

BMJ Open is committed to open peer review. As part of this commitment we make the peer review history of every article we publish publicly available.

When an article is published we post the peer reviewers' comments and the authors' responses online. We also post the versions of the paper that were used during peer review. These are the versions that the peer review comments apply to.

The versions of the paper that follow are the versions that were submitted during the peer review process. They are not the versions of record or the final published versions. They should not be cited or distributed as the published version of this manuscript.

BMJ Open is an open access journal and the full, final, typeset and author-corrected version of record of the manuscript is available on our site with no access controls, subscription charges or pay-per-view fees (<u>http://bmjopen.bmj.com</u>).

If you have any questions on BMJ Open's open peer review process please email <u>info.bmjopen@bmj.com</u>

BMJ Open

Pharmaceutical modulation of oestrogen during COVID-19 – a nationwide cohort study

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2021-053032
Article Type:	Original research
Date Submitted by the Author:	03-May-2021
Complete List of Authors:	Sund, Malin; Umeå Universitet Medicinska fakulteten, Department of Surgical and Perioperative Sciences Fonseca-Rodríguez, Osvaldo; Umeå Universitet Medicinska fakulteten, Department of Clinical Microbiology Josefsson, Andreas; Umeå Universitet Medicinska fakulteten, Department of Surgical and Perioperative Sciences/ Urology; University of Gothenburg, Department of Urology Welen, Karin; University of Gothenburg, Department of Urology Fors Connolly, Anne-Marie; Umeå Universitet Medicinska fakulteten, Department of Clinical Microbiology
Keywords:	COVID-19, Sex steroids & HRT < DIABETES & ENDOCRINOLOGY, EPIDEMIOLOGY, Epidemiology < INFECTIOUS DISEASES





I, the Submitting Author has the right to grant and does grant on behalf of all authors of the Work (as defined in the below author licence), an exclusive licence and/or a non-exclusive licence for contributions from authors who are: i) UK Crown employees; ii) where BMJ has agreed a CC-BY licence shall apply, and/or iii) in accordance with the terms applicable for US Federal Government officers or employees acting as part of their official duties; on a worldwide, perpetual, irrevocable, royalty-free basis to BMJ Publishing Group Ltd ("BMJ") its licensees and where the relevant Journal is co-owned by BMJ to the co-owners of the Journal, to publish the Work in this journal and any other BMJ products and to exploit all rights, as set out in our <u>licence</u>.

The Submitting Author accepts and understands that any supply made under these terms is made by BMJ to the Submitting Author unless you are acting as an employee on behalf of your employer or a postgraduate student of an affiliated institution which is paying any applicable article publishing charge ("APC") for Open Access articles. Where the Submitting Author wishes to make the Work available on an Open Access basis (and intends to pay the relevant APC), the terms of reuse of such Open Access shall be governed by a Creative Commons licence – details of these licences and which <u>Creative Commons</u> licence will apply to this Work are set out in our licence referred to above.

Other than as permitted in any relevant BMJ Author's Self Archiving Policies, I confirm this Work has not been accepted for publication elsewhere, is not being considered for publication elsewhere and does not duplicate material already published. I confirm all authors consent to publication of this Work and authorise the granting of this licence.

reliez oni

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

2		
3 4	1	Pharmaceutical modulation of oestrogen during COVID-19 – a nationwide cohort study
5 6	2	
7 8	3	Malin Sund MD PhD ^{1,2} , Osvaldo Fonseca-Rodríguez DVM PhD ³ , Andreas Josefsson MD PhD ⁴⁻⁶ ,
9 10	4	Karin Welen PhD ⁶ , Anne-Marie Fors Connolly MD PhD ³ *
11 12	5	
13 14	6	1. Department of Surgical and Perioperative Sciences/ Surgery, Umeå University, Umeå, Sweden
15 16	7	2. Department of Surgery, University of Helsinki and Helsinki University Hospital, Helsinki, Finland
17 18	8	3. Department of Clinical Microbiology, Umeå University, Umeå, Sweden
19 20	9	4. Department of Surgical and Perioperative Sciences/ Urology, Umeå University, Umeå, Sweden
21 22 22	10	5. Wallenberg Center for Molecular Medicine, Umeå University, Umeå Sweden
23 24 25	11	6. Department of Urology, Sahlgrenska Center for Cancer Research, Institute of Clinical Sciences,
25 26 27	12	Sahlgrenska Academy, University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg, Sweden
28 29	13	
30 31	14	* Corresponding author:
32 33	15	Anne-Marie Fors Connolly
34 35	16	Department of Clinical Microbiology
36 37	17	Umeå University
38 39	18	901 85 Umeå
40 41	19	Sweden
42 43	20	E-mail: anne-marie fors connolly@umu se
44 45	21	
46 47	21	Number of words tout: 1400
48 49	22	Number of words text: 1406
50 51	23	Number of words abstract: 298
52		
53 54		
55		
56		
3/		

2 3	24	Abstract
5	25	Objective: Determine if oestrogen augmentation decreases the risk of death following COVID-19.
7	26	
9 10	27	Design: Nationwide registry-based study
10 11 12	28	
13 14	29	Participants: Postmenopausal women between 50 and 80 years of age with verified COVID-19 were
15 16	30	divided into three groups: 1) Women with previously diagnosed breast cancer and receiving endocrine
17 18	31	therapy (decreased systemic oestrogen levels): 2) women receiving hormone replacement therapy
19 20	32	(HRT: increased systemic oestrogen levels) and 3) control group not fulfilling requirements for group
21 22	33	1 or 2 (nostmenonausal oestrogen levels)
23 24	24	r or 2 (posunenopausar oestrogen revers).
25 26	25	Main outcome measures: The main outcome was don'th following COVID 10, and the owneaure was
27 28	30	Main outcome measures. The main outcome was death following COVID-19, and the exposure was
29	36	pharmaceutical modulation of oestrogen levels. Adjustments were made for potential confounders
30 31	37	such as age, annual disposable income (richest group as the reference category), highest level of
32 33	38	education (primary, secondary and tertiary (reference)) and the weighted Charlson Comorbidity Index
34 35 36	39	(wCCI).
30 37 29	40	
30 39 40	41	Results: From a nationwide cohort consisting of 49,853 women diagnosed with COVID-19 between
40 41 42	42	the 4 th of February to 14 th of September 2020 in Sweden, 16,693 were between 50 to 80 years of age.
43 44	43	We included 14,685 women in the study with 11,923 (81%) in the control group, 227 (2%) women in
45 46	44	group 1 and 2,535 (17%) women in group 2. The unadjusted odds ratio (OR) for death following
47 48	45	COVID-19 was 2.35 (95% CI 1.51-3.65) for group 1 and 0.45 (0.34-0.6) for group 2. Only the
49 50	46	adjusted OR for death remained significant for group 2 with OR 0.47 (0.34-0.63). The risk of death
51 52	47	due to COVID-19 was significantly associated with: Age OR 1.15 (1.14-1.17); annual income
53 54	48	(poorest 2.79 (1.96-3.97); poor 2.43 (1.71-3.46) and middle 1.64 (1.11-2.41)); education (primary 1.4
55 56	49	(1.07-1.81)) and wCCI 1.13 (1.1-1.16).
57 58 59	50	

з
4
4
5
6
7
8
0
9
10
11
12
13
14
14
15
16
17
18
10
17
20
21
22
23
20
24
25
26
27
28
20
29
30
31
32
33
24
24
35
36
37
38
30
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
45
46
47
48
49
50
50
51
52
53
54
54
55
56
E 7

1 2

51 Conclusions: Oestrogen supplementation in post-menopausal women is associated with a decreased
52 risk of dying from COVID-19 in this nationwide cohort study. These findings are limited by the
53 retrospective and non-randomized design. Further randomized intervention trials are warranted.

to per terien ont

2 3 4	54	Strengths and limitations of the study
5 6 7	55	• This study is based on all diagnosed COVID-19 patients in Sweden
, 8 9	56	• Swedish registry data is well-validated and due to historical registry data and cross-linkage
10 11	57	with the registries of Statistics Sweden, the confounding and/or effect modifying effects of
12 13	58	socioeconomic variables and comorbidities could be adjusted for
14 15	59	• It investigates the effect of pharmaceutical modulation of oestrogen in post-menopausal
16 17	60	women on death due to COVID-19
18 19	61	• The findings are limited by the retrospective and non-randomized design.
22 23 24 25		
26 27		
28 29		
30		
31 32		
33		
34 35		
36 37		
38		
39 40		
41		
42 43		
44 45		
45 46		
47 49		
48 49		
50		
51 52		
53		
54 55		
56		
57 58		
58 59		
60		

62 Introduction

The coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic has swept across the globe causing enormous strain on societies and health care systems. Although women are infected, they appear to be protected from poor outcome when compared to men even after adjustment for confounding risk factors^{1 2}. Similar epidemiological findings have also been described for SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV infections ^{3 4 5}. This implies biological differences between the sexes in terms of sensitivity to severe COVID-19, and oestrogen has been identified as a potential therapeutic candidate.

The majority of breast cancer (BC) patients have oestrogen receptor (ER) positive cancer ⁶, and are
usually given adjuvant endocrine therapy after surgery in order to reduce the risk of cancer
recurrences, leading to *reduced* systemic oestrogen levels. On the other hand, systemic oestrogen
levels are *augmented* in women taking hormone replacement therapy (HRT) for relieving menopausal
symptoms ⁷. We use the opposing effects of endocrine therapy in BC patients and HRT in modulating
systemic oestrogen levels in postmenopausal women as a model to test the hypothesis whether
increased oestrogen levels are protective towards COVID-19 death in a nationwide cohort.

Materials and methods

Patients and public involvement statement

All data from the Swedish registries were pseudonoymized and therefore patients were not involved in the study.

Participants and sources of data

The personal identification numbers (PINs) from all diagnosed COVID-19 individuals in Sweden (SmiNet) between the 1st of February to 14th of September 2020 were cross-linked with the LISA Register (Longitudinal integrated database for health insurance and labor marker studies) administered by Statistics Sweden; and the following healthcare registers administreed by the Swedish National Board of Health and Welfare: Patient; Cancer; Prescribed pharmaceutical and Causes of Death. Post-menopausal women between the ages of 50-80 years of age were stratified into three groups: Oestrogen decrease (group 1): BC as identified by international classification of diseases (ICD) version 10 code C50, and the following treatment: tamoxifen or fulvestrant (anatomical therapeutic chemical (ATC): L02BA01, L02BA03) or an aromatase inhibitor (AI; ATC L02BG03, L02BG04, and L02BG06). Augmented oestrogen (group 2): Drugs classified as HRT (ATC codes: G03CA03, G03CA04, G03CC07, G03CX01, G03FA, G03FB). All ATC codes for group 1 and 2 were identified from the Prescribed Pharmaceutical Register with at least two consecutive withdrawals and at least one time should be during the period 2019-07-01 - to the latest date. Native oestrogen (control group): No BC diagnosis, and no prescription of the above-mentioned pharmaceuticals at any time point during 2019 and 2020. Ethical permit was granted by the Swedish Ethical Review Authority.

Outcome, confounders and effect modifiers

The outcome was death due to COVID-19 as identified by the ICD-10 code U07 as the main or contributing cause of death from the Cause of Death Register. Potential confounders and effect modifiers were included in the model and consisted of the weighted Charlson comorbidity index $(wCCI)^8$, age at COVID-19 diagnosis, income (divided into quintiles with the richest group as the

reference) and education (primary, secondary and tertiary (=reference)). The wCCI was calculated
using the Patient and Cancer Registers, and up to two months prior to the COVID-19 date in order not
to include complications due to COVID-19 as a comorbidity. If there was no information regarding
diagnosis codes required for wCCI scoring, the individual was assigned a wCCI of zero. Information
regarding income and education was retrieved from the LISA-register.

111 Statistical methods

112 The distribution of continuous and categorical variables in the three groups was tested using ANOVA

113 and the χ^2 test, respectively. Each variable was then analyzed with univariate logistic regression

114 models, followed by a multivariable regression model to compare the control group with group 1 and

115 2, respectively, and adjusting for confounders. Descriptive analyses and logistic regression models

116 were performed in R statistical software version 4.0.2 using *finalfit* package 1.0.2.

Results

1

BMJ Open

2	
3	117
4 5 6	118
7	119
8 9	120
10 11	124
12 13	121
14 15	122
16 17	123
18 10	124
20 21	125
21 22 23	126
23 24 25	127
26 27	128
28 29	129
30 31	130
32 33	131
34 35	132
36 27	102
37 38	133
39 40	134
41 42	135
43 44	136
45 46	
40 47	
48 49	
50	
51 52	
53	
54 55	
56	
57 59	
50 59	
60	

118	Participants
119	During the study period a total of 49,853 women of all ages were diagnosed with COVID-19 in
120	Sweden, and a total of 14,685 women between the ages of 50-80 years of age were included in our
121	study (Figure 1). Characteristics of these groups are shown in Table 1. Individuals with decreased
122	oestrogen due to adjuvant endocrine therapy for BC (group 1) were older with a higher comorbidity
123	index. A larger proportion of women in group 2 (increased levels of systemic oestrogen due to HRT)
124	had high income and tertiary level of education (Table 1).
125	
126	Oestrogen augmentation protects against death due to COVID-19
127	Pharmaceutically decreasing systemic oestrogen levels increased the odds of dying due to COVID-19
128	(group 1; odds ratio (OR) 2.35 95% confidence intervals (CI) 1.51-3.65), but following adjustment for
129	confounders this association was no longer significant (Figure 2). Interestingly, augmentation of
130	systemic oestrogen levels decreased the odds of dying due to COVID-19 with OR 0.45 (95% CI:
131	0.34-0.6), which remained significant even after adjustment for confounders (0.47 (95% CI: 0.34-
132	0.63)). As expected, higher age and wCCI increased the odds of dying due to COVID-19. For every
133	year increase in age the odds of dying was 1.15 (95% CI: 1.14-1.17) and for every increase in wCCI
134	the odds of dying was 1.13 (95% CI: 1.10-1.16) (Figure 2). Furthermore, low income and having only
135	primary level education were also factors that increased the odds of dying due to COVID-19 (Figure
136	2).

138 Principal findings

Discussion

139The major finding of this nationwide registry-based study is that pharmaceutically augmenting

140 oestrogen levels is associated with decreased odds of death due to COVID-19.

142 Comparison with related studies

There are several possible biological explanations for the lower risk experienced by women. These include mechanisms directly involved in viral internalization and reproduction, where oestrogen has been shown to decrease expression of vital proteins such as ACE2 and TMPRSS2 910, inherent sex-linked differences in the immune system and direct oestrogen effects¹¹. Our findings are supported by *in vitro* studies where 17β-estradiol treatment reduced viral load of SARS-CoV-2⁹. Previous experimental studies in mice on SARS-CoV have moreover shown that female mice were less susceptible to infection, and that this protection was lost upon oophorectomy thus indicating a direct protective role of oestrogen signalling ¹². Furthermore, Barh et al. showed using a multiomics approach on SARS-CoV-2 infected host interactome, proteome, transcriptome, and bibliome datasets that oestrogen modulation could be a potential therapeutic option in COVID-19¹³. Our findings are further verified by a smaller study of women taking HRT (n = 439) that showed similar results with oestrogen augmentation being associated lower risk of COVID-19 death, although in that study the risk selection bias was more difficult to descern since the cohort was neither population-based nor adjusted for central confounders ¹⁴. In our study the effect of increased systemic oestrogen levels on reducing the risk of COVID-19 death remained significant also after adjusting for education level and income, both factors known to influence COVID-19 outcome ¹⁵, which further supports the protective role of oestrogen in women.

The hypothetic inverse, worsening, effect of reduced systemic oestrogen levels in women with a
previous BC receiving adjuvant endocrine therapy was initially significant but not after adjusting for
confounders. This population differs from the control group in that they all have been diagnosed with
BC and it has been shown that patients, both men and women, with any cancer form are harder hit by
COVID-19¹⁶. However, BC patients were in a previous study shown to be healthier compared to the

Page 11 of 20

1 2

BMJ Open

3 4	165	background population in terms of ischemic cardiac disease and CCI 17, and the wCCI adjustments
5 6	166	may therefore overcompensate for this cancer-related vulnerability. Although not significant, a trend
7 8	167	towards worse outcome remained and thus a larger population of BC patients on endocrine therapy is
9 10	168	likely needed to verify the finding. Thus, this study cannot exclude an increased risk for death from
11 12	169	COVID-19 if systemic oestrogen levels are pharmaceutically decreased.
13 14 15	170	
15 16 17	171	Strengths and limitations
17 18 19	172	Strengths of this study are that this is a nationwide cohort in a country with high COVID-19 incidence
20 21	173	using well validated registry data. A weakness is that the level of oestrogen modulation cannot be
22 23	174	exactly measured in each individual, and that the number of BC women on anti-oestrogen medication
24 25	175	ended up being too small to show significance although there was a clear trend. The HRT group,
26 27	176	however, proved large enough to show the clear protective effect.
28 29	177	
30 31	178	Implications and conclusion
32 33	179	The present study shows an association between oestrogen levels and COVID-19 death.
34 35 36	180	Consequently, drugs increasing oestrogen levels may have a role in therapeutic efforts to alleviate
30 37 38	181	COVID-19 severity in post-menopausal women and could be studied in randomized control trials.
39 40		
41 42		
43 44		
45		
40		
48 49		
50		
51 52		
53		
54		
55 56		
57		
58 50		
60		

2 3	182	Acknowledgments:
4 5	102	We would like to them! Welfgeng Lehr for date menogement and Dr. Chloś Jacquet for helping us
6	183	we would like to thank wolfgang Lonr for data management and Dr- Chioe Jacquet for helping us
8	184	design Figure 2.
9 10	185	
11 12	186	Funding:
13 14 15	187	This study was funded by:
15 16 17	188	AMFC: Central ALF-funding, Region Västerbotten (RV-836351), Base unit ALF-funding (RV-
18 19	189	939769); Strategic Funding during 2020 from the Department of Clinical Microbiology, Umeå
20 21	190	University; Stroke Research in Northern Sweden; and Molecular Infection Medicine Sweden
22 23	191	(MIMS).
24 25	192	AJ: Knut and Alice Wallenberg Foundation
26 27	193	
28 29	194	Contributors
30 31 32 33 34	195	MS and KW conceptualized the study. MS and AMFC designed the study. OFR and AMFC prepared
	196	the study data. OFR performed the statistical analysis. All authors contributed to interpretation of the
34 35 36	197	results. MS wrote the first draft of the manuscript. All authors contributed to critical revision of the
37 38	198	manuscript. All authors approved the final manuscript. AMFC is the guarantor of this study. The
39 40	199	corresponding author attests that all authors meet the criteria for authorship and that all have been
41 42	200	included.
43 44	201	
45 46	202	Competing interests
47 48 49 50	203	All authors have completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form at www.icmje.org/coi_disclosure.pdf
	204	and declare: no support from any organisation for the submitted work; no financial relationships with
51 52 53	205	any organisations that might have an interest in the submitted work in the previous three years; no
53 54 55	206	other relationships or activities that could appear to have influenced the submitted work.
56 57	207	
58 59	208	Ethical approval
60	209	Ethical approval was obtained by the Swedish Ethical Review Authority (number 2020-02150)
		11

1		
2 3 4	210	
5 6	211	Data sharing
7 8	212	The study protocol (R script) is available upon request. The study used secondary registry data which
9 10	213	is regulated by the Public Access to Information and Secrecy Act (2009:400) and is protected by strict
11 12	214	confidentiality. For the purpose of research though, after formal application to access personal data
13 14 15	215	the responsible authority can grant access to data, though this is contingent on vetting by the Ethical
15 16 17	216	Review Authority of Sweden, according to the Act (2003:460) concerning the Ethical Review of
17 18 19 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 8 29 31 32 33 34 35 67 83 940 41 23 44 45 46 47 48 950 152 53 54 55 67 89 59	217	Research Involving Humans. This means that the aggregated registry data cannot be shared.
60		

2		
3 4	219	
5 6 7	220	References
, 8 9	221	1. Williamson EJ, Walker AJ, Bhaskaran K, et al. Factors associated with COVID-19-related death
10 11	222	using OpenSAFELY. Nature 2020;584(7821):430-36. doi: 10.1038/s41586-020-2521-4
12 13	223	2. Abate BB, Kassie AM, Kassaw MW, et al. Sex difference in coronavirus disease (COVID-19): a
14 15	224	systematic review and meta-analysis. BMJ Open 2020;10(10):e040129. doi:
16 17	225	10.1136/bmjopen-2020-040129 [published Online First: 2020/10/09]
18 19	226	3. Alghamdi IG, Hussain, II, Almalki SS, et al. The pattern of Middle East respiratory syndrome
20 21	227	coronavirus in Saudi Arabia: a descriptive epidemiological analysis of data from the Saudi
22 23	228	Ministry of Health. Int J Gen Med 2014;7:417-23. doi: 10.2147/IJGM.S67061 [published
24 25 26	229	Online First: 2014/09/05]
20 27 28	230	4. Leong HN, Earnest A, Lim HH, et al. SARS in Singaporepredictors of disease severity. Ann Acad
20 29 30	231	Med Singap 2006;35(5):326-31. [published Online First: 2006/07/11]
31 32	232	5. Karlberg J, Chong DS, Lai WY. Do men have a higher case fatality rate of severe acute respiratory
33 34	233	syndrome than women do? Am J Epidemiol 2004;159(3):229-31. doi: 10.1093/aje/kwh056
35 36	234	[published Online First: 2004/01/27]
37 38	235	6. Sung H, Ferlay J, Siegel RL, et al. Global cancer statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN estimates of
39 40	236	incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. CA Cancer J Clin 2021
41 42	237	doi: 10.3322/caac.21660
43 44 45	238	7. Delgado BJ, Lopez-Ojeda W. Oestrogen. StatPearls. Treasure Island (FL)2021.
45 46 47	239	8. Ludvigsson JF, Appelros P, Askling J, et al. Adaptation of the Charlson Comorbidity Index for
48 49	240	Register-Based Research in Sweden. Clin Epidemiol 2021;13:21-41. doi:
50 51	241	10.2147/CLEP.S282475
52 53	242	9. Lemes RMR, Costa AJ, Bartolomeo CS, et al. 17beta-estradiol reduces SARS-CoV-2 infection in
54 55 56 57 58 59	243	vitro. Physiol Rep 2021;9(2):e14707. doi: 10.14814/phy2.14707
60		

1		
2 3 4	244	10. Stelzig KE, Canepa-Escaro F, Schiliro M, et al. Oestrogen regulates the expression of SARS-
5 6	245	CoV-2 receptor ACE2 in differentiated airway epithelial cells. Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol
7 8	246	Physiol 2020;318(6):L1280-L81. doi: 10.1152/ajplung.00153.2020
9 10	247	11. Klein SL, Flanagan KL. Sex differences in immune responses. Nat Rev Immunol
11 12	248	2016;16(10):626-38. doi: 10.1038/nri.2016.90
13 14	249	12. Channappanavar R, Fett C, Mack M, et al. Sex-Based Differences in Susceptibility to Severe
15 16	250	Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus Infection. J Immunol 2017;198(10):4046-53. doi:
17 18 19	251	10.4049/jimmunol.1601896 [published Online First: 2017/04/05]
20 21	252	13. Barh D, Tiwari S, Weener ME, et al. Multi-omics-based identification of SARS-CoV-2 infection
22 23	253	biology and candidate drugs against COVID-19. Comput Biol Med 2020;126:104051. doi:
24 25	254	10.1016/j.compbiomed.2020.104051
26 27	255	14. Seeland U, Coluzzi F, Simmaco M, et al. Evidence for treatment with estradiol for women with
28 29 30 31	256	SARS-CoV-2 infection. BMC Med 2020;18(1):369. doi: 10.1186/s12916-020-01851-z
	257	15. Drefahl S, Wallace M, Mussino E, et al. A population-based cohort study of socio-demographic
32 33	258	risk factors for COVID-19 deaths in Sweden. Nat Commun 2020;11(1):5097. doi:
34 35 26	259	10.1038/s41467-020-18926-3
30 37 38	260	16. Zhang H, Han H, He T, et al. Clinical Characteristics and Outcomes of COVID-19-Infected
39 40	261	Cancer Patients: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. J Natl Cancer Inst 2020 doi:
41 42	262	10.1093/jnci/djaa168
43 44	263	17. Wennstig AK, Wadsten C, Garmo H, et al. Long-term risk of ischemic heart disease after adjuvant
45 46	264	radiotherapy in breast cancer: results from a large population-based cohort. Breast Cancer
47 48	265	Res 2020;22(1):10. doi: 10.1186/s13058-020-1249-2
49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59	266	
60		

267 Figure legends

- 268 Figure 1: Flow chart of the study

- Figure 2: Oestrogen augmentation is associated with decreased odds of dying due to COVID-19. Crude
- and adjusted logistic regression models. Statistical significance: (p < 0.05 *, p < 0.01 **, p < 0.001)
- ***). OR odds ratio; CI confidence intervals; wCCI weighted Charlson Comorbidity Index.

to or or or the transmission of transmission of the transmission of transmission of the transmission of transmissi

	Variable		Native oestrogen (control group)	Oestrogen decrease (group 1)	Augmented oestrogen (group 2)	p-value
	Total N (%)		11,923 (81.2)	227 (1.5)	2,535 (17.3)	
	Age	Mean (SD)	61.2 (8.3)	64.4 (8.9)	60.9 (7.7)	< 0.001
	wCCI	Mean (SD)	1.4 (2.4)	5.0 (3.3)	1.6 (2.5)	< 0.001
	Income quintiles, n (%)	Richest	3,422 (28.7)	64 (28.2)	937 (37.0)	< 0.001
		Rich	2,743 (23.0)	42 (18.5)	605 (23.9)	-
		Middle	2,120 (17.8)	35 (15.4)	404 (15.9)	-
		Poor	1,703 (14.3)	47 (20.7)	334 (13.2)	-
		Poorest	1,903 (16.0)	39 (17.2)	253 (10.0)	-
		Missing	32 (0.3)	0 (0)	2 (0.1)	•
	Education, n (%)	Tertiary	4,186 (35.1)	82 (36.1)	1074 (42.4)	< 0.001
		Secondary	5,609 (47.0)	97 (42.7)	1150 (45.4)	-
		Primary	1,882 (15.8)	45 (19.8)	290 (11.4)	-
274		Missing	246 (2.1)	3 (1.3)	21 (0.8)	-
	wCCI: Weighted Charlson Comorbidity Index. SD standard deviation					



Page 19 of 20

BMJ Open

Figure 2

	Dead	Alive	Crude OR (95%CI) Adjusted OR (95%CI)	
Estrogen Status, n (%)				
Native estrogen	11377 (95.4)	546 (4.6)	- I	-
Estrogen decrease	204 (89.9)	23 (10.1)	▶■ 2.35 (1.51-3.65)***	1.21 (0.74-1.99)
Augmented estrogen	2 481 (97.9)	54 (2.1)	0.45 (0.34-0.60)***	0.47 (0.34-0.63)**
Age in years				
Mean (SD)	60.7 (7.9)	73.2 (6.4)	• 1.19 (1.18-1.21)*** •	1.15 (1.14-1.17)**
wCCI				
Mean (SD)	1.4 (2.4)	3.8 (3.1)	■ 1.27 (1.25-1.30)*** ■	1.13 (1.10-1.16)**
Income, quintile, n (%)				
Richest	4376 (98.9)	47 (1.1)	• - •	-
Rich	3346 (98.7)	44 (1.3)	■ 1.22 (0.81-1.85) ■	1.14 (0.74-1.74)
Middle	2484 (97.1)	75 (2.9)	⊢−− 2.81 (1.95-4.06)***	1.64 (1.11-2.41)*
Poor	1886 (90.5)	198 (9.5)	9.77 (7.08-13.50)***	2.43 (1.71-3.46)**
Poorest	1937 (88.2)	258 (11.8)	▶ ■ ■■ 12.40 (9.05-17.00)***	2.79 (1.96-3.97)**
Education, n(%)				
Tertiary	5228 (97.9)	114 (2.1)	• - •	-
Secondary	6615 (96.5)	241 (3.5)	■ 1.67 (1.33-2.09)*** • ■	1.15 (0.90-1.47)
Primary	1988 (89.7)	229 (10.3)	▶■ 5.28 (4.20-6.65)***	1.40 (1.07-1.81)**

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

STROBE Statement-checklist of items that should be included in reports of observational studies

	Item No	Recommendation
Title and abstract	1	(a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract
		(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done
		and what was found
Introduction		
Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses
Mal	5	state specific objectives, meruding any prespectived hypotheses
Methods	4	
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment,
		exposure, follow-up, and data collection
Participants	6	(a) Cohort study—Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of
		selection of participants. Describe methods of follow-up
		<i>Case-control study</i> —Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of
		case ascertainment and control selection. Give the rationale for the choice of cases
		and controls
		Cross-sectional study—Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of
		selection of participants
		(b) Cohort study—For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of
		exposed and unexposed
		Case-control study—For matched studies, give matching criteria and the number of
		controls per case
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect
		modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable
Data sources/	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of
measurement		assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there
		is more than one group
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at
Quantitative variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable,
		describe which groupings were chosen and why
Statistical methods	12	(<i>a</i>) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding
		(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions
		(c) Explain how missing data were addressed
		(d) Cohort study—If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed
		<i>Case-control study</i> —If applicable, explain how matching of cases and controls was
		addressed
		<i>Cross-sectional study</i> —If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of
		sampling strategy
		(a) Describe any sensitivity analyses
Continued on the		(E) Describe any sensitivity analyses
Continued on next page		

3
4
5
6
7
, o
0
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
2- 1 25
25
20
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
42
дл
74 15
40 46
40
4/
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Results		
Participants	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed
		(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage
		(c) Consider use of a flow diagram
Descriptive	14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information
data		on exposures and potential confounders
		(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest
		(c) Cohort study—Summarise follow-up time (eg, average and total amount)
Outcome data	15*	Cohort study-Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time
		<i>Case-control study</i> —Report numbers in each exposure category, or summary measures of exposure
		Cross-sectional study-Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures
Main results	16	(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their
		precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and
		why they were included
		(b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized
		(<i>c</i>) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done-eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity
		analyses
Discussion		
Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives
Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision.
		Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias
Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity
		of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results
Other informati	on	
Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable,
		for the original study on which the present article is based

*Give information separately for cases and controls in case-control studies and, if applicable, for exposed and unexposed groups in cohort and cross-sectional studies.

Note: An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at http://www.plosmedicine.org/, Annals of Internal Medicine at http://www.annals.org/, and Epidemiology at http://www.epidem.com/). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at www.strobe-statement.org.

BMJ Open

Association between pharmaceutical modulation of oestrogen in postmenopausal women in Sweden with death due to COVID-19 – a cohort study

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2021-053032.R1
Article Type:	Original research
Date Submitted by the Author:	27-Oct-2021
Complete List of Authors:	Sund, Malin; Umeå Universitet Medicinska fakulteten, Department of Surgical and Perioperative Sciences Fonseca-Rodríguez, Osvaldo; Umeå Universitet Medicinska fakulteten, Department of Clinical Microbiology Josefsson, Andreas; Umeå Universitet Medicinska fakulteten, Department of Surgical and Perioperative Sciences/ Urology; University of Gothenburg, Department of Urology Welen, Karin; University of Gothenburg, Department of Urology Fors Connolly, Anne-Marie; Umeå Universitet Medicinska fakulteten, Department of Clinical Microbiology
Primary Subject Heading :	Epidemiology
Secondary Subject Heading:	Infectious diseases, Oncology
Keywords:	COVID-19, Sex steroids & HRT < DIABETES & ENDOCRINOLOGY, EPIDEMIOLOGY, Epidemiology < INFECTIOUS DISEASES

SCHOLARONE[™] Manuscripts



I, the Submitting Author has the right to grant and does grant on behalf of all authors of the Work (as defined in the below author licence), an exclusive licence and/or a non-exclusive licence for contributions from authors who are: i) UK Crown employees; ii) where BMJ has agreed a CC-BY licence shall apply, and/or iii) in accordance with the terms applicable for US Federal Government officers or employees acting as part of their official duties; on a worldwide, perpetual, irrevocable, royalty-free basis to BMJ Publishing Group Ltd ("BMJ") its licensees and where the relevant Journal is co-owned by BMJ to the co-owners of the Journal, to publish the Work in this journal and any other BMJ products and to exploit all rights, as set out in our <u>licence</u>.

The Submitting Author accepts and understands that any supply made under these terms is made by BMJ to the Submitting Author unless you are acting as an employee on behalf of your employer or a postgraduate student of an affiliated institution which is paying any applicable article publishing charge ("APC") for Open Access articles. Where the Submitting Author wishes to make the Work available on an Open Access basis (and intends to pay the relevant APC), the terms of reuse of such Open Access shall be governed by a Creative Commons licence – details of these licences and which <u>Creative Commons</u> licence will apply to this Work are set out in our licence referred to above.

Other than as permitted in any relevant BMJ Author's Self Archiving Policies, I confirm this Work has not been accepted for publication elsewhere, is not being considered for publication elsewhere and does not duplicate material already published. I confirm all authors consent to publication of this Work and authorise the granting of this licence.

review only

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

3 4	1	Association between pharmaceutical modulation of oestrogen in postmenopausal
5 6 7	2	women in Sweden with death due to COVID-19 – a cohort study
7 8 9	3	
10 11	4	Malin Sund MD PhD ^{1,2} , Osvaldo Fonseca-Rodríguez DVM PhD ³ , Andreas Josefsson MD
12 13	5	PhD ⁴⁻⁶ , Karin Welen PhD ⁶ , Anne-Marie Fors Connolly MD PhD ³ *
14 15 16	6	
17 18	7	1. Department of Surgical and Perioperative Sciences/ Surgery, Umeå University, Umeå,
19 20	8	Sweden
21 22 23	9	2. Department of Surgery, University of Helsinki and Helsinki University Hospital, Helsinki,
24 25	10	Finland
26 27	11	3. Department of Clinical Microbiology, Umeå University, Umeå, Sweden
28 29 30	12	4. Department of Surgical and Perioperative Sciences/ Urology, Umeå University, Umeå,
31 32	13	Sweden
33 34	14	5. Wallenberg Center for Molecular Medicine, Umeå University, Umeå Sweden
35 36 37	15	6. Department of Urology, Sahlgrenska Center for Cancer Research, Institute of Clinical
37 38 39	16	Sciences, Sahlgrenska Academy, University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg, Sweden
40 41	17	
42 43	18	* Corresponding author:
44 45 46	19	Anne-Marie Fors Connolly
47 48	20	Department of Clinical Microbiology
49 50	21	Umeå University
51 52 53	22	901 85 Umeå
54 55	23	Sweden
56 57	24	E-mail: anne-marie.fors.connolly@umu.se
58 59 60	25	

26 Number of words text: 1652 27 Number of words abstract: 297	1 ว		
27 Number of words abstract: 297 28 0 29 0 29 0 29 0 29 0 29 0 29 0 29 0 29 0 29 0 29 0 29 0 29 0 29 0 29 0 20 0 21 0 22 0 23 0 24 0 25 0 26 0 27 0 28 0 29 0 29 0 29 0 20 0 20 0 21 0 22 0 23 0 24 0 25 0 26 0 27 0	2 3 4	26	Number of words text: 1652
	5 6	27	Number of words abstract: 297
	7 8		
	9 10		
	10		
	12		
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60	14 15		
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60	16 17		
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60	18 19		
22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60	20 21		
24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60	22		
25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60	23 24 25		
27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60	25 26		
29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60	27 28		
31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60	29 30		
33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60	31 32		
35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60	33 34		
37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60	35		
38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60	37		
40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60	38 39		
42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60	40 41		
44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60	42 43		
46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60	44 45		
48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60	46 47		
50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60	48 49		
52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60	50 51		
53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60	52		
55 56 57 58 59 60	53 54		
57 58 59 60	55 56		
59 60	57 58		
	59 60		

2	
2	
2	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
17	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
10	
20	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
20	
20	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
20	
57	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
45	
40	
4/	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52	
53	
57	
54 57	
55	
56	
57	
58	
59	
60	

28	Abstract
29	Objective: Determine whether augmentation of oestrogen in post-menopausal women
30	decreases the risk of death following COVID-19.
31	
32	Design: Nationwide study in Sweden based on registries from The Swedish Public Health
33	Agency; Statistics Sweden (socioeconomical variables) and the National Board of Health and
34	Welfare (Causes of death).
35	
36	Participants: Postmenopausal women between 50 and 80 years of age with verified COVID-
37	19.
38	
39	Interventions: Pharmaceutical modulation of oestrogen as defined by (1) women with breast
40	cancer receiving endocrine therapy (decreased systemic oestrogen levels); (2)
41	postmenopausal hormone therapy (HT; increased systemic oestrogen levels) and (3) a control
42	group (postmenopausal oestrogen levels). Adjustments were made for potential confounders
43	such as age, annual disposable income (richest group as the reference category), highest level
44	of education (primary, secondary and tertiary (reference)) and the weighted Charlson
45	Comorbidity Index (wCCI).
46	
47	Primary outcome measure: Death following COVID-19.
48	
49	Results: From a nationwide cohort consisting of 49,853 women diagnosed with COVID-19
50	between February 4 and September 14, 2020 in Sweden, we included 14,685 women in the
51	study with 11,923 (81%) in the control group, 227 (2%) women in group 1 and 2,535 (17%)
52	women in group 2. The unadjusted odds ratio (OR) for death following COVID-19 was 2.35

BMJ Open

2	
2	
2	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
25	
20	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
20	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	
50	
51	
52	
53	
54	
55	
56	
57	
58	
59	
60	
00	

53	(95% CI 1.51-3.65) for group 1 and 0.45 (0.34-0.6) for group 2. Only the adjusted OR for
54	death remained significant for group 2 with OR 0.47 (0.34-0.63). Absolute risk (AR) of death
55	was 4.6% for the control group vs 10.1% and 2.1%, for the decreased and increased
56	oestrogen groups, respectively. The risk of death due to COVID-19 was significantly
57	associated with: age, annual income, and education.
58	
59	Conclusions: Oestrogen supplementation in post-menopausal women is associated with a
60	decreased risk of dying from COVID-19 in this nationwide cohort study. These findings are
61	limited by the retrospective and non-randomized design. Further randomized intervention
62	trials are warranted.
63	
64	Strengths and limitations of the study
65	• This study is based on all diagnosed COVID-19 patients in Sweden between February
66	1 and September 14, 2020
67	• Swedish registry data is well-validated and due to historical registry data and cross-
68	linkage with the registries of Statistics Sweden, the confounding and/or effect-
69	modifying effects of socioeconomic variables and comorbidities could be adjusted for
70	• The findings are limited by the retrospective and non-randomized design.
71	• Information regarding compliance to pharmaceutical modulation of oestrogen is
72	missing
73	• Circulating oestrogen levels are not measured

74 Introduction

The coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic has swept across the globe causing enormous strain on societies and health care systems. Although women are infected, they appear to be protected from poor outcomes when compared with men even after adjustment for confounding risk factors^{1 2}. Similar epidemiological findings have also been described for SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV infections ^{3 4 5}. This implies biological differences between the sexes in terms of sensitivity to severe COVID-19, and oestrogen has been identified as a potential therapeutic candidate.

The majority of breast cancer (BC) patients have oestrogen receptor (ER)-positive cancer ⁶ and are usually given adjuvant endocrine therapy after surgery in order to reduce the risk of cancer recurrences, leading to *reduced* systemic oestrogen levels. On the other hand, systemic oestrogen levels are augmented in women taking postmenopausal hormone therapy (HT) to relieve menopausal symptoms ⁷. In a nationwide cohort, we used the opposing effects of endocrine therapy in BC patients and women taking postmenopausal HT in modulating systemic oestrogen levels as a model to test the hypothesis that increased oestrogen levels are protective towards COVID-19 death.

1 2

BMJ Open

3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
, 8	
0	
9 10	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
2- 1 25	
25	
20	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
30	
10	
40	
41	
42	
45	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52	
53	
54	
55	
56	
57	
57	
20	
59	
nυ	

Materials and methods 91

92 Patients and public involvement statement

All data from the Swedish registries were pseudonymised and therefore patients were not 93 involved in the study. 94

96 Participants and sources of data

97 The personal identification numbers (PINs) from all diagnosed COVID-19 individuals in Sweden (SmiNet) between the February 1 and September 14, 2020 were cross-linked with the 98 99 LISA Register (Longitudinal integrated database for health insurance and labour market studies) administered by Statistics Sweden; and the following healthcare registers 100 administreed by the Swedish National Board of Health and Welfare: patient, cancer, 101 102 prescribed pharmaceutical, and causes of death. Post-menopausal women 50-80 years of age were stratified into three groups as follows: Group 1, the *decreased oestrogen group*, 103 included patients with BC as identified by the International Classification of Diseases (ICD) 104 version 10 code C50, and the following treatments: tamoxifen or fulvestrant (anatomical 105 therapeutic chemical (ATC): L02BA01, L02BA03) or an aromatase inhibitor (AI; ATC 106 L02BG03, L02BG04, and L02BG06). Group 2, the *augmented oestrogen group*, included 107 those patients treated with drugs classified as postmenopausal hormone therapy (ATC codes 108 G03CA03, G03CA04, G03CC07, G03CX01, G03FA, and G03FB). All ATC codes for 109 110 groups 1 and 2 were identified from the Prescribed Pharmaceutical Register with at least two consecutive withdrawals and at least one during the period extending from July 1, 2019 - to 111 the latest date. Group 3, the native oestrogen (control) group, included patients with no BC 112 diagnosis and no prescription of the above-mentioned pharmaceuticals at any time during 113 2019 and 2020. Ethical approval was granted by the Swedish Ethical Review Authority. 114 115

116	Outcome,	confounders	and effect	modifiers
-----	----------	-------------	------------	-----------

The outcome was death due to COVID-19, as identified by the ICD-10 code U07, as the main or contributing cause of death from the Cause of Death Register. Potential confounders and effect modifiers were included in the model and consisted of the weighted Charlson comorbidity index (wCCI)⁸, age at COVID-19 diagnosis, income (divided into quintiles with the richest group as the reference) and education (primary, secondary and tertiary, which served as the reference). The wCCI was calculated using the Patient and Cancer Registers, up to 2 months prior to the COVID-19 date in order not to include complications due to COVID-19 as a comorbidity. If there was no information regarding diagnosis codes required for wCCI scoring, the individual was assigned a wCCI of zero. Information regarding income and education was retrieved from the LISA-register. *Statistical methods* The distributions of continuous and categorical variables in the three groups were tested

using ANOVA and the χ^2 test, respectively. Each variable was then analysed with univariate logistic regression models, followed by a multivariable regression model to compare the control group with groups 1 and 2, respectively, and adjusting for confounders. Descriptive analyses and logistic regression models were performed using R statistical software version 4.0.2, using the *finalfit* package 1.0.2.

1 2		
2 3 4	135	Results
5	136	Participants
7 8 9	137	During the study period a total of 49,853 women of all ages were diagnosed with COVID-19
10 11	138	in Sweden, and a total of 14,685 women aged 50-80 years were included in our study (figure
12 13	139	1). Characteristics of these groups are shown in table 1. Individuals with decreased oestrogen
14 15 16	140	due to adjuvant endocrine therapy for BC (group 1) were older with a higher comorbidity
17 18	141	index. A larger proportion of women in group 2 (increased levels of systemic oestrogen due
19 20	142	to postmenopausal HT) had high income and a tertiary level of education (table 1).
21 22 23	143	
23 24 25	144	Oestrogen augmentation protects against death due to COVID-19
26 27	145	Pharmaceutically decreasing systemic oestrogen levels increased the odds of dying due to
28 29	146	COVID-19 (group 1: odds ratio [OR] 2.35, 95% confidence intervals [CI] 1.51 - 3.65), but
30 31 32	147	following adjustment for confounders this association was no longer significant (figure 2).
33 34	148	Interestingly, augmentation of systemic oestrogen levels decreased the odds of dying due to
35 36	149	COVID-19, with OR 0.45 (95% CI 0.34 - 0.6), and this result remained significant even after
37 38	150	adjustment for confounders (OR 0.47, 95% CI 0.34 - 0.63). The absolute risk (AR) of dying
39 40 41	151	was 4.6% for the control group vs. 10.1% and 2.1% for the groups with decreased and
42 43	152	increased oestrogen, respectively.
44 45	153	
46 47 48	154	As expected, higher age and wCCI increased the odds of dying due to COVID-19. For every
49 50	155	year increase in age the odds of dying were 1.15 (95% CI 1.14-1.17), and for every increase
51 52	156	in wCCI the odds of dying were 1.13 (95% CI 1.10-1.16) (figure 2). Furthermore, low
53 54	157	income and having only primary education were also factors that increased the odds of dying
55 56 57 58 59 60	158	due to COVID-19 (figure 2).

1 ว		
2 3 4	159	Discussion
5 6	160	Principal findings
7 8 0	161	The major finding of this nationwide registry-based study is that pharmaceutical
9 10 11	162	augmentation of oestrogen levels is associated with decreased odds of death due to COVID-
12 13	163	19 in postmenopausal women.
14 15 16	164	
17 18	165	Comparison with related studies
19 20	166	There are several possible biological explanations for the lower risk experienced by women.
21 22 22	167	These include mechanisms directly involved in viral internalization and reproduction, where
23 24 25	168	oestrogen has been shown to decrease expression of vital proteins such as ACE2 and
26 27	169	TMPRSS2 9-11, inherent sex-linked differences in the immune system, and direct oestrogen
28 29	170	effects ¹² . As an example, Kalidhindi et al have studied the effect of testosterone and
30 31 32	171	oestrogen on ACE2 expression, a key cell entry for SARS-CoV-2 virus, using in vitro
33 34	172	experiments on isolated human airway smooth muscle cells of male and female origin ¹³ . Most
35 36	173	interestingly, they show that cells exposed to oestrogen and testosterone behave differently,
37 38 39	174	as testosterone significantly upregulates ACE2 expression in cells from both sexes, whereas
40 41	175	oestrogen downregulates ACE2 ¹³ . ACE2 expression and differences in its expression in
42 43	176	relation to sex could also be linked to the higher mortality in relation to hypertension, venous
44 45 46	177	thromboembolism and SARS-CoV-2 infection between men and women ¹⁴ . The observed
40 47 48	178	oestrogen induced reduction of ACE2 expression might however not necessarily translate
49 50	179	into a reduction of ACE2 protein at the cell surface in vivo in all cell types. Our findings are
51 52	180	also supported by <i>in vitro</i> studies where 17β -oestradiol treatment reduced SARS-CoV-2 viral
53 54 55	181	load ⁹ . Previous experimental studies in mice on SARS-CoV have, moreover, shown that
56 57	182	female mice are less susceptible to infection and that this protection was lost upon
58 59 60	183	oophorectomy, thus indicating a direct protective role of oestrogen signalling ¹⁵ . Furthermore,

Page 11 of 22

1 2

BMJ Open

3 4	184
5 6	185
7 8	186
9 10 11	187
12 13	188
14 15	189
16 17 19	190
19 20	191
21 22	192
23 24 25	193
25 26 27	194
28 29	195
30 31	196
32 33 34	197
35 36	198
37 38	199
39 40 41	200
41 42 43	201
44 45	202
46 47 48	203
49 50	204
51 52	205
53 54	206
55 56 57	207
58 59	208
60	

Barh et al., using a multiomics approach on SARS-CoV-2-infected host interactome, 184 proteome, transcriptome, and bibliome datasets, demonstrated that oestrogen modulation 185 could be a potential therapeutic option in COVID-19¹⁶. Our results are in line with those by 186 Seeland et al using real world evidence from multiple institutions and the TriNetX platform. 187 They found by using propensity score matched analysis of data for women aged 50 and above 188 with COVID-19 (n=439), that there was a survival benefit for oestradiol hormone-users 189 190 versus non-users (OR 0.33 (95%CI 0.18-0.62)). Although based on a large real-world dataset the risk of selection bias was more difficult to discern since the cohort was neither 191 192 population-based nor adjusted for central confounders although likely mitigated by the propensity score matched analysis¹⁷. In our study the effect of increased systemic oestrogen 193 levels on reducing the risk of COVID-19 death remained significant after adjusting for 194 education level and income, both factors known to influence COVID-19 outcome ¹⁸, further 195 196 supporting the protective role of oestrogen in women. The hypothetical inverse, a worsening effect of reduced systemic oestrogen levels in women 197 with a previous BC receiving adjuvant endocrine therapy, was initially significant but not 198 after adjusting for confounders. This population differs from the control group in that they all 199 have been diagnosed with BC and it has been shown that patients, both men and women, with 200 any cancer are harder hit by COVID-19¹⁹. However, in a previous study BC patients were 201 shown to be healthier compared with the background population in terms of ischemic cardiac 202

disease and CCI ²⁰, and the wCCI adjustments may therefore overcompensate for this cancer-

related vulnerability. Although not significant, a trend towards worse outcome remained and

thus a larger population of BC patients on endocrine therapy is likely needed to verify the

finding. Thus, this study cannot exclude an increased risk of death from COVID-19 if

207 systemic oestrogen levels are pharmaceutically decreased.

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

1		
2 3 4	209	Strengths and limitations
5 6	210	The strengths of this study are that this is a nationwide cohort in a country with high COVID-
/ 8 9	211	19 incidence using well validated registry data. A weakness is that the level of oestrogen
10 11	212	modulation cannot be exactly measured in each individual, and that the number of BC
12 13	213	women on anti-oestrogen medication ended up being too small to show significance although
14 15 16	214	there was a clear trend. The postmenopausal HT group, however, proved large enough to
17 18	215	show the clear protective effect. A further limitation is that confounding factors such as body
19 20 21	216	mass index (BMI), nutrition and smoking habits are not available in the nationwide registry
21 22 23	217	data.
24 25	218	
26 27 28	219	Implications and conclusion
29 30	220	This study shows an association between oestrogen levels and COVID-19 death.
31 32	221	Consequently, drugs increasing oestrogen levels may have a role in therapeutic efforts to
33 34 35	222	alleviate COVID-19 severity in post-menopausal women and could be studied in randomized
36 37	223	control trials.
38 39		
40 41 42		
43		
44 45		
46 47		
48		
49 50		
51		
52 53		
55 54		
55		
56 57		
58		
59 60		

1		
2 3 4	224	Acknowledgments:
5 6 7	225	We would like to thank Wolfgang Lohr for data management and Dr- Chloé Jacquet for
7 8 9	226	helping us design figure 2.
10 11	227	
12 13 14	228	Funding:
15 16	229	This study was funded by:
17 18	230	AMFC: Central ALF-funding, Region Västerbotten (RV-836351), Base unit ALF-funding
19 20 21	231	(RV-939769); Strategic Funding during 2020 from the Department of Clinical Microbiology,
22 23	232	Umeå University; Stroke Research in Northern Sweden; and Molecular Infection Medicine
24 25	233	Sweden (MIMS).
26 27 28	234	AJ: the Knut and Alice Wallenberg Foundation
28 29 30	235	
31 32	236	Contributors
33 34 25	237	MS and KW conceptualized the study. MS and AMFC designed the study, with input from
35 36 37	238	KW, AJ and OFR. OFR and AMFC prepared the study data. OFR performed the statistical
38 39	239	analysis. MS, KW, AJ, OFR and AMFC contributed to interpretation of the results. MS wrote
40 41 42	240	the first draft of the manuscript. MS, KW, AJ, OFR and AMFC contributed to critical
42 43 44	241	revision of the manuscript. MS, KW, AJ, OFR and AMFC approved the final manuscript.
45 46	242	AMFC is the guarantor of this study. The corresponding author attests that all authors meet
47 48	243	the criteria for authorship and that all have been included.
49 50 51	244	
52 53	245	Competing interests
54 55	246	All authors have completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form at
56 57 58	247	www.icmje.org/coi_disclosure.pdf and declare: no support from any organisation for the
59 60	248	submitted work, no financial relationships with any organisations that might have an interest

3 4	249	in the submitted work in the previous three years, and no other relationships or activities that
5 6 7	250	could appear to have influenced the submitted work.
, 8 9	251	
10 11	252	Ethical approval
12 13 14	253	Ethical approval was obtained by the Swedish Ethical Review Authority (number 2020-
15 16	254	02150)
17 18	255	
19 20 21	256	Data sharing
21 22 23	257	The study protocol (R script) is available upon request. The study used secondary registry
24 25	258	data that are regulated by the Public Access to Information and Secrecy Act (2009:400) and
26 27 28	259	are protected by strict confidentiality. For the purpose of research though, after formal
20 29 30	260	application to access personal data the responsible authority can grant access to data, though
31 32	261	this is contingent on vetting by the Ethical Review Authority of Sweden, according to the Act
33 34 35	262	(2003:460) concerning the Ethical Review of Research Involving Humans. This means that
36 37	263	the aggregated registry data cannot be shared.
38		
39 40		
41 42		
42 43		
44		
45		
46 47		
47 48		
49		
50		
51		
52		
53		
54 55		
55 56		
57		
58		
59		
60		

1 2		
3 4	265	
5 6 7	266	References
8 9	267	1. Williamson EJ, Walker AJ, Bhaskaran K, et al. Factors associated with COVID-19-related death
10 11	268	using OpenSAFELY. Nature 2020;584(7821):430-36. doi: 10.1038/s41586-020-2521-4
12 13 14	269	2. Abate BB, Kassie AM, Kassaw MW, et al. Sex difference in coronavirus disease (COVID-19): a
15 16	270	systematic review and meta-analysis. BMJ Open 2020;10(10):e040129. doi:
17 18	271	10.1136/bmjopen-2020-040129 [published Online First: 2020/10/09]
19 20	272	3. Alghamdi IG, Hussain, II, Almalki SS, et al. The pattern of Middle East respiratory syndrome
21 22 23	273	coronavirus in Saudi Arabia: a descriptive epidemiological analysis of data from the Saudi
24 25	274	Ministry of Health. Int J Gen Med 2014;7:417-23. doi: 10.2147/IJGM.S67061 [published
26 27	275	Online First: 2014/09/05]
28 29	276	4. Leong HN, Earnest A, Lim HH, et al. SARS in Singaporepredictors of disease severity. Ann Acad
30 31 32	277	Med Singap 2006;35(5):326-31. [published Online First: 2006/07/11]
33 34	278	5. Karlberg J, Chong DS, Lai WY. Do men have a higher case fatality rate of severe acute respiratory
35 36	279	syndrome than women do? Am J Epidemiol 2004;159(3):229-31. doi: 10.1093/aje/kwh056
37 38	280	[published Online First: 2004/01/27]
39 40 41	281	6. Sung H, Ferlay J, Siegel RL, et al. Global cancer statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence
42 43	282	and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. CA Cancer J Clin 2021 doi:
44 45	283	10.3322/caac.21660
46 47	284	7. Delgado BJ, Lopez-Ojeda W. Estrogen. StatPearls. Treasure Island (FL)2021.
48 49 50	285	8. Ludvigsson JF, Appelros P, Askling J, et al. Adaptation of the Charlson Comorbidity Index for
50 51 52	286	Register-Based Research in Sweden. Clin Epidemiol 2021;13:21-41. doi:
53 54	287	10.2147/CLEP.S282475
55 56 57	288	9. Lemes RMR, Costa AJ, Bartolomeo CS, et al. 17beta-estradiol reduces SARS-CoV-2 infection in
57 58 59 60	289	vitro. <i>Physiol Rep</i> 2021;9(2):e14707. doi: 10.14814/phy2.14707

1

2		
3 4	290	10. Stelzig KE, Canepa-Escaro F, Schiliro M, et al. Estrogen regulates the expression of SARS-CoV-2
5 6	291	receptor ACE2 in differentiated airway epithelial cells. Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol
7 8	292	2020;318(6):L1280-L81. doi: 10.1152/ajplung.00153.2020
9 10 11	293	11. Young MJ, Clyne CD, Chapman KE. Endocrine aspects of ACE2 regulation: RAAS, steroid
12 13	294	hormones and SARS-CoV-2. J Endocrinol 2020;247(2):R45-R62. doi: 10.1530/JOE-20-0260
14 15	295	[published Online First: 2020/09/24]
16 17	296	12. Klein SL, Flanagan KL. Sex differences in immune responses. Nat Rev Immunol 2016;16(10):626-
18 19 20	297	38. doi: 10.1038/nri.2016.90
20 21 22	298	13. Kalidhindi RSR, Borkar NA, Ambhore NS, et al. Sex steroids skew ACE2 expression in human
23 24	299	airway: a contributing factor to sex differences in COVID-19? Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol
25 26	300	Physiol 2020;319(5):L843-L47. doi: 10.1152/ajplung.00391.2020 [published Online First:
27 28	301	2020/10/01]
29 30 31	302	14. La Vignera S, Cannarella R, Condorelli RA, et al. Sex-Specific SARS-CoV-2 Mortality: Among
32 33	303	Hormone-Modulated ACE2 Expression, Risk of Venous Thromboembolism and
34 35	304	Hypovitaminosis D. Int J Mol Sci 2020;21(8) doi: 10.3390/ijms21082948 [published Online
36 37	305	First: 2020/04/26]
38 39 40	306	15. Channappanavar R, Fett C, Mack M, et al. Sex-Based Differences in Susceptibility to Severe Acute
41 42	307	Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus Infection. <i>J Immunol</i> 2017;198(10):4046-53. doi:
43 44	308	10.4049/jimmunol.1601896 [published Online First: 2017/04/05]
45 46	309	16. Barh D, Tiwari S, Weener ME, et al. Multi-omics-based identification of SARS-CoV-2 infection
47 48 40	310	biology and candidate drugs against COVID-19. Comput Biol Med 2020;126:104051. doi:
49 50 51	311	10.1016/j.compbiomed.2020.104051
52 53	312	17. Seeland U, Coluzzi F, Simmaco M, et al. Evidence for treatment with estradiol for women with
54 55	313	SARS-CoV-2 infection. BMC Med 2020;18(1):369. doi: 10.1186/s12916-020-01851-z
56 57		
58		
59 60		

1 2		
3 4	314	18. Drefahl S, Wallace M, Mussino E, et al. A population-based cohort study of socio-demographic
5 6	315	risk factors for COVID-19 deaths in Sweden. Nat Commun 2020;11(1):5097. doi:
7 8	316	10.1038/s41467-020-18926-3
9 10 11	317	19. Zhang H, Han H, He T, et al. Clinical Characteristics and Outcomes of COVID-19-Infected Cancer
12 13	318	Patients: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. J Natl Cancer Inst 2020 doi:
14 15	319	10.1093/jnci/djaa168
16 17	320	20. Wennstig AK, Wadsten C, Garmo H, et al. Long-term risk of ischemic heart disease after adjuvant
18 19 20	321	radiotherapy in breast cancer: results from a large population-based cohort. Breast Cancer
20 21 22	322	Res 2020;22(1):10. doi: 10.1186/s13058-020-1249-2
23 24	323	
25 26 27		
27 28 20		
29 30		
31 32		
33 34		
35 36		
37 38		
39 40		
41 42		
43 44		
45		
46 47		
48 49		
50 51		
52		
53 54		
55 56		
57		
58 59		
60		

Figure legends

Figure 1: Flow chart of the study

- Figure 2: Oestrogen augmentation is associated with decreased odds of dying due to COVID-
- 19. Crude and adjusted logistic regression models. Statistical significance: *p < 0.05, **p < 0.05

0.01, ***p < 0.001). OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence intervals; wCCI, weighted Charlson

Comorbidity Index.

		Native oestrogen (control group)	Decreased oestrogen (group 1)	Augmented oestrogen (group 2)	p-valu
Total N (%)		11923 (81.2)	227 (1.5)	2535 (17.3)	
Deaths	No	11377 (95.4)	204 (89.9)	2481 (97.9)	< 0.001
	Yes	546 (4.6)	23 (10.1)	54 (2.1)	-
Age	Mean (SD)	61.2 (8.3)	64.4 (8.9)	60.9 (7.7)	< 0.001
wCCI	Mean (SD)	1.4 (2.4)	5.0 (3.3)	1.6 (2.5)	< 0.001
Income quintiles, n (%)	Richest	3422 (28.7)	64 (28.2)	937 (37.0)	< 0.001
	Rich	2743 (23.0)	42 (18.5)	605 (23.9)	-
	Middle	2120 (17.8)	35 (15.4)	404 (15.9)	-
	Poor	1703 (14.3)	47 (20.7)	334 (13.2)	-
	Poorest	1903 (16.0)	39 (17.2)	253 (10.0)	-
	Missing	32 (0.3)	0 (0)	2 (0.1)	-
Education, n (%)	Tertiary	4186 (35.1)	82 (36.1)	1074 (42.4)	< 0.00
	Secondary	5609 (47.0)	97 (42.7)	1150 (45.4)	-
	Primary	1882 (15.8)	45 (19.8)	290 (11.4)	-
	Missing	246 (2.1)	3 (1.3)	21 (0.8)	-

1 ... **T 1 1** (1 C (1 . 1



1		
2		
2		
2		
4		
5		
5		
6		
7		
,		
8		
9		
10		
10		
11		
12		
12		
15		
14		
15		
16		
10		
17		
18		
10		
19		
20		
21		
21		
22		
23		
24		
24		
25		
26		
20		
27		
28		
20		
29		
30		
31		
20		
52		
33		
34		
25		
35		
36		
37		
20		
38		
39		
40		
41		
41		
42		
13		
44		
45		
16		
40		
47		
48		
40		
49		
50		
51		
52		
53		
Γ.4		
54		

60

	Dead	Alive		Crude OR(95%CI)		Adjusted OR(95%CI)
Groups, n(%)						
Native oestrogen (control)	546 (4.6)	11377 (95.4)	•	1(ref)	•	1(ref)
Oestrogen decrease (group 1)	23 (10.1)	204 (89.9)	H H H	2.35 (1.51-3.65)***		1.21 (0.74-1.98)
Augmented oestrogen (group 2)) 54 (2.1)	2481 (97.9)	•	0.45 (0.34-0.60)***	••	0.47 (0.34-0.63)***
Age in years	,			. ,		. ,
Mean(SD)	73.2 (6.4)	60.7 (7.9)	•	1.19 (1.18-1.21)***	•	1.15 (1.14-1.17)***
wCCÌ						
Mean(SD)	3.8 (3.1)	1.4 (2.4)	•	1.27 (1.24-1.30)***	•	1.13 (1.10-1.16)***
Income quintile, n(%)						
Richest	47 (1.1)	4376 (98.9)	•	1(ref)	•	1(ref)
Rich	44 (1.3)	3346 (98.7)	-	1.22 (0.81-1.85)		1.14 (0.74-1.74)
Middle	75 (2.9)	2484 (97.1)	H e -1	2.81 (1.95-4.06)***		1.64 (1.11-2.42)*
Poor	198 (9.5)	1886 (90.5)		9.77 (7.08-13.50)***		2.44 (1.71-3.47)***
Poorest	258 (11.8)	1937 (88.2)		12.40 (9.05-17.00)***		2.79 (1.96-3.98)***
Education, n(%)						
Tertiary	114 (2.1)	5228 (97.9)	•	1(ref)	•	1(ref)
Secondary	241 (3.5)	6615 (96.5)	-	1.67 (1.33-2.09)***	H 1	1.15 (0.90-1.47)
Primary	229 (10.3)	1988 (89.7)	H H H	5.28 (4.20-6.65)***		1.40 (1.07-1.81)*

0 5 10 15 20 Crude OR (95% CI) 0 1 2 3 4 Adjusted OR (95% CI)

Figure 2

393x152mm (300 x 300 DPI)

STROBE Statement—	-Checklist of	f items tha	t should b	e included	in reports of	f <i>cohort studies</i>
					1	

	Item No	Recommendation
Title and abstract	1	(a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the
	-	abstract.
		"Association between pharmaceutical modulation of oestrogen in postmenopausal
		women in Sweden with death due to COVID-19 – a cohort study". Page 1, line 1-2
		(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was
		done and what was found. Page 3-4, line 28-62
Introduction		
Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being
		reported. Page 5, lines 75-90.
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses. Page 5, lines 87-
-		90
Methods		
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper. Page 6-7, lines 91-134
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of
		recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection. Page 6, lines 96-114
Participants	6	(a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of
		participants. Describe methods of follow-up. Page 6, lines 96-114
		(b) For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and
		unexposed. Not applicable.
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and
		effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable. Page 7, lines 116-126
Data sources/	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of
measurement		assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there
		is more than one group. Page 6-7, lines 91-134
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias. Not applicable.
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at. Page 6-7, lines 91-134
Quantitative variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable,
		describe which groupings were chosen and why. Page 6-7, lines 91-134
Statistical methods	12	(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for
		confounding. Page 7, lines 128-134
		(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions. Page 6-7,
		lines 91-134
		(c) Explain how missing data were addressed. Case only method.
		(d) If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed. Not applicable.
		(e) Describe any sensitivity analyses. Not applicable.
Results		
Participants	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially
		eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study,
		completing follow-up, and analysed. Page 8, lines 135-142
		(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage. Not applicable.
		(c) Consider use of a flow diagram. Figure 1.
Descriptive data	14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and
		information on exposures and potential confounders. Page 8, lines 135-142, Table
		1

BMJ Open

		(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest.
		Table 1.
		(c) Summarise follow-up time (eg, average and total amount). Not applicable.
Outcome data	15*	Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time. Page 8, lines
		144-158.
Main results	16	(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates
		and their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders
		were adjusted for and why they were included. Page 8, lines 144-158. Figure 2.
		(b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized. Not
		applicable.
		(c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a
		meaningful time period. Page 8, lines 150-152.
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done-eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and
		sensitivity analyses. Page 8, lines 154-158.
Discussion		
Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives. Page 9, lines 160-163.
Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or
		imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias. Page 11,
		lines 209-217.
Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations,
		multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence.
		Page 11, lines 219-223.
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results. Page 9-10,
		lines 165-207
Other information		
Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if
		applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based. Page 12,
		lines 228-234.
		4

*Give information separately for exposed and unexposed groups.

Note: An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at http://www.plosmedicine.org/, Annals of Internal Medicine at http://www.annals.org/, and Epidemiology at http://www.epidem.com/). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at http://www.strobe-statement.org.

BMJ Open

Association between pharmaceutical modulation of oestrogen in postmenopausal women in Sweden with death due to COVID-19 – a cohort study

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2021-053032.R2
Article Type:	Original research
Date Submitted by the Author:	18-Nov-2021
Complete List of Authors:	Sund, Malin; Umeå Universitet Medicinska fakulteten, Department of Surgical and Perioperative Sciences Fonseca-Rodríguez, Osvaldo; Umeå Universitet Medicinska fakulteten, Department of Clinical Microbiology Josefsson, Andreas; Umeå Universitet Medicinska fakulteten, Department of Surgical and Perioperative Sciences/ Urology; University of Gothenburg, Department of Urology Welen, Karin; University of Gothenburg, Department of Urology Fors Connolly, Anne-Marie; Umeå Universitet Medicinska fakulteten, Department of Clinical Microbiology
Primary Subject Heading :	Epidemiology
Secondary Subject Heading:	Infectious diseases, Oncology
Keywords:	COVID-19, Sex steroids & HRT < DIABETES & ENDOCRINOLOGY, EPIDEMIOLOGY, Epidemiology < INFECTIOUS DISEASES

SCHOLARONE[™] Manuscripts



I, the Submitting Author has the right to grant and does grant on behalf of all authors of the Work (as defined in the below author licence), an exclusive licence and/or a non-exclusive licence for contributions from authors who are: i) UK Crown employees; ii) where BMJ has agreed a CC-BY licence shall apply, and/or iii) in accordance with the terms applicable for US Federal Government officers or employees acting as part of their official duties; on a worldwide, perpetual, irrevocable, royalty-free basis to BMJ Publishing Group Ltd ("BMJ") its licensees and where the relevant Journal is co-owned by BMJ to the co-owners of the Journal, to publish the Work in this journal and any other BMJ products and to exploit all rights, as set out in our <u>licence</u>.

The Submitting Author accepts and understands that any supply made under these terms is made by BMJ to the Submitting Author unless you are acting as an employee on behalf of your employer or a postgraduate student of an affiliated institution which is paying any applicable article publishing charge ("APC") for Open Access articles. Where the Submitting Author wishes to make the Work available on an Open Access basis (and intends to pay the relevant APC), the terms of reuse of such Open Access shall be governed by a Creative Commons licence – details of these licences and which <u>Creative Commons</u> licence will apply to this Work are set out in our licence referred to above.

Other than as permitted in any relevant BMJ Author's Self Archiving Policies, I confirm this Work has not been accepted for publication elsewhere, is not being considered for publication elsewhere and does not duplicate material already published. I confirm all authors consent to publication of this Work and authorise the granting of this licence.

review only

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

3 4	1	Association between pharmaceutical modulation of oestrogen in postmenopausal
5 6 7	2	women in Sweden with death due to COVID-19 – a cohort study
7 8 9	3	
10 11	4	Malin Sund MD PhD ^{1,2} , Osvaldo Fonseca-Rodríguez DVM PhD ³ , Andreas Josefsson MD
12 13	5	PhD ⁴⁻⁶ , Karin Welen PhD ⁶ , Anne-Marie Fors Connolly MD PhD ³ *
14 15 16	6	
17 18	7	1. Department of Surgical and Perioperative Sciences/ Surgery, Umeå University, Umeå,
19 20	8	Sweden
21 22 23	9	2. Department of Surgery, University of Helsinki and Helsinki University Hospital, Helsinki,
24 25	10	Finland
26 27	11	3. Department of Clinical Microbiology, Umeå University, Umeå, Sweden
28 29 30	12	4. Department of Surgical and Perioperative Sciences/ Urology, Umeå University, Umeå,
31 32	13	Sweden
33 34	14	5. Wallenberg Center for Molecular Medicine, Umeå University, Umeå Sweden
35 36 37	15	6. Department of Urology, Sahlgrenska Center for Cancer Research, Institute of Clinical
37 38 39	16	Sciences, Sahlgrenska Academy, University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg, Sweden
40 41	17	
42 43	18	* Corresponding author:
44 45 46	19	Anne-Marie Fors Connolly
47 48	20	Department of Clinical Microbiology
49 50	21	Umeå University
51 52 53	22	901 85 Umeå
54 55	23	Sweden
56 57	24	E-mail: anne-marie.fors.connolly@umu.se
58 59 60	25	

1 2		
2 3 4	26	Number of words text: 1406
5 6 7	27	Number of words abstract: 298
8 9		
10 11		
12 13		
14 15 16		
10 17 18		
19 20		
21 22		
23 24		
25 26		
27 28		
29 30		
31 32		
33 34 25		
35 36 37		
38 39		
40 41		
42 43		
44 45		
46 47		
48 49		
50 51		
52 53		
54 55 56		
50 57 58		
59 60		
00		

2	
3 4	28
5 6	29
7 8	30
9 10 11	31
12 13	32
14 15	33
16 17 18	34
19 20	35
21 22	36
23 24 25	37
26 27	38
28 29	39
30 31 32	40
33 34	41
35 36	42
37 38 39	43
40 41	44
42 43	45
44 45 46	46
40 47 48	47
49 50	48
51 52 53	49
54 55	50
56 57	51
58 59	52
60	

Abstract

Objective: Determine whether augmentation of oestrogen in post-menopausal women 29 decreases the risk of death following COVID-19. 30

1

Design: Nationwide registry-based study in Sweden based on registries from The Swedish 32 Public Health Agency (all individuals that tested positive for SARS-CoV-2); Statistics 33 34 Sweden (socioeconomical variables) and the National Board of Health and Welfare (Causes of death). 35

Participants: Postmenopausal women between 50 and 80 years of age with verified COVID-37 19. 38

Interventions: Pharmaceutical modulation of oestrogen as defined by (1) women with 40 previously diagnosed breast cancer and receiving endocrine therapy (decreased systemic 11 12 oestrogen levels); (2) women receiving hormone replacement therapy (HRT; increased systemic oestrogen levels) and (3) a control group not fulfilling requirements for group 1 or 2 43 (postmenopausal oestrogen levels). Adjustments were made for potential confounders such as 44 age, annual disposable income (richest group as the reference category), highest level of 45 education (primary, secondary and tertiary (reference)) and the weighted Charlson 16 47 Comorbidity Index (wCCI). 18

Primary outcome measure: Death following COVID-19. 19

Results: From a nationwide cohort consisting of 49,853 women diagnosed with COVID-19 51 between February 4 and September 14, 2020 in Sweden, 16,693 were between 50 to 80 years 52

Page 5 of 22

1

BMJ Open

2	
2	
2	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
20 21	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
20	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
20	
20	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
4/	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52	
53	
54	
55	
55	
56	
57	
58	
59	
60	

55	of age. We included 14,685 women in the study with 11,923 (81%) in the control group, 227
54	(2%) women in group 1 and 2,535 (17%) women in group 2. The unadjusted odds ratio (OR)
55	for death following COVID-19 was 2.35 (95% CI 1.51-3.65) for group 1 and 0.45 (0.34-0.6)
56	for group 2. Only the adjusted OR for death remained significant for group 2 with OR 0.47
57	(0.34-0.63). Absolute risk (AR) of death was 4.6% for the control group vs 10.1% and 2.1%,
58	for the decreased and increased oestrogen groups, respectively. The risk of death due to
59	COVID-19 was significantly associated with: age, OR 1.15 (1.14-1.17); annual income,
60	poorest 2.79 [1.96-3.97], poor 2.43 [1.71-3.46] and middle 1.64 [1.11-2.41]; and education
61	(primary 1.4 [1.07-1.81]) and wCCI 1.13 [1.1-1.16].
62	
63	Conclusions: Oestrogen supplementation in post-menopausal women is associated with a
64	decreased risk of dying from COVID-19 in this nationwide cohort study. These findings are
65	limited by the retrospective and non-randomized design. Further randomized intervention
66	trials are warranted.
67	
67 68	Strengths and limitations of the study
67 68 69	Strengths and limitations of the study This study is based on all diagnosed COVID-19 patients in Sweden between February
67 68 69 70	 Strengths and limitations of the study This study is based on all diagnosed COVID-19 patients in Sweden between February 1 and September 14, 2020
67 68 69 70 71	 Strengths and limitations of the study This study is based on all diagnosed COVID-19 patients in Sweden between February 1 and September 14, 2020 Swedish registry data is well-validated and due to historical registry data and cross-
67 68 69 70 71 72	 Strengths and limitations of the study This study is based on all diagnosed COVID-19 patients in Sweden between February 1 and September 14, 2020 Swedish registry data is well-validated and due to historical registry data and cross-linkage with the registries of Statistics Sweden, the confounding and/or effect-
67 68 69 70 71 72 73	 Strengths and limitations of the study This study is based on all diagnosed COVID-19 patients in Sweden between February 1 and September 14, 2020 Swedish registry data is well-validated and due to historical registry data and cross-linkage with the registries of Statistics Sweden, the confounding and/or effect-modifying effects of socioeconomic variables and comorbidities could be adjusted for
67 68 69 70 71 72 73 74	 Strengths and limitations of the study This study is based on all diagnosed COVID-19 patients in Sweden between February 1 and September 14, 2020 Swedish registry data is well-validated and due to historical registry data and cross-linkage with the registries of Statistics Sweden, the confounding and/or effect-modifying effects of socioeconomic variables and comorbidities could be adjusted for The findings are limited by the retrospective and non-randomized design.
 67 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 	 Strengths and limitations of the study This study is based on all diagnosed COVID-19 patients in Sweden between February 1 and September 14, 2020 Swedish registry data is well-validated and due to historical registry data and cross-linkage with the registries of Statistics Sweden, the confounding and/or effect-modifying effects of socioeconomic variables and comorbidities could be adjusted for The findings are limited by the retrospective and non-randomized design. Information regarding compliance to pharmaceutical modulation of oestrogen is
67 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76	 Strengths and limitations of the study This study is based on all diagnosed COVID-19 patients in Sweden between February 1 and September 14, 2020 Swedish registry data is well-validated and due to historical registry data and cross-linkage with the registries of Statistics Sweden, the confounding and/or effect-modifying effects of socioeconomic variables and comorbidities could be adjusted for The findings are limited by the retrospective and non-randomized design. Information regarding compliance to pharmaceutical modulation of oestrogen is missing

- Information about the exact duration of the postmenopausal hormone therapy (HT) •
 - was not available in the dataset

Circulating oestrogen levels are not measured •

BMJ Open

80 Introduction

The coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic has swept across the globe causing enormous strain on societies and health care systems. Although women are infected, they appear to be protected from poor outcomes when compared with men even after adjustment for confounding risk factors^{1 2}. Similar epidemiological findings have also been described for SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV infections ^{3 4 5}. This implies biological differences between the sexes in terms of sensitivity to severe COVID-19, and oestrogen has been identified as a potential therapeutic candidate.

The majority of breast cancer (BC) patients have oestrogen receptor (ER)-positive cancer ⁶ and are usually given adjuvant endocrine therapy after surgery in order to reduce the risk of cancer recurrences, leading to *reduced* systemic oestrogen levels. On the other hand, systemic oestrogen levels are *augmented* in women taking postmenopausal hormone therapy (HT) to relieve menopausal symptoms ⁷. In a nationwide cohort, we used the opposing effects of endocrine therapy in BC patients and women taking postmenopausal HT in modulating systemic oestrogen levels as a model to test the hypothesis that increased oestrogen levels are protective towards COVID-19 death.

Materials and methods

Patients and public involvement statement

All data from the Swedish registries were pseudonymised and therefore patients were not involved in the study.

Participants and sources of data

The personal identification numbers (PINs) from all diagnosed COVID-19 individuals in Sweden (SmiNet) between the February 1 and September 14, 2020 were cross-linked with the LISA Register (Longitudinal integrated database for health insurance and labour market studies) administered by Statistics Sweden; and the following healthcare registers administreed by the Swedish National Board of Health and Welfare: patient, cancer, prescribed pharmaceutical, and causes of death. Post-menopausal women 50-80 years of age were stratified into three groups as follows: Group 1, the *decreased oestrogen group*, included patients with BC as identified by the International Classification of Diseases (ICD) version 10 code C50, and the following treatments: tamoxifen or fulvestrant (anatomical therapeutic chemical (ATC): L02BA01, L02BA03) or an aromatase inhibitor (AI; ATC L02BG03, L02BG04, and L02BG06). Group 2, the *augmented oestrogen group*, included those patients treated with drugs classified as postmenopausal hormone therapy (ATC codes G03CA03, G03CA04, G03CC07, G03CX01, G03FA, and G03FB). All ATC codes for groups 1 and 2 were identified from the Prescribed Pharmaceutical Register with at least two consecutive withdrawals and at least one during the period extending from July 1, 2019 - to the latest date. Group 3, the native oestrogen (control) group, included patients with no BC diagnosis and no prescription of the above-mentioned pharmaceuticals at any time during 2019 and 2020. Ethical approval was granted by the Swedish Ethical Review Authority.

BMJ Open

Outcome, confounders and effect modifiers

The outcome was death due to COVID-19, as identified by the ICD-10 code U07, as the main or contributing cause of death from the Cause of Death Register. Potential confounders and effect modifiers were included in the model and consisted of the weighted Charlson comorbidity index (wCCI)⁸, age at COVID-19 diagnosis, income (divided into quintiles with the richest group as the reference) and education (primary, secondary and tertiary, which served as the reference). The wCCI was calculated using the Patient and Cancer Registers, up to 2 months prior to the COVID-19 date in order not to include complications due to COVID-19 as a comorbidity. If there was no information regarding diagnosis codes required for wCCI scoring, the individual was assigned a wCCI of zero. Information regarding income and education was retrieved from the LISA-register.

Statistical methods

The distributions of continuous and categorical variables in the three groups were tested using ANOVA and the χ^2 test, respectively. Each variable was then analysed with univariate logistic regression models, followed by a multivariable regression model to compare the control group with groups 1 and 2, respectively, and adjusting for confounders. The present study evaluates specific outcomes, and the odds ratio and p-values are adjusted for relevant confounders using the multivariate logistic regression model and there was no need to further adjust using the Bonferroni/Benjamini/FDR approach. Descriptive analyses and logistic regression models were performed using R statistical software version 4.0.2, using the *finalfit* package 1.0.2.

1 2		
2 3 4	144	Results
5 6	145	Participants
7 8 0	146	During the study period a total of 49,853 women of all ages were diagnosed with COVID-19
9 10 11	147	in Sweden, and a total of 14,685 women aged 50-80 years were included in our study (figure
12 13	148	1). Characteristics of these groups are shown in table 1. Individuals with decreased oestrogen
14 15	149	due to adjuvant endocrine therapy for BC (group 1) were older with a higher comorbidity
16 17 18	150	index. A larger proportion of women in group 2 (increased levels of systemic oestrogen due
19 20	151	to postmenopausal HT) had high income and a tertiary level of education (table 1).
21 22	152	
23 24 25	153	Oestrogen augmentation protects against death due to COVID-19
25 26 27	154	Pharmaceutically decreasing systemic oestrogen levels increased the odds of dying due to
28 29	155	COVID-19 (group 1: odds ratio [OR] 2.35, 95% confidence intervals [CI] 1.51 - 3.65), but
30 31 32	156	following adjustment for confounders this association was no longer significant (figure 2).
32 33 34	157	Interestingly, augmentation of systemic oestrogen levels decreased the odds of dying due to
35 36	158	COVID-19, with OR 0.45 (95% CI 0.34 - 0.6), and this result remained significant even after
37 38	159	adjustment for confounders (OR 0.47, 95% CI 0.34 - 0.63). The absolute risk (AR) of dying
39 40 41	160	was 4.6% for the control group vs. 10.1% and 2.1% for the groups with decreased and
42 43	161	increased oestrogen, respectively.
44 45	162	
46 47	163	As expected, higher age and wCCI increased the odds of dying due to COVID-19. For every
48 49 50	164	year increase in age the odds of dying were 1.15 (95% CI 1.14-1.17), and for every increase
51 52	165	in wCCI the odds of dying were 1.13 (95% CI 1.10-1.16) (figure 2). Furthermore, low
53 54	166	income and having only primary education were also factors that increased the odds of dying
55 56 57	167	due to COVID-19 (figure 2).
58 59		

1		
2 3 4	168	Discussion
5 6	169	Principal findings
7 8 9	170	The major finding of this nationwide registry-based study is that pharmaceutical
10 11	171	augmentation of oestrogen levels is associated with decreased odds of death due to COVID-
12 13 14	172	19 in postmenopausal women.
14 15 16	173	
17 18	174	Comparison with related studies
19 20 21	175	There are several possible biological explanations for the lower risk experienced by women.
21 22 23	176	These include mechanisms directly involved in viral internalization and reproduction, where
24 25	177	oestrogen has been shown to decrease expression of vital proteins such as ACE2 and
26 27	178	TMPRSS2 9-11, inherent sex-linked differences in the immune system, and direct oestrogen
28 29 30	179	effects ¹² . As an example, Kalidhindi et al have studied the effect of testosterone and
31 32	180	oestrogen on ACE2, a key cell entry for SARS-CoV-2 virus, using <i>in vitro</i> experiments on
33 34	181	isolated human airway smooth muscle cells of male and female origin ¹³ . Most interestingly,
35 36 37	182	they show that cells exposed to oestrogen and testosterone behave differently, as testosterone
38 39	183	significantly upregulates ACE2 expression in cells from both sexes, whereas oestrogen
40 41	184	downregulates ACE2 ¹³ . ACE2 expression and differences in its expression in relation to sex
42 43	185	could also be linked to the higher mortality in relation to hypertension, venous
44 45 46	186	thromboembolism and SARS-CoV-2 infection between men and women ¹⁴ . Our findings are
47 48	187	also supported by <i>in vitro</i> studies where 17β-oestradiol treatment reduced SARS-CoV-2 viral
49 50	188	load ⁹ . Previous experimental studies in mice on SARS-CoV have, moreover, shown that
51 52 53	189	female mice are less susceptible to infection and that this protection was lost upon
54 55	190	oophorectomy, thus indicating a direct protective role of oestrogen signalling ¹⁵ . Furthermore,
56 57	191	Barh et al., using a multiomics approach on SARS-CoV-2-infected host interactome,
58 59 60	192	proteome, transcriptome, and bibliome datasets, demonstrated that oestrogen modulation

could be a potential therapeutic option in COVID-19¹⁶. Our results are in line with those by Seeland et al using real world evidence from multiple institutions and the TriNetX platform. They found by using propensity score matched analysis of data for women aged 50 and above with COVID-19 (n=439), that there was a survival benefit for oestradiol hormone-users versus non-users (OR 0.33 (95%CI 0.18-0.62)). Although based on a large real-world dataset the risk of selection bias was more difficult to discern since the cohort was neither population-based nor adjusted for central confounders although likely mitigated by the propensity score matched analysis ¹⁷. In our study the effect of increased systemic oestrogen levels on reducing the risk of COVID-19 death remained significant after adjusting for education level and income, both factors known to influence COVID-19 outcome ¹⁸, further supporting the protective role of oestrogen in women. Adjusting for income and education is important as we have previously shown the how these affect the risk of dying due to COVID-19 in Sweden ¹⁹.

The hypothetical inverse, a worsening effect of reduced systemic oestrogen levels in women with a previous BC receiving adjuvant endocrine therapy, was initially significant but not after adjusting for confounders. This population differs from the control group in that they all have been diagnosed with BC and it has been shown that patients, both men and women, with any cancer are harder hit by COVID-19²⁰. However, in a previous study BC patients were shown to be healthier compared with the background population in terms of ischemic cardiac disease and CCI²¹, and the wCCI adjustments may therefore overcompensate for this cancer-related vulnerability. Although not significant, a trend towards worse outcome remained and thus a larger population of BC patients on endocrine therapy is likely needed to verify the finding. Thus, this study cannot exclude an increased risk of death from COVID-19 if systemic oestrogen levels are pharmaceutically decreased.

1 2		
2 3 4	218	Strengths and limitations
5 6	219	The strengths of this study are that this is a nationwide cohort in a country with high COVID-
/ 8 9	220	19 incidence using well validated registry data. A weakness is that the level of oestrogen
10 11	221	modulation cannot be exactly measured in each individual, and that the number of BC
12 13	222	women on anti-oestrogen medication ended up being too small to show significance although
14 15 16	223	there was a clear trend. Furthermore, we do not have data on the exact duration of
17 18	224	postmenopausal HT for the individuals. The postmenopausal HT group, however, proved
19 20	225	large enough to show the clear protective effect. A further limitation is that confounding
21 22 23	226	factors such as body mass index (BMI), nutrition and smoking habits are not available in the
24 25	227	nationwide registry data.
26 27 28	228	
28 29 30	229	Implications and conclusion
31 32	230	This study shows an association between oestrogen levels and COVID-19 death.
33 34 35	231	Consequently, drugs increasing oestrogen levels may have a role in therapeutic efforts to
36 37	232	alleviate COVID-19 severity in post-menopausal women and could be studied in randomized
38 39	233	control trials.
40 41 42		
43 44		
45 46		
47 49		
48 49		
50 51		
52		
53 54		
55		
56 57		
58		
59 60		
00		

2		
3 4	234	Acknowledgments:
5 6	235	We would like to thank Wolfgang Lohr for data management and Dr- Chloé Jacquet for
7 8 0	236	helping us design figure 2.
9 10 11	237	
12 13	238	Funding:
14 15	239	This study was funded by:
16 17 18	240	AMFC: Central ALF-funding, Region Västerbotten (RV-836351), Base unit ALF-funding
19 20	241	(RV-939769); Strategic Funding during 2020 from the Department of Clinical Microbiology,
21 22	242	Umeå University; Stroke Research in Northern Sweden; and Molecular Infection Medicine
23 24 25	243	Sweden (MIMS).
26 27	244	AJ: the Knut and Alice Wallenberg Foundation
28 29	245	
30 31 32	246	Contributors
33 34	247	MS and KW conceptualized the study. MS and AMFC designed the study. OFR and AMFC
35 36	248	prepared the study data. OFR performed the statistical analysis. MS, OFR, KW, AJ and
37 38	249	AMFC all contributed to interpretation of the results. MS wrote the first draft of the
40 41	250	manuscript. MS, OFR, KW, AJ and AMFC contributed to critical revision of the manuscript.
42 43	251	MS, OFR, KW, AJ and AMFC approved the final manuscript. AMFC is the guarantor of this
44 45	252	study. The corresponding author attests that all authors meet the criteria for authorship and
40 47 48	253	that all have been included.
49 50	254	
51 52	255	Competing interests
53 54 55	256	All authors have completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form at
56 57	257	www.icmje.org/coi_disclosure.pdf and declare: no support from any organisation for the
58 59 60	258	submitted work, no financial relationships with any organisations that might have an interest

1

npeting interests authors have completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form at w.icmje.org/coi disclosure.pdf and declare: no support from any organisation for the nitted work, no financial relationships with any organisations that might have an interest 13 For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

Page 15 of 22

1

BMJ Open

2 3 4	259	in the submitted work in the previous three years, and no other relationships or activities that
5 6	260	could appear to have influenced the submitted work.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	261	
	262	Ethical approval
	263	Ethical approval was obtained by the Swedish Ethical Review Authority (number 2020-
	264	02150)
17 18	265	
19 20	266	Data sharing
21 22 23	267	The study protocol (R script) is available upon request. The study used secondary registry
24 25	268	data that are regulated by the Public Access to Information and Secrecy Act (2009:400) and
26 27	269	are protected by strict confidentiality. For the purpose of research though, after formal
28 29 30	270	application to access personal data the responsible authority can grant access to data, though
31 32	271	this is contingent on vetting by the Ethical Review Authority of Sweden, according to the Act
33 34	272	(2003:460) concerning the Ethical Review of Research Involving Humans. This means that
 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 	273	the aggregated registry data cannot be shared.

1		
2		
3	275	
4		
5	276	References
6 7		
/ 8		
9	277	1. Williamson EJ, Walker AJ, Bhaskaran K, et al. Factors associated with COVID-19-related death
10	278	using OpenSAFELY. <i>Nature</i> 2020;584(7821):430-36. doi: 10.1038/s41586-020-2521-4
11	279	2. Abate BB, Kassie AM, Kassaw MW, et al. Sex difference in coronavirus disease (COVID-19): a
12	280	systematic review and meta-analysis. BMJ Open 2020;10(10):e040129. doi:
13	281	10.1136/bmjopen-2020-040129 [published Online First: 2020/10/09]
14	282	3. Alghamdi IG, Hussain, II, Almalki SS, et al. The pattern of Middle East respiratory syndrome
15	283	coronavirus in Saudi Arabia: a descriptive epidemiological analysis of data from the Saudi
16	284	Ministry of Health. Int J Gen Med 2014;7:417-23. doi: 10.2147/IJGM.S67061 [published
17	285	Online First: 2014/09/05]
18	286	4. Leong HN, Earnest A, Lim HH, et al. SARS in Singaporepredictors of disease severity. Ann Acad
19	287	Med Singap 2006:35(5):326-31. [published Online First: 2006/07/11]
20	288	5. Karlberg J. Chong DS. Lai WY. Do men have a higher case fatality rate of severe acute respiratory
21 22	289	syndrome than women do? Am J Epidemiol 2004:159(3):229-31. doi: 10.1093/aie/kwh056
22	290	[published Online First: 2004/01/27]
23	291	6 Sung H. Ferlay I. Siegel RI. et al. Global cancer statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence
25	292	and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. CA Cancer I Clin 2021 doi:
26	202	10 3322/caac 21660
27	201	7 Delgado BL Lopez-Oieda W. Estrogen StatPearls Treasure Island (EL)2021
28	294	7. Delgado BJ, Edpez-Ojeda W. Estrogen. Statreans. Treasure Island (TE/2021.
29	295	8. Luuvigsson JF, Appenos P, Asking J, et al. Adaptation of the Chanson Comorbidity index for
30	296	
31	297	
32	298	9. Lemes RIVIR, Costa AJ, Bartolomeo CS, et al. 17beta-estradiol reduces SARS-CoV-2 infection in
33	299	vitro. <i>Physiol Rep</i> 2021;9(2):e14/0/. doi: 10.14814/phy2.14/0/
34 25	300	10. Stelzig KE, Canepa-Escaro F, Schiliro M, et al. Estrogen regulates the expression of SARS-CoV-2
36	301	receptor ACE2 in differentiated airway epithelial cells. Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol
37	302	2020;318(6):L1280-L81. doi: 10.1152/ajplung.00153.2020
38	303	11. Young MJ, Clyne CD, Chapman KE. Endocrine aspects of ACE2 regulation: RAAS, steroid
39	304	hormones and SARS-CoV-2. <i>J Endocrinol</i> 2020;247(2):R45-R62. doi: 10.1530/JOE-20-0260
40	305	[published Online First: 2020/09/24]
41	306	12. Klein SL, Flanagan KL. Sex differences in immune responses. Nat Rev Immunol 2016;16(10):626-
42	307	38. doi: 10.1038/nri.2016.90
43	308	13. Kalidhindi RSR, Borkar NA, Ambhore NS, et al. Sex steroids skew ACE2 expression in human
44	309	airway: a contributing factor to sex differences in COVID-19? Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol
45	310	Physiol 2020;319(5):L843-L47. doi: 10.1152/ajplung.00391.2020 [published Online First:
46	311	2020/10/01]
47 70	312	14. La Vignera S, Cannarella R, Condorelli RA, et al. Sex-Specific SARS-CoV-2 Mortality: Among
40 40	313	Hormone-Modulated ACE2 Expression, Risk of Venous Thromboembolism and
50	314	Hypovitaminosis D. Int J Mol Sci 2020;21(8) doi: 10.3390/ijms21082948 [published Online
51	315	First: 2020/04/26]
52	316	15. Channappanavar R, Fett C, Mack M, et al. Sex-Based Differences in Susceptibility to Severe Acute
53	317	Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus Infection. <i>J Immunol</i> 2017:198(10):4046-53. doi:
54	318	10.4049/iimmunol.1601896 [published Online First: 2017/04/05]
55	319	16. Barh D. Tiwari S. Weener MF. et al. Multi-omics-based identification of SARS-CoV-2 infection
56	320	biology and candidate drugs against COVID-19 Comput Riol Med 2020:126:104051 doi:
57	321	10 1016/i comphiamed 2020 104051
58	321	17 Seeland II Coluzzi F. Simmaco M. et al. Evidence for treatment with estradiol for women with
59	372	SARS-CoV-2 infection RMC Med 2020.18(1).260 doi: 10.1126/c12016_020_01951 -
60	525	JUIJ-COA-T IIIICCIIOII. DIVIC IVICA 2020,10(1).303. AOI. 10.1100/212310-020-01031-2

1 2		
3 ⊿	324	18. Drefahl S, Wallace M, Mussino E, et al. A population-based cohort study of socio-demographic
5	325 326	risk factors for COVID-19 deaths in Sweden. <i>Nat Commun</i> 2020;11(1):5097. doi: 10.1038/s41467-020-18926-3
6 7	320	19. Gustafsson PE, San Sebastian M, Fonseca-Rodriguez O, et al. Inequitable impact of infection:
8	328	social gradients in severe COVID-19 outcomes among all confirmed SARS-CoV-2 cases during
9 10	329 330	the first pandemic wave in Sweden. <i>J Epidemiol Community Health</i> 2021 doi: 10.1136/jech- 2021-216778 [published Online First: 2021/09/17]
11	331	20. Zhang H, Han H, He T, et al. Clinical Characteristics and Outcomes of COVID-19-Infected Cancer
12 13	332	Patients: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. J Natl Cancer Inst 2020 doi:
14	333 334	10.1093/jnci/djaa168 21. Wennstig AK, Wadsten C, Garmo H, et al. Long-term risk of ischemic heart disease after adjuvant
15 16	335	radiotherapy in breast cancer: results from a large population-based cohort. Breast Cancer
17	336	<i>Res</i> 2020;22(1):10. doi: 10.1186/s13058-020-1249-2
18 19	337	
20		
21 22		
23		
24 25		
26		
27 28		
29		
30 31		
32 33		
34		
35 36		
37		
38 39		
40		
41 42		
43		
44 45		
46		
47 48		
49 50		
51		
52		
55		
55 56		
57		
58 50		
72		

Figure legends

Figure 1: Flow chart of the study

- Figure 2: Oestrogen augmentation is associated with decreased odds of dying due to COVID-
- 19. Crude and adjusted logistic regression models. Statistical significance: *p < 0.05, **p < 0.05

0.01, ***p < 0.001). OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence intervals; wCCI, weighted Charlson

Comorbidity Index.

v ariable		Native oestrogen (control group)	Decreased oestrogen (group 1)	Augmented oestrogen (group 2)	p-valu
Total N (%)		11923 (81.2)	227 (1.5)	2535 (17.3)	
Deaths	No	11377 (95.4)	204 (89.9)	2481 (97.9)	< 0.001
	Yes	546 (4.6)	23 (10.1)	54 (2.1)	-
Age	Mean (SD)	61.2 (8.3)	64.4 (8.9)	60.9 (7.7)	< 0.001
wCCI	Mean (SD)	1.4 (2.4)	5.0 (3.3)	1.6 (2.5)	< 0.001
Income quintiles, n (%)	Richest	3422 (28.7)	64 (28.2)	937 (37.0)	< 0.001
	Rich	2743 (23.0)	42 (18.5)	605 (23.9)	-
	Middle	2120 (17.8)	35 (15.4)	404 (15.9)	-
	Poor	1703 (14.3)	47 (20.7)	334 (13.2)	-
	Poorest	1903 (16.0)	39 (17.2)	253 (10.0)	-
	Missing	32 (0.3)	0 (0)	2 (0.1)	-
Education, n (%)	Tertiary	4186 (35.1)	82 (36.1)	1074 (42.4)	< 0.001
	Secondary	5609 (47.0)	97 (42.7)	1150 (45.4)	-
	Primary	1882 (15.8)	45 (19.8)	290 (11.4)	-
	Missing	246 (2.1)	3 (1.3)	21 (0.8)	-
		~			-
wCCI: Weighted Charlson C	omorbidity Inde	x. SD standard	deviation		
wCCI: Weighted Charlson C	omorbidity Inde	x. SD standard	deviation		



1		
2		
З		
5		
4		
5		
2		
6		
7		
0		
8		
9		
10		
10		
11		
12		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
17		
18		
10		
19		
20		
21		
21		
22		
23		
24		
24		
25		
26		
20		
27		
28		
20		
29		
30		
21		
31		
32		
22		
22		
34		
35		
55		
36		
37		
20		
38		
39		
40		
40		
41		
42		
12		
43		
44		
45		
45		
46		
17		
4/		
48		
<u>40</u>		
+7		
50		
51		
51		
52		
F 2		

60

	Dead	Alive		Crude OR(95%CI)		Adjusted OR(95%CI)
Groups, n(%)						
Native oestrogen (control)	546 (4.6)	11377 (95.4)	•	1(ref)	•	1(ref)
Oestrogen decrease (group 1)	23 (10.1)	204 (89.9)	H	2.35 (1.51-3.65)***	·	1.21 (0.74-1.98)
Augmented oestrogen (group 2)) 54 (2.1)	2481 (97.9)	•	0.45 (0.34-0.60)***		0.47 (0.34-0.63)***
Age in years	· · · /			. ,		
Mean(SD)	73.2 (6.4)	60.7 (7.9)	•	1.19 (1.18-1.21)***	•	1.15 (1.14-1.17)***
wCCI		· ,		, ,		. ,
Mean(SD)	3.8 (3.1)	1.4 (2.4)		1.27 (1.24-1.30)***	•	1.13 (1.10-1.16)***
Income quintile, n(%)	. ,	· /		, ,		
Richest	47 (1.1)	4376 (98.9)	•	1(ref)	•	1(ref)
Rich	44 (1.3)	3346 (98.7)	-	1.22 (0.81-1.85)		1.14 (0.74-1.74)
Middle	75 (2.9)	2484 (97.1)		2.81 (1.95-4.06)***		1.64 (1.11-2.42)*
Poor	198 (9.5)	1886 (90.5)		9.77 (7.08-13.50)***		2.44 (1.71-3.47)***
Poorest	258 (11.8)	1937 (88.2)		12.40 (9.05-17.00)***		2.79 (1.96-3.98)***
Education, n(%)				. ,		, ,
Tertiary	114 (2.1)	5228 (97.9)	•	1(ref)	•	1(ref)
Secondary	241 (3.5)	6615 (96.5)	-	1.67 (1.33-2.09)***	→ •→	1.15 (0.90-1.47)
Primary	229 (10.3)	1988 (89.7)	H H H	5.28 (4.20-6.65)***		1.40 (1.07-1.81)*

0 5 10 15 20 Crude OR (95% CI)

0 1 2 3 4 Adjusted OR (95% CI)

Figure 2

393x152mm (600 x 600 DPI)

STROBE Statement—	-Checklist of	f items tha	t should b	e included	in reports of	f <i>cohort studies</i>
					1	

	Item No	Recommendation
Title and abstract	1	(a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the
	-	abstract.
		"Association between pharmaceutical modulation of oestrogen in postmenopausal
		women in Sweden with death due to COVID-19 – a cohort study". Page 1, line 1-2
		(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was
		done and what was found. Page 3-4, line 28-62
Introduction		
Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being
		reported. Page 5, lines 75-90.
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses. Page 5, lines 87-
-		90
Methods		
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper. Page 6-7, lines 91-134
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of
		recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection. Page 6, lines 96-114
Participants	6	(a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of
		participants. Describe methods of follow-up. Page 6, lines 96-114
		(b) For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and
		unexposed. Not applicable.
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and
		effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable. Page 7, lines 116-126
Data sources/	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of
measurement		assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there
		is more than one group. Page 6-7, lines 91-134
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias. Not applicable.
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at. Page 6-7, lines 91-134
Quantitative variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable,
		describe which groupings were chosen and why. Page 6-7, lines 91-134
Statistical methods	12	(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for
		confounding. Page 7, lines 128-134
		(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions. Page 6-7,
		lines 91-134
		(c) Explain how missing data were addressed. Case only method.
		(d) If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed. Not applicable.
		(e) Describe any sensitivity analyses. Not applicable.
Results		
Participants	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially
		eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study,
		completing follow-up, and analysed. Page 8, lines 135-142
		(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage. Not applicable.
		(c) Consider use of a flow diagram. Figure 1.
Descriptive data	14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and
		information on exposures and potential confounders. Page 8, lines 135-142, Table
		1

BMJ Open

		(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest.
		Table 1.
		(c) Summarise follow-up time (eg, average and total amount). Not applicable.
Outcome data	15*	Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time. Page 8, lines
		144-158.
Main results	16	(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates
		and their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders
		were adjusted for and why they were included. Page 8, lines 144-158. Figure 2.
		(b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized. Not
		applicable.
		(c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a
		meaningful time period. Page 8, lines 150-152.
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done-eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and
		sensitivity analyses. Page 8, lines 154-158.
Discussion		
Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives. Page 9, lines 160-163.
Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or
		imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias. Page 11,
		lines 209-217.
Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations,
		multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence.
		Page 11, lines 219-223.
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results. Page 9-10,
		lines 165-207
Other information		
Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if
		applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based. Page 12,
		lines 228-234.
		4

*Give information separately for exposed and unexposed groups.

Note: An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at http://www.plosmedicine.org/, Annals of Internal Medicine at http://www.annals.org/, and Epidemiology at http://www.epidem.com/). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at http://www.strobe-statement.org.