### GigaScience

# Fungal and ciliate protozoa are the main rumen microbes associated with methane emissions in dairy cattle --Manuscript Draft--

Manuscript Number:	GIGA-D-21-00239R2			
Full Title:	Fungal and ciliate protozoa are the main rumen microbes associated with methane emissions in dairy cattle			
Article Type:	Research			
Funding Information:	Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad (RTA2015-00022-C03-02)	Not applicable		
	INIA: Instituto Nacional de Investigacion y Tecnologia Agraria y Alimentaria (reference FPI-SGIT2016-06)	Mr Adrián López-García		
	Ministerio de Ciencia, Innovación y Universidades (RTI2018-096487-RC33)	Not applicable		
Abstract:	Background: Mitigating the effects of global for humanity in the last decades. Livestock is emissions, with an important output of meth mostly in ruminants. As ruminal microbiota is processes and methane biosynthesis, under between rumen microorganisms and their a reducing emissions. This study analyzed wh and considering its compositional nature in microbes in methane emissions. Results: The beta-diversity analyses sugges production and overall microbiota compositi abundance analysis identified 36 genera an methane production ( P adj <0.05). Those production were Eukaryota from Alveolata a associated to low methane emissions. The two clusters grouping Eukaryota and Bacter functions, 41 KEGGs resulted to be differen emission animals, and were mainly involved included in the methane metabolism pathwa to high methane emissions. The KEGG net KEGGs associated to high emissions, low e either of them. A deeper analysis of the diffe genes related with anaerobic respiration thr abundant in low emissions animals. Conclusions: Methane emissions are largely ciliate and fungi. The role of nitrate electron this respiration mechanism directly competer metagenome sequencing is necessary to jo Bacteria, Archaea and Eukaryota in the stat strategies to reduce CH 4 emissions shoul of Alveolata and Fungi in the rumen. This ex- ruminal metagenomic dataset currently ava	warming has become the main challenge farming contributes to greenhouse gas ane from enteric fermentation processes, is directly involved in digestive fermentation rstanding the ecological relationships ctive metabolic pathways is essential for hole rumen metagenome using long reads order to disentangle the role of rumen sted an association between methane on $(0.01 < R 2 < 0.02)$ . Differential d 279 KEGGs as significantly associated to a genera associated to high methane and Fungi clades, while Bacteria were genus-level association network showed ria, respectively. Regarding microbial gene tially abundant between low and high d in metabolic pathways. No KEGGs ay (ko00680) were detected as associated work showed three clusters grouping emissions and not differentially abundant in erentially abundant KEGGs revealed that ough nitrate degradation were more y associated to the relative abundance of acceptors can be particularly important as as with methanogenesis. Therefore, whole intly consider relative abundance of istical analyses. Nutritional and genetic d focus on reducing the relative abundance xperiment has generated the largest ONT ilable.		
Corresponding Author:	Oscar Gonzalez-Recio INIA: Instituto Nacional de Investigacion y T Madrid, Ma SPAIN	ecnologia Agraria y Alimentaria		
Corresponding Author Secondary Information:				
Corresponding Author's Institution:	INIA: Instituto Nacional de Investigacion y T	ecnologia Agraria y Alimentaria		
Corresponding Author's Secondary Institution:				

First Author:	Adrián López-García
First Author Secondary Information:	
Order of Authors:	Adrián López-García
	Alejandro Saborío-Montero
	Mónica Gutiérrez-Rivas
	Raquel Atxaerandio
	Idoia Goiri
	Aser García-Rodríguez
	José A. Jiménez-Montero
	Carmen González
	Javier Tamames
	Fernando Puente-Sánchez
	Magdalena Serrano
	Rafael Carrasco
	Cristina Óvilo
	Oscar Gonzalez-Recio
Order of Authors Secondary Information:	
Response to Reviewers:	herewith, we re-submit our manuscript entitled "FUNGAL AND CILIATE PROTOZOA ARE THE MAIN RUMEN MICROBES ASSOCIATED WITH METHANE EMISSIONS IN DAIRY CATTLE", to be considered for publication in GigaScience. The manuscript was previously submitted to GigaScience with manuscript ID: GIGA-D- 21-00239. The manuscript was reviewed by three reviewers. Two of them recommended acceptance, whereas only one rejection. Unfortunately, the final decision was rejection. However, you kindly opened the door for a resubmission of the manuscript if we could address this reviewer's concerns in a full cover letter. Hence, we took this opportunity and resubmit the manuscript explaining that we strongly disagree with the reasons given by the reviewer that led to rejection of the manuscript: 1)the reviewer stated that the way we annotated genes is not correct. He said "I do not think it is at all clear that a DIAMOND search against the NR database (which is full of errors) will accurately reconstruct rumen taxonomy, nor do I believe that a DIAMOND search against KEGG or COG will reconstruct rumen function". And he provided some reference as example on how to properly do the search. Our method is actually the same procedure as the one used in the references he provided as an example on how to do this (which he actually coauthored): (https://www.nature.com/articles/s41467-018- 03317-6). In this manuscript they stated: "Proteins were predicted using Prodigal (v.2.6.3) with option '-p meta'. Using DIAMOND, each protein was searched against KEGG (downloaded on 15 September 2018), UniRef100, UniRef90 and UniRef50 (downloaded 3 October 2018), and CAZy (dbCAN2 version, 31 July 2018). The protein predictions were clustered by CD-HIT68(v.4.7) at 100%, 90% and 50% identity, mirroring similar methods at UniRef.". The reviewer assumed that the pipeline is not correct, but it actually follows the same procedure as the one cited by the reviewer as reference. The reviewer did not take the time to read how our pipeline works, which is fully described e
	2)The second concern of the reviewer was: "The data presented directly contradict

previous results (e.g.

	<ul> <li>https://brocgenomics.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s12864-015-2032-0) and so the authors need to ensure they are sound." The manuscript he provided used results based on 16S and 18S RNA amplicons using abundances from qPCR. I'm not going to give details on how troublesome using PCR products from different amplicons can be at comparing bacteria, archaea and protozoa. His manuscript also used the GREENGENES database which has not been properly updated in the last decade. On the other hand, our study is free from possible bias caused by PCR, because we are using a metagenomic approach which is PCR free, and can properly compare relative abundances of bacteria and eukaryotes. Our results are in agreement with other studies that do not find a clear relationship between methane emissions and archaea relative abundance in the rumen (e.g. Shi W et al. 2014 Methane yield phenotypes linked to differential gene expression in the sheep rumen microbiome. Genome Res. 2014; doi: 10.1101/gr.18245.113. and Aguinaga Casañas MA, et al 2015. Methyl-coenzyme M reductase A as an indicator to estimate methane production from dairy cows. J Dairy Sci. 2015; doi: 10.3168/jds.2015-9310).</li> <li>However, It must be pointed out that not-significant association does not imply absence of association, and therefore our results are in agreement with many other studies that reported an important role of fungi and protozoa with methane emissions, which we cited in our manuscript. For instances:</li> <li>Newbold CJ, et al. 2015. The role of ciliate protozoa in the rumen. Front Microbiol. 2015; doi:678 10.3389/fmicb.2015.01313.</li> <li>-Williams AC and Coleman GS. The Rumen Protozoa. New York, NY: Springer New York; and also Williams CL, et al. 2020. Rumen Protozoa. New York, NY: Springer New York;</li> <li>and also Williams CL, et al. 2020. Rumen Protozoa. New York, NY: Springer New York;</li> <li>and are actually in agreement with many other studies.</li> <li>3)Finally, Dr. Watson suggested in his review that we should use some</li></ul>
Additional Information:	Oscar Gonzalez-Recio, on the behalt of my coauthors and myself
	Response
Question	response
Are you submitting this manuscript to a special series or article collection?	No

Powered by Editorial Manager  ${\ensuremath{\mathbb R}}$  and ProduXion Manager  ${\ensuremath{\mathbb R}}$  from Aries Systems Corporation

Experimental design and statistics	Yes
Full details of the experimental design and statistical methods used should be given in the Methods section, as detailed in our Minimum Standards Reporting Checklist. Information essential to interpreting the data presented should be made available in the figure legends.	
Have you included all the information requested in your manuscript?	
Resources	Yes
A description of all resources used, including antibodies, cell lines, animals and software tools, with enough information to allow them to be uniquely identified, should be included in the Methods section. Authors are strongly encouraged to cite <u>Research Resource</u> <u>Identifiers</u> (RRIDs) for antibodies, model organisms and tools, where possible.	
Have you included the information requested as detailed in our <u>Minimum</u> <u>Standards Reporting Checklist</u> ?	
Availability of data and materials	Yes
All datasets and code on which the conclusions of the paper rely must be either included in your submission or deposited in <u>publicly available repositories</u> (where available and ethically appropriate), referencing such data using a unique identifier in the references and in the "Availability of Data and Materials" section of your manuscript.	
Have you have met the above requirement as detailed in our <u>Minimum</u> <u>Standards Reporting Checklist</u> ?	

## Fungal and ciliate protozoa are the main rumen microbes associated with methane emissions in dairy cattle.

- 1 Adrián López-García<sup>1</sup>, Alejandro Saborío-Montero<sup>1,2</sup>, Mónica Gutiérrez-Rivas<sup>1</sup>,
- 2 Raquel Atxaerandio<sup>3</sup>, Idoia Goiri<sup>3</sup>, Aser García-Rodríguez<sup>3</sup>, Jose A. Jiménez-
- 3 Montero<sup>4</sup>, Carmen González<sup>1</sup>, Javier Tamames<sup>5</sup>, Fernando Puente-Sánchez<sup>5</sup>,
- 4 Magdalena Serrano<sup>1</sup>, Rafael Carrasco<sup>6</sup>, Cristina Óvilo<sup>1</sup>, Oscar González-Recio<sup>1,7\*</sup>
- <sup>1</sup>Departamento de Mejora Genética Animal. Instituto Nacional de Investigación y
   Tecnología Agraria y Alimentaria, Crta. de la Coruña km 7.5, 28040 Madrid, Spain.
- 7 <sup>2</sup>Escuela de Zootecnia y Centro de Investigación en Nutrición Animal, Universidad de
- 8 Costa Rica, 11501, San José, Costa Rica
- 9 <sup>3</sup>NEIKER Instituto Vasco de Investigación y Desarrollo Agrario. Basque Research and
- 10 Technology Alliance (BRTA). Campus Agroalimentario de Arkaute s/n, 01192 Arkaute,
- 11 Spain.
- <sup>4</sup>Confederación de Asociaciones de Frisona Española (CONAFE). Ctra. de Andalucía km
   23600 Valdemoro, 28340 Madrid, Spain.
- <sup>5</sup>Departamento de Biología de Sistemas, Centro Nacional de Biotecnología, CSIC,
   Madrid, Spain
- <sup>6</sup>Departamento de Periodismo y Nuevos Medios. Universidad Complutense de Madrid,
   Ciudad Universitaria s/n, 28040 Madrid, Spain.
- <sup>7</sup>Departamento de Producción Agraria. Escuela Técnica Superior de Ingeniería
   Agronómica, Alimentaria y de Biosistemas. Universidad Politécnica de Madrid, Ciudad
- 20 Universitaria s/n, 28040 Madrid, Spain.
- 21
- 22 **\* Correspondence:**
- 23 Óscar González-Recio
- 24 gonzalez.oscar@inia.es
- 25 Keywords: dairy cattle, microbiome, rumen, methane, Nanopore, long reads.
- 26

#### 27 1 Abstract

28 Background: Mitigating the effects of global warming has become the main challenge for humanity in the last decades. Livestock farming contributes to greenhouse gas emissions, 29 30 with an important output of methane from enteric fermentation processes, mostly in 31 ruminants. As ruminal microbiota is directly involved in digestive fermentation processes 32 and methane biosynthesis, understanding the ecological relationships between rumen 33 microorganisms and their active metabolic pathways is essential for reducing emissions. 34 This study analyzed whole rumen metagenome using long reads and considering its 35 compositional nature in order to disentangle the role of rumen microbes in methane 36 emissions.

37 Results: The beta-diversity analyses suggested an association between methane production and overall microbiota composition ( $0.01 < R^2 < 0.02$ ). Differential abundance 38 39 analysis identified 36 genera and 279 KEGGs as significantly associated to methane production ( $P_{adj}$ <0.05). Those genera associated to high methane production were 40 41 Eukaryota from Alveolata and Fungi clades, while Bacteria were associated to low 42 methane emissions. The genus-level association network showed two clusters grouping 43 Eukaryota and Bacteria, respectively. Regarding microbial gene functions, 41 KEGGs 44 resulted to be differentially abundant between low and high emission animals, and were 45 mainly involved in metabolic pathways. No KEGGs included in the methane metabolism 46 pathway (ko00680) were detected as associated to high methane emissions. The KEGG 47 network showed three clusters grouping KEGGs associated to high emissions, low 48 emissions and not differentially abundant in either of them. A deeper analysis of the 49 differentially abundant KEGGs revealed that genes related with anaerobic respiration 50 through nitrate degradation were more abundant in low emissions animals.

2

51 Conclusions: Methane emissions are largely associated to the relative abundance of ciliate 52 and fungi. The role of nitrate electron acceptors can be particularly important as this 53 respiration mechanism directly competes with methanogenesis. Therefore, whole 54 metagenome sequencing is necessary to jointly consider relative abundance of Bacteria, 55 Archaea and Eukaryota in the statistical analyses. Nutritional and genetic strategies to 56 reduce CH<sub>4</sub> emissions should focus on reducing the relative abundance of Alveolata and 57 Fungi in the rumen. This experiment has generated the largest ONT ruminal metagenomic 58 dataset currently available.

#### 59 **2** Introduction

Next generation sequencing technologies have provided special relevance to microbial communities from different niches, as they allow identifying their taxonomic and functional profile. It has made possible to unravel the relationships between host and microbiota, as well as the complex interactions between microbes, with a special contribution to the role of digestive microbiome on complex traits both in humans [1] (e.g. type II diabetes, cancer, mental diseases) and in domestic animals [2,3] (e.g. feed efficiency, methane emissions, animal health).

67 Microbial communities are of special relevance in livestock. In ruminants, one of the 68 main microbial communities lays in the rumen, due to its high diversity and large 69 microbial mass [4] and its main role in feed fermentation to provide substrate to the 70 animal, which is then transformed into product. Additionally, enteric methane is produced 71 in the rumen by methanogenic microorganisms during feed fermentation [5] and is the 72 main contributor of greenhouse gases (GHG) from livestock, with 2.8 to 3.5 gigatonnes 73 of  $CO_2$ -equivalent ( $CO_2e$ ) per year [6,7]. The ongoing climate emergency urgently calls 74 for efficient strategies to mitigate the carbon footprint from all sectors, including 75 agriculture and livestock farming. Former studies have proven that complex traits in 76 ruminants are usually influenced by global changes in ruminal microbial communities, 77 more than by fluctuations in the abundance of specific microorganisms [8,9]. These 78 global changes are usually due to the intricate interactions between different species in 79 these communities (*i.e.*, predation, competition of ecological niche or co-dependency). 80 Consequently, a better understanding of the interactions between microbial genes during 81 methanogenesis is needed to propose strategies for reducing methane emissions. 82 Promising strategies have been proposed to modulate the metagenome, nutrition and 83 genetics [10].

Classical statistical approaches do not allow to accurately assess the results of microbiome studies. The high sparsity of these data and their compositional nature generate multiple problems in statistical analysis, including subcompositional incoherence, increase of false positive rates in differential abundance analyses and detection of spurious correlations [11].

89 As a consequence, new approaches considering both compositionality and multiple 90 correlations are needed. It is also important to point out the advantages of whole 91 metagenome sequencing over metataxonomic studies, because the latter cannot be used 92 to determine functionality and because they pose some difficulties at simultaneously 93 analyzing different superkingdoms [12], which is necessary to account for the total 94 variability of microbiomes and the interactions among their components. Different 95 amplicons must be used to correctly classify *Bacteria*, *Archaea*, *Protozoa* and *Fungi*, 96 increasing the cost of the studies and involving additional bias due to PCR [13]. They 97 pose the additional difficulty of a proper comparison between communities sequenced in 98 different reactions with different primers. Nanopore sequencing offers a cost-efficient 99 sequencing strategy for metagenomics studies providing both taxonomical and functional

information simultaneously and for microbes from all superkingdoms. This technology
has been improved in recent years, allowing to perform taxonomic and functional
assignments with an accuracy comparable to Illumina [14].

103 The objective of this study was to characterize the taxonomical and functional 104 composition of rumen microbiota using long sequence reads obtained with Nanopore 105 technology, and their relationship with enteric methane emission.

106 **3 Results** 

#### 107 **3.1 Taxonomy of microbial composition**

108 After initial selection of core taxonomy, 6,394,671 reads with N50 = 4,022 bp were 109 classified in 3,921 taxonomical features up to genus level. A filtering strategy was 110 implemented to exclude low abundance microbes while keeping the core microbiome 111 relevant for methane emissions. This process removed 48,517 reads (<1%) which reduced 112 the sparsity of the metagenome from 87% to 68%, although a large number of singleton 113 and doubleton features remained (Supplementary Figure 1). The final core 114 subcomposition included a total of 6,318,344 reads, in 437 samples, classified in 1,240 115 taxonomical features: 967 known genera (722 bacteria, 13 archaea and 232 eukaryotes), 116 and 273 that only reached family rank (i.e., Unclassified denomination). Overall, 503 117 families, 277 orders, 158 classes and 86 different phyla (37 bacterial phyla, 3 archaeal 118 phyla and 46 eukaryotic clades) were classified. Relative abundance (RA) distribution by superkingdoms and phyla is summarized below. 119

120 Predominant microorganisms in this core rumen subcomposition were bacteria (91.6%  $\pm$ 

121 6.93 of total average RA) from *Bacteroidetes*, *Firmicutes* and *Fibrobacteres* (**Figure 1**),

122 representing an average RA of 63%, 16% and 5%, respectively. The Bacteroidetes

123 fraction was majorly composed by *Prevotella*, and was the main representative genus in 124 the total community (19.4% average RA), along with other Prevotellaceae members. The 125 Firmicutes group included a large number of genera. The order of Clostridiales 126 dominated in terms of RA, with Lachnospiraceae and Ruminococcaceae families being 127 the most representative ones. The remaining phyla (34) from the *Bacteria* superkingdom 128 represented 7.6% averaged RA of the core metagenome. Eukaryotes represented a total 129 average RA of 8.2% (±6.95) of the core subcomposition. Predominant eukaryotic clades 130 were those included in the SAR supergroup (Stramenopiles-Alveolata-Rhizaria) [15], 131 accounting for 6% of total average RA, followed by Fungi (1.3% of total average RA). 132 Alveolata clade was the most abundant among the eukaryotes, with a high representation 133 of unclassified Ophryoscolecidae, Stentor and Paramecium. Archaea representation in 134 the core subcomposition  $(0.24\% \pm 0.25$  of total average RA) consisted mostly of 135 Methanomicrobia, Methanobacteria and Thermoplasmata members. Yet, a large number 136 of reads could not be assigned to a known genus. The relative abundance per animal of 137 the most relevant taxonomic groups is depicted in **Supplementary Figure 2**.

#### 138 **3.2 Functionality of microbial composition**

139 A total of 30,326,550 reads with N50 = 5,720 bp were assigned to KEGGs. After 140 prevalence filtering, a total of 84,219 reads (0.28%) were removed and the sparsity was 141 reduced from 72% to 39% (Supplementary Figure 1). The final KEGG table was 142 composed by 30,145,459 reads from 437 samples, classified in 6,644 KEGGs. These 143 KEGG pathways and BRITE hierarchies [16-18] were represented in a Treemap 144 according to their average RA (**Figure 2**). A 26% of the rumen metagenome functions 145 were in pathways that represent the metabolism of carbohydrate, amino acid and other 146 biological compounds, as well as of energy metabolism. In addition, 11% of functions 147 were involved in cellular generic processes (cell growth (3%), transport and catabolism (4%), or genetic and environmental information processing (23%)). KEGG BRITE
classification showed a high presence of proteins involved in cellular processes (36%)
and metabolism (26%).

#### 151 3.3 Beta-diversity and PERMANOVA analysis

152 Beta-diversity was represented in Principal Component Analysis (PCA) between samples 153 at five different taxonomic levels (phylum, class, order, family and genus), as well as with 154 KEGG, using centered log-ratio (CLR) transformed datasets. Then a permutational 155 analysis of variance (PERMANOVA) was implemented [11], sequentially adding the 156 effect of farm-batch (B), stage of lactation (SL), number of lactation (NL) and level of 157 methane emissions (CH4) discretized in four groups (LOW, L-MID, H-MID and HIGH). 158 The visualization did not show a clear visual clustering of samples by methane emission 159 levels (Figure 3). However, a generalized additive model (GAM) smooth fitting allowed 160 visualizing non-linear distribution patterns of the microbial samples according to CH<sub>4</sub> 161 emissions inside the ordination at all taxonomic levels. The non-linear pattern was more 162 evident at the phylum, class and genus levels, although the proportion of methane 163 variability explained was low ( $\simeq 4.8\%$  according to GAM model fitting). No relevant 164 differences were visually appreciated using the KEGG information. Nonetheless, some 165 differences in the overall rumen microbiome composition between animals with different 166 methane emissions were evidenced by the PERMANOVA analysis, both for taxonomy 167 and functionality (Table 1). The results showed significant differences for the centroid 168 distance between methane emission groups at every taxonomic level and also for KEGGs (P < 0.01), but they explained a low percentage of total variance  $(0.01 < R^2 < 0.02)$ . 169

#### 170 **3.4 Rumen microbes associated to CH4 emissions**

171 The effect of taxonomical features on methane emission levels was evaluated through 172 differential abundance analysis. Thirty-three genera were found as differentially abundant 173 (DA) ( $P_{adj} < 0.05$ ) between LOW and HIGH emitters (Figure 4A), while 15 genera 174 showed DA between LOW and H-MID emitters and one genus between LOW and L-175 MID emitters (Supplementary Data 1). Note that 13 out of the 15 genera showing DA 176  $(P_{adi} < 0.05)$  between LOW and H-MID groups were also significant in the LOW vs HIGH 177 contrast, but not in LOW vs L-MID contrast, indicating gradual abundance change from 178 low to high emitters. Accounting for all contrasts and duplicated genera, 36 DA genera 179 resulted significant. We classified these genera according to their respective 180 overabundance (OA) in the LOW or HIGH emissions groups. Thus, 10 of them were 181 more abundant in the LOW group (LOW-OA) and 1 in the L-MID group. The remaining 182 25 genera were OA in the HIGH groups (HIGH-OA): HIGH (12), HIGH and H-MID (11) 183 or H-MID (2). HIGH-OA genera represented an overall RA of 4.15%, whereas LOW-OA 184 genera accounted for 0.25% of total RA. The two genera over-abundant in H-MID were 185 Dictyostelium and Unclassified Eimeriidae, and the one associated to L-MID was 186 classified as Candidatus Izimaplasma (Tenericutes). The log<sub>2</sub>FC values ranged between 187 0.7 and -0.7 in genera showing DA for methane emission levels, highlighting that the 188 differences between groups were moderate.

Overall, DA results indicate that taxa associated to higher methane levels belong to the *Eukaryota* superkingdom, while those associated to lower emissions were bacteria. We found multiple *Ciliophora* genera associated to the HIGH group (mostly *Parameciidae*, *Stentoridae* and *Pseudocohnilembidae* members) but also *Amoebozoa* and some *Fungi* or pseudo-fungi. Other bacterial genera associated to lower methane production were *Hespellia*, from *Clostridiales*, and *Sutterella*, an asaccharolytic genus from *Betaproteobacteria*.

#### 196 **3.5** Microbial gene function associated to CH<sub>4</sub> emissions

197 Differential abundance analysis was also performed for KEGG features on methane 198 emission levels. A total of 192 KEGGs were DA between the LOW and HIGH emissions 199 groups (Figure 4B). Differences were also found between the LOW and H-MID groups 200 (Supplementary Data 1). As in the taxonomy dataset, some of the KEGGs presented 201 significant DA in both LOW vs HIGH and LOW vs H-MID contrasts. Accounting for 202 these duplicates and all the contrasts, 182 were over-abundant in the high emissions 203 groups (HIGH-OA), whereas 97 KEGGs were over-abundant in low emissions groups 204 (LOW-OA). The overall RA for HIGH-OA KEGGs was 2.31% and 0.64% for LOW-OA 205 KEGGs. Of these, 13 HIGH-OA KEGGs and 28 LOW-OA KEGGs were assigned to 206 metabolic pathways. No KEGGs from the ko00680 pathway were found as HIGH-OA. 207 KEGGs related to inositol-phosphate metabolism (K00889, K01110, K18082 and 208 K20279), starch and sucrose metabolism (K01203) or several lipid metabolism pathways 209 were present in the HIGH-OA group. According to LOW-OA KEGGs, some of them 210 were involved in volatile fatty acid metabolism (e.g., K00209 enoyl-[acyl-carrier protein] 211 reductase [EC:1.3.1.9], K01902 succinyl-CoA synthetase alpha subunit [EC:6.2.1.5] and 212 K01682 aconitate hydratase 2 [EC:4.2.1.3]) and the K09251 putrescine aminotransferase 213 [EC:2.6.1.82] related to putrescine and cadaverine degradation to 4-amino-butanoate 214 (GABA) or 2-oxoglutarate. Also, several KEGGs in the LOW-OA group were related to 215 N metabolism (K00370 and K00371 nitrate reductase subunits [EC:1.7.5.1]), oxidative 216 phosphorylation (K03885 NADH dehydrogenase [EC:1.6.99.3]) and to carbohydrate, 217 lipid or vitamin metabolism pathways. The ko00680 KEGG K13788 was also over-218 abundant in the LOW emissions group.

219 **3.6 Co-abundance of genera and KEGGs** 

Interaction networks were built using the previous results in order to visualize the association between taxa and genes using pairwise correlations between features. Pairwise proportionality correlation coefficients ( $\rho_p$ ) were calculated on the CLRtransformed datasets for phylum, genus and KEGG features to mitigate the effect of spurious correlations that can potentially surge in compositional data [19].

The most relevant pairwise proportionalities between genera and between KEGGs were visualized as interaction networks, classifying features as associated to high methane emissions (HIGH), low methane emissions (LOW) or not associated to methane emissions (N/A), according to the results from the differential abundance analyses. The interaction networks for genera and KEGGs are shown in **Figure 5** and **Figure 6**, respectively.

231 Eukaryotes clustered together in the network with large representation of the SAR 232 supergroup, and showed negative proportionality to bacteria. The genera that were 233 associated to higher methane emissions belonged to the Eukaryota superkingdom 234 (Ciliophora and Fungi), whereas Bacteria were associated to lower CH<sub>4</sub> production. The 235 strongest inverse proportionalities between both subpopulations connected several eukaryotes with Unclassified Veillonellaceae and Oribacterium ( $-0.64 < \rho_p < -0.53$ ), 236 237 i.e., microbiomes with lower abundance of Oribacterium or Veillonellaceae tend to 238 present larger abundances of protozoa and fungi, and were therefore associated to larger 239 emissions. Unclassified microbes from Neocallimastigaceae, Oxytrichidae and 240 *Vibrionaceae* families showed the highest centrality and a large connectivity degree.

The functional network showed three main clusters that grouped KEGGs associated to HIGH methane level (cluster H), KEGGs not related to methane emissions (cluster N), and a small one including KEGGs associated to lower emissions (cluster L). Connections between clusters were not symmetric: H cluster was connected to N cluster by inverse proportionalities between some of their components, but the L cluster appeared connected only to N cluster by direct proportionalities through non-clustered KEGGs. Also, most of the ko00680 KEGGs (*i.e.*, directly involved in methanogenesis or participating in pathways leading to methanogenesis precursors) did not appear as differentially abundant between high-emission and low-emission cows.

#### 250 **3.7** Distribution of genes among clades

251 A traceback of genes' taxonomy was carried out, separately for ko00680 KEGGs and for 252 DA KEGGs. Thirty out of the 85 ko00680 KEGGs were predominant in Archaea groups, 253 one predominated in Eukaryota (K05979) and the rest were predominant in Bacteria 254 (Figure 7). Although the RA distribution of these KEGGs was normally between 60% 255 and 100% in the predominant superkingdom, 4 KEGGs were more evenly distributed 256 between clades: K01007 and K00863 had a RA < 60% in Bacteria and showed RA > 257 30% in Eukaryota; K05979 was the KEGG predominating in Eukaryota, but with a RA 258 near to 60% (38% in Bacteria and 12% in Archaea); and K14080 had a RA of 57% in 259 Archaea and 43% in Bacteria. Regarding the DA KEGGs, those from the LOW-OA 260 group showed larger abundance in Bacteria, mostly in genera from Proteobacteria, 261 Bacteroidetes and Firmicutes phyla. Different groups of bacteria also carried KEGGs 262 from the HIGH-OA group although these KEGGs were more abundant in eukaryotes. 263 The HIGH-OA KEGGs were mainly mapped to unclassified eukaryotes, but those which 264 could be classified belonged majorly to *Fungi* and *SAR* supergroup (**Figure 8**).

#### 265 **4 Discussion**

In this study we assessed the composition of the ruminal microbiota using long reads from
Nanopore sequencing technology. We observed predominance of *Bacteroidetes*,

11

268 Firmicutes and Fibrobacteres, as reported in previous studies [8,20]. Bacteroidetes and 269 Firmicutes are common bacteria in all kind of ecosystems, including gut microbiota of 270 multiple animals. The fraction of *Bacteroidetes* was mainly composed by *Prevotella*, a 271 group of anaerobic gram-negative bacteria involved in saccharolytic processes [21]. Their 272 large abundance in the digestive microbiota has been previously reported in ruminant 273 [22–26] and monogastric species [27,28]. *Firmicutes* were less abundant, with a more 274 diverse distribution of genera. Fibrobacteres, a small group of cellulose-degrading 275 bacteria usually present in ruminant digestive system [29], was mainly represented by the 276 *Fibrobacter* genus. Eukaryotes also represented a relevant amount of the rumen core 277 metagenome. This group has been reported to contribute up to 50% of total ruminal 278 biomass [30]. The SAR supergroup and Fungi were the most relevant ones, which are 279 found in a wide variety of ruminants and pseudoruminants [15,31]. Other eukaryotes 280 included *Stentor* and *Paramecium*, the former are aquatic free-living heterotricheans 281 which can be particle filtrators or predators of other protozoa and live symbiotically with 282 some algae species [32,33], whereas the latter are well-known ciliates which predate 283 bacteria and other microorganisms, including protozoa [34]. Archaeal fraction was mostly 284 from Methanomicrobia composed by strict methanogenic organisms and 285 Methanobacteria clades [35], but also included Thermoplasmata, which are 286 methylotrophic-methanogenic acidophilic organisms [36].

287 The DA analysis showed that ciliates, fungi and pseudo-fungi were more abundant in 288 cows with higher levels of methane emissions. Microbes associated to lower methane 289 class emissions saccharolytic members of Gammaproteobacteria were 290 (Anaerobiospirillum [37], Vibrio [38] or Pseudoalteromonas [39]), as well as 291 Veillonellaceae *Negativicutes* genera from (Dialister, *Megasphaera*) and 292 Selenomonadaceae (Mitsuokella). Dialister produce succinate decarboxylation, and

- 293 Megasphaera ferment carbohydrate and lactate [40], while Mitsuokella are saccharolytic
- bacteria [41]. The low-emissions ruminotype had larger abundance of *Proteobacteria* and
- 295 *Firmicutes* genera. Other authors also reported higher abundances of these bacterial phyla
- 296 in low methane emissions animals [8]. Lactate and succinate-producers have been
- 297 reported to be more abundant in low-emitters as well [42], supporting the higher
- 298 abundance of *Anaerobiospirillum* or *Megasphaera* in LOW animals.
- 299 Despite this association between methane and large taxonomic groups, it is of interest to
- 300 find out which specific clades and microbial genes are participating directly or indirectly
- 301 in methanogenesis. The genera co-abundance network showed a clear cluster of
- 302 eukaryotes, with many of them being significantly more abundant in the high emissions
- 303 group. Other authors have already established a positive correlation between fungi
- 304 abundance and methane emissions [8], as well as a close interdependence of protists and
- 305 fungi. Although correlation between methane emissions and protozoa abundances is still
- 306 under discussion [43,44], current meta-analyses point to a linear relationship between
- 307 protozoa abundance and methane emissions (r=0.96) [45].
- 308 Interestingly, no taxonomic group of methanogenic archaea showed association with 309 methane emissions. The relationship between Archaea and methane production in rumen 310 is not consistent in the literature. Some authors reported either individual relationships 311 between methane emissions and some archaeal species [46,47] or correlations between 312 overall archaeal gene abundance and methane emissions level [43,48]. However, other 313 studies showed no relationship between methanogenic Archaea and methane [47,49]. All 314 studies to date showed a low relative abundance of archaea in the rumen, compared to 315 eukaryotes and bacteria [50]. However, the association between the abundance of rumen 316 eukaryotes and methane emissions has been demonstrated through defaunation experiments, both in vitro [51,52] and in vivo [44,53], with lower emissions in defaunated 317

318 animals [54]. This has been attributed to the tight link existing between methanogenic 319 archaea abundance and some fungi and protozoa [50]. Specifically, ciliates and some 320 Chytridiomycota (e.g. Neocallimastix sp.) are known to symbiotically engulf a variety of 321 methanogenic archaea. They provide the archaea with substrate for methane production 322 from  $H_2$  produced in their hydrogenosomes, as well as protection against oxygen toxicity 323 [30,55,56]. Thus, free-living methanogens might represent a low fraction of microbial 324 population [45], and CH<sub>4</sub> biosynthesis might be more influenced by endosymbiotic 325 methanogens [55]. Hence, a larger methanogenesis activity is expected to be correlated 326 with a larger abundance of eukaryotes, especially ciliates, which are more abundant and 327 better represented. Another partial explanation for the low abundance of free archaea, and 328 thereby for the lack of association between Archaea and methane emissions in previous 329 studies [10], is that lysis of archaea cell walls often requires specific protocols during 330 DNA extraction, and they might be under-represented in metagenomics studies [57]. 331 In terms of Gene Ontology, the KEGGs were associated to several metabolic functions 332 and cellular processes (nutrient metabolism and biosynthesis, cellular transport, cell

333 growth or genetic information processing). Pathways related to pathogenic activity were334 also found, in agreement with the RA of several genera that include known pathogenic

also found, in agreement with the KA of several genera that mendee known pathogenic

species (e.g. Vibrio, Haemophilus, Trypanosoma or Staphylococcus) although not every

335

336 species from these genera are pathogenic, but opportunistic or commensal organisms.

Besides, pathogenic activity presence in our dataset might be biased due to a larger representation of human related diseases in the databases. The KEGGs were classified according to their presence or absence in ko00680 pathway (methane metabolism), as a way to evaluate their direct involvement in methanogenesis or an indirect involvement in pathways leading to biosynthesis of precursor compounds. Although we found several ko00680 KEGGs which are presumably involved in the biosynthesis of methanogenesis 343 precursors, most of them were not associated to methane emissions (*i.e.*, not differentially 344 abundant between methane groups). Most of these KEGGs were mainly present in 345 bacteria or eukaryotes and might be functioning in metabolic pathways not related to 346 methanogenesis. For instance, some of the KEGGs inside the methane metabolism 347 pathway can also be involved in glycine, serine and threonine metabolism (e.g. K00058, 348 K00831, K01079 and K00600), pyruvate and propanoate metabolism (e.g. K00625 and 349 K13788), glycolysis (e.g. K01689, K15633, K01624 and K02446) or anaerobic carbon 350 fixation (e.g. K00198) [16-18]. Another group of ko00680 KEGGs is exclusive from 351 Archaea, but the under-representation of this clade in our dataset might obscure statistical 352 significance.

353 Other detected KEGGs could be indirectly related with methanogenesis through 354 biosynthesis of precursor compounds. For instances, K00209 and K13788 are involved 355 in butyrate and propanoate biosynthesis, being essentially carried by primary 356 fermentative bacteria [58]. Then the volatile fatty acids can be used by secondary 357 fermenters to produce methanogenesis precursors such as H<sub>2</sub>, CO<sub>2</sub>, acetate and formate 358 [59,60]. In fact, K13788 is a phosphate acetyltransferase [EC:2.3.1.8] that can be involved 359 in the biosynthesis of acetate from acetyl-CoA [61]. Also, K09251 is involved in 360 biosynthesis of GABA and 2-oxoglutarate. GABA has been related with a volatile fatty 361 acid concentration increment [62], while 2-oxoacid compounds can be used by Archaea 362 to synthesize coenzyme M and coenzyme B, which are essential in methane production 363 [63]. However, all these KEGGs were observed as over-abundant in LOW methane 364 group, suggesting a strong presence of fermentative bacteria in these animals, not directly 365 correlated with methane production.

366 Other KEGGs that were over-abundant in LOW emitters might offer an explanation to367 the lower presence of active methanogenesis processes through competence mechanisms

368 (e.g. LOW-OA KEGGs K01682, K01902 and K13788, are involved in citrate cycle and 369 pyruvate metabolism, related to respiration). The K00370 and K00371 are nitrate 370 oxidoreductase subunits playing a role in anaerobic respiration using nitrate as electron 371 acceptor. This enzyme uses nitrate as electron acceptor, a process that has been reported 372 as competitive inhibitor of methanogenesis [64,65]. Nitrate supplementation has proven 373 to be an useful strategy to mitigate methane emissions [66]. Nitrite produced by the 374 nitrate-reductases has a known antimicrobial effect and toxicity to animal cells [67–69], 375 which might also reduce the proportion of free archaea in LOW animals, although toxicity 376 to archaea must be further studied [70]. However, the role of ciliates and fungi must be 377 clarified, as their abundance is also lower in LOW emitters. We hypothesize that the 378 predatory nature of these eukaryotes might be a control mechanism for bacterial 379 populations, and their lower relative abundance in LOW animals might allow overgrowth 380 of related bacteria. Nevertheless, there is the possibility that a higher proportion of 381 facultative anaerobes using nitrate as acceptor might affect ciliate populations by toxicity, 382 thus reducing the presence of endosymbiotic methanogenic archaea.

#### 383 The SqueezeMeta software [71] uses a last common ancestor (LCA) algorithm, which assigns to one read the lowest-level taxon common to all hits, using a stringent cutoff 384 385 identity value for each taxonomic rank. On its part, functional assignments are done with 386 fun3 algorithm, which by default assigns the hit with the highest average bitscore 387 compared to the n first hits passing the e-value, identity and coverage filters. This LCA 388 approach ensures that reads have a large probability of being correctly classified, at 389 expense of a large number of reads remaining unclassified, which explains the larger 390 number of reads assigned to a known KEGG than to taxa. Despite this strict requirement, 391 this composition is consistent with other populations reported before [2,3,20]. Most 392 studies to date report large abundance of *Bacteroidetes* and *Firmicutes*, with *Prevotella*

393 *spp.* as the most prevalent genus. Some minor discrepancies with other studies were

394 observed in the RA of the core subcomposition. For example, Wallace *et al.* [20] showed

- 395 a higher presence of *Proteobacteria* and *Euryarchaeota*, although using amplicons
- 396 instead of whole metagenome sequencing.
- 397 Our statistical approach evidenced the difficulty of inferring a phenotypic association
- 398 between microbiome composition and methane production, with an important role of
- 399 environmental factors that mask the statistical signal. However, a meaningful relationship
- 400 between the microbiome composition and methane emissions could be uncovered yet,
- 402 particular relevance. Former studies also revealed a link between ruminal microbiota and

emphasizing the role of the different phyla, with the *Eukaryota* superkingdom being of

- 403 methane production. Difford *et al.* [3] showed different clusters of high and low methane
- 404 emitters according to their bacterial and archaeal subcomposition. Danielsson *et al.* [46]
- 405 also found clustering for low and high methane emitters within prokaryotic rumen
- 406 subcompositions. Wallace *et al.* [20] found that a core set of rumen microbiome was
- 407 capable of explaining up to 30% of methane emissions variability, mostly formed by
- 408 prokaryotes. The aforementioned studies used different methodologies, like amplicon
- 409 analysis and OTU clustering, contrasting with our full-metagenome genus-clustering
- 410 protocol, which increases the information entropy. Stewart *et al.* [72] used Nanopore
- 411 sequencing and found significant differences between low and high-methane emitter
- 412 sheep, with clear clustering between groups, but using a lower number of microbial
- 413 groups and animals in the same farm with similar management practices.

#### 414 **5** Conclusions

401

The full metagenome compositional analysis used in this study provided novel insightsin the association between the microbiota and CH<sub>4</sub> emissions through differential

417 abundance analysis, pairwise correlation and interaction networks. Our approach 418 evidenced a phenotypic association between microbiome composition and methane 419 production, regardless of the challenges posed by the microbiome complexity and the 420 compositional nature of the data. This association is mainly driven by the relative 421 abundance of ciliates and fungi, which carry host specific genetic functions providing 422 substrate to the methanogenic archaea. On the other side, we detected some bacterial 423 groups that performed a more efficient feed digestion, leaving less hydrogen available to 424 archaea and hence associated to lower methane emissions.

425 This study generated the largest ruminal metagenomic dataset sequenced using ONT and

426 grants free access to a publicly-available data set. The complexity of the rumen

427 microbiome and the compositional nature of their sequencing data require proper
428 statistical methods to allow disentangling the role of microbes and their genes in host
429 complex traits such as methane emissions. Future nutritional and genetic strategies to

430 reduce CH<sub>4</sub> emissions should focus on reducing the relative abundance of *Alveolata* and

431 *Fungi* in the rumen, without impairing other important metabolic processes for an

432 efficient feed digestion in ruminants.

433 6 Methods

#### 434 **6.1** Animal housing and feeding

Our cohort included 437 Holstein lactating cows sampled at 14 different herds from northern Spain (Cantabria, Euskadi, Navarra and Girona regions). The animals received total mixed ration (TMR) diet differently formulated on each individual herd, although most of them were based on maize and grass silage plus concentrate. Cows were fed adlibitum, with concentrate supplementation in the automatic milking station (AMS) during milking.

#### 441 6.2 Methane measuring

442 Methane concentration was individually recorded through breath sampling during each 443 cow visit to the AMS (3-7 times daily) in a period of 2-3 weeks. Eructation peaks were 444 recorded using a non-dispersive infrared methane detector (Guardian NG infrared gas 445 monitor, Edinburgh Sensors, Scotland, UK) as described by Rey et al. (2019) [73]. Each 446 cow's peaks were then averaged in order to get a unique methane record per cow, as 447 described in López-Paredes et al. (2020) [74]. Animals were distributed in groups 448 according to number of lactation (NL) and stage of lactation (SL) criteria. Furthermore, 449 quartile-based qualitative categories were created for  $CH_4$  recordings (ppm), resulting in 450 a methane factor (CH4) with 4 levels (LOW, L-MID, H-MID and HIGH methane 451 emissions).

#### 452 **6.3 Ruminal content sampling**

453 Ruminal fluid was sampled using an oral tube (18 mm diameter and 160 mm long) 454 connected to a 1000 mL Erlenmeyer flask and continued to a mechanical pump 455 (Vacubrand ME 2SI, Wertheim, Germany), with all the material contacting the cow being 456 carefully cleaned between cows. Each animal was moved to an individual stall for this 457 process. The solid fraction of the ruminal content was discarded by filtering through 4 458 layers of sterile cheesecloth, while the outcoming liquid fraction was instantly frozen 459 using liquid nitrogen (LN<sub>2</sub>) and then stored at -80 °C until DNA extraction.

460 **6.4** I

#### 6.4 DNA extraction and sequencing

Genomic DNA was extracted from 250 μl of each thawed and homogenized ruminal
content sample, using the "DNeasy Power Soil" commercial kit (QIAGEN, Valencia, CA,
USA). Qubit fluorometer (ThermoFisher Scientific, 150 Waltham, MA, USA) and

464 Nanodrop ND-1000 UV/Vis spectrophotometer (Nanodrop Technologies Inc., DE, USA) 465 were used to measure DNA concentration and purity. 260/280 and 260/230 ratios were 466 around 1.8 and 2.0, respectively. Oxford Nanopore Technologies (ONT) SQK-LSK109 467 Ligation Sequencing kit was used for multiplexed sequencing in MinION automatic 468 sequencer. The 1D Native barcoding ONT kit (EXP-NBD104 or EXP-NBD114) was used 469 for multiplexing the samples, pooling barcoded DNA from 12 samples for each run. 470 Pooling was done using a 1.5 ml DNA LoBind tube to perform adapter ligation and 471 sequenced using a R9.4.1 flow cell.

#### 472 6.5 Read processing, mapping and filtering

Guppy toolkit (ONT) was used for basecalling. A quality control was then applied removing sequences with QS<7 and length<150 bp. Sequence analysis was performed using SqueezeMeta (SQM) pipeline for long reads [71], which performs Diamond Blastx against GenBank nr taxonomic database and against COG and KEGG functional databases, then identifying and annotating ORFs using the LCA method for taxonomy and the fun3 algorithm for functional annotation (based on e-value and identity scores). This tool is specifically designed to process long reads from ONT.

480 49,718,901 reads were processed in Blastx by SQM longreads pipeline. Blastx mapped 481 25,750,755 reads (51.79%) to taxonomy (NCBI-nr database) or function (KEGG 482 database). All sequences mapped as non-microbial (*i.e.*, virus, animals and plants) were 483 discarded. Microbial sequences were then filtered by prevalence to reduce data sparsity 484 and sequencing errors (Supplementary Data 2). A first estimation of sample sparsity and 485 reads distribution was assessed using R. Two animals were then withdrawn from the 486 filtered dataset, one due to low read coverage and other due to lack of host information, 487 leaving 437 animals in the final dataset.

488 Genera were divided into superkingdom groups (Archaea, Bacteria or Eukaryota) and 489 KEGGs were sorted by their involvement in methane metabolism (MP): KEGGs included 490 in the KEGG orthology pathway ko00680 (Methane metabolism) were labeled as 491 "ko00680", while the rest were identified as "Other".

**4**92 **6.6** 

#### Compositional data

493 Considering the compositional nature of metagenomic data, a CLR method [75] was
494 applied using the unweighted option of the *CLR* function from the *easyCODA* R package
495 [76] as follows:

496 
$$\mathbf{x_{clr}} = [log(x_1/G(x)), log(x_2/G(x)) \dots log(x_D/G(x))],$$

497 with 
$$G(x) = \sqrt[D]{x_1 * x_2 * ... * x_D}$$
.

Being  $\mathbf{x} = [x_1, x_2, ..., x_D]$  a vector of counted features (taxa or KEGGs) in one sample and G(x) the geometric mean of  $\mathbf{x}$ . Count zero values in the initial data frame were imputed through the Geometric Bayesian Multiplicative (GBM) procedure, using the zCompositions R package [77] *cmultRepl* function, so that logarithms could be computed.

#### 502 6.7 Beta-diversity and PERMANOVA analysis

The CLR-transformed data (at phylum, class, order, family, genus and KEGG levels) were used to explore beta-diversity in the samples through PCA using the *prcomp* function in R. Fitted smooth surface of methane emissions corrected by SL and NL was included for principal components 1 and 2 using *ordisurf* function from the vegan R package [78]. A generalized additive model smooth fitting (GAM) was used in order to elucidate non-linear distribution of samples in PCA according to methane emissions. Differences between centroid distances using methane as grouping variable (CH4) were 510 determined through Permutational Multivariate Analysis of Variance (PERMANOVA)

511 [79,80] following this model and using the matrix of Aitchison distances between samples

512 (*i.e.*, the Euclidean distance on CLR-transformed data) as input variable:

513 
$$D_{jklni} = \mu + B_j + SL_k + NL_l + CH4_n + e_{jklni}$$

with  $B_j$  being the farm-batch effect (j = 24 levels),  $SL_k$  being the stage of lactation at the day of sampling (k = 3 levels),  $NL_l$  the number of lactation (l = 2 levels) and  $CH4_n$  the methane emission level (n = 4 levels: LOW, L-MID, HIGH), and  $e_{jklni}$  was the corresponding residual term.

#### 518 **6.8** Association between microbiota and methane production

519 Differential abundance of genera and KEGGs between samples regarding the different 520 methane emissions levels was addressed through linear regression using Limma [81]. 521 Count normalization and log-transformation were addressed using CLR-transformed data 522 as inputs. *P*-values were adjusted by Benjamini-Hochberg method, to control false 523 discovery rate. Differential abundance threshold was set to  $|\log_2 FC| \ge 0.5$  and the 524 adjusted significance threshold was set to  $\alpha = 0.05$ .

#### 525 6.9 Pairwise proportionality analysis

Pairwise correlations between phyla, genera and KEGGs were calculated as described in the *propr* R package [82]. Proportionality coefficient  $\rho_p$  [83] under CLR data transformation was chosen. Thresholds were selected according to two conditions: 1) representing the maximum number of proportionalities avoiding computational issues; 2) FDR lower than 1%. Used threshold were  $|\rho_p| \ge 0.4$  for genera proportionalities and  $|\rho_p| \ge$ 0.7 for KEGG proportionalities.

#### 532 6.10 Microbial networks

533 Microbial networks for taxonomy (at the genus level) and functionality were built from 534 the proportionality matrices described above. Input edges were defined from the 535 cytoscape function in *propr* package in R, which converts a propr object into a data frame 536 of node connections compatible with Cytoscape software (v. 3.8.0). Results from the DA 537 analyses were used to associate each feature (node) to high or low methane emissions 538 levels. Significantly over-abundant genera and KEGGs in the low methane emitters group 539 (*i.e.*, more abundant in LOW than in HIGH or H-MID groups) were designated as LOW-540 associated, while those contrary over-abundant in high methane emitters were appointed 541 as HIGH-associated. Non-DA features were classified as N/A (not associated). In 542 addition, SK and MP factors were included as node attributes for genera and KEGGs, 543 respectively. For graph visualization, Kamada-Kawai algorithm (Edge-weighted spring 544 embedded layout) was set [84], using  $\rho_p$  coefficient as force parameter.

545

#### 7 Ethical statement

This study was conducted in accordance with Spanish Royal Decree 53/2013 for the protection of animals used for experimental and other scientific purposes and was approved by the Basque Institute for Agricultural Research and Development Ethics Committee (Neiker-OEBA-2017-004) on March 28, 2017.

- 550 8 Conflict of Interest
- 551 The authors have not stated any conflicts of interest.
- 552 9 Author Contributions

553 A.L.G. and A.S.M. filtered and prepared the data, implemented the statistical analyses 554 and prepared the first draft of the manuscript. M.G.R and C.G. performed the DNA 555 extraction and sequencing. O.G.R. supervised the DNA sequencing and contributed to 556 the statistical analyses. R.C. contributed to develop interaction networks. O.G.R., A.G.R. 557 R.A., I.G. conceived the study and designed the experiments. J.T. and F.P.S developed 558 the computational pipelines for the metagenome and assisted on its analyses. A.L.G., 559 A.S.M. and O.G.R. wrote the manuscript. All authors helped writing and configuring the 560 last version of the manuscript.

561 **10 Funding** 

This research was financed by RTA2015-00022-C03-02 (METALGEN) and RTI2018096487-RC33 projects from the national plan of research, development and innovation
2013-2020 and the Department of Economic Development and Competitiveness (Madrid,
Spain).

#### 566 11 Acknowledgments

We thank the regional Holstein Associations and farmers collaborating in the project.
Computational support from the High-Performance Computing Centre in Galicia (Spain)
is acknowledged. A.L.G. was funded by FPI-INIA grant with reference FPI-SGIT201606.

#### 571 **12** Consent for publication

572 Not applicable.

#### 573 13 Availability of supporting data and material

24

The datasets generated during and/or analyzed during the current study have been uploaded to ENA browser, with accession number of the project: PRJEB44278 (<u>https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ena/browser/view/PRJEB44278</u>) and can be requested to the METALGEN project, <u>https://www.metalgen.es</u>.

578 SqueezeMeta software is available at <u>https://github.com/jtamames/SqueezeMeta</u>.

579 Guppy basecaller software was used to convert fast5 raw signals to fastq files

580 (https://community.nanoporetech.com/downloads). The R environment and used

581 packages are available from <u>https://cran.r-project.org/</u>. Correspondence and material

582 requests should be addressed to Oscar González-Recio (gonzalez.oscar@inia.es). Other

- 583 data further supporting this work are openly available in the GigaScience repository,
- 584 GigaDB [85].

#### 585 14 References

1. Wang B, Yao M, Lv L, Ling Z, Li L. The Human Microbiota in Health and Disease. *Engineering*. 2017; doi: 10.1016/J.ENG.2017.01.008.

588 2. Delgado B, Bach A, Guasch I, González C, Elcoso G, Pryce JE, et al.. Whole rumen
589 metagenome sequencing allows classifying and predicting feed efficiency and
590 intake levels in cattle. *Sci Rep.* 2019; doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-36673-w.

- 591 3. Difford GF, Plichta DR, Løvendahl P, Lassen J, Noel SJ, Højberg O, et al.. Host 592 genetics and the rumen microbiome jointly associate with methane emissions in
- 593 dairy cows. Leeb T, editor. *PLoS Genet*. 2018; doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1007580.
- 4. Weimer PJ. Redundancy, resilience, and host specificity of the ruminal
  microbiota: Implications for engineering improved ruminal fermentations. *Front Microbiol.* 2015; doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2015.00296.

597 5. Knapp JR, Laur GL, Vadas PA, Weiss WP, Tricarico JM. Invited review: Enteric 598 methane in dairy cattle production: Quantifying the opportunities and impact of 599 reducing emissions. *J Dairy Sci.* 2014; doi: 10.3168/JDS.2013-7234.

- 6. Gerber, PJ, Steinfeld, Henderson, Mottet, Opio, et al.. Tackling Climate Change
  Through Livestock. A global assessment of emissions and mitigation opportunities.
  Rome, Italy: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO); 2013;
  doi: www.fao.org/publications.
- 604 7. FAO: Global Livestock Environmental Assessment Model (GLEAM). Food Agric.
  605 Organ. http://www.fao.org/gleam/results/en/ (2019). Accessed 2021 Aug 4.
- 606 8. Martínez-Álvaro M, Auffret MD, Stewart RD, Dewhurst RJ, Duthie CA, Rooke JA, et

al.. Identification of Complex Rumen Microbiome Interaction Within Diverse

608 Functional Niches as Mechanisms Affecting the Variation of Methane Emissions in

- 609 Bovine. *Front Microbiol*. 2020; doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2020.00659.
- 610 9. Malmuthuge N, Guan LL. Understanding host-microbial interactions in rumen:
  611 Searching the best opportunity for microbiota manipulation. *J Anim Sci Biotechnol.*
- 611 Searching the best opportunity for microbiota manipulation. *J Anim Sci Biotechr* 612 2017; doi: 10.1186/s40104-016-0135-3.
- 613 10. Negussie E, de Haas Y, Dehareng F, Dewhurst RJ, Dijkstra J, Gengler N, et al..
- 614 Invited review: Large-scale indirect measurements for enteric methane emissions
- 615 in dairy cattle: A review of proxies and their potential for use in management and
- 616 breeding decisions. *J Dairy Sci*. 2017; doi: 10.3168/jds.2016-12030.
- 617 11. Gloor GB, Macklaim JM, Pawlowsky-Glahn V, Egozcue JJ. Microbiome datasets
- are compositional: And this is not optional. *Front Microbiol*. 2017; doi:
- 619 10.3389/fmicb.2017.02224.
- 620 12. Liu YX, Qin Y, Chen T, Lu M, Qian X, Guo X, et al.. A practical guide to amplicon
- and metagenomic analysis of microbiome data. *Protein Cell*. 2021; doi:
- 622 10.1007/s13238-020-00724-8.

- Bonk F, Popp D, Harms H, Centler F. PCR-based quantification of taxa-specific
   abundances in microbial communities: Quantifying and avoiding common pitfalls. J
- 625 *Microbiol Methods*. 2018; doi: 10.1016/J.MIMET.2018.09.015.
- 626 14. Brandt C, Bongcam-Rudloff E, Müller B. Abundance tracking by long-read
- 627 nanopore sequencing of complex microbial communities in samples from 20
- 628 different biogas/wastewater plants. *Appl Sci*. 2020; doi: 10.3390/app10217518.
- 629 15. Burki F, Shalchian-Tabrizi K, Minge M, Skjæveland Å, Nikolaev SI, Jakobsen KS,
  630 et al.. Phylogenomics reshuffles the eukaryotic supergroups. Butler G, editor. *PLoS*631 *One*. 2007; doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0000790.
- 632 16. Kanehisa M, Goto S. KEGG: Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes. *Nucleic*633 *Acids Res.* 2000; doi: 10.1093/nar/28.1.27.
- 634 17. Kanehisa M. Toward understanding the origin and evolution of cellular
  635 organisms. *Protein Sci.* 2019; doi: 10.1002/pro.3715.
- 636 18. Kanehisa M, Sato Y, Furumichi M, Morishima K, Tanabe M. New approach for
  637 understanding genome variations in KEGG. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2019; doi:
  638 10.1092/nor/glau962
- 638 10.1093/nar/gky962.
- 639 19. Lovell D, Pawlowsky-Glahn V, Egozcue JJ, Marguerat S, Bähler J.
- 640 Proportionality: A Valid Alternative to Correlation for Relative Data. *PLOS Comput*
- 641 *Biol*. 2015; doi: 10.1371/journal.pcbi.1004075.
- 642 20. Wallace RJ, Sasson G, Garnsworthy PC, Tapio I, Gregson E, Bani P, et al.. A
  643 heritable subset of the core rumen microbiome dictates dairy cow productivity and
  644 emissions. *Sci Adv.* 2019; doi: 10.1126/sciadv.aav8391.
- 645 21. Shah HN, Collins DM. Prevotella, a new genus to include Bacteroides
- 646 melaninogenicus and related species formerly classified in the genus Bacteroides.
  647 *Int J Syst Bacteriol.* 1990; doi: 10.1099/00207713-40-2-205.
- 648 22. Pitta DW, Pinchak WE, Dowd SE, Osterstock J, Gontcharova V, Youn E, et al..
- 649 Rumen bacterial diversity dynamics associated with changing from bermudagrass
- hay to grazed winter wheat diets. *Microb Ecol.* 2010; doi: 10.1007/s00248-009-9609-6.
- 652 23. Lee HJ, Jung JY, Oh YK, Lee SS, Madsen EL, Jeon CO. Comparative survey of
- rumen microbial communities and metabolites across one caprine and three
- 654 bovine groups, using bar-coded pyrosequencing and 1H nuclear magnetic
- resonance spectroscopy. *Appl Environ Microbiol*. 2012; doi: 10.1128/AEM.00104-12.
- 657 24. Lopes LD, de Souza Lima AO, Taketani RG, Darias P, da Silva LRF, Romagnoli
- 658 EM, et al.. Exploring the sheep rumen microbiome for carbohydrate-active
- enzymes. Antonie van Leeuwenhoek, Int J Gen Mol Microbiol. 2015; doi:
- $660 \qquad 10.1007/s10482\text{-}015\text{-}0459\text{-}6.$
- 661 25. Li J, Zhong H, Ramayo-Caldas Y, Terrapon N, Lombard V, Potocki-Veronese G, et

- al.. A catalog of microbial genes from the bovine rumen unveils a specialized and
- 663 diverse biomass-degrading environment. *Gigascience*. 2020; doi:
- 664 10.1093/gigascience/giaa057.
- 665 26. Seshadri R, Leahy SC, Attwood GT, Teh KH, Lambie SC, Cookson AL, et al..
- 666 Cultivation and sequencing of rumen microbiome members from the Hungate1000
  667 Collection. *Nat Biotechnol.* 2018; doi: 10.1038/nbt.4110.
- 668 27. Crespo-Piazuelo D, Estellé J, Revilla M, Criado-Mesas L, Ramayo-Caldas Y, Óvilo
  669 C, et al.. Characterization of bacterial microbiota compositions along the intestinal
  670 tract in pigs and their interactions and functions. *Sci Rep.* 2018; doi:
- 671 10.1038/s41598-018-30932-6.
- 28. Han GG, Lee JY, Jin GD, Park J, Choi YH, Kang SK, et al.. Tracing of the fecal
  microbiota of commercial pigs at five growth stages from birth to shipment. *Sci Rep.* 2018; doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-24508-7.
- 675 29. Ransom-Jones E, Jones DL, McCarthy AJ, McDonald JE. The Fibrobacteres: An
  676 Important Phylum of Cellulose-Degrading Bacteria. *Microb Ecol.* 2012; doi:
  677 10.1007/s00248-011-9998-1.
- 67830. Newbold CJ, De la Fuente G, Belanche A, Ramos-Morales E, McEwan NR. The
- role of ciliate protozoa in the rumen. *Front Microbiol*. 2015; doi:
- 680 10.3389/fmicb.2015.01313.
- 31. Williams AG, Coleman GS. The Rumen Protozoa. Williams AG, Coleman GS,
  editors. New York, NY: Springer New York;
- 32. Johnson HP. A contribution to the morphology and biology of the Stentors. J
  Morphol. 1893; doi: 10.1002/jmor.1050080303.
- 33. Tartar V. The Biology of Stentor. Tartar V, editor. Oxford, London, New York,Paris: Pergamon Press;
- 687 34. Wichterman R. The Biology of Paramecium. Wichterman R, editor. New York:688 Springer US;
- 689 35. Cavalier-Smith T. The neomuran origin of archaebacteria, the negibacterial root
- of the universal tree and bacterial megaclassification. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol.*2002; doi: 10.1099/00207713-52-1-7.
- 692 36. Poulsen M, Schwab C, Borg Jensen B, Engberg RM, Spang A, Canibe N, et al..
- 693 Methylotrophic methanogenic Thermoplasmata implicated in reduced methane 694 emissions from bovine rumen. *Nat Commun.* 2013; doi: 10.1038/ncomms2432.
- 37. Santos E de O, Thompson F. The family Succinivibrionaceae. In: Rosenberg E,
  DeLong EF, Lory S, Stackebrandt E, Thompson F, editors. *The Prokaryotes:*
- 697 *Gammaproteobacteria*. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg;
- 698 38. Gomez-Gil B, Thompson, Cristinane C. Matsumura Y, Sawabe T, Iida T, Christen
  699 R, Thompson F, et al.. The Family Vibrionaceae. In: Rosenberg E, DeLong EF, Lory S,

- Stackebrandt E, Thompson F, editors. *The Prokaryotes: Gammaproteobacteria*.
  Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg;
- 39. Ivanova EP, Ng HJ, Webb HK. The family Pseudoalteromonadaceae. In:
  Rosenberg E, DeLong EF, Lory S, Stackebrandt E, Thompson F, editors. *The*
- 704 *Prokaryotes: Gammaproteobacteria*. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg;
- 705 40. Marchandin H, Jumas-Bilak E. The family Veillonellaceae. In: Rosenberg E,
- 706 DeLong EF, Lory S, Stackebrandt E, Thompson F, editors. *The Prokaryotes:*
- 707 *Firmicutes and Tenericutes*. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg;
- 41. Shah HN, Collins MD. Reclassification of Bacteroides multiacidus (Mitsuoka,
- 709 Terada, Watanabe and Uchida) in a new genus Mitsuokella, as Mitsuokella
- 710 multiacidus comb. nov. Zentralblatt fur Bakteriol Angew und Okol Microbiol Abt1
- 711 *OrigC Hyg*. 1982; doi: 10.1016/S0721-9571(82)80006-9.
- 712 42. Kittelmann S, Pinares-Patiño CS, Seedorf H, Kirk MR, Ganesh S, McEwan JC, et
- al.. Two Different Bacterial Community Types Are Linked with the Low-Methane
- The Emission Trait in Sheep. *PLoS One*. 2014; doi: 10.1371/JOURNAL.PONE.0103171.
- 43. Wallace RJ, Rooke JA, Duthie CA, Hyslop JJ, Ross DW, McKain N, et al.. Archaeal
  abundance in post-mortem ruminal digesta may help predict methane emissions
- 717 from beef cattle. *Sci Rep.* 2014; doi: 10.1038/srep05892.
- 44. Morgavi DP, Martin C, Jouany JP, Ranilla MJ. Rumen protozoa and
- 719 methanogenesis: Not a simple cause-effect relationship. *Br J Nutr.* 2012; doi:
  720 10.1017/S0007114511002935.
- 45. Tapio I, Snelling TJ, Strozzi F, Wallace RJ. The ruminal microbiome associated
  with methane emissions from ruminant livestock. *J Anim Sci Biotechnol*. 2017; doi:
  10.1186/s40104-017-0141-0.
- 46. Danielsson R, Dicksved J, Sun L, Gonda H, Müller B, Schnürer A, et al.. Methane
  production in dairy cows correlates with rumen methanogenic and bacterial
  community structure. *Front Microbiol*. 2017; doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2017.00226.
- 47. Shi W, Moon CD, Leahy SC, Kang D, Froula J, Kittelmann S, et al.. Methane yield
  phenotypes linked to differential gene expression in the sheep rumen microbiome. *Genome Res.* 2014; doi: 10.1101/gr.168245.113.
- 48. Wallace RJ, Rooke JA, McKain N, Duthie CA, Hyslop JJ, Ross DW, et al.. The
  rumen microbial metagenome associated with high methane production in cattle. *BMC Genomics*. 2015; doi: 10.1186/s12864-015-2032-0.
- 49. Aguinaga Casañas MA, Rangkasenee N, Krattenmacher N, Thaller G, Metges CC,
- Kuhla B. Methyl-coenzyme M reductase A as an indicator to estimate methane
- 735 production from dairy cows. *J Dairy Sci*. 2015; doi: 10.3168/jds.2015-9310.
- 50. Janssen PH, Kirs M. Structure of the archaeal community of the rumen. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 2008; doi: 10.1128/AEM.02812-07.

- 738 51. Newbold CJ, Lassalas B, Jouany JP. The importance of methanogens associated
  739 with ciliate protozoa in ruminal methane production in vitro. *Lett Appl Microbiol.*
- 740 1995; doi: 10.1111/j.1472-765X.1995.tb01048.x.

741 52. Qin WZ, Li CY, Kim JK, Ju JG, Song MK. Effects of defaunation on fermentation

- characteristics and methane production by rumen microbes in vitro when
- incubated with starchy feed sources. *Asian-Australasian J Anim Sci.* 2012; doi:
  10.5713/ajas.2012.12240.
- 53. Schönhusen U, Zitnan R, Kuhla S, Jentsch W, Derno M, Voigt J. Effects of
- protozoa on methane production in rumen and hindgut of calves around time of
  weaning. *Arch Anim Nutr.* 2003; doi: 10.1080/00039420310001594423.
- 54. Guyader J, Eugène M, Nozière P, Morgavi DP, Doreau M, Martin C. Influence of
  rumen protozoa on methane emission in ruminants: a meta-analysis approach. *Animal.* 2014; doi: 10.1017/S1751731114001852.
- 55. Belanche A, de la Fuente G, Newbold CJ. Study of methanogen communities
  associated with different rumen protozoal populations. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol.* 2014;
  doi: 10.1111/1574-6941.12423.
- 56. Hackstein JHP, de Graaf RM. Anaerobic Ciliates and Their Methanogenic
- 755 Endosymbionts. In: Hackstein JHP, editor. *(Endo)symbiotic Methanogenic Archaea*.
  756 2nd ed. Berlin: Springer Berlin;
- 757 57. Roopnarain A, Mukhuba M, Adeleke R, Moeletsi M. Biases during DNA
  758 extraction affect bacterial and archaeal community profile of anaerobic digestion
  759 samples. *Biotech*. 2017; doi: 10.1007/s13205-017-1009-x.
- 760 58. Esquivel-Elizondo S, Ilhan ZE, Garcia-Peña EI, Krajmalnik-Brown R. Insights
- 761into Butyrate Production in a Controlled Fermentation System via Gene
- 762 Predictions. *mSystems*. 2017; doi: 10.1128/msystems.00051-17.
- 763 59. Crable BR, Plugge CM, McInerney MJ, Stams AJM. Formate formation and
- formate conversion in biological fuels production. *Enzyme Res.* 2011; doi:
  10.4061/2011/532536.
- 60. Hao L, Michaelsen TY, Singleton CM, Dottorini G, Kirkegaard RH, Albertsen M, et
  al.. Novel syntrophic bacteria in full-scale anaerobic digesters revealed by genomecentric metatranscriptomics. *ISME J.* 2020; doi: 10.1038/s41396-019-0571-0.
- 61. Brown TDK, Jones-Mortimer MC, Kornberg HL. The enzymic interconversion of
  acetate and acetyl-coenzyme A in Escherichia coli. *J Gen Microbiol*. 1977; doi:
  10.1099/00221287-102-2-327.
- 62. Xie M, Chen HH, Nie SP, Yin JY, Xie MY. Gamma-aminobutyric acid increases the
  production of short-chain fatty acids and decreases pH values in mouse colon. *Molecules*. 2017; doi: 10.3390/molecules22040653.
- 63. Graham DE. 2-oxoacid metabolism in methanogenic CoM and CoB biosynthesis. *Methods Enzymol.* Academic Press Inc.;

- 64. Klüber HD, Conrad R. Effects of nitrate, nitrite, NO and N2O on methanogenesis
  and other redox processes in anoxic rice field soil. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol.* 2006; doi:
- 779 10.1111/j.1574-6941.1998.tb00482.x.
- 780 65. Yang C, Rooke JA, Cabeza I, Wallace RJ. Nitrate and inhibition of ruminal
- 781 methanogenesis: Microbial ecology, obstacles, and opportunities for lowering
- 782 methane emissions from ruminant livestock. *Front Microbiol*. 2016; doi:
  783 10.3389/fmicb.2016.00132.
- 66. Lee C, Beauchemin KA. Une revue de l'ajout de nitrate dans l'alimentation des
  ruminants : Toxicité aux nitrates, émissions de méthane et performance de
- 786 production. *Can J Anim Sci.* 2014; doi: 10.4141/CJAS-2014-069.
- 67. Cui X. The bacteriocidal effects of transition metal complexes containing the
  NO+ group on the food-spoilage bacterium Clostridium sporogenes. *FEMS Microbiol Lett.* 1992; doi: 10.1016/0378-1097(92)90133-9.
- 68. Zumft WG. The biological role of nitric oxide in bacteria. *Arch Microbiol*. 1993;
  doi: 10.1007/BF00292074.
- 69. Reed B, Dinn J, McCann S, Wilson P, O'Sullivan H, Weir DG, et al.. Alterations in
  mammalian cells induced by inactivation of vitamin B12 with nitrous oxide. In:
  Zagalak B, Friedrich W, editors. *Vitam B12*. Zürich: De Gruyter;
- 70. Klüber HD, Conrad R. Inhibitory effects of nitrate, nitrite, NO and N2O on
  methanogenesis by Methanosarcina barkeri and Methanobacterium bryantii. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol.* 1998; doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6941.1998.tb00484.x.
- 798 71. Tamames J, Puente-Sánchez F. SqueezeMeta, A Highly Portable, Fully Automatic
  799 Metagenomic Analysis Pipeline. *Front Microbiol*. 2019; doi:
- 800 10.3389/fmicb.2018.03349.
- 72. Stewart RD, Auffret MD, Warr A, Walker AW, Roehe R, Watson M. Compendium
  of 4,941 rumen metagenome-assembled genomes for rumen microbiome biology
  and enzyme discovery. *Nat Biotechnol*. 2019; doi: 10.1038/s41587-019-0202-3.
- 73. Rey J, Atxaerandio R, Ruiz R, Ugarte E, González-Recio O, Garcia-Rodriguez A, et
  al.. Comparison Between Non-Invasive Methane Measurement Techniques in
  Cattle. *Animals*. 2019; doi: 10.3390/ani9080563.
- 807 74. López-Paredes J, Goiri I, Atxaerandio R, García-Rodríguez A, Ugarte E, Jiménez-
- 808 Montero JA, et al.. Mitigation of greenhouse gases in dairy cattle via genetic
- 809 selection: 1. Genetic parameters of direct methane using noninvasive methods and 810 proxies of methane. *J Dairy Sci.* .; 2020; doi: 10.3168/jds.2019-17597.
- 811 75. Aitchison J. The Statistical Analysis of Compositional Data. *J R Stat Soc Ser B*.
  812 1982; doi: 10.1111/j.2517-6161.1982.tb01195.x.
- 813 76. Greenacre M. Package "easyCODA". Compositional Data Analysis in Practice.
  814 Chapman & Hall / CRC Press; p. 35.

- 815 77. Palarea-Albaladejo J, Martín-Fernández JA. ZCompositions R package for
- 816 multivariate imputation of left-censored data under a compositional approach. 817 Chomom Intell Lab Syst
- 817 Chemom. Intell. Lab. Syst.
- 78. Oksanen J, Blanchet FG, Friendly M, Kindt R, Legendre P, Mcglinn D, et al..
  vegan: Community Ecology Package. R package version 2.5-6.
- 79. Anderson MJ. A new method for non-parametric multivariate analysis of
  variance. *Austral Ecol.* 2001; doi: 10.1111/j.1442-9993.2001.01070.pp.x.
- 80. McArdle BH, Anderson MJ. Fitting multivariate models to community data: A
  comment on distance-based redundancy analysis. *Ecology*. 2001; doi:
  10.1890/0012-9658(2001)082[0290:FMMTCD]2.0.CO;2.
- 81. Ritchie ME, Phipson B, Wu D, Hu Y, Law CW, Shi W, et al.. limma powers
  differential expression analyses for RNA-sequencing and microarray studies. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2015; doi: 10.1093/nar/gkv007.
- 82. Quinn TP, Richardson MF, Lovell D, Crowley TM. Propr: An R-package for
  829 Identifying Proportionally Abundant Features Using Compositional Data Analysis.
  830 Sci Rep. 2017; doi: 10.1038/s41598-017-16520-0.
- 831 83. Erb I, Notredame C. How should we measure proportionality on relative gene
  832 expression data? *Theory Biosci.* 2016; doi: 10.1007/s12064-015-0220-8.
- 833 84. Kamada T, Kawai S. An algorithm for drawing general undirected graphs. *Inf*834 *Process Lett.* 1989; doi: 10.1016/0020-0190(89)90102-6.
- 835 85. López-García A; Saborío-Montero A; Gutiérrez-Rivas M; Atxaerandio R; Goiri I;
- 836 García-Rodríguez A; Jiménez-Montero JA; González C; Tamames J; Puente-Sánchez
- F; Serrano M; Carrasco R; Óvilo C; Gonzalez-Recio O: Supporting data for "Fungal
- and ciliate protozoa are the main rumen microbes associated with methane
- emissions in dairy cattle" GigaScience Database. 2021.
- 840 http://dx.doi.org/10.5524/100950
- 841
- 842 **15 Tables**
- 843 **Table 1:** F statistic and P-values for stage of lactation (SL), number of lactation (NL) and
- 844 methane emission (CH4) variables (added sequentially) and P-values from
- 845 PERMANOVA of the entire dataset (i.e., including all superkingdoms).

		F statistic	$\mathbb{R}^2$	P-value*
	SL	6.1	0.014	<0.01
Phylum	NL	1.4	0.003	0.11
	CH4	2.8	0.019	<0.01
Class	SL	5.6	0.013	<0.01
Class	NL	1.5	0.003	0.07

	CH4	2.4	0.016	<0.01
	SL	5.4	0.012	<0.01
Order	NL	1.7	0.004	0.03
	CH4	2.3	0.016	<0.01
	SL	4.9	0.011	<0.01
Family	NL	1.6	0.004	0.03
-	CH4	2.1	0.014	<0.01
	SL	4.0	0.009	<0.01
Genus	NL	1.4	0.003	0.03
	CH4	1.7	0.012	<0.01
	SL	5.3	0.012	<0.01
KEGG	NL	2.0	0.004	0.02
	CH4	2.4	0.016	<0.01

<sup>846 \*</sup>Significance level was considered 0.05. P-values lower than this
847 significance levels are in italics.

848

#### 849 **16 Figure captions**

850 Figure 1: Average relative abundance of genera. Average relative abundance of core

851 microbial taxa, including those classified only to family level (i.e., unclassified genera),

which represent a 60.2% of total abundance.

Figure 2: Metagenome functionality. TreeMap distribution of functionality abundances
classified as KEGG pathways (left) and BRITE hierarchies (right) associated with core
KEGG subcomposition.

Figure 3. Fitted surface representation of Principal Component Analysis. Dots represent the samples using euclidean distances of CLR-transformed taxa abundances, coloured by CH4 levels. CH<sub>4</sub> emissions (ppm) corrected by number and stage of lactation are represented as smooth fitting following a generalized additive model (GAM) (–). *Dev. Explained*: variability explained by GAM; *P-val*: approximate significance of the smooth terms being zero ( $\alpha$ =0.05).

Figure 4. Volcano plots. Volcano plot representing the differential abundance (DA) of
genera (A) and KEGGs (B) between LOW and HIGH groups from limma. Significance

thresholds were established at *adj*.*P*-*val* = 0.05 and  $\log_2 FC = \pm 0.5$ . • Significant features with DA above the fold change (FC) threshold. • Significant features with DA below the FC threshold. • Non-significant features with DA above the FC threshold. • Nonsignificant features with DA below the FC threshold.

- 868 **Figure 5**. **Taxonomy interaction network**. Pairwise proportionalities between genera
- 869 with  $|\rho_p| \ge 0.4$ . Superkingdom:  $\triangle$  Archaea;  $\Box$  Bacteria;  $\bigcirc$ Eukaryota. / CH<sub>4</sub> association:

870 — HIGH CH<sub>4</sub>; — LOW CH<sub>4</sub>; — No CH<sub>4</sub> associated. / Proportionality sense:  $\leftrightarrow$  direct (>

871 0);  $\leftrightarrow$  inverse (< 0).

Figure 6. Functionality interaction network. Presented pairwise proportionalities between KEGGs with  $|\rho_p| \ge 0.7$ / Participation in methane metabolism:  $\Box$  ko00680 (direct or indirect part.); Other (no part.) / CH<sub>4</sub> association: — HIGH CH<sub>4</sub>; — LOW CH<sub>4</sub>; — No CH<sub>4</sub> associated. / Proportionality sense:  $\leftrightarrow$  direct (> 0);  $\leftrightarrow$  inverse (< 0). Clusters are indicated as L (KEGGs associated to LOW methane), H (KEGGs associated to HIGH methane) and N (KEGGs not related to methane emissions).

Figure 7: Taxonomy of ko00680 KEGGs. Relative abundance of KEGGs present in
ko00680 pathway for each phylum in Archaea (—), Bacteria (—) and Eukaryota (—)
superkingdoms. Relative abundance of each ko00680-KEGG respect to the sum of reads
mapped to all ko00680-KEGGs.

Figure 8. Taxonomic distribution of DA KEGGs. Red density scale represents KEGGs
over-abundant (OA) in HIGH emitters; Blue density scale represents KEGGs OA in LOW
emitters. More intense colors mean a higher number of reads assigned to one phylum.
Superkingdom: • Archaea; • Bacteria; • Eukaryota.

- 886 Supplementary Figure 1: Feature counts distribution. Features with zero counts,
- singletons, doubletons and 3 or more counts per sample. A) Count distribution in raw
- taxonomy table (87% sparsity); B) Count distribution in filtered taxonomy table (68%
- 889 sparsity); C) Count distribution in raw KEGG table (72% sparsity); D) Count distribution
- 890 in filtered KEGG table (39% sparsity). Filtering processes removed less than 1% of total
- 891 reads in both datasets.
- 892 **Supplementary Figure 2**: Phyla relative abundance per sample. Samples are sorted
- 893 from lowest to highest RA of *Bacteroidetes*.

894

#### Taxonomy RA treemap

Takonomy iver reemap							
Firmicutes	Bacteroidetes						
Contraction of Allen							
and the second se							
Construction in the second s							
S 39th Rummooccout 1.00th							
Department of the second							
LEN							
Construction Description							
Cash of 18% (Cash) (Declare & UP)							
Ethrohastores Genera with PA < 0.1%							
Prorobacteres Genera with Rock CO. The							
2740 KOM/T							
Unimes 2.70%							
	Unclear, 41.31%						
Formation 2 4/16							
spirotriches (no Litostomatea (no Spirochaetes							
phylum in NCBD phylum in NCBD							
phylum in wobi							
Oversea and a second and a second a s							
ALL TOPIC CONTRACT DATE OF A DECISION OF A DECISIONO OF A DECISIO							
Server 2 47% (miles Telepote Protechastonia Discours 201% Octors 1 37%	and the second se						
Protocolacteria Dépinymenophone (na phylem in NCEL	Exclusion						
Tenericutes Chytridiomycota							
And the second sec							
Concesso of Conces							
	Adapters :						
Contentry Class - Recontinuation							
In 12 12% Contract Open	Steen Preventing 19, 42%						

Bacteria 🔲



Low RA

Metabolism				Organismal Systems					
Carbohydrate metabolism		Energy in	Energy metabolism		Endocrine sys		5er71 .	Nervoue system	
		Meta of co and v	bolism Nectors Itamins	Lipid metabotsm	Digestive A		Aaina		
		Giy	can thesis	Metabolism of other	ananiman ayaa		system		
Amino acid	metubolism	and met	abolam	amino acids			Circulatory system	Exceptory	
		other se metab	condary intoites	-	adaptation		Sensory system	Designed	
6	Human Disea	sesdocrine		Genetic Information Cellular Process		cesses			
Infectious diseases: Viral Specific types		and Substance metabolic diseases		Replication	ing nd repay Ceil grow		growth ar	th and death	
		diseases.	-		-			1 2	
-			Drug					Cellar.	
Cancers:	diseases,	and Artic	interest weighteric		Folding,	Transport and a			
Overview Bacterial		Divo Arte	montal	Translation.	sorting and degradation				
Environmental Information Processing				Celular community cel					
			Transcription - sukaryobis moto			incest,			
Signal transduction		Not	included in P	athwa	ny or Brite	dead			
				Unclassifie	nd i m char	Poorly workers	ped esti	141	

#### KEGG Pathway abundance treemap

Protein families:		Protein families: signaling and cellular processes					
DNA repair and recombination proteins	Chromosome ar associated prote	sd ins	Transfi	er RNA riesis	Transporters		
Membrane trafficking	Ribosome biogen	****	Messery	jer RNA nesis	Prol Excesome de av		Prokaryotic defense system
DNA replication proteins	Chaperones and folding catalysts	Trav	nacription achinery	Translation Sectors	GTP-binding proteins	Secret	tion
Mtochondrial biogenesis	Ribosome	Tra	Ibquitin system inscription factors		Cytoskeleton proteins		
Protein kinases	Prote	in fa	milies; me Amin related	o acid enzymes	Protein phosphatases end associated proteins Peptidoglycan biosynthesis and degratation oritizins	Liped protection	

#### BRITE Hierarchies abundance treemap







B) DA KEGGs (LOW vs HIGH)

\* NS = kg/C \* p-val \* p-val&kg/C











```
Figure 8
```

Supplementary Material FigS1

Click here to access/download Supplementary Material SFig1.tif Supplementary Material FigS2

Click here to access/download Supplementary Material SFig2.tif





DEPARTAMENTO DE MEJORA GENETICA ANIMAL

Madrid, 28th October, 2021

Dear Editor Nicole Nogoy,

herewith, we re-submit our manuscript entitled "FUNGAL AND CILIATE PROTOZOA ARE THE MAIN RUMEN MICROBES ASSOCIATED WITH METHANE EMISSIONS IN DAIRY CATTLE", to be considered for publication in GigaScience.

The manuscript was previously submitted to GigaScience with manuscript ID: GIGA-D-21-00239. The manuscript was reviewed by three reviewers. Two of them recommended acceptance, whereas only one rejection. Unfortunately, the final decision was rejection. However, you kindly opened the door for a resubmission of the manuscript if we could address this reviewer's concerns in a full cover letter.

Hence, we took this opportunity and resubmit the manuscript explaining that we strongly disagree with the reasons given by the reviewer that led to rejection of the manuscript:

 the reviewer stated that the way we annotated genes is not correct. He said "I do not think it is at all clear that a DIAMOND search against the NR database (which is full of errors) will accurately reconstruct rumen taxonomy, nor do I believe that a DIAMOND search against KEGG or COG will reconstruct rumen function". And he provided some reference as example on how to properly do the search. Our method is actually the same procedure as the one used in the references he provided as an example on how to do this (which he actually coauthored): (https://www.nature.com/articles/s41467-018-03317-6). In this manuscript they stated: "Proteins were predicted using Prodigal (v.2.6.3) with option '-p meta'. Using DIAMOND, each protein was searched against KEGG (downloaded on 15 September 2018), UniRef100, UniRef90 and UniRef50 (downloaded 3 October 2018), and CAZy (dbCAN2 version, 31 July 2018). The protein predictions were clustered by CD-HIT<sup>68</sup>(v.4.7) at 100%, 90% and 50% identity, mirroring similar methods at UniRef.".

The reviewer assumed that the pipeline is not correct, but it actually follows the same procedure as the one cited by the reviewer as reference. The reviewer did not take the time to read how our pipeline works, which is fully described elsewhere: e.g. <u>https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2018.03349/full</u>,

https://bmcbioinformatics.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s12859-020-03703-2. Detailed descriptions can be also found in the manual (<u>https://github.com/jtamames/SqueezeMeta/blob/master/SqueezeMeta\_manual\_v1.4.</u> 0.pdf).

2) The second concern of the reviewer was: "The data presented directly contradict previous results

(e.g. <u>https://bmcgenomics.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s12864-015-2032-0</u>) and so the authors need to ensure they are sound." The manuscript he provided used results based on 16S and 18S RNA amplicons using abundances from qPCR. I'm not going to give details on how troublesome using PCR products from different amplicons can be at comparing bacteria, archaea and protozoa. His manuscript also used the GREENGENES database which has not been properly updated in the last decade. On the other hand, our study is free from possible bias caused by PCR, because we are using a metagenomic approach which is PCR free, and can properly compare <u>CORREO ELECTRÓNICO:</u> ative abundances of bacteria and eukaryotes. Our results are in agreement awith the Km. 7.5



DEPARTAMENTO DE MEJORA GENETICA ANIMAL

other studies that do not find a clear relationship between methane emissions and archaea relative abundance in the rumen (e.g. Shi W et al. 2014 Methane yield phenotypes linked to differential gene expression in the sheep rumen microbiome. Genome Res. 2014; doi: 10.1101/gr.168245.113. and Aguinaga Casañas MA, et al 2015. Methyl-coenzyme M reductase A as an indicator to estimate methane production from dairy cows. J Dairy Sci. 2015; doi: 10.3168/jds.2015-9310).

However, it must be pointed out that not-significant association does not imply absence of association, and therefore our results are not in contradiction with the reference provided by the reviewer. Besides, our results are in agreement with many other studies that reported an important role of fungi and protozoa with methane emissions, which we cited in our manuscript. For instances:

-Newbold CJ, et al. 2015 The role of ciliate protozoa in the rumen. Front Microbiol. 2015; doi:678 10.3389/fmicb.2015.01313.

-Williams AG and Coleman GS. The Rumen Protozoa. New York, NY: Springer New York;

and also Williams CL, et al. 2020. Rumen Protozoa Play a Significant Role in Fungal Predation and Plant Carbohydrate Breakdown. Front. Microbiol. 11:720. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2020.00720 (actually not cited in the manuscript).

Thus, our study does not contradict previous results, not even those from the reviewer, and are actually in agreement with many other studies.

3) Finally, Dr. Watson suggested in his review that we should use some specific rumen microbiome gene catalogues. Interestingly, these reference databases were built by the reviewer and his collaborators. We believe this may incur in some conflict of interest at evaluating the manuscript. His group is currently working on a similar topic, even building a large database of rumen microbiomes using ONT. The other two reviewers are also experienced bioinformaticians working with microbial communities and they did not report any concerns with the methods in our article. Nonetheless, in order to double check the reviewer's concern, we used the Hungate database as reference in our pipeline. Below is a figure that compares the number of reads mapped to the KEGGs that resulted significant in our statistical analysis using eaither the NCBI or the Hungate databases. The figure shows a correlation=1 for the number of reads assigned from each reference data base. It also shows that the regression is exactly linear with x=y. This is a proof of concept that demonstrates that we obtain the same results with either database, and proves the reviewer wrong.



CORREO ELECTRÓNICO: ovilo@inia.es





DEPARTAMENTO DE MEJORA GENETICA ANIMAL

Given the responses and analyses above, we believe that we have fully addressed the comments from the three reviewers or otherwise provided convincing reasons when appropriate.

Therefore, I would like to kindly ask to reconsider the decision made on our manuscript.

Sincerely,

Oscar González-Recio, on the behalf of my coauthors and myself

CORREO ELECTRÓNICO:

adrian.lopez@inia.es