Supplementary Information for

Versioning Biological Cells for Trustworthy Cell Engineering

Jonathan Tellechea-Luzardo¹⁺, Leanne Hobbs¹⁺, Elena Velázquez², Lenka Pelechova¹, Simon

Woods³, Victor de Lorenzo² and Natalio Krasnogor^{1*}.

Affiliations:

1Interdisciplinary Computing and Complex Biosystems (ICOS) Research Group, Newcastle University, Newcastle Upon Tyne NE4 5TG, U.K.

2Systems and Synthetic Biology Program, Centro Nacional de Biotecnología (CNB-CSIC), 28049 Madrid, Spain.

³ Policy Ethics and Life Sciences (PEALS), Newcastle University, Newcastle Upon Tyne NE1 7RU, U.K

*Correspondence (Natalio.Krasnogor@newcastle.ac.uk)

+ These authors contributed equally.

This file includes:

Materials and Methods Supplementary Figures 1 to 36 Supplementary Tables 1 to 13

Materials and Methods

CellRepo can be accessed here: https://cellrepo.ico2s.org

Detailed protocols

We present here all the step-by-step protocols in "card" format to ease the printing and understanding by CellRepo users.

All the barcoding procedures assume that the barcode has been already cloned into the barcode delivering plasmid and/or that the barcoding cassette has been amplified by PCR.

E. coli barcoding protocols

Note: Different sgRNA sequences were used in different experiments (obtained using 3):

- Final sgRNA1: 5' promoter ATTCCGCGTAAGTATCGCGG– scaffold terminator 3'
- Final sgRNA2: 5' promoter CGTACAAAAGTACGTGAGGA– scaffold terminator 3'

B. subtilis barcoding protocols

The toxin-antibiotic cassette assembly was achieved by HiFi assembly (NEB) of all the parts. The final product was PCR amplified and transformed into 168 cells.

Final sgRNA: 5' - promoter – GGAAAAGAGTATATTAGATA – scaffold – terminator 3'.

sgRNA sequences obtained using 3.

P. putida barcoding protocol

The barcoding protocol using TargeTron was adapted from *E. coli*⁸ to *P. putida*⁹. The barcode sequence was synthesized by PCR with two overlapping oligonucleotides. Afterwards, this PCR fragment was directly cloned into MluI-digested pSEVA6511-GIIi by Gibson Assembly to generate pSEVA6511-GIIi-BC. The generation of pSEVA231-C-94a is explained elsewhere¹⁰. Details on how the TargeTron system works as a barcode deliverer in *P*. *putida* can be found in Supplementary Fig. 3. After generating these two plasmids, the barcoding protocol was carried out as follows:

S. albidoflavus barcoding protocol

pCRISPomyces-2 vector was used to engineer *S. albidoflavus* J1074. Using the vector construction protocol detailed in¹³, pSA-CRISPR vector was built (testing two different sgRNA sequences). By Hi-Fi assembly, the barcode sequence was cloned. To transform this vector into *S. albidoflavus* J1074, the protocol detailed in ¹⁴ was followed. pCRISPomyces-2 was a gift from Huimin Zhao (Addgene plasmid # 61737).

Note: Two sgRNA sequences used (obtained using 5):

- \Rightarrow sgRNA1: 5' promoter TCATCGTTCTCAATACACCG– scaffold terminator 3'
- \Rightarrow sgRNA2: 5' promoter TGCAACCTCCGTGATCATTC– scaffold terminator 3'

S. cerevisiae barcoding protocols

pBF3060 was a gift from Nancy DaSilva & Suzanne Sandmeyer (Addgene plasmid # 26850).

In short, the procedure is based on two plasmids and a PCR repair template.

pCfBf2312, pCfBf2899 and pCfBf3020 were a gift from Irina Borodina (Addgene plasmid # 78231, 73271 and 73282 respectively).

sgRNA: 5' - promoter – CTCTCGAAGTGGTCACGTGC– scaffold – terminator 3'.

K. phaffi barcoding protocol

Adapted from "Thermo Fisher Scientific's pPICZ A, B, and C transformation protocol (Manual part no. 25-0148)". A version of pPICZ vector, pICXNH317 was used to create our vector. The barcode sequence was inserted after the *AOX1* terminator sequence. The vector allows the integration of any desired protein after the *AOX1* promoter. SacI was used to linearize the plasmid. pICXNH3 was a gift from Raimund Dutzler & Eric Geertsma (Addgene plasmid # 49020).

Recipes of media used in this study

LB $(1 L)$:

- \bullet 10 g tryptone
- \bullet 10 g NaCl
- 5 g yeast extract
- Up to 1 L distilled water

SMM (1 L):

- 2 g Ammonium sulphate
- 14 g Dipotassium hydrogen phosphate
- 6 g potassium dihydrogen phosphate
- 1 g trisodioum citrate dihydrate
- 0.2 g magnesium sulphate heptahydrate
- Up to 1 L distilled water

B. subtilis MM (10 mL):

- 10 mL SMM basic salts
- 125 µL 40% (w/v) glucose
- \bullet 100 µL 2% (w/v) tryptophan
- 60 µL 1M Mg2SO₄*7H₂O
- \bullet 10 µL 20% (w/v) casamino acids
- 5 µL 2.2mg/ml ferric ammonium citrate.

B. subtilis starvation media (10 mL):

- 10 mL SMM basic salts
- 125 µL 40% (w/v) glucose
- \bullet 60 µL 1M Mg2SO₄*7H₂O

M9 minimal medium recipe (1L):

- \bullet 6 g Na₂HPO₄
- \bullet 3 g KH₂PO₄
- \bullet 0.5 g NH₄Cl
- \bullet 0.5 g NaCl
- \bullet 0.2 g MgSO₄ \cdot 7H₂O

NA plates (100 mL):

- 2.8 g oxoid nutrient agar
- Up to 100 mL distilled water

TSB (1 L):

- 30 g Tryptic Soy Broth powder
- Up to 1 L distilled water

2xYT (1 L)

- \bullet 16 g tryptone
- 10 g yeast extract
- \bullet 5 g NaCl
- Up to 1 L distilled water.

MS plates (1 L):

- \bullet 20 g mannitol
- \bullet 20 g soy flour
- \bullet 20 g agar
- Up to 1 L distilled water

YPD (1 L):

- \bullet 20 g peptone
- \bullet 10 g yeast extract
- Up to 1 L distilled water
- Add glucose to 2% final concentration after autoclaving

SC dropout plates (100 mL) (compounds from Formedium):

- 690 mg Nitrogen base without amino acids
- 162.2 mg of Leucine dropout or 192.6 mg of Uracil dropout mixture
- \bullet 2.4 g agar
- Up to 100 mL

SC dropout plates without ammonium sulphate (100 mL) (compounds from Formedium):

- 190 mg Nitrogen base without amino acids and without ammonium sulphate
- 162.2 mg of Leucine dropout or 192.6 mg of Uracil dropout mixture
- \bullet 2.4 g agar
- Up to 100 mL

GNA plates (100 mL):

- \bullet 5 g D-glucose
- 3 g Nutrient broth
- 1 g yeast extract
- \bullet 2.4 g agar
- Up to 100 mL distilled water

SPOR plates (100 mL):

- 1 g potassium acetate
- 100 mg yeast extract
- 50 mg glucose
- \bullet 2.4 g agar
- Up to 100 mL distilled water

Supplementary Figures

Supplementary Fig. 1.

Conservation pattern of the putative essential gene pair AGI90205.1 (IMP cyclohydrolase /

Phosphoribosylaminoimidazolecarboxamide formyltransferase) and AGI90207.1 (Bifunctional protein FolD) (encoded by loci XNR_3870 and XNR_3872 respectively). Both protein sequences were aligned against the classified Streptomyces database using BLAST. The hits were aligned using MAFFT included in Geneious Software package. Black colour indicates a higher similarity. Pink colour indicates a lower similarity. Spaces indicate alignment gaps. The alignment statistics were calculated by Geneious.

Supplementary Fig. 2.

Maps of the barcoding plasmids used in this study. a) *E. coli* lambda-red. b) *E. coli* CRISPR. c) *B. subtilis* Cre-Lox. d) *B. subtilis* CRISPR. e) *S. albidoflavus* CRISPR. f) *P. putida* CRISPR/targetron. g) *S. cerevisiae* Cre-Lox. h) *S. cerevisiae* CRISPR. i) *K. phaffii AOX1* insertion.

Supplementary Fig. 3.

Insertion of a barcode in a permissive site of the genome of *Pseudomonas putida*. Once committed, the barcode was assembled as part of the Group II intron sequence of plasmid pSEVA6511-GIIi-BC, which co-transcribes the corresponding RNA along with an intron-encoded protein (IEP) with reverse transcriptase activity. Upon induction of the expression system with cyclohexanone, a ribonucleoprotein (RNP) complex is formed that contains the excised intron RNA and IEP. After RNA splicing, the group II intron RNP recognizes DNA target sequences for intron insertion by using both the IEP and base pairing of the intron RNA. In our case the site of insertion is chosen in the proximity of the Tn7 insertion site and is predicted to occur with the target identification algorithm in the site indicated. Note also the PAM site exploited for counterselection of the non-inserted, wild-type region with the CRISPR/Cas9 system explained in the text.

Supplementary Fig. 4.

Barcoding locations used in this study. Purple colour indicates essential genes. Universal sequencing primer site (green), barcode sequence (blue), synchronisation sequence (yellow) and checksum (red). *S. cerevisiae* genomic map was obtained from https://www.yeastgenome.org/

Growth profile comparison between wild-type strains and barcoded strains. Wild-type strains are shown in blue. a) *E. coli.* b) *B. subtilis*. c) *P. putida*. d) *S. albidoflavus*. e) *S. cerevisiae*. f) *K. phaffii.* Note that the variation in *S. albidoflavus* graph can be explained due to cells grown on solid tryptic soy agar. n=3 biologically independent colonies were used for each control and barcoded strain. Data are presented as mean values +/- standard deviation.

Supplementary Fig. 6.

E. coli amplicon NGS. Glycerol control. Barcode sequence indicated by the orange region. Upper graph: percentage of reads showing a specific deletion/insertion. Lower graph: percentage of reads showing a specific base pair change. ~17000 read depth.

Supplementary Fig. 7.

E. coli amplicon NGS. Condition 1. Barcode sequence indicated by the orange region. Upper graph: percentage of reads showing a specific deletion/insertion. Lower graph: percentage of reads showing a specific base pair change. ~19500 read depth.

Supplementary Fig. 8.

E. coli amplicon NGS. Condition 2. Barcode sequence indicated by the orange region. Upper graph: percentage of reads showing a specific deletion/insertion. Lower graph: percentage of reads showing a specific base pair change. ~19200 read depth.

Supplementary Fig. 9.

E. coli amplicon NGS. Condition 3. Barcode sequence indicated by the orange region. Upper graph: percentage of reads showing a specific deletion/insertion. Lower graph: percentage of reads showing a specific base pair change. ~18700 read depth.

Supplementary Fig. 10.

E. coli amplicon NGS. Condition 4. Barcode sequence indicated by the orange region. Upper graph: percentage of reads showing a specific deletion/insertion. Lower graph: percentage of reads showing a specific base pair change. ~19200 read depth.

Supplementary Fig. 11.

B. subtilis amplicon NGS. Glycerol control. Barcode sequence indicated by the orange region. Upper graph: percentage of reads showing a specific deletion/insertion. Lower graph: percentage of reads showing a specific base pair change. ~95500 read depth.

Supplementary Fig. 12.

B. subtilis amplicon NGS. Condition 1. Barcode sequence indicated by the orange region. Upper graph: percentage of reads showing a specific deletion/insertion. Lower graph: percentage of reads showing a specific base pair change. ~91400 read depth.

Supplementary Fig. 13.

B. subtilis amplicon NGS. Condition 2. Barcode sequence indicated by the orange region. Upper graph: percentage of reads showing a specific deletion/insertion. Lower graph: percentage of reads showing a specific base pair change. ~94300 read depth.

Supplementary Fig. 14.

B. subtilis amplicon NGS. Condition 3. Barcode sequence indicated by the orange region. Upper graph: percentage of reads showing a specific deletion/insertion. Lower graph: percentage of reads showing a specific base pair change. ~95000 read depth.

Supplementary Fig. 15.

B. subtilis amplicon NGS. Condition 4. Barcode sequence indicated by the orange region. Upper graph: percentage of reads showing a specific deletion/insertion. Lower graph: percentage of reads showing a specific base pair change. ~83200 read depth.

Supplementary Fig. 16.

P. putida amplicon NGS. Glycerol control. Barcode sequence indicated by the orange region. Upper graph: percentage of reads showing a specific deletion/insertion. Lower graph: percentage of reads showing a specific base pair change. ~79900 read depth.

Supplementary Fig. 17.

P. putida amplicon NGS. Condition 1. Barcode sequence indicated by the orange region. Upper graph: percentage of reads showing a specific deletion/insertion. Lower graph: percentage of reads showing a specific base pair change. ~88300 read depth.

Supplementary Fig. 18.

P. putida amplicon NGS. Condition 2. Barcode sequence indicated by the orange region. Upper graph: percentage of reads showing a specific deletion/insertion. Lower graph: percentage of reads showing a specific base pair change. ~87400 read depth.

Supplementary Fig. 19.

P. putida amplicon NGS. Condition 3. Barcode sequence indicated by the orange region. Upper graph: percentage of reads showing a specific deletion/insertion. Lower graph: percentage of reads showing a specific base pair change. ~86800 read depth.

Supplementary Fig. 20.

P. putida amplicon NGS. Condition 4. Barcode sequence indicated by the orange region. Upper graph: percentage of reads showing a specific deletion/insertion. Lower graph: percentage of reads showing a specific base pair change. ~90800 read depth.

Supplementary Fig. 21.

S. albidoflavus amplicon NGS. Glycerol control. Barcode sequence indicated by the orange region. Upper graph: percentage of reads showing a specific deletion/insertion. Lower graph: percentage of reads showing a specific base pair change. ~87400 read depth.

Supplementary Fig. 22.

S. albidoflavus amplicon NGS. Condition 1. Barcode sequence indicated by the orange region. Upper graph: percentage of reads showing a specific deletion/insertion. Lower graph: percentage of reads showing a specific base pair change. ~91700 read depth.

Supplementary Fig. 23.

S. albidoflavus amplicon NGS. Condition 2. Barcode sequence indicated by the orange region. Upper graph: percentage of reads showing a specific deletion/insertion. Lower graph: percentage of reads showing a specific base pair change. ~96800 read depth.

Supplementary Fig. 24.

S. albidoflavus amplicon NGS. Condition 3. Barcode sequence indicated by the orange region. Upper graph: percentage of reads showing a specific deletion/insertion. Lower graph: percentage of reads showing a specific base pair change. ~128500 read depth.

Supplementary Fig. 25.

S. albidoflavus amplicon NGS. Condition 4. Barcode sequence indicated by the orange region. Upper graph: percentage of reads showing a specific deletion/insertion. Lower graph: percentage of reads showing a specific base pair change. ~78100 read depth.

Supplementary Fig. 26.

S. cerevisiae amplicon NGS. Glycerol control. Barcode sequence indicated by the orange region. Upper graph: percentage of reads showing a specific deletion/insertion. Lower graph: percentage of reads showing a specific base pair change. ~91500 read depth.

Supplementary Fig. 27.

S. cerevisiae amplicon NGS. Condition 1. Barcode sequence indicated by the orange region. Upper graph: percentage of reads showing a specific deletion/insertion. Lower graph: percentage of reads showing a specific base pair change. ~105000 read depth.

Supplementary Fig. 28.

S. cerevisiae amplicon NGS. Condition 2. Barcode sequence indicated by the orange region. Upper graph: percentage of reads showing a specific deletion/insertion. Lower graph: percentage of reads showing a specific base pair change. ~82600 read depth.

Supplementary Fig. 29.

S. cerevisiae amplicon NGS. Condition 3. Barcode sequence indicated by the orange region. Upper graph: percentage of reads showing a specific deletion/insertion. Lower graph: percentage of reads showing a specific base pair change. ~89000 read depth.

Supplementary Fig. 30.

S. cerevisiae amplicon NGS. Condition 4. Barcode sequence indicated by the orange region. Upper graph: percentage of reads showing a specific deletion/insertion. Lower graph: percentage of reads showing a specific base pair change. ~95500 read depth.

Supplementary Fig. 31.

K. phaffii amplicon NGS. Glycerol control. Barcode sequence indicated by the orange region. Upper graph: percentage of reads showing a specific deletion/insertion. Lower graph: percentage of reads showing a specific base pair change. ~73000 read depth.

Supplementary Fig. 32.

K. phaffii amplicon NGS. Condition 1. Barcode sequence indicated by the orange region. Upper graph: percentage of reads showing a specific deletion/insertion. Lower graph: percentage of reads showing a specific base pair change. ~82900 read depth.

Supplementary Fig. 33.

K. phaffii amplicon NGS. Condition 2. Barcode sequence indicated by the orange region. Upper graph: percentage of reads showing a specific deletion/insertion. Lower graph: percentage of reads showing a specific base pair change. ~85400 read depth.

Supplementary Fig. 34.

K. phaffii amplicon NGS. Condition 3. Barcode sequence indicated by the orange region. Upper graph: percentage of reads showing a specific deletion/insertion. Lower graph: percentage of reads showing a specific base pair change. ~90600 read depth.

Supplementary Fig. 35.

K. phaffii amplicon NGS. Condition 4. Barcode sequence indicated by the orange region. Upper graph: percentage of reads showing a specific deletion/insertion. Lower graph: percentage of reads showing a specific base pair change. ~84600 read depth.

Supplementary Fig. 36.

Gene drive experiment in *S. cerevisiae*. a) Graphic representation of the gene drive mechanism used to propagate a barcode. A haploid strain (gene drive) containing a deletion of *ADE2* gene substituted by *URA3* marker, the barcode sequence and a gRNA targeting *ADE2* is mated with a wild type strain of the other sex. In the presence of a plasmid carrying the Cas9 gene, the CRISPR machinery edits the *ADE2* gene propagating the desired barcoded phenotype (red colonies, uracil auxotrophic). b) Yeast gene drive experiment results. Haploid cells containing the gene drive cassette were mated with wild type cells in the presence of Cas9. The diploid cells resulting from this were allowed to sporulate and the tetrads were dissected. When Cas9 was not present, the barcoded cassette was inherited by 50% of the final haploid population. When Cas9 was present, the drive allowed the copy of *URA3* gene and the barcode DNA in all the spores.

Supplementary Table 1.

Supplementary Table 2.

Plasmids used in this study. Restriction sites used to linearize the vectors are shown in italics. (*) Two different plasmids were built with two sgRNA sequences.

Supplementary Table 3.

Barcoding site description and barcode sequence information.

Supplementary Table 4.

Primers used to sequence the barcoded PCR amplicon. The Illumina adapter sequences appear in bold.

Supplementary Table 5.

The efficiency of the described barcoding methods used in this article for each species. All the experiments were carried out in three different replicas. After transformation with the PCR product/plasmid colonies were boiled and used as PCR template. The table shows how many positive clones were obtained in all experiments out of the number of tested colonies.

Supplementary Table 6.

CellRepo links of the barcoded strains in this study.

Sequencing results:

P-values were automatically calculated by Geneious 2019 using binomial distribution as described in the user manual (https://assets.geneious.com/documentation/geneious/GeneiousPrimeManual2019.2.pdf).

Method	Strain	Length (bp)	Sequences	Identical sites	Pairwise identity	Coverage Mean	Coverage Std Dev	Covergage Min	Coverage Max
Lambda- Red	1	4,632,808	11,812,588	3,983,330 (86.0%)	99.90%	371.3	64.6	θ	769
	2	4,633,255	14,409,073	3,841,995 (82.9%)	99.90%	451.6	72.8	θ	953
	3	4,632,898	11,804,172	3,957,826 (85.4%)	99.90%	369.8	59.6	θ	829
CRISPR sgRNA 1	1	4,632,569	9,274,681	4,125,954 (89.1%)	99.90%	291.2	46.6	θ	707
	$\mathbf{2}$	4,632,629	10,777,258	4,003,022 (86.4%)	99.90%	337.4	52.7	θ	784
	3	4,632,851	11,579,032	4,046,097 (87.3%)	99.90%	363.9	56.2	θ	809
CRISPR sgRNA 2	1	4,632,850	13,309,565	3,885,625 (83.9%)	99.90%	416.9	61.1	θ	955
	$\mathbf{2}$	4,632,556	9,193,478	4,079,340 (88.1%)	99.90%	288	45.5	θ	653
	3	4,632,559	8,974,523	4,144,709 (89.5%)	99.90%	282.4	44.9	θ	649

Supplementary Table 7.Sequencing statistics (upper table) and mutation analysis (lower table) of *E. coli* clones barcoded using Lambda-Red and CRISPR (using two different gRNAs) and compared against BW25113 reference genome (CP009273.1).

Supplementary Table 8.

Sequencing statistics (upper table) and mutation analysis (lower table) of *B. subtilis* clones barcoded using three different methods and compared against 168 reference genome (AL009126.1).

Supplementary Table 9.

Sequencing statistics (upper table) and mutation analysis (lower table) of *P. putida* clones barcoded CRISPR/targetron method and compared against EM383 reference genome (a modified version of AE015451.2).

Supplementary Table 10.

Sequencing statistics (upper table) and mutation analysis (lower table) of *S. cerevisiae* clones barcoded using two methods and compared against BY4742 reference genome (GenBank assembly accession: GCA_003086655.1).

Supplementary Table 11.

Sequencing statistics (upper table) and mutation analysis (lower table) of *K. phaffii* clones barcoded using *AOX1* insertion method and compared against GS115 reference genome (GenBank assembly accession: GCA_000027005.1).

Supplementary Table 12.

Sequencing statistics (upper table) and mutation analysis (lower table) of *S. albidoflavus* clones barcoded using gRNAs and compared against J1074 reference genome (CP004370.1).

Supplementary Table 13.

Sanger sequencing results of colonies after the 10 days or passes of each long-term survivability condition. Number of sequences identical to the barcode sequence/number of high-quality Sanger reactions retrieved. Important note: this was a preliminary experiment before NGS amplicon analysis, no replicas were sent for each sequencing reaction. This may explain low-quality reads and point mutations found. The discrepancies were single point mutations in all cases.

References

- 1. Datsenko, K. A., Wanner, B. L. & Beckwith, J. One-step inactivation of chromosomal genes in Escherichia coli K-12 using PCR products. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **97**, 6640–6645 (2000).
- 2. Li, Y. *et al.* Metabolic engineering of Escherichia coli using CRISPR–Cas9 meditated genome editing. *Metab. Eng.* **31**, 13–21 (2015).
- 3. Labun, K. *et al.* CHOPCHOP v3: expanding the CRISPR web toolbox beyond genome editing. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **47**, W171–W174 (2019).
- 4. Lin, Z. *et al.* A versatile mini-mazF-cassette for marker-free targeted genetic modification in Bacillus subtilis. *J. Microbiol. Methods* **95**, 207–214 (2013).
- 5. Koo, B. M. *et al.* Construction and Analysis of Two Genome-Scale Deletion Libraries for Bacillus subtilis. *Cell Syst.* **22**, 291–305 (2017).
- 6. Altenbuchner, J. Editing of the Bacillus subtilis Genome by the CRISPR-Cas9 System. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **82**, 5421–7 (2016).
- 7. Priceid, M. A., Cruz, R., Baxter, S., Escalettes, F. & Rosser, S. J. CRISPR-Cas9 In Situ engineering of subtilisin E in Bacillus subtilis. *PLoS One* **14**, e0210121 (2019).
- 8. Velázquez, E., Lorenzo, V. de & Al-Ramahi, Y. Recombination-Independent Genome Editing through CRISPR/Cas9-Enhanced TargeTron Delivery. *ACS Synth. Biol.* **8**, 2186–2193 (2019).
- 9. Velázquez, E., Al-Ramahi, Y., Tellechea, J., Krasnogor, N. & de Lorenzo, V. Targetron-Assisted Delivery of Exogenous DNA Sequences into Pseudomonas putida through CRISPR-Aided Counterselection. A*CS Synth. Biol.* **10**, 2552-2565 (2021)
- 10. Aparicio, T., de Lorenzo, V. & Martínez-García, E. CRISPR/Cas9-Based Counterselection Boosts Recombineering Efficiency in *Pseudomonas putida*. *Biotechnol. J.* **13**, 1700161 (2018).
- 11. Choi, K.-H. & Schweizer, H. P. mini-Tn7 insertion in bacteria with single attTn7 sites: example Pseudomonas aeruginosa. *Nat. Protoc.* **1**, 153–161 (2006).
- 12. Kessler, B., de Lorenzo, V. & Timmis, K. N. A general system to integratelacZ fusions into the chromosomes of gram-negative eubacteria: regulation of thePm promoter of theTOL plasmid studied with all controlling elements in monocopy. *Mol. Gen. Genet. MGG* **233**, 293–301 (1992).
- 13. Cobb, R. E., Wang, Y. & Zhao, H. High-Efficiency Multiplex Genome Editing of Streptomyces Species Using an Engineered CRISPR/Cas System. **18**, 3 (2018).
- 14. Kieser, T., Bibb, M. J., Buttner, M. J., Chater, K. F. & Hopwood, D. A. *Practical Streptomyces Genetics*.

(The John Innes Foundation, 2000).

- 15. Fang, F. *et al.* A vector set for systematic metabolic engineering in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *Yeast* **28**, 123–136 (2011).
- 16. Jessop-Fabre, M. M. *et al.* EasyClone-MarkerFree: A vector toolkit for marker-less integration of genes into Saccharomyces cerevisiae via CRISPR-Cas9. *Biotechnol. J* **11**, 1110–1117 (2016).
- 17. Geertsma, E. R. & Dutzler, R. A Versatile and Efficient High-Throughput Cloning Tool for Structural Biology. *Biochemistry* **50**, 3272–3278 (2011).