The American Journal of Human Genetics, Volume 109

Supplemental information

MRSD: A quantitative approach for assessing

suitability of RNA-seq in the investigation of

mis-splicing in Mendelian disease

Charlie F. Rowlands, Algy Taylor, Gillian Rice, Nicola Whiffin, Hildegard Nikki Hall, William G. Newman, Graeme C.M. Black, kConFab Investigators, Raymond T. O'Keefe, Simon Hubbard, Andrew G.L. Douglas, Diana Baralle, Tracy A. Briggs, and Jamie M. Ellingford

List of Contents

Figure S1

Categories of potentially pathogenic splicing events and their representation in analytical pipeline output

Figure S2

Workflow for MRSD score generation

Figure S3

Sequencing depths of RNA-seq samples used for evaluation of MRSD model accuracy

Figure S4

MRSD scores vary among the different transcripts of individual genes

Figure S5

Transcripts deemed as unfeasible through hierarchical selection are themselves likely to be unfeasible

Figure S6

Effect of varying sequencing read length on MRSD model performance

Figure S7

MRSD scores are generally lower when derived from RNA-seq runs of longer read length

Figure S8

Evidence for 3' sequencing bias confounding the use of TPM as a guiding RNA-seq metric

Figure S9

Exemplar events identified during pathogenic splice event analysis

Figure S10

Relative gene expression level does not reflect the raw read coverage of transcript splice junctions

Figure S11

Pairwise comparisons, by tissue, of MRSD scores for PanelApp disease gene

Figure S12

Proportion of low-MRSD genes per tissue for all PanelApp panels, ordered by panel size

a) Low-MRSD gene proportions for large panels (> 50 genes)

- b) Low-MRSD gene proportions for medium panels (21-50 genes)
- c) Low-MRSD gene proportions for small panels (11-20 genes)
- d) Low-MRSD gene proportions for very small panels (≤ 10 genes)

Figure S13

Proportion of low-MRSD genes per tissue for all PanelApp panels, ordered alphabetically by panel name

- a) Low-MRSD gene proportions for panels named A-E
- b) Low-MRSD gene proportions for panels named F-L
- c) Low-MRSD gene proportions for panels named M-R
- d) Low-MRSD gene proportions for panels named S-X

Figure S14

Increasing specified read coverage reduces the number of ClinVar variants that can be analyzed

Figure S15

Increasing specified read count removes highly VUS-prone genes from the scope of analysis

Table S1

Summary of pathogenic splicing variants analyzed during this study

Table S2

Summary of datasets used in this study

Methods S1

Illustration of MRSD calculation methodology

Methods S2

Tiering methodology for selection of transcripts for MRSD generation

Methods S3

Tissue-specific criteria for filtering of high-quality GTEx control RNA-seq datasets

Methods S4

Sample IDs of GTEx samples used to generate control datasets Skeletal muscle Whole blood EBV-transformed lymphocytes (LCLs) Cultured fibroblasts

Supplementary Results

References



Figure S1. Categories of potentially pathogenic splicing events and their representation in analytical pipeline output. Disruption of (**A**) wild-type splicing may lead to (**B**) skipping of one or more exons, the creation of novel splice sites in (**C**) exonic or (**D**) intronic regions that may outcompete the canonical sites, or result in (**E**) the generation of an intronic pseudoexon. (**F**) Splicing may be abrogated completely, leading to total retention of the intron. (**G**) Within longer exons, creation of a novel splice site may lead to a so-called "exitron", whereby a central portion of the exon is absent from the final transcript. Green triangles indicate canonical splice sites; red triangles indicate non-canonical sites.



Figure S2. Workflow for MRSD score generation. Users can create their own MRSD scores using the code provided online at <u>https://github.com/mcgm-mrsd/mrsd-explorer</u>. Starting with a set of RNA-seq samples, reads are aligned and the split reads counted using an established pipeline. Then, using our bespoke Python scripts, users can generate their own predictive scores (using parameters of their choice) and classify transcripts according to the level of sequencing required to obtain the specified coverage. Alternatively, users are free to investigate pre-computed scores for all GENCODE v19 genes across four tissues (whole blood, skeletal muscle, cultured fibroblasts and lymphoblastoid cell lines, or LCLs) at our web portal: <u>http://mcgm-mrsd.github.io/</u>



Figure S3. Sequencing depths of RNA-seq samples used for evaluation of MRSD model accuracy. Whole blood (n = 12), LCL (n = 4) and skeletal muscle (n = 52) RNA-seq samples were derived from in-house or previously published data (3) for validation of the MRSD model efficacy. Sequencing depths across the three tissues ranged from 20.6-281.5 M uniquely mapping reads.





Figure S4. *Extent of variability in MRSD scores among the different transcripts of individual genes.* (**A**) Considering the MRSDs of genes with up to 20 MRSD-feasible GENCODE-annotated transcripts, we observed a median relative variability in MRSD (coefficient of variation, CV) across the four analysed tissues of 0.37-0.49. An increased number of transcripts per gene was associated with a small, gradual increase in CV. (**B**) Where our selected transcript generated an MRSD prediction, we observed only a small median difference in MRSD between this prediction and that of the lowest-MRSD transcript annotated for the same gene (median difference of 1.06-3.65 M reads).



Figure S5. *Transcripts in genes deemed unfeasible through hierarchical selection are themselves likely to be unfeasible.* (**A**) Among genes for which our hierarchically selected transcript is deemed unfeasible through MRSD, 89.05-90.37% with multiple transcripts in GENCODE v19 are predicted to have no feasible transcripts. Of all the transcript tiers, unfeasible RefSeq composite transcripts are most likely to be assigned to genes with at least one feasible transcript. (**B**) In the remaining cases (in which an unfeasible gene is predicted to have at least one feasible transcript), the median MRSD for the lowest-MRSD transcript ranges from 108.59-157.78 M reads, depending on tissue choice.



Figure S6. *Effect of varying sequencing read length on MRSD model performance.* Despite being derived from 75 bp paired end RNA-seq data, MRSD scores show similar performance when applied to 75 or 150 bp paired end read-based RNA-seq, both in terms of (top) PPV and (bottom) NPV. When specifying 75% splice junction coverage, MRSD PPV is generally higher when the model is applied to 150 bp read-based data. This likely reflects the fact that junctions predicted to be sufficiently covered by 75 bp reads will be more likely to be sufficiently covered by reads of greater length, and so positive predictions are more likely to hold true when applied to longer-read data. We also observe that NPV for 150 bp read datasets is lower than that for 75 bp across all 4 parameter combinations; conversely to PPV, this is possibly because transcripts not sufficiently covered by 75 bp reads are more likely to be sufficiently covered by 150 bp reads, thus making negative predictions less likely to hold true in longer-read data. In most cases, differences in model performance between 75 and 150 bp is low, suggesting MRSD may, in some cases, provide a suitable approximation of transcript coverage in RNA-seq datasets with read lengths different to those used to construct the model.

```
Figure S7
```



Figure S7. MRSD scores are generally lower when derived from RNA-seg runs of longer read length. MRSD predictions generated from 20 LCL-based 150 bp RNA sequencing runs were compared against those generated following trimming of the same reads to a maximum of 75 bp. For 45.8% (1520/3322) of disease-associated genes, coverage was too poor to generate an MRSD score regardless of read length (group 6), while MRSDs could be generated but remained the same regardless of read length for just 4/3322 (0.12%) genes (group 5). Intuitively, of the 54.1% (1798/3322) of genes for which at least one dataset allowed MRSD generation, a higher MRSD was observed in the 75 bp dataset for 86.5% (1555/1798, groups 1 and 2). However, for the remaining 13.5% of genes (243/1798, groups 3 and 4), a lower MRSD score was generated using the 75 bp dataset than the 150 bp dataset. For many of these genes, it was determined that a shortening of the reads actually improved their quality to the extent that they were more likely to pass the enforced quality filters – namely, that a mapping event must be the primary alignment, that the read must map successfully (i.e. must have a mapping quality of 60) and that the read must be a split read. We observed that in group 4, comprising genes for which MRSD generation is unfeasible using the 150 bp dataset but feasible using the 75 bp dataset, there was a median 36.8-fold increase in the number of reads passing these read filters following trimming (bottom). Further work is needed to investigate alternative causes of this counterintuitive pattern, and to determine whether the discarding of the longer reads represents an artefactual drawback to the read filtering process, or an effective way to filter reads for quality that is missed using shorter reads.







Figure S8. Evidence for 3' sequence bias confounding the use of TPM as a guiding RNAseq metric. Analyzing the number of reads (per 1 M uniquely mapping input reads) mapping to individual splice junctions within three genes with substantial TPM-MRSD discrepancy demonstrates that highly expressed genes may exhibit biased coverage of splice junctions. For IGHM (top) and ALDOA (middle) in LCLs and muscle, respectively, a sufficient proportion of junctions towards the 3' end of the transcript have no read support in a sufficient number of patients, resulting in an MRSD prediction of "unfeasible", despite high coverage of other junctions within the same transcript. Coverage of the final two splice junctions in RPL10 (bottom) in LCL-based RNA-seq data is low but not non-zero in many patients, giving a feasible but high MRSD prediction. In some cases, this bias may result from artefacts of library preparation, or may possible reflect genuine isoform shifts in the given tissue. Higher splice junction numbers represent junctions closer to the 3' end of transcripts.



Figure S9. *Exemplar events identified during pathogenic splice event analysis.* Selected Sashimi plots for (**A**) exon skipping, (**B**) exonic splice gain, (**C**) pseudoexonization and (**D**) intron retention events identified as the cause of disease in our patient datasets. The presence of aberrant splice junctions with outlying event metrics allowed flagging of these as potentially pathogenic. For (**D**), the intron retention event was identified from the 2 reads supporting usage of an extremely weak alternative splice acceptor four bases downstream of the abrogated canonical acceptor; however, in the absence of any aberrant splicing events, intron retention events are more difficult to identify from RNA-seq data using current bioinformatics pipelines.



Figure S10. *Relative gene expression level does not reflect the raw read coverage of transcript splice junctions.* When simulating decreased gene expression by downsampling reads in genes containing novel splicing events identified in upstream analysis, it emerged that expression of a gene (in transcripts per million, TPM) does not directly correlate with the number of reads supporting splice junctions in that gene. Among the events supported by 8 reads, for example, gene expression ranged from 0.17-52 TPM. This may be accounted for by variation in the proportion of transcripts containing the event, variation in the coverage across the length of a transcript (as shown in Figure S4), or variation in the depth to which a sample has been sequenced. Thus, when specifying a metric threshold above which we expect splice aberration to be observable, relative expression level may not appropriately represent expected read support. Axes are limited for ease of visualization.

Figure S11. Pairwise comparisons, by tissue, of predicted MRSD scores for PanelApp disease genes.



A) MRSD predictions in muscle vs. blood

B) MRSD predictions in LCLs vs. muscle



Figure S12. Proportion of low-MRSD genes per tissue for all PanelApp panels, ordered by panel size.

A) Low-MRSD gene proportions for large panels (> 50 genes)



B) Low-MRSD gene proportions for medium panels (21-50 genes)



C) Low-MRSD proportions for small panels (11-20 genes)



D) Low-MRSD gene proportions for very small panels (≤ 10 genes)



Figure S13. Proportion of low-MRSD genes per tissue for all PanelApp panels, ordered alphabetically by panel name.

A) Low-MRSD gene proportions for panels named A-E



B) Low-MRSD proportions for panels named F-L

Panel



Percentage of panel genes with MRSD ≤ 100M

C) Low-MRSD gene proportions for panels named M-R



D) Low-MRSD gene proportions for panels named S-X





Figure S14. Increasing specified read coverage reduces the number of ClinVar variants that can be analyzed. Similarly to Figure 7a (main text), we generated MRSD scores for genes harboring predicted splice-impacting ClinVar variants (SpliceAI score ≥ 0.5 (4)) using more stringent read coverage parameters (10 and 20 reads). We observed only a small reduction in the number of ClinVar variants in low-MRSD genes when specifying 10 reads (24.9-64.0% dependent on parameters). Specifying 20 read coverage, however, dramatically reduces the percentage of ClinVar variants in low-MRSD genes to 18.7-52.0%.





Figure S15. Increasing specified read count removes highly VUS-prone genes from the scope of analysis. Similarly to Figure 7b, we looked among the 30 genes harboring the most predicted splice-impacting ClinVar variants and considered how many were low-MRSD in at least one of the four investigated tissues when specifying increasing levels of read coverage. Only one extra gene, ATM, becomes ostensibly high-MRSD when specifying a 10-read coverage parameter when compared with the 8-read coverage data (Figure 7b). However, by specifying a 20-read level of coverage, a further four genes are removed from the scope of analysis, leaving 18/30 (60%) still considered low-MRSD.

Variant (HGVSg)	Gene	Source of RNA	Phenotype	ТРМ	MRSD (M reads)
chr2:152,355,017G>T					
chr2:152,389,953A>C	NEB		Nemaline myopathy	857.9	9.83
chr2:152,544,805C>T					
chrX:31,790,694- 31,798,498invdel	DMD		Duchenne muscular dystrophy	24.84	79.4
chrX:32,274,692G>A			Myalgia, myoglobinuria		
chr2:179,446,219ATACT>A		Skeletal	Fetal akinesia	349.5	47.63
chr2:179,642,185G>A	TTN	muscle	Multi/minicore congenital myopathy		
chr21:47,409,881C>T chr21:47,409,881C>T	COL6A1		Collagen VI-related dystrophy	56.02	16.25
chr19:38,958,362C>T	RYR1		Congenital fiber-type disproportion	425.5	3.45
chr1:46,655,129C>A	POMGNT1		α -Dystroglycanopathy	29.26	6.01
chr17:41,199,655C>G				19.985	217.19
chr17:41,246,879T>C					
chr17:41,246,879T>C	BRCA1				
chr17:41,246,879T>C	LCL		Inherited breast cancer susceptibility		
chr17:41,258,551C>A					
chr13:32,945,238G>A	PDCA2			10 16	lInfeasible
chr13:32,969,074A>T	DITOAZ			10.10	Unicasible
chr19:33,892,776C>T	PEPD		Prolidase deficiency	18.89	28.31
chr20:35,526,363C>G	SAMHD1	Whole blood	Aicardi-Goutières syndrome	48.53	24.68
chr23:153,997,595G>A	MED13L		MRFACD	5.89	262.34

Table S1. *Summary of pathogenic splicing events analyzed in this study.* All co-ordinates are given in relation to the GRCh37 genome build. TPM, transcripts per million; MRSD, minimum required sequencing depth.

Tissue	No. samples	Source	Sequencing type	Usage		
Blood	151					
LCL	91	GTEx	75-bp paired end poly- A enrichment, Illumina	Generation of MRSD model, bootstrapping analysis of event counts		
Muscle	184					
Blood	1		150-bp paired end globin depletion, Illumina	Collation of known pathogenic mis- splicing events		
	12	Inhouse	75-bp paired end poly- A enrichment, Illumina	Collation of known pathogenic mis- splicing events & MRSD model validation		
LCL	20		150-bp paired end poly-A enrichment, Illumina	Collation of known pathogenic mis- splicing events		
	4	Inhouse	75-bp paired end poly- A enrichment, Illumina	MRSD model validation		
Muscle	52	Previously published data (3)	75-bp paired end poly- A enrichment, Illumina	Collation of known pathogenic mis- splicing events, downsampling of pathogenic events & MRSD model validation		

Table S2. *Summary of RNA-seq datasets utilized in this study.* RNA-seq datasets derived using different methodologies were used for various aspects of this study. All data used to generate the MRSD model was based on data from the GTEx consortium across all three analyzed tissues.

Methods S1.

Minimum required sequencing depth (MRSD) score (further elaboration).

MRSD is defined for an individual transcript in a given sample as:

$$MRSD_m = r / \left(\frac{R_p}{d}\right)$$

Where r is the desired level of read coverage across desired proportion p of splice junctions. *R* is the set of read counts supporting each of the splice junctions in the transcript of interest, ordered from lowest to highest, and R_p is the read count at the position in R at which proportion p of read counts values in R are greater than or equal to it. d represents the total number of sequencing reads, in millions of reads, in the RNA-seq sample (by default, the number of uniquely mapping sequencing reads), and (m) represents the MRSD parameter.

For instance, suppose a sample sequenced to a depth (d) of 40 M uniquely mapping sequencing reads generates coverage of 14, 16, 6 and 10 reads across the splice junctions of a five-exon transcript. Suppose we wish 75% of splice junctions to be covered by a minimum of 6 reads (i.e. p = 0.75 and r = 6). Here, $R = \{6, 10, 14, 16\}$ and $R_p = 10$, as 3/4 (75%, i.e. p) of all values in R are greater than or equal to 10. Inserting these values into the formula shows that this transcript has an MRSD of $\frac{6}{10/40} = 24 M$ uniquely mapping

sequencing reads in this sample.

The set of MRSD scores for the given transcript are then collated across all control samples and ordered from lowest to highest. The score at the m-th percentile position in the collated list of sample-specific MRSDs is returned as the overall MRSD for that transcript, where m is termed the "MRSD parameter" and is customizable by the user (default = 0.95). The MRSD_{0.99} of a transcript represents the sequencing depth that would be required for 99% of control samples to achieve the specified coverage for that transcript. The MRSD parameter therefore approximately represents the likelihood that a sequencing run at the returned depth will yield the desired coverage level.

Illustration of MRSD calculation methodology, MRSD scores utilize the level of read coverage supporting the existence of splice junctions in control RNA-seq datasets to predict the depth of sequencing required to achieve a specified level of splice junction coverage in a transcript of interest. For a given transcript in a given individual:

- 1. Read coverage values are collated across all splice junctions in the transcript model (with a single transcript assigned to each gene if investigating at the gene level, see Methods S2, below)
- 2. Each of these values is divided by the sequencing depth by default defined as the number of uniquely mapping sequencing reads (in millions of reads) to produce a per-1 M read coverage value for each junction
- 3. The desired level of read coverage is divided by the per-1 M read coverage value of the splice junction with the X'th percentile lowest read coverage, which gives the depth of sequencing that would be required for X% of junctions to be covered with the desired number of reads or higher. This figure is the sample-specific MRSD.

The sample-specific MRSDs are collated across all control RNA-seq samples, and a global MRSD is then derived by taking the *m*-th percentile highest prediction from among these; *m* is termed the MRSD parameter, and represents the proportion of control RNA-seg samples for which sequencing at the returned MRSD would have sufficiently covered that gene. By

extension, it is also an approximate measure of the likelihood that a subsequent RNA-seq run at the returned depth will yield the specified coverage.



Methods S2. *Tiering methodology for selection of transcripts for MRSD generation.* To calculate MRSD values for all protein-coding genes, a single transcript model was established for each gene. Firstly, transcripts present in the MANE v0.7 curated transcript set were selected for genes where these existed, provided the co-ordinates of all splice junctions in that transcript (given in relation to the GRCh38 reference genome) mapped back to known junctions in build GRCh37. For genes where these conditions were not met, transcript models were formed from the union of all junctions present in all RefSeq transcripts listed for that gene on Ensembl BioMart. Finally, for any genes lacking a corresponding RefSeq transcript(s), a transcript model was derived consisting of the union of all junctions present in all transcripts assigned to that gene in the GENCODE v19 annotation.



Methods S3. *Tissue-specific criteria for filtering of high-quality GTEx control RNA-seq datasets.* Filtering of GTEx controls was conducted to select the highest quality samples based on the below tissue-specific parameters. Parameters were selected and adjusted on a tissue-by-tissue basis to exclude metric outliers and samples that may confound analysis of pathogenic splicing events (e.g. excluding cancer patients from LCL control cohorts, in which inherited breast cancer was studied). The corresponding column names in the GTEx v8 sample attribute (pht002743.v8) and subject phenotype (pht002742.v8) files are italicized.

Skeletal muscle (as listed in [1])

- RNA integrity number/RIN (SMRIN): between 6-9
- Sample ischemic time (*SMTSISCH*): <720 (i.e. <12 hours)
- Hardy scale (DTHHRDY): 0, 1 or 2, corresponding to sudden deaths
- Age (*AGE*): <50
 - o Unless BMI <30

Whole blood

- Samples included in GTEx analysis freeze, corresponding to higher quality samples (*SMAFRZE*): not flagged EXCLUDE due to technical issues
- RIN (*SMRIN*): between 6-9
- Sample ischemic time (SMTSISCH): <0
- Hardy scale (DTHHRDY): 0, 1 or 2

EBV-transformed lymphocytes (LCLs)

- *SMAFRZE*: not flagged EXCLUDE due to technical issues
- RIN (SMRIN): > 9
- *MHCANCER5*, *MHCANCERC* and *MHCANCERNM* all 0 to eliminate all nonmetastatic cancers and all cancers in the past 5 years or current
- *DTHHRDY*: 0, 1 or 2
- No reported history (MHGENCMT) of:
 - o Breast cancer
 - Ovarian cancer
 - Pancreatic cancer
 - o Prostate cancer
 - $\circ \quad \text{Colorectal cancer}$
 - No patients filtered out through this criterion

Cultured fibroblasts

- As for EBV-transformed lymphocytes, except with the addition of the following:
 - RIN (SMRIN) > 9.7
 - Uniquely mapping reads (*MPPDUN*): > 60 M

Skeletal muscle (as listed in [1])

GTEX-111CU-2026 GTEX-111YS-2326 GTEX-1122O-2426 GTEX-113JC-2726 GTEX-117YX-2526 GTEX-11DXX-2726 GTEX-11DXZ-2426 GTEX-11EM3-2126 GTEX-11EMC-2626 GTEX-11EQ9-2126 GTEX-11178-2426 GTEX-11LCK-1226 GTEX-11NSD-2026 GTEX-11P81-2526 GTEX-11P82-1826 GTEX-11VI4-1926 GTEX-11WQC-2626 GTEX-11WQK-0726 GTEX-11XUK-2226 GTEX-11ZTT-2626 GTEX-11ZVC-2726 GTEX-1211K-2126 GTEX-12BJ1-2526 GTEX-12C56-1926 GTEX-12WSJ-1726 GTEX-12WSN-2526 GTEX-12ZZX-0326 GTEX-12ZZY-0626 GTEX-13111-2226 GTEX-1314G-1726 GTEX-131XF-2326 GTEX-131XG-2326 GTEX-132AR-1026 GTEX-132NY-0726 GTEX-1339X-2426 GTEX-133LE-2026 GTEX-1399Q-2426 GTEX-1399R-2526 GTEX-1399S-2726 GTEX-1399U-2526 GTEX-139D8-0726 GTEX-139UW-2626 GTEX-139YR-2526 GTEX-13CF3-1826 GTEX-13D11-2526 GTEX-13FH7-2126 GTEX-13FHO-0726 GTEX-13FTW-2326 GTEX-13FTY-0226 GTEX-13FXS-0326

GTEX-OIZH-1626 GTEX-OOBJ-1626 GTEX-P4PP-1626 GTEX-P4PQ-1626 GTEX-P78B-1626 GTEX-POMQ-1926-SM-3NB1Y GTEX-POYW-0526-SM-2XCEY GTEX-PSDG-0426 GTEX-PWCY-2026 GTEX-Q2AH-1826-SM-2S1Q2 GTEX-Q734-2026-SM-3GADA GTEX-QCQG-2126-SM-2S1P8 GTEX-QDVN-2426-SM-2S1Q4 GTEX-QV44-2026-SM-2S1RD GTEX-R53T-1826-SM-3GIJX GTEX-R55D-0626-SM-3GAD5 GTEX-S32W-2326-SM-2XCAW GTEX-S33H-2226 GTEX-S7SF-2026-SM-3K2AS GTEX-SNMC-1426-SM-2XCFM GTEX-SUCS-1626-SM-32PLS GTEX-T5JC-0626-SM-3NMA6 GTEX-T5JW-1826-SM-3GAE1 GTEX-TKQ2-0826-SM-33HB6 GTEX-TML8-1826-SM-32QOR GTEX-TMMY-0426-SM-33HBB GTEX-U3ZG-0326-SM-47JXN GTEX-U3ZH-1926-SM-4DXTR GTEX-U3ZM-1226-SM-3DB9G GTEX-U4B1-1626-SM-3DB8N GTEX-UJHI-1726-SM-3DB9B GTEX-UJMC-1826-SM-3GADT GTEX-VUSG-2626-SM-4KKZI GTEX-WHPG-2226-SM-3NMBO GTEX-WHSB-1826-SM-3TW8M GTEX-WOFM-1326-SM-3MJFR GTEX-WRHK-1626-SM-3MJFH GTEX-WRHU-0826-SM-3MJFN GTEX-WXYG-2526-SM-3NB3F GTEX-WY7C-2526-SM-3NB2N GTEX-WZTO-0826-SM-3NM8Q GTEX-X4XY-0626-SM-4E3IN GTEX-X638-0326-SM-47JY1 GTEX-X88G-0326-SM-47JZ4 GTEX-XBEC-0626 GTEX-XBED-2626-SM-4E3J5 GTEX-XBEW-1026 GTEX-XOTO-0526-SM-4B662 GTEX-XPT6-2026-SM-4B64V GTEX-XQ8I-0626-SM-4BOPT

GTEX-13JUV-2326 GTEX-13N11-2726 GTEX-13N2G-2326 GTEX-13NZ9-0626 GTEX-13NZB-2626 GTEX-13O61-2326 GTEX-130VG-2126 GTEX-130VH-0626 GTEX-130VI-1726 GTEX-13OW6-0626 GTEX-13PL7-0626 GTEX-13PVR-2526 GTEX-13QBU-2426 GTEX-13QJ3-0726 GTEX-13S7M-0326 GTEX-13S86-2326 GTEX-13U4I-1826 GTEX-13VXT-0326 GTEX-13W3W-2626 GTEX-13W46-0726 GTEX-13YAN-0526 GTEX-144GL-0326 GTEX-144GM-2026 GTEX-144GN-2426 GTEX-145LT-1626 GTEX-145LV-2326 GTEX-145ME-2026 GTEX-145MI-0326 GTEX-145MN-2426 GTEX-146FH-0526 GTEX-146FQ-0326 GTEX-147F3-0226 GTEX-1497J-2626 GTEX-14A6H-2826 GTEX-14AS3-2126 GTEX-14BMV-0326 GTEX-14C39-2426 GTEX-14ICL-1926 GTEX-05YT-1626-SM-32PK6 GTEX-OHPK-1626-SM-2YUN3 GTEX-OHPL-1626 GTEX-OHPM-1626

GTEX-XUJ4-2626-SM-4BOQ3 GTEX-XUW1-0826-SM-4BOP6 GTEX-XUYS-0326-SM-47JX2 GTEX-XUZC-2126-SM-4BRW8 GTEX-XV7Q-2926-SM-4BRUL GTEX-XYKS-2426-SM-4AT43 GTEX-Y114-2526 GTEX-Y3IK-2626 GTEX-Y5LM-2126 GTEX-Y5V5-2526 GTEX-Y5V6-2626 GTEX-Y8E4-1026 GTEX-Y8E5-0326 GTEX-Y8LW-2026 GTEX-Y9LG-1926 GTEX-YB5E-2226 GTEX-YB5K-2326 GTEX-YBZK-0326 GTEX-YEC3-2126 GTEX-YEC4-2226 GTEX-YF7O-2526 GTEX-YFC4-1026 GTEX-Z9EW-1726 GTEX-ZA64-2026 GTEX-ZAKK-0326 GTEX-ZC5H-0326 GTEX-ZDYS-1726 GTEX-ZPCL-2026 GTEX-ZPIC-2526 GTEX-ZQG8-1226 GTEX-ZQUD-1726 GTEX-ZT9X-1826 GTEX-ZTPG-0126 GTEX-ZTX8-1626 GTEX-ZV6S-2126 GTEX-ZV7C-2426 GTEX-ZVZO-0326 GTEX-ZVZP-2526 GTEX-ZY6K-2026 GTEX-ZYFG-2426 GTEX-ZYWO-2626 GTEX-ZZ64-1526

Whole blood

GTEX-113JC-0006-SM-5O997 GTEX-1192W-0005-SM-5NQBQ GTEX-11DXX-0005-SM-5NQ8B GTEX-11EMC-0006-SM-5O9DN GTEX-11GSP-0006-SM-5N9EL GTEX-11178-0005-SM-5N9GB GTEX-11LCK-0005-SM-5098U GTEX-110F3-0006-SM-509CM GTEX-11ONC-0005-SM-509CY GTEX-11P7K-0006-SM-5N9FM GTEX-11P82-0006-SM-5N9FY GTEX-11TT1-0005-SM-5NQ8Y GTEX-11VI4-0006-SM-5N9D8 GTEX-11WQK-0005-SM-509AV GTEX-11ZTT-0006-SM-5N9FX GTEX-1212Z-0006-SM-5NQ8M GTEX-1269C-0005-SM-5N9CJ GTEX-12C56-0006-SM-5N9E9 GTEX-12KS4-0005-SM-5SI94 GTEX-12WSI-0005-SM-5099K GTEX-12WSK-0006-SM-5NQA1 GTEX-12WSM-0005-SM-5NQB3 GTEX-12WSN-0006-SM-5NQAP GTEX-12ZZX-0005-SM-509A9 GTEX-13113-0006-SM-5NQ7X GTEX-1314G-0005-SM-5NQ9O GTEX-131XE-0006-SM-5P9F9 GTEX-131XG-0006-SM-509CE GTEX-132NY-0005-SM-509AC GTEX-1399R-0006-SM-5N9FR GTEX-139UW-0005-SM-5NQ8U GTEX-13CF3-0006-SM-5N9ED GTEX-13FTX-0005-SM-5N9F6 GTEX-13FXS-0006-SM-5O99X GTEX-130VG-0005-SM-5P9HA GTEX-130VH-0005-SM-5P9HB GTEX-130VI-0001-SM-509BL GTEX-130VK-0006-SM-509B7 GTEX-13OVL-0006-SM-5O996 GTEX-13OW6-0005-SM-5NQ9Z GTEX-13OW8-0005-SM-5NQAC GTEX-13PL7-0005-SM-5N9ET GTEX-13S7M-0005-SM-5NQ76 GTEX-13VXT-0005-SM-5N9F3 GTEX-147F3-0005-SM-5N9FI GTEX-147JS-0006-SM-5NQ7K GTEX-148VI-0006-SM-5O9A6 GTEX-14A5H-0006-SM-5O9AI GTEX-14AS3-0006-SM-5NQC2 GTEX-14B4R-0006-SM-509A7 GTEX-14BMV-0005-SM-5NQ6Y GTEX-14C38-0006-SM-5NQBF GTEX-14C39-0005-SM-5NQBR

GTEX-QEG5-0006-SM-2I5FZ GTEX-QESD-0006-SM-2I5G6 GTEX-R55C-0005-SM-3GAE9 GTEX-RWS6-0005-SM-2XCAN GTEX-S341-0006-SM-3NM8D GTEX-SSA3-0005-SM-32QOT GTEX-T5JW-0005-SM-3GADE GTEX-T6MN-0005-SM-32PLJ GTEX-T6MO-0006-SM-32QOU GTEX-T8EM-0006-SM-3DB71 GTEX-TKQ1-0006-SM-33HBI GTEX-TKQ2-0006-SM-33HBH GTEX-TML8-0005-SM-32QPA GTEX-TMZS-0006-SM-3DB8G GTEX-U3ZG-0006-SM-47JWX GTEX-U3ZH-0005-SM-3DB72 GTEX-U4B1-0006-SM-3DB8E GTEX-UJMC-0005-SM-3GACU GTEX-UPJH-0006-SM-3GACW GTEX-V1D1-0006-SM-3NMCE GTEX-V955-0005-SM-3P5ZC GTEX-VJYA-0005-SM-3P5ZD GTEX-VUSG-0006-SM-3GIK9 GTEX-WCDI-0005-SM-3NB2M GTEX-WFG7-0005-SM-3GIKM GTEX-WFON-0005-SM-3NMC9 GTEX-WH7G-0005-SM-3NMBX GTEX-WHPG-0006-SM-3NMBV GTEX-WHSB-0005-SM-3LK7C GTEX-WHWD-0005-SM-3LK7D GTEX-WOFL-0006-SM-3TW8K GTEX-WOFM-0005-SM-3MJF3 GTEX-WQUQ-0006-SM-3MJF4 GTEX-WRHK-0005-SM-3MJF5 GTEX-WRHU-0006-SM-3MJF6 GTEX-WVLH-0006-SM-3MJF7 GTEX-WXYG-0005-SM-3NB3M GTEX-WY7C-0006-SM-3NB3L GTEX-WYVS-0006-SM-3NMA7 GTEX-WZTO-0006-SM-3NM9T GTEX-X15G-0005-SM-3NMDA GTEX-X3Y1-0006-SM-3P5ZG GTEX-X5EB-0006-SM-46MV5 GTEX-X638-0005-SM-47JX6 GTEX-X88G-0006-SM-47JX5 GTEX-XBED-0006-SM-47JXO GTEX-XBEW-0006-SM-4AT4E GTEX-XMK1-0005-SM-4B665 GTEX-XPT6-0006-SM-4B66Q GTEX-XXEK-0005-SM-4BRWJ GTEX-XYKS-0005-SM-4BRUD GTEX-Y114-0006-SM-4TT76 GTEX-Y5LM-0005-SM-4V6EJ

GTEX-14DAR-0006-SM-5N9GC GTEX-14E1K-0006-SM-5N9DY GTEX-14H4A-0006-SM-5N9E3 GTEX-14ICK-0006-SM-5NQB5 GTEX-14ICL-0006-SM-5SIAB GTEX-N7MT-0007-SM-3GACQ GTEX-05YT-0007-SM-32PK7 GTEX-05YW-0006-SM-3LK6E GTEX-OHPL-0006-SM-3MJHB GTEX-OIZF-0006-SM-2I5GQ GTEX-OIZI-0005-SM-2XCED GTEX-OXRP-0006-SM-2I3FN GTEX-P4QS-0005-SM-2I3EY GTEX-P78B-0005-SM-2I5GM GTEX-PLZ5-0006-SM-5S2W5 GTEX-PLZ6-0006-SM-33HBZ GTEX-POMQ-0006-SM-5SI7D GTEX-PSDG-0005-SM-3GADC GTEX-PVOW-0006-SM-3NMB8 GTEX-PW2O-0006-SM-2I3DV GTEX-PWCY-0005-SM-33HBP GTEX-Q2AG-0005-SM-5SI7F GTEX-Q2AH-0005-SM-33HBR GTEX-Q2AI-0006-SM-2I3FG GTEX-QCQG-0006-SM-5SI8M

GTEX-Y5V5-0006-SM-4V6FE GTEX-Y5V6-0005-SM-4V6FD GTEX-Y8E4-0006-SM-4V6EW GTEX-Y8E5-0006-SM-47JWQ GTEX-Y8LW-0005-SM-4V6EV GTEX-Y9LG-0006-SM-4VBRK GTEX-YB5K-0005-SM-4VDSP GTEX-YBZK-0005-SM-59HKG GTEX-YFC4-0006-SM-4RGLV GTEX-ZC5H-0005-SM-4WAXM GTEX-ZDYS-0002-SM-4WKGR GTEX-ZE9C-0006-SM-4WKG2 GTEX-ZF29-0006-SM-4WKGQ GTEX-ZGAY-0006-SM-4WWAQ GTEX-ZP4G-0006-SM-4WWE6 GTEX-ZPIC-0005-SM-4WWEB GTEX-ZPU1-0006-SM-4WWAT GTEX-ZQG8-0005-SM-4YCEH GTEX-ZQUD-0005-SM-4YCE5 GTEX-ZVE2-0006-SM-51MRW GTEX-ZVP2-0005-SM-51MRK GTEX-ZVT2-0005-SM-57WBW GTEX-ZVZP-0006-SM-51MSW GTEX-ZXES-0005-SM-57WCB

EBV-transformed lymphocytes (LCLs)

GTEX-1122O-0003-SM-5Q5DL GTEX-11EM3-0001-SM-5Q5BD GTEX-11EMC-0002-SM-5Q5DO GTEX-110C5-0004-SM-5S206 GTEX-11P7K-0003-SM-5S2OU GTEX-11TT1-0004-SM-5S2NT GTEX-11VI4-0001-SM-5S2OI GTEX-1212Z-0002-SM-5SI6W GTEX-1269C-0003-SM-5S2PB GTEX-12BJ1-0003-SM-5SI6V GTEX-12C56-0002-SM-5S2PC GTEX-RWS6-0001-SM-3NMAL GTEX-S4Q7-0003-SM-3NM8M GTEX-S95S-0002-SM-3NM8K GTEX-SN8G-0001-SM-3NM8L GTEX-T5JC-0001-SM-3NMAK GTEX-T5JW-0003-SM-3NMAD GTEX-T6MN-0002-SM-3NMAH GTEX-T6MO-0003-SM-3NMAG GTEX-TKQ1-0003-SM-3NMAE GTEX-TML8-0001-SM-3NMAF GTEX-U3ZH-0002-SM-3NMDD GTEX-U3ZM-0002-SM-3NMDM GTEX-U3ZN-0002-SM-3NMDF GTEX-UPJH-0001-SM-3NMDE GTEX-UPK5-0003-SM-3NMDI GTEX-V1D1-0003-SM-3NMDP GTEX-VJYA-0001-SM-3NMDJ GTEX-VUSG-0003-SM-3NMDK GTEX-W5WG-0002-SM-3NMDN GTEX-W5X1-0001-SM-3P61V GTEX-WFG7-0001-SM-3P61S GTEX-WFG8-0001-SM-4LVN8 GTEX-WFJO-0002-SM-3P61X GTEX-WFON-0001-SM-3P61W GTEX-WHPG-0004-SM-3NMDO GTEX-WHSB-0002-SM-4M1ZR GTEX-WOFM-0001-SM-400T2 GTEX-WRHK-0001-SM-4WWDD GTEX-WWTW-0002-SM-4MVNH GTEX-WXYG-0004-SM-4MVOS GTEX-WY7C-0004-SM-4ONDS GTEX-WYVS-0004-SM-4ONDT GTEX-WZTO-0001-SM-4PQZY GTEX-X4LF-0002-SM-4QASG GTEX-X5EB-0004-SM-46MWA

GTEX-XBED-0003-SM-47JWP GTEX-XBEW-0002-SM-4AT5O GTEX-XGQ4-0004-SM-4AT5S GTEX-XMK1-0001-SM-4B64F GTEX-XPT6-0001-SM-4B64G GTEX-XQ3S-0001-SM-4B64K GTEX-XXEK-0004-SM-4BRWO GTEX-XYKS-0002-SM-4BRWN GTEX-Y114-0002-SM-4TT78 GTEX-Y3IK-0001-SM-4WWE1 GTEX-Y5LM-0003-SM-4V6G1 GTEX-Y5V5-0001-SM-4V6FZ GTEX-Y5V6-0003-SM-4V6FX GTEX-Y8DK-0004-SM-4RGM7 GTEX-Y8E4-0003-SM-4V6FY GTEX-Y9LG-0001-SM-4VBRQ GTEX-YB5E-0001-SM-4VDSV GTEX-YB5K-0003-SM-4VDSN GTEX-YEC3-0002-SM-4W1YI GTEX-YEC4-0002-SM-4W1Z6 GTEX-YF7O-0004-SM-4W1ZT GTEX-YFCO-0003-SM-4W21I GTEX-ZC5H-0004-SM-4WAXK GTEX-ZDTS-0001-SM-4WAXW GTEX-ZDTT-0004-SM-4WKG3 GTEX-ZEX8-0004-SM-4WKFQ GTEX-ZF29-0002-SM-4WKF2 GTEX-ZF2S-0004-SM-4WKFE GTEX-ZF3C-0001-SM-4WWAW GTEX-ZG7Y-0003-SM-4WWEJ GTEX-ZLWG-0004-SM-4WWD5 GTEX-ZP4G-0003-SM-4WWED GTEX-ZPIC-0002-SM-4WWEC GTEX-ZPU1-0004-SM-4WWAV GTEX-ZQG8-0001-SM-4YCDH GTEX-ZQUD-0003-SM-4YCD3 GTEX-ZT9W-0003-SM-4YCE6 GTEX-ZT9X-0004-SM-4YCDT GTEX-ZTPG-0002-SM-4YCEI GTEX-ZUA1-0002-SM-4YCF7 GTEX-ZV6S-0003-SM-4YCCT GTEX-ZV7C-0003-SM-4YCF6 GTEX-ZVT2-0001-SM-57WCK GTEX-ZVTK-0003-SM-51MRV GTEX-ZVZP-0004-SM-51MS8

Cultured fibroblasts

GTEX-111YS-0008-SM-5Q5BH GTEX-113JC-0008-SM-5QGR6 GTEX-117XS-0008-SM-5Q5DQ GTEX-1192W-0008-SM-5QGRE GTEX-11DXX-0008-SM-5Q5B8 GTEX-11DXY-0008-SM-5QGR4 GTEX-11EMC-0008-SM-5Q5DR GTEX-11GSP-0008-SM-5Q5DM GTEX-11178-0008-SM-5Q5DI GTEX-11LCK-0008-SM-5Q5BB GTEX-11NSD-0008-SM-5Q5BC GTEX-11NUK-0008-SM-5Q5B9 GTEX-11NV4-0008-SM-5Q5BA GTEX-11072-0008-SM-5Q5DN GTEX-110C5-0008-SM-5S2OH GTEX-110F3-0008-SM-5S2NH GTEX-110NC-0008-SM-5S2MG GTEX-11P7K-0008-SM-5S2O5 GTEX-11P81-0008-SM-5S2OT GTEX-11P82-0008-SM-5S2MS GTEX-11PRG-0008-SM-5S2N5 GTEX-11TT1-0008-SM-5S2P8 GTEX-11TUW-0008-SM-5SI6S GTEX-11WQC-0008-SM-5SI6R GTEX-11WQK-0008-SM-5SI6T GTEX-11XUK-0008-SM-5S2WD GTEX-11ZTS-0008-SM-5S2VC GTEX-11ZTT-0008-SM-5S2TZ GTEX-11ZUS-0008-SM-5S2UO GTEX-1211K-0008-SM-5S2W1 GTEX-12126-0008-SM-5S2UC GTEX-12WSH-0008-SM-5S2V1 GTEX-12WSM-0008-SM-5S2VD GTEX-1399U-0008-SM-5S2VE GTEX-N7MS-0008-SM-4E3JI GTEX-NFK9-0008-SM-4E3JE GTEX-NL3G-0008-SM-4E3JX GTEX-05YT-0008-SM-4E3IQ GTEX-05YW-0008-SM-4E3IE GTEX-OHPK-0008-SM-4E3JL GTEX-OHPL-0008-SM-4E3I9 GTEX-OHPM-0008-SM-4E3IP GTEX-OHPN-0008-SM-4E3HW GTEX-OIZG-0008-SM-4E3J2 GTEX-OIZI-0008-SM-2XCFD GTEX-OOBJ-0008-SM-3NB26 GTEX-OOBK-0008-SM-3NB27 GTEX-OXRK-0008-SM-3NB28

GTEX-T2IS-0008-SM-4DM75 GTEX-T5JC-0008-SM-4DM6A GTEX-U4B1-0008-SM-4DXUW GTEX-U8T8-0008-SM-4DXSP GTEX-UJHI-0008-SM-4IHL1 GTEX-UJMC-0008-SM-4IHKK GTEX-UPK5-0008-SM-4IHJD GTEX-V1D1-0008-SM-4JBIJ GTEX-W5X1-0008-SM-4LMKA GTEX-WFG7-0008-SM-4LMKB GTEX-WHPG-0008-SM-4M1ZQ GTEX-WHSB-0008-SM-4M1ZP GTEX-WHWD-0008-SM-400SU GTEX-WI4N-0008-SM-400SV GTEX-WL46-0008-SM-400SW GTEX-WQUQ-0008-SM-400T1 GTEX-WRHU-0008-SM-4MVPB GTEX-WVJS-0008-SM-4MVPC GTEX-WVLH-0008-SM-4MVPD GTEX-WY7C-0008-SM-4ONDW GTEX-WYBS-0008-SM-4ONDX GTEX-WYJK-0008-SM-4ONDV GTEX-WYVS-0008-SM-4ONDY GTEX-WZTO-0008-SM-4PQZZ GTEX-X15G-0008-SM-4PR2D GTEX-X3Y1-0008-SM-4PR12 GTEX-X4LF-0008-SM-4QAST GTEX-XBEC-0008-SM-4AT3X GTEX-XBEW-0008-SM-4AT3Y GTEX-XMD2-0008-SM-4WWE7 GTEX-XMD3-0008-SM-4AT4V GTEX-XMK1-0008-SM-4GICF GTEX-XOT4-0008-SM-4B664 GTEX-XPT6-0008-SM-4B64Q GTEX-XPVG-0008-SM-4GICH GTEX-XQ3S-0008-SM-4GIDZ GTEX-XUW1-0008-SM-4BOQH GTEX-XV7Q-0008-SM-4BRWL GTEX-Y8E4-0008-SM-4V6FW GTEX-Y9LG-0008-SM-4VBRJ GTEX-YB5K-0008-SM-4VDT8 GTEX-YEC4-0008-SM-4W1YR GTEX-YF7O-0008-SM-4W1ZS GTEX-YJ89-0008-SM-4RGM4 GTEX-Z93S-0008-SM-4RGM5 GTEX-ZC5H-0008-SM-4WAX8 GTEX-ZDTS-0008-SM-4E318 GTEX-ZDTT-0008-SM-4E3K5

GTEX-OXRL-0008-SM-3NB29 GTEX-P4PP-0008-SM-48TDV GTEX-P4QT-0008-SM-48TDZ GTEX-PSDG-0008-SM-48TE5 GTEX-PW2O-0008-SM-48TEB GTEX-PWCY-0008-SM-48TE9 GTEX-PX3G-0008-SM-48U2L GTEX-Q2AH-0008-SM-48U2J GTEX-QCQG-0008-SM-48U2G GTEX-QLQ7-0008-SM-447AW GTEX-QXCU-0008-SM-48FCH GTEX-R45C-0008-SM-48FF2 GTEX-R55C-0008-SM-48FCF GTEX-R55D-0008-SM-48FEV GTEX-R55E-0008-SM-48FCG GTEX-R55G-0008-SM-48FEX GTEX-RM2N-0008-SM-48FF3 GTEX-RN64-0008-SM-48FEZ GTEX-RNOR-0008-SM-48FEY GTEX-RU1J-0008-SM-46MV9 GTEX-RU72-0008-SM-46MV8 GTEX-RWS6-0008-SM-47JYV GTEX-RWSA-0008-SM-47JYX GTEX-S33H-0008-SM-4AD6C GTEX-S4Z8-0008-SM-33HAZ GTEX-SE5C-0008-SM-4B64J GTEX-SJXC-0008-SM-4DM7G

GTEX-ZDXO-0008-SM-4E3HR GTEX-ZDYS-0008-SM-4E3IX GTEX-ZE7O-0008-SM-4E3JQ GTEX-ZEX8-0008-SM-4E3JU GTEX-ZF2S-0008-SM-4E3IK GTEX-ZF3C-0008-SM-4E3IL GTEX-ZLWG-0008-SM-4E3J4 GTEX-ZP4G-0008-SM-4E3I4 GTEX-ZPIC-0008-SM-4E3JF GTEX-ZPU1-0008-SM-4E3IR GTEX-ZQG8-0008-SM-4E3J9 GTEX-ZQUD-0008-SM-4YCCU GTEX-ZT9W-0008-SM-4YCDJ GTEX-ZT9X-0008-SM-4YCD7 GTEX-ZTPG-0008-SM-4YCEK GTEX-ZTX8-0008-SM-4YCDV GTEX-ZUA1-0008-SM-4YCEW GTEX-ZV68-0008-SM-4YCCV GTEX-ZV6S-0008-SM-4YCF9 GTEX-ZV7C-0008-SM-57WCL GTEX-ZVE2-0008-SM-51MRU GTEX-ZVP2-0008-SM-51MSL GTEX-ZVT2-0008-SM-57WC9 GTEX-ZVT3-0008-SM-51MRI GTEX-ZVTK-0008-SM-57WDA GTEX-ZVZP-0008-SM-51MSX GTEX-ZXES-0008-SM-57WCX

Supplementary Results

Minimum required sequencing depth (MRSD) scores differ across biosamples For all but one parameter combination, moving from MRSD_{0.95} to MRSD_{0.99} resulted in an increase in median MRSD of between 26.19-155.40%. However, when stipulating 95% splice junction coverage for skeletal muscle samples, we observed a decrease of 4.66% in MRSD scores for MRSD_{0.95} (n = 1323, median = 42.52) compared to MRSD_{0.99} (n = 973, median = 40.54); this was accounted for by an increase in the number of genes that were considered "unfeasible" for surveillance, i.e. those for which zero reads cover the given proportion of junctions (n unfeasible MRSD_{0.95} = 1873, n unfeasible MRSD_{0.99} = 2193). This definition of feasibility is limited by the sequencing depth of the reference sets on which the predictions are based. Ultra-deep sequencing of the same reference sets, may have enabled feasible MRSD predictions for an increased number of splicing junctions.

Impact of read length on MRSD accuracy

To assess whether the MRSD scores themselves were altered through derivation from 75 bp or 150 bp RNA-seq reference sets, we generated paired MRSD scores from datasets that were trimmed from 150 bp to 75 bp reads (Figure S7). We were able to calculate MRSD scores for 54.2% of multi-exon disease-associated genes (1802/3322) from these datasets. 86.5% (243/1802) of observable genes had lower MRSD scores from 150 bp read reference sets than from 75 bp read reference sets, or were only feasible in 150 bp reference sets. 13.5% (243/1802) counter-intuitively exhibited a higher MRSD in the 150 bp dataset, suggesting that fewer 75 bp reads were required to adequately cover these transcripts. In many examples, this could be attributed to a decrease in mapping quality of longer reads such that the reads did not pass the quality filters of the employed pipeline¹³. Further work is needed to ascertain whether this discarding of longer reads is a harmful artefact of the filtering process, or a genuine removal of uninformative reads.

Comparison of MRSD and TPM as a guide for appropriate surveillance

We noted significant overlap between genes grouped into low-MRSD (< 100 M reads) and high-MRSD (≥ 100 M reads) brackets. For example, among genes considered low-MRSD, TPM values ranged from 0.99-246,600, while genes with high-MRSD values had TPM values between 0.20-8644 (Figure 3D). We quantified the overlap between these distributions, demonstrating that, depending on the tissue, between 98.0% and 99.3% of high-MRSD genes had higher TPM values than at least one low-MRSD gene. We also observed that, in their respective tissues, the TPMs of 44.1-60.0%, 8.5-16.7% and 3.4-6.6% of high-MRSD genes exceeded those of the 5%, 30% and 50% least-expressed low-MRSD genes, respectively (Figure 3D). The substantial overlap in the TPM values for low and high MRSD genes suggests that relative expression does not provide a wholly accurate representation of transcript coverage in RNA-seq data. Such inconsistencies may arise from bias in the regions of genes that are sequenced, for example, genes with high degrees of 3' bias in RNA-seq datasets or significant alternative transcript usage (Figure S8).

Factors influencing the likelihood of pathogenic splicing variation identification & MRSD predictions

To further define the most informative parameters for use in the MRSD model, we investigated the impact of a variety of metrics on the capability to identify pathogenic splicing events, including number of samples within the healthy reference set, the degree of read support for splicing junctions, and the relative expression of genes of interest. We aimed to quantify the effect of changes in these metrics on both the total number of events of interest and the position within the list of events (see Materials and Methods for filtering and ranking strategy).

We first identified how the number of control samples used as a reference set for "healthy splicing" impacted our ability to identify aberrant splicing events. For all samples within our healthy splicing set, we iteratively selected groups of control samples at sizes of 30, 60 or 90. We observed that moving from 30 to 60 controls is associated with a mean reduction in event count of 19.3% (28.1% of non-singleton events, 17.1% of singleton events) across the three tissues, while increasing the control size to 90 results in a further reduction of 10.2% of events (16.5% of non-singleton events, 9.5% of singleton events; Figure 4); this effect was consistent across tissue types.

We next investigated how read count filters impacted the number of events observed for a given individual (Figure 4). Filtering out all splicing events supported by just a single read against a background of 90 control samples removes, on average, 91.2% of events (60.4% of non-singleton events, 97.3% of singleton events). Increasing read support thresholds to 10 unique sequencing reads results in a total of 99.4% of

events being excluded on average (96.2% of non-singleton events, 99.99% of singleton events), while retaining only those events supported by 100 reads or more removes an average of 99.97% of events (99.8% of non-singleton events, 100.0% of singleton events). To understand how the level of read support impacted the ability to identify specific events, we collated 31 aberrant splicing events across 22 musclederived RNA-seg samples, and downsampled reads in the genes containing these events. We observed that we could identify the same aberrant splicing events at reduced relative expression levels, and, while read support decreased (Figure 5A), the ranked position of the event within the rank-ordered output remained approximately the same in most cases (Figure 5B). However, the weakened read support increased the risk of eliminating the variant from consideration when read count filters were applied (Figure 5C). This analysis further emphasized that TPM values alone may not be a reliable measure of ability to survey all splicing junctions within a gene; we observed that splice junctions in different samples covered by the same number of sequencing reads belonged to genes with widely ranging TPM values (Figure S10). For example, splice junctions covered by eight reads were identified in genes with TPMs ranging between 0.17 and 52.

Based on these investigations, we selected an eight-read coverage value for downstream analyses; as we observed that the majority of pathogenic mis-splicing events have an NRC \geq 0.25, stipulating an eight-read coverage requirement means that aberrant events should be covered by at least two reads, and so be retained when filtering single-read events from the list of splicing events. We appreciate that the use of more stringent parameters may be preferable in some use cases, such as to generate sufficient corroboration to support the reporting of a diagnostic finding to a patient or when using significance-based tools such as FRASER, LeafCutterMD and SPOT. However, our investigations have shown this approach to be robust for the initial highlighting of aberrant splicing events for downstream analysis.

References

1. Cummings, B.B., Marshall, J.L., Tukiainen, T., Lek, M., Donkervoort, S., Foley, A.R., et al. Improving genetic diagnosis in Mendelian disease with transcriptome sequencing. (2017). Sci Transl Med. *9(386)*.

2. The GTEx Consortium. (2013). The Genotype-Tissue Expression (GTEx) project. Nat Genet. *45*(6), 580-585.

3. Dobin, A., Davis, C.A., Schlesinger, F., Drenkow, J., Zaleski, C., Jha, S., Batut, P., Chaisson, M., and Gingeras, T.R. (2013). STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. Bioinformatics. *29(1)*, 15-21.

4. Jaganathan, K., Kyriazopoulou Panagiotopoulou, S., McRae, J.F., Darbandi, S.F., Knowles, D., Li, Y.I., et al. (2019) Predicting Splicing from Primary Sequence with Deep Learning. Cell. *176*(*3*):535-48.e24.