### **Reviewer Report**

Title: A chromosome-level genome assembly and annotation of the desert horned lizard, Phrynosoma platyrhinos, provides insight into chromosomal rearrangements among reptiles

**Version: Revision 1 Date:** 10/14/2021

**Reviewer name: Tonia Schwartz** 

### **Reviewer Comments to Author:**

The authors have done a good job with the revision. I only have a few points that I would like to emphasize and suggest they address further.

- 1. In comparison to BUSCO results from other recent squamate genomes (see Figure 2d in https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2021.09.28.462146v1.full for a comparison) the BUSCO results from this genome assembly suggest it is not considerably high quality assembly (relatively). The 16% missing and only 64% complete BUSCOs suggest this assembly is relatively incomplete and is a lower quality assembly (more fragmented) than many of the other squamate genomes. This should be explained and discussed in the text, particularly in how this may affect their conclusions about defining the microchromosomes, their synteny analysis, and their pathway analysis.
- 2. It should be further emphasized throughout that the microchromosome naming designations are putative (and very possibly may not correspond to the karyotype) due to (1) the incomplete nature of the assembly, (2) the splitting of scaffolds into microchromosomes based on bioinformatic predictions, (3) and their naming based on length when some of their lengths are very similar (many less then 200,000 bp difference, Table S1).
- 3. Line 181, I think you are missing a number for the upper limit. To define the 14 scaffolds that are > 6mb and < #?
- 4. Line 193, I think 'm' is supposed to be a number here.

## Methods

Are the methods appropriate to the aims of the study, are they well described, and are necessary controls included? Choose an item.

#### **Conclusions**

Are the conclusions adequately supported by the data shown? Choose an item.

# **Reporting Standards**

Does the manuscript adhere to the journal's guidelines on <u>minimum standards of reporting?</u> Choose an item.

Choose an item.

### **Statistics**

Are you able to assess all statistics in the manuscript, including the appropriateness of statistical tests used? Choose an item.

## **Quality of Written English**

Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript: Choose an item.

# **Declaration of Competing Interests**

Please complete a declaration of competing interests, considering the following questions:

- Have you in the past five years received reimbursements, fees, funding, or salary from an
  organisation that may in any way gain or lose financially from the publication of this manuscript,
  either now or in the future?
- Do you hold any stocks or shares in an organisation that may in any way gain or lose financially from the publication of this manuscript, either now or in the future?
- Do you hold or are you currently applying for any patents relating to the content of the manuscript?
- Have you received reimbursements, fees, funding, or salary from an organization that holds or has applied for patents relating to the content of the manuscript?
- Do you have any other financial competing interests?
- Do you have any non-financial competing interests in relation to this paper?

If you can answer no to all of the above, write 'I declare that I have no competing interests' below. If your reply is yes to any, please give details below.

## No

I agree to the open peer review policy of the journal. I understand that my name will be included on my report to the authors and, if the manuscript is accepted for publication, my named report including any attachments I upload will be posted on the website along with the authors' responses. I agree for my report to be made available under an Open Access Creative Commons CC-BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). I understand that any comments which I do not wish to be included in my named report can be included as confidential comments to the editors, which will not be published.

Choose an item.

To further support our reviewers, we have joined with Publons, where you can gain additional credit to further highlight your hard work (see: https://publons.com/journal/530/gigascience). On publication of this paper, your review will be automatically added to Publons, you can then choose whether or not to claim your Publons credit. I understand this statement.

Yes Choose an item.