Histone H3K4me3 modification is a transgenerational epigenetic signal for lipid metabolism in *Caenorhabditis elegans*

Qin-Li Wan^{1, 2, 3, #}, Xiao Meng^{1, 2, #}, Chongyang Wang^{1, 2}, Wenyu Dai^{1, 2}, Zhenhuan

Luo^{1,2}, Zhinan Yin^{1,2}, Zhenyu Ju⁴, Xiaodie Fu^{1,2}, Jing Yang^{1,2}, Qunshan Ye^{1,2},

Zhan-Hui Zhang ⁵, Qinghua Zhou ^{1, 2, *}

¹ The Sixth Affiliated Hospital of Jinan University, Jinan University, Dongguan, 523560, Guangdong, China

² The Biomedical Translational Research Institute, Faculty of Medical Science, Jinan University, Guangzhou, 510632, Guangdong, China

³ Department of pathogen biology, school of medicine, Jinan University, Guangzhou 510632, China

⁴ Key Laboratory of Regenerative Medicine of Ministry of Education, Institute of

Aging and Regeneration Medicine, Jinan University, Guangzhou, Guangdong,

510632, China

⁵ The First Affiliated Hospital, Jinan University, Guangzhou, Guangdong, 510632, China

[#]Qin-Li Wan and Xiao Meng have contributed equally to this work

*Corresponding author: Dr. Qinghua Zhou

The Sixth Affiliated Hospital of Jinan University, Jinan University, Dongguan,

523560, Guangdong, China

Phone: +86 20 85222787; Fax: +86 20 85222787

E-mail address: gene@email.jnu.edu.cn

Supplementary Information

Contents:

Supplementary Fig. 1 TEI of lipid accumulation induced by HFD.

Supplementary Fig. 2 The effect of HFD on lifespan of *C. elegans*.

Supplementary Fig. 3 Epistasis analyses of *nhr-49*, *nhr-80*, *sbp-1* and *daf-16* in multigenerational obesogenic effects induced by HFD.

Supplementary Fig. 4 NHR-49, NHR-80, SBP-1, FAT-6 and FAT-7 are responsible for TEI of lipid accumulation induced by HFD.

Supplementary Fig. 5 HFD-induced TEI of lipid accumulation requires DAF-16.

Supplementary Fig. 6 Other histone modifications including H3K27me3 and H3K36me3 are not required for transgenerational inheritance of lipid accumulation induced by the HFD.

Supplementary Fig. 7 Analyses of differentially expressed genes from P0 worms with or without HFD in wild-type worms.

Supplementary Fig. 8 DAF-16, NHR-80 and NHR-49 did not affect the elevation of H3K4me3 induced by HFD.

Supplementary Fig. 9 DAF-16 mediated TEI induced by HFD in a DAF-2 independent manner.

Supplemental Fig. 10 Tissue-specific RNAi of *sbp-1*.

Supplementary Table 1 The primers used in RT-qPCR and Chip-qPCR.



Supplementary Fig. 1 TEI of lipid accumulation induced by HFD. (a-c)

Transgenerational inheritance of lipid accumulation induced by OA ($n \ge 29$ per condition) (**b**) or PA ($n \ge 29$ per condition) (**c**) in wild-type *C. elegans*. PA: palmitoleic acid; OA: oleic acid. (**d**, **e**) Quantification of ORO staining of recovered F1 or F2 from parents fed with HFD from L1 larvae for 12 h ($n \ge 30$ per condition) (**d**) or 24 h ($n \ge 30$ per condition) (**e**). For Fig. **b**-**e**, graph data are presented as mean \pm SD, statistical analyses were performed by unpaired two-tailed Student's t-test; *** p < 0.001, ** p < 0.01, * p < 0.05, and ns, no significance. The ORO staining values of the replicated tests are listed in Source Data file. (**f**, **g**) Statistical analyses ($n \ge 28$ per condition; ns, no significance). Source data are provided as a Source Data file.



Supplementary Fig. 2

Supplementary Fig. 2 The effect of HFD on lifespan of *C. elegans.* (a) Survival analyses of wild-type N2 worms fed with HFD from L1 larvae to young adults. (b) Detailed lifespan values from repeat experiments. Lifespan was analyzed using the Kaplan-Meier test, *P* values were calculated using the log-rank test.



Supplementary Fig. 3 Epistasis analyses of *nhr-49*, *nhr-80*, *sbp-1* and *daf-16* in **multigenerational obesogenic effects induced by HFD.** Transgenerational inheritance test of HFD-induced lipid accumulation in *daf-16(OE)*; *nhr-49(lof) double* mutant ($n \ge 30$ per condition) (**a**), *daf-16(OE)*; *nhr-80(lof) double* mutant ($n \ge 30$ per condition) (**b**), and *daf-16(OE)*; *sbp-1* RNAi mutant ($n \ge 30$ per condition) (**c**). Graph data are presented as mean \pm SD, statistical analyses were performed by unpaired two-tailed Student's t-test; *** p < 0.001, ns, no significance. Source data are provided as a Source Data file.



Supplementary Fig. 4 NHR-49, NHR-80, SBP-1, FAT-6 and FAT-7 are responsible for TEI of lipid accumulation induced by HFD. (a) Experimental scheme. (b-e) P0 worms were fed with or without HFD, and F1 progeny were exposed to control (HT115) $(n \ge 27 \text{ per condition})$ (b), *nhr-49* RNAi $(n \ge 31 \text{ per condition})$ (c), *nhr-80* RNAi $(n \ge$ 32 per condition) (d) and *sbp-1* RNAi $(n \ge 36 \text{ per condition})$ (e) to determine the

requirement of *nhr-49*, *nhr-80* and *sbp-1* in the F1 generation. (**f-h**) P0 animals were fed with or without HFD and subjected to *fat-6* RNAi ($n \ge 31$ per condition) (**g**) or *fat-*7 RNAi ($n \ge 31$ per condition) (**h**), and then lipid levels of F1 progeny fed with OP50 were analyzed. The ORO staining values of the replicated tests are listed in Source Data file. For Fig. **b-e** and **g-h**, graph data are presented as mean \pm SD; Student's t-test; *** p < 0.001, ns, no significance). Source data are provided as a Source Data file.



Supplementary Fig. 5

Supplementary Fig. 5 HFD-induced TEI of lipid accumulation requires DAF-16.

(a, b) The SOD-3::GFP expression of worms fed with or without HFD and their recovered F1 progeny (mean \pm SD; two-sided Student's t-test; $n \ge 21$; ** p < 0.01 and * p < 0.05) (a is representative of three repeat experiments). (c) mRNA levels of target genes of DAF-16 (*sod-3* and *dod-3*) in P0 animals fed with or without the HFD and their recovered progeny (mean \pm SD; unpaired two-tailed Student's t-test; n = 3 biologically independent samples; *** p < 0.001 and * p < 0.05).



Supplementary Fig. 6 Other histone modifications including H3K27me3 and H3K36me3 are not required for transgenerational inheritance of lipid accumulation induced by the HFD. Transgenerational inheritance test of HFD-

induced lipid accumulation in *ash-2* mutant ($n \ge 30$ per condition) (a), *set-2* mutant (n \geq 30 per condition) (b), *jmjd-3.1* mutant (n \geq 30 per condition) (c) and *met-1* mutant (n \geq 29 per condition) (d). For Fig. a-d, graph data are presented as mean \pm SD, statistical analyses were performed by unpaired two-tailed Student's t-test; *** p < 0.001, and ** p < 0.01, and the ORO staining values of the replicated tests are listed in Source Data file. (e, f) Western blots (left panels) and quantification (right panels) of histone H3K4me3 modification of WT animals in F2 and F3 progeny from parents fed with HFD (means \pm SD, unpaired two-tailed Student's t-test, n = 3 biologically independent samples, * p < 0.05, ns, no significance). H3 was shown as loading control. (g) wdr-5.1 mRNA level in WT or *sbp-1* mutant fed with or without HFD. Mean \pm SD; Student's ttest; n = 3 biologically independent samples; ** p < 0.01 and ns: no significance. (h) Western blots (left panels) and quantification (right panels) of histone H3K4me3 modification in *rbr-2* mutant, *wdr-5.1* mutant and WT N2 (n = 1). (i) The levels of histone H3K4me3 modification in wdr-5.1 mutant fed with or without HFD (n = 3biologically independent samples). Source data are provided as a Source Data file



Supplementary Fig. 7 Analyses of differentially expressed genes from P0 worms with or without HFD in wild-type worms. (a) P0 worms were fed with or without

HFD, and F1 progeny were exposed to wdr-5.1 RNAi to determine the requirement of *wdr-5.1* in the F1 generation ($n \ge 28$ per condition). (b) P0 animals were fed with or without HFD and subjected to wdr-5.1 RNAi, and then lipid level of F1 progeny was analyzed (n \ge 31 per condition). Graph data are presented as mean \pm SD, statistical analyses were performed by unpaired two-tailed Student's t-test; *** p <0.001, ns, no significance. Source data are provided as a Source Data file. (c) Heatmap of H3K4me3 ChIP signals approximately 2 kb upstream and downstream of regions that were differentially enriched in P0 generation between the treatment and control groups. The peaks were ranked in a descending order of H3K4me3 intensity within each cluster. The data shown refer to common genes as indicated by two independent assays. (d) Box plots of gene ontology term (GO) analysis of the differential H3K4me3 modification in the P0 animals fed with HFD or OP50. (e) Profile plot of H3K4me3 modification in the WT animals fed with HFD or OP50. (f) Pie chart of the genomic distribution of H3K4me3 differentially accumulated peaks for P0 animals fed with HFD or OP50. (g) Venn diagram comparing H3K4me3 differentially accumulated genes and the levels of mRNA dysregulated genes upon P0 animals fed with HFD. (h) Dot plots of gene ontology term (GO) analysis of the mRNAs differentially regulated in the P0 animals fed with HFD. (i) Box plots of gene ontology term (GO) analysis of the overlapping genes that were significantly changed in both CHIP-seq and RNA-seq data.



Supplementary Fig. 8 DAF-16, NHR-80 and NHR-49 did not affect the elevation of H3K4me3 induced by HFD. (a) Western blots (left panels) and quantification (right panels) of H3K4me3 modification level in WT or *sbp-1* mutant fed with normal food. (b-d) Western blots (left panels) and quantification (right panels) of H3K4me3 modification level in *daf-16* mutant (b), *nhr-80* mutant (c) and *nhr-49* mutant (d), when animals fed with or without the HFD. Means \pm SD, unpaired two-tailed Student's t-test, n = 3 biologically independent samples, ** p < 0.01, ns, no significance. H3 was shown as loading control. Source data are provided as a Source Data file.



Supplementary Fig. 9 DAF-16 mediated TEI induced by HFD in a DAF-2 independent manner. Nuclear localization (representative of three experiments) (a) and wstern blots (left panels) and quantification (right panels) of DAF-16::GFP expression in the P0 transgenic worms fed with or without HFD (n = 3 biologically independent samples) (b) and their recovered F1 progeny (n = 3 biologically independent samples) (c) (means \pm SD, unpaired two-tailed Student's t-test, * p < 0.05). Actin was shown as loading control. (d-f) Quantification of ORO staining in animals subjected to intestine-specific *daf-2* RNAi (n \ge 30 per condition) (d), germline and intestine-specific *daf-2* RNAi (n \ge 30 per condition) (c), and germline specific *daf-2* RNAi (n \ge 30 per condition) (f). Graph data are presented as mean \pm SD, statistical analyses were performed by unpaired two-tailed Student's t-test; *** p < 0.001, and Source data are provided as a Source Data file.



Supplementary Fig. 10 Tissue-specific RNAi of *sbp-1*. (a-e) Quantification of ORO staining in animals subjected to muscle-specific *sbp-1* RNAi ($n \ge 30$ per condition) (a), neuron-specific *sbp-1* RNAi ($n \ge 30$ per condition) (b), intestine-specific *sbp-1* RNAi ($n \ge 30$ per condition) (c), germline and intestine-specific *sbp-1* RNAi ($n \ge 30$ per condition) (d), germline specific *sbp-1* RNAi ($n \ge 30$ per condition) (e). Graph data are presented as mean \pm SD, statistical analyses were performed by unpaired two-tailed Student's t-test; *** p < 0.001, and Source data are provided as a Source Data file.

Primer sequences used for RT-qPCR and Chip-qPCR $(5' \rightarrow 3')$:			
Primer name	Used for	Sequences(5'-3')	
<i>cdc-42</i> Forward	RT-qPCR	CTGCTGGACAGGAAGATTACG	
cdc-42 Reversed	RT-qPCR	CTCGGACATTCTCGAATGAAG	
dod-3 Forward	RT-qPCR	CGTATATGGACCCAGCTAATG	
dod-3 Reverse	RT-qPCR	ATGAACACCGGCTCATTC	
sod-3 Forward	RT-qPCR	AGCATCATGCCACCTACGTGA	
sod-3 Reverse	RT-qPCR	CACCACCATTGAATTTCAGCG	
daf-16 Forward	RT-qPCR	TCGTCGTCTCGTGTTTCTCCA	
<i>daf-16</i> Reverse	RT-qPCR	TTCCATAGGCACCCGGTAGTG	
sbp-1 Forward	RT-qPCR	CATGAATTCATTCGAGGGAGACGTCCC	
sbp-1 Reverse	RT-qPCR	CATGAATTCCTGATGTGGAGTCATCGC	
nhr-49 Forward	RT-qPCR	GTCGTTATTGTCGCTTTCAA	
nhr-49 Reverse	RT-qPCR	TCCGACACCGTTGCTGTTTC	
nhr-80 Forward	RT-qPCR	TGAGGTTCAGGAGCCAAATAG	
nhr-80 Reverse	RT-qPCR	GAAGGAGGTGGACGATGAGA	
M199.2 Forward	RT-qPCR	ACCGCAGAGATTTGGGACAG	
M199.2 Reverse	RT-qPCR	TTTGCGAAGTTGCGTAGCAC	
gmd-2 Forward	RT-qPCR	GTGGTGAACTATCGGGAGGC	
gmd-2 Reverse	RT-qPCR	AAGATTGCAGAACTCGCGGA	

Supplementary Table 1. The primers used in RT-qPCR and Chip-qPCR

csn-2 Forward	RT-qPCR	TTACGAGTTGGCGACACAGG
csn-2 Reverse	RT-qPCR	CCAGGAAACGACCATCACGA
fat-5 Forward	RT-qPCR	GGGCTACAGTTGGATGGGTATT
fat-5 Reverse	RT-qPCR	CGGGTCAGCATCAGTATCCG
fat-6 Forward	RT-qPCR	AAGATTGAGAAGGACGGCGG
fat-6 Reverse	RT-qPCR	TCACGGTTTGCCATTTTGCC
fat-7 Forward	RT-qPCR	AAGGAGCATGGAGGCAAACT
fat-7 Reverse	RT-qPCR	TTCTCAACGGCGGAAACAGA
daf-16 Forward	ChIP-qPCR	TTGGAGAAAGAGAGCGAGCG
daf-16 Reverse	ChIP-qPCR	CGGGCACAGGAGAAGAAGAG
sbp-1 Forward	ChIP-qPCR	GTCGGATGCAAGTCCTCACTTA
sbp-1 Reverse	ChIP-qPCR	GTGAGATGTCGTGGGCATATTG
nhr-49 Forward	ChIP-qPCR	TGTCCTTCTAACCGACTGGC
nhr-49 Reverse	ChIP-qPCR	CAAAGCTAATTGGGACCGGC
nhr-80 Forward	ChIP-qPCR	ACACCACACTCTCTCGCCT
nhr-80 Reverse	ChIP-qPCR	TCCCGCCAAACTGTAGAACATA
M199.2 Forward	ChIP-qPCR	TTATACACAAGAGACGACGGC
M199.2 Reverse	ChIP-qPCR	TGACGCAGTGAATTGTTCGC
gmd-2 Forward	ChIP-qPCR	CACTCGAAGCGATATGGAAGGA
gmd-2 Reverse	ChIP-qPCR	TGAATAGTTCCCGGATACGCAG
csn-2 Forward	ChIP-qPCR	TGGACAGAATAATGGGTGACGA
csn-2 Reverse	ChIP-qPCR	ACCGAACTTTTCCTGGGTCTC

fat-5 Forward	ChIP-qPCR	AGACCTGACCTGATCGAGCTTT
fat-5 Reverse	ChIP-qPCR	TAACTACTGCAAAAGAAGGGGGCG
fat-6 Forward	ChIP-qPCR	GCTTGCCCAGACATACCACA
fat-6 Reverse	ChIP-qPCR	GGTGATTGGTGTTTGCACCG
fat-7 Forward	ChIP-qPCR	CGCTCGCCCCATTGAAATAC
fat-7 Reverse	ChIP-qPCR	AATCCTCGCTTCTGGCTTGG