SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

accompanying the manuscript

Discovery of actinomycin L, a new member of the actinomycin family of antibiotics

Nataliia Machushynets ^a, Somayah S. Elsayed ^a, Chao Du ^a, Maxime A. Siegler ^b, Mercedes de la Cruz ^c, Olga Genilloud ^c, Thomas Hankemeier ^d and Gilles P. van Wezel ^{a, #}

 ^a Molecular Biotechnology, Institute of Biology, Leiden University, Sylviusweg 72, 2333 BE, The Netherlands
 ^b Department of Chemistry, The Johns Hopkins University, 3400 North Charles Street, Baltimore, Maryland 21218, United States
 ^c Fundación MEDINA, Health Sciences Technology Park, Avda Conocimiento 34, 18016 Granada, Spain

^d Leiden Academic Centre for Drug Research (LACDR), Leiden University, Einsteinweg 55, 2333 CC, Leiden, The Netherlands

[#] Author for correspondence. Tel: +31 71 5274310; email: g.wezel@biology.leidenuniv.nl

SUPPLEMENTAL METHODS

Single Crystal X-ray Crystallography

All reflection intensities were measured at 100(2) K using a SuperNova diffractometer (equipped with Atlas detector) with Cu $K\alpha$ radiation ($\lambda = 1.54178$ Å) under the program CrysAlisPro (Version CrysAlisPro 1.171.39.29c, Rigaku OD, 2017). The same program was used to refine the cell dimensions and for data reduction. The structure was solved and refined with SHELXL-2018/3 (4). Analytical numeric absorption correction using a multifaceted crystal model was applied using CrysAlisPro. The temperature of the data collection was controlled using the system Cryojet (manufactured by Oxford Instruments). Prior to mounting the chosen single crystal on the diffractometer, crystals were placed in some Parabar 10312 on a microscope slide and cooled via a cold N₂(*g*) stream in order to protect the crystal from potential solvent loss. The H atoms were placed at calculated positions (unless otherwise specified) using the instructions AFIX 13, AFIX 23, AFIX 43, AFIX 137 or AFIX 147 with isotropic displacement parameters having values 1.2 or 1.5 U_{eq} of the attached C or O atoms. The H atoms attached to N2, N1', N2', N6', N7', N1" and N2" were found from difference Fourier maps, and their coordinates were refined pseudofreely using the DFIX instruction in order to keep the N–H bonds within an acceptable range. The structure is partly disordered.

The asymmetric unit contains one molecule of the target compound as well as some significant amount of lattice MeOH solvent molecules. Six solvent molecules were modelled as ordered with four being fully occupied and two with partial occupancy factors of 0.918(11) and 0.487(10). The contribution of the remaining amount of disordered lattice solvent molecules has been removed using the SQUEEZE procedure in Platon (5). Furthermore, the atoms C9", C10" and C11" are disordered over two orientations, and the occupancy factor of the major component of the disorder refines to 0.533(10). The absolute configuration has been established by anomalous-dispersion effects in diffraction measurements on the crystal, and the Flack and Hooft parameters refine to 0.08(5) and 0.06(5), respectively. The model has chirality S, S, R, R, R, S, S, S, R, R at C2', C2", C4', C4", C10'. C12', C18', C18", C23', C23", respectively. Structure visualization and image preparation was done using Mercury (2).

SUPPLEMENTAL TABLES AND FIGURES

Compound	Formula	Proposed ion	Measurement (<i>m/z</i>)	Calculated (m/z)	Diff (ppm)
PPL0	C31H46N5O9	[M+H]+	632.3170	632.3295	-18.99
	C31H44N5O8	[M+H-H ₂ O] ⁺	614.3177	614.3189	-1.2
	C25H33N4O7	[M+H-(H-MeVal-OH)]+	501.2347	501.2349	0.65
	C22H28N3O6	[M+H-(H-Sar-MeVal-OH)]*	430.1969	430.1978	-0.84
	C19H35N4O6	[(H-Val-HyPro-Sar-MeVal-OH)+H]+	415.2552	415.2556	0.214
	C19H33N4O5	[(H-Val-HyPro-Sar-MeVal-OH)+H-H ₂ O] ⁺	397.2441	397.2450	-1.124
	C14H26N3O5	[(H-Hyp-Sar-MeVal-OH)+H] ⁺	316.1870	316.1872	0.957
	C14H24N3O4	[(H-Hyp-Sar-MeVal-OH)+H-H ₂ O] ⁺	298.1761	298.1766	-0.110
	C13H22N3O4	[(H-Val-HyPro-Sar)+H] ⁺	284.1606	284.1610	0.413
	C12H12NO3	[M+H-(H-Val-HyPro-Sar-MeVal-OH)]*	218.0816	218.0817	1.973
	C9H19N2O3	[(H-Sar-MeVal-OH)+H]+	203.1391	203.1395	0.399
	C ₆ H ₁₄ NO ₂	[(H-MeVal-OH)+H] ⁺	132.1015	132.1024	-3.067
	C4H6NO2	[Thr+H] ⁺	100.0389	100.0398	-4.048
PPL1	C31H46N5O8	[M+H]+	616.3326	616.3346	-2.417
	C31H44N5O7	[M+H-H ₂ O] ⁺	598.3248	598.3241	2,131
	C25H33N4O6	[M+H-(H-MeVal-OH)]*	485,2392	485,2400	-0.538
	C22H28N3O5	[M+H-(H-Sar-MeVal-OH)] ⁺	414,2025	414,2028	0.368
	C19H35N4O5	[(H-Val-Pro-Sar-MeVal-OH)+H] ⁺	399,2607	399,2607	1.261
	C19H33N4O4	[(H-Val-Pro-Sar-MeVal-OH)+H-H2O]*	381 2504	381 2501	2 014
	C17H21N2O4	[M+H-(H-Pro-Sar-MeVal-OH)]+	317 1496	317 1501	0.052
	C14H26N2O4	[(H-Pro-Sar-MeVal-OH)+H]+	300 1916	300 1923	-0.609
	C14H24N2O2	$[(H-Pro-Sar-Me)/al-OH)+H-H_2O]^+$	282 1809	282 1817	-1 127
	C12H22N2O2	[(H-Val-Pro-Sar)+H]+	268 1657	268 1661	0.492
	CoH10NoO2	[(H-Sar-MeVal-OH)+H] ⁺	203 1392	203 1395	0.891
	CoHtoNoOo	$[(H-Dro-Sar)+H]^+$	160 0068	160 0077	-2.095
	CoH4NOo		132 1016	132 1024	-2.000
	C HaNOa		100 0301	100 0308	-2.010
PPI 2		[N+H]+	630 3132	630 3130	-2.045
PPL2	Ca4H40NcOg		612 3027	612 3033	-0.245
	CarHaaN4Oa	$[M+H_{-}(H_{-}M_{O})/a])^{+}$	517 2286	517 2298	-0.140
	C251 1331 V4O8		400.2100	400 2102	0.540
	C25113111407	$[M_{\pm} \square (\square Sar Me)/al O \square)]^{\dagger}$	433.2130	433.2132	0.045
	C2211261306	$[(\square)(a) \bigcirc a^{-} (\square)(a) \bigcirc a$	420.1014	420.1021	-0.495
			305 2202	205 2204	1 021
	C19F1311N4O5		214 1711	214 1715	0.169
			206 1605	206 1610	0.100
	C14H22N3O4		290.1003	290.1010	0.039
	C13H20N3O4		282.1448	282.1403	-0.116
	C12H12NO3	[M+H-(H-Val-OxoPro-Sar-MeVal-OH)]*	218.0815	218.0817	1.514
	C9H19N2O3		203.1391	203.1395	0.399
	C6H14NO2	[(H-MeVal-OH)+H] ⁺	132.1017	132.1024	-1.554
	C4H6NO2	[Inr+H]⁺	100.0391	100.0398	-2.049
PPL3	C38H50N7O9	[M+H] ⁺	748.3663	748.3670	-0.204
	C38H48N7O8	[M+H-H ₂ O] ⁺	/30.3561	/30.3564	0.290
	C32H37N6O7	[M+H-(H-MeVal-OH)]⁺	617.2716	617.2723	-0.363
	C ₂₆ H ₃₉ N ₆ O ₆	[(H-Val-AntPro-Sar-MeVal-OH)+H]+	531.2928	531.2931	0.453
	C ₂₆ H ₃₇ N ₆ O ₅	[(H-Val-AntPro-Sar-MeVal-OH)+H-H ₂ O] ⁺	513.2820	513.2825	0.010
	C ₂₁ H ₃₀ N ₅ O ₅	[(H-AntPro-Sar-MeVal-OH)+H]*	432.2247	432.2246	1.283
	C ₂₁ H ₂₈ N ₅ O ₄	[(H-AntPro-Sar-MeVal-OH)+H-H ₂ O] ⁺	414.2137	414.2141	0.288
	C20H26N5O4	[(H-Val-AntPro-Sar)+H]+	400.1982	400.1984	0.673
	C17H21N4O3	[(H-Val-AntPro)+H]+	329.1607	329.1613	-0.36
	C17H21N2O4	[M+H-(H-AntPro-Sar-MeVal-OH)]*	317.1493	317.1501	-0.894
	C15H17N4O3	[(H-AntPro-Sar)+H] ⁺	301.1295	301.1300	-0.056
	C9H19N2O3	[(H-Sar-MeVal-OH)+H] ⁺	203.1390	203.1395	-0.093
	C ₆ H ₁₄ NO ₂	[(H-MeVal-OH)+H]+	132.1014	132.1024	-3.824
	C ₄ H ₆ NO ₂	[Thr+H] ⁺	100.0401	100.0398	7.947

Table S1. Accurate mass of [M+H]⁺ and product ions of the PPLs analyzed be ESI-QTOF MS/MS.

Table S2. Gene organization of the actinomycins biosynthetic gene cluster in *Streptomyces* sp. MBT27 and similarities to corresponding protein sequences encoded by orthologues in the *S. antibioticus IMRU 3720* biosynthetic gene cluster.

<i>S. antibioticus IMRU 3720</i> gene	Function	<i>Streptomyces</i> sp. MBT27 actinomycin cluster ORF	Identity	Similarity
saacmT	Hypothetical protein	ORF01	0.80	0.89
saacmS	Hypothetical protein	ORF02	0.84	0.93
saacmR	MbtH-like protein	ORF03	0.86	0.94
saacmD	4-MHA carrier protein AcmACP	ORF04	0.60	0.69
saacmA	Peptide synthetase ACMS I	ORF05	0.71	0.78
saacmB	Peptide synthetase ACMS II	ORF06	0.70	0.78
saacmC	Peptide synthetase ACMS III	ORF07	0.77	0.85
saacmE	Hypothetical protein	ORF08	0.91	0.93
saacmF	Aryl formamidase	ORF09	0.79	0.82
saacmG	Tryptophan 2,3-dioxygenase	ORF10	0.83	0.89
saacmK	Kynureninase	ORF11	0.86	0.91
saacmL	Methyltransferase	ORF12	0.88	0.93
saacmM	Cytochrome P450	ORF13	0.85	0.88
saacmN	Ferredoxin	ORF14	0.69	0.78
saacmO	LmbU-like protein	ORF15	0.72	0.80
saacmP	TetR family transcriptional regulator	ORF16	0.77	0.86
saacmQ	Siderophore-interacting protein	ORF17	0.76	0.85
saacmrA	ABC transporter ATPase subunit	ORF18	0.89	0.93
saacmrB	ABC 2-type transporter	ORF19	0.93	0.96
saacmrC	UvrA-like protein	ORF20	0.86	0.90

 Table S3. X-ray crystallography data.

	xs2313a	
Crystal data		
Chemical formula	C ₆₉ H ₉₀ N ₁₄ O ₁₇ ·5.405(CH ₄ O)	
M _r	1560.87	
Crystal system, space group	Trigonal, P3 ₂ 21	
Temperature (K)	100	
<i>a</i> , <i>c</i> (Å)	18.4731 (2), 42.4216 (5)	
$V(Å^3)$	12537.1 (3)	
Ζ	6	
Radiation type	Cu <i>K</i> α	
μ (mm ⁻¹)	0.77	
Crystal size (mm)	0.23 imes 0.15 imes 0.07	
	·	
Data collection		
Diffractometer	SuperNova, Dual, Cu at zero, Atlas	
Absorption correction	Analytical <i>CrysAlis PRO</i> 1.171.40.53 (Rigaku Oxford Diffraction, 2019) Analytical numeric absorption correction using a multifaceted crystal model based on expressions derived by (1). Empirical absorption correction using spherical harmonics, implemented in SCALE3 ABSPACK scaling algorithm.	
T_{\min}, T_{\max}	0.897, 0.957	
No. of measured, independent and observed $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ reflections	90598, 14994, 13477	
R _{int}	0.043	
$(\sin \theta / \lambda)_{max} (\text{Å}^{-1})$	0.598	
Refinement		
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)],$ $wR(F^2), S$	0.046, 0.128, 1.03	
No. of reflections	14994	
No. of parameters	1091	
No. of restraints	79	

H-atom treatment	H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement	
$\Delta \rho_{max}, \Delta \rho_{min} (e \text{ Å}^{-3})$	0.68, -0.26	
Absolute structure	Flack x determined using 5493 quotients $[(I+)-(I-)]/[(I+)+(I-)]$ (3).	
Absolute structure parameter	0.08 (5)	



Fig. S1 Chemical structure of actinomycin. Actinomycins are composed of a chromophore group highlighed with the red square and two pentapeptide chains, where aminoacid AA2 and AA3 differs depending on the actinomycin group.



Fig. S2 Representative results of antimicrobial activity of *Streptomyces* **sp. MBT27 extracts against** *B. subtilis. Streptomyces* **sp.** MBT27 was fermented in minimal medium (MM) with different carbon sources, namely (percentages in w/v): 1% of both mannitol and glycerol, 1% mannitol, 2% mannitol, 1% glycerol, 2% glycerol, 1% glucose, 2% glucose, 1% fructose, 1% arabinose, or 1% *N*-acetylglucosamine (GlcNAc), and extracted with ethyl acetate. The red boxes indicate active extracts of *Streptomyces* **sp.** MBT27, while the green boxes represent extracts without antibacterial activity against *B. subtilis.* Ampicillin was used as a positive control. Note, that extracts of cultures fermented with different carbon sources showed different bioactivity profiles.



Fig. S3 Permutation validation of OPLS-DA model.



Fig. S4 HRMS spectrum of 1.



Fig. S5 HRMS spectrum of 2.



Fig. S6 ¹H NMR spectrum of **1** (850 MHz, in CDCl₃ with TMS).



Fig. S7 $^{1}H-^{1}H$ TOCSY spectrum of **1** (850 MHz, in CDCl₃ with TMS).



Fig. S8 $^{1}H-^{1}H$ COSY spectrum of 1 (850 MHz, in CDCl₃ with TMS).



Fig. S9 HSQC spectrum of 1 (850 MHz, in CDCl₃ with TMS).



Fig. S10 HMBC spectrum of 1 (850 MHz, in CDCl₃ with TMS).



Fig. S11 NOESY spectrum of 1 (850 MHz, in $CDCI_3$ with TMS).



Fig. S12 Stacked ¹H NMR spectra of 1 and 2 (850 MHz, in CDCl₃ with TMS).



Fig. S13 UV spectrum of 1.



Fig. S14 UV spectrum of 2.



Fig. S15 IR spectrum of 1.



Fig. S16 IR spectrum of 2.



Fig. S17 *S. antibioticus* is incapable to produces actinomycin L unless anthranilamide is supplied. Box plots showing the relative intensities of anthranilamide, actinomycin L_1 and L_2 after log transformation and pareto scaling in the cultures of *S. antibioticus* fermented for seven days in MM with different carbon sources 1. 1% fructose; 2. 1% fructose + 0.7 mM anthranilamide; 3. 1% glycerol; 4. 1% mannitol + 1 % glycerol; 5. 2% glycerol (all %ages in w/v).



Fig. S18 QTOF MS/MS spectrum of 4-MHB-containing pentapeptide lactone PPL 0.



Fig. S19 QTOF MS/MS spectrum of 4-MHB-containing pentapeptide lactone PPL 1.



Fig. S20 QTOF MS/MS spectrum of 4-MHB-containing pentapeptide lactone PPL 2.



Fig. S21 QTOF MS/MS spectrum of 4-MHB-containing pentapeptide lactone PPL 3.



Fig. S22 Alignment of actinomycin biosynthetic gene cluster from *S. antibioticus* and *Streptomyces* sp. MBT27. Grey arrows indicate genes with unknown function. Grey bars connecting homologues pairs from the two cluster, the identity of two genes is indicated by different levels of greyness.

REFERENCES

- 1. Clark RC, and Reid JS. 1995. The analytical calculation of absorption in multifaceted crystals. Acta Crystallogr A 51:887-897.
- 2. Macrae CF, Sovago I, Cottrell SJ, Galek PTA, McCabe P, Pidcock E, Platings M, Shields GP, Stevens JS, Towler M and Wood PA. 2020. Mercury 4.0: from visualization to analysis, design and prediction J. Appl. Cryst 53, 226-235.
- 3. Parsons S, Flack HD, and Wagner T. 2013. Use of intensity quotients and differences in absolute structure refinement. Acta Crystallogr B 69:249-259.
- 4. Sheldrick G. 2015. SHELXT Integrated space-group and crystal-structure determination. Acta Crystallogr A 71:3-8.
- 5. Spek A. 2009. Structure validation in chemical crystallography. Acta Crystallographica Section D 65:148-155.