16.2.