Appendix 4 GRADE summary of findings on the use of reduced-dose regimen versus standard-dose regimen of glucocorticoids in patients with ANCA-associated vasculitis

Outcome Timeframe	Study results and measurements	Absolute effect estimates Standard-dose Reduced-dose regimen of regimen of glucocorticoids	Certainty of the Evidence (Quality of evidence)	Plain text summary
Death	Based on data from 838 patients in 2 study Follow up: 6 months to 2.9 years	Two RCTs reported death from any cause. In Walsh et al's trial, death occurred in 46 of 353 patients (13.0%) in the reduced-dose GC therapy group and in 53 of 351 patients (15.1%) in the standard-dose GC therapy group (Risk difference, -2.1%; 95% confidence interval, -6% to 3.6%). In Furuta et al's trial, death occurred in 2 of 69 patients (2.9%) in the reduced-dose GC treatment group and in 3 of 65 patients (4.6%) in the high-dose GC treatment group (Risk difference, -1.7%; 95% confidence interval, -4.7% to 8.2%).	Low Due to very serious imprecision ¹	Reduced dose of glucocorticoids may reduce death at follow-up of 6 months to 2.9 years
End-stage kidney disease	Based on data from 838 patients in 2 study Follow up: 6 months to 2.9 years	Two RCTs reported end-stage kidney disease. In Walsh et al's trial, end-stage kidney disease occurred in 70 of 353 patients (19.8%) in the reduced-dose GC therapy group and in 68 of 351 patients (19.4%) in the standard-dose GC therapy group (Risk difference, 0.4%; 95% confidence interval, -4.7%	Moderate Due to serious imprecision ²	Reduced dose of glucocorticoids probably has little or no effect on end-stage kidney disease at follow-up of 6 months to 2.9 years

Remission	Based on data from 838 patients in 2 study Follow up: 6 months to 2.9 years Based on data from 838 patients in 2 study Follow up: 6 months to 2.9	rate. In Walsh et al's trial, remission was analyzed in the two GC groups with the use of Cox proportional-hazards models resulting a hazard ratio of 1.04 (95% confidence interval, 0.81 to 1.33). In Furuta et al's trial, remission occurred in 49 of 69 patients (71.0%) in the reduced-dose GC treatment group and in 45 of 65 patients (69.2%) in the high-dose GC treatment group (Risk difference, 1.8%; 97.5% confidence interval, -13% to ∞). Two RCTs reported remission rate. In Walsh et al's trial, relapse occurred in 32 of 353 patients (9.1%) in the reduced-dose GC therapy group and in 23 of 351 patients	Moderate Due to serious imprecision ¹ Moderate Due to serious imprecision ³	Reduced dose of glucocorticoids probably has little or no effect on disease remission at follow-up of 6 months to 2.9 years Reduced dose of glucocorticoids probably has little or no effect on relapse in patients at
		end-stage kidney disease occurred in none of 69 patients (0%) in the reduced-dose GC treatment group and in 1 of 65 patients (1.5%) in the high-dose GC treatment group (Risk difference, -1.5; 95% confidence interval, -4.5 to 1.5). Two RCTs reported remission		

		of 69 patients (4.3%) in the reduced-dose GC treatment group and in none of 65 patients (0%) in the high-dose GC treatment group (Risk difference, 4.4%; 95% confidence interval, -0.5% to 9.2%).		
Serious adverse events	Based on data from 838 patients in 2 study Follow up: 6 months to 1 year	Two RCTs reported serious adverse events. In Walsh et al's trial, serious adverse events occurred in 230 of 353 patients (65.2%) in the reduced-dose GC therapy group and in 218 of 351 patients (62.1%) in the standard-dose GC therapy group (Risk difference, 3.1%; 95% confidence interval, -3.7% to 11.2%). In Furuta et al's trial, serious adverse events occurred in 13 of 69 patients (18.8%) in the reduced-dose GC treatment group and in 24 of 65 patients (36.9%) in the high-dose GC treatment group (Risk difference, -18.1%; 95% confidence interval, -33.0% to -3.2%).	Very Low Due to serious imprecision ⁴ Due to very serious inconsistency	We are uncertain whether reduced dose of glucocorticoids increases or reduce the risk of serious adverse events at 6 months to 1 year
Serious infections	Based on data from 838 patients in 2 study Follow up: 6 months to 1 year	Two RCTs reported serious infections. In Walsh et al's trial, serious infections occurred in 230 of 353 patients (27.1%) in the reduced-dose GC therapy group and in 218 of 351 patients (33.0%) in the standard-dose GC therapy group (Risk difference, -5.9%;	Moderate Due to serious imprecision ³	Reduced dose of glucocorticoids probably reduces the risk of serious infections at 6 months to 1 year

		95% confidence interval,		
		-11.2% to 1.0%). In Furuta et		
		al's trial, serious infections		
		occurred in 5 of 69 patients		
		(7.2%) in the reduced-dose GC		
		treatment group and in 13 of 65		
		patients (20.0%) in the		
		high-dose GC treatment group		
		(Risk difference, -12.8%; 95%		
		confidence interval, -24.2% to		
		-1.3%).		
l		Two RCTs reported health		
		related quality of life assessed		
		by SF-36 PCS. Walsh et al's		
		trial reported that the mean		
		score of health related quality of		
		life measured by SF-36PCS		
		was 39.13 in the reduced-dose		
		GC therapy group and 37.84 in		
	Measured by: SF-36 PCS Scale: - High better Based on data from 838 patients in 2 study Follow up: 6 months to 1 years	the standard-dose GC therapy		
		group (Mean difference, 1.29		Reduced dose of
Health related		higher; 95% confidence interval,	Moderate Due to serious imprecision	glucocorticoids probably
quality of life		0.26 lower to 2.84 higher).		has little or no effect on
(SF-36 PCS)		Furuta et al's trial reported that		health related quality of
		the median score of health		life (SF-36PCS) at 6
		related quality of life measured		months to 1 years
		by SF-36PCS was 38.3 (IQR :		
		21.1 to 47.4) in the		
		reduced-dose GC treatment		
		group and 31.7 (IQR : 22.0 to		
		49.4) in the high-dose GC		
		treatment group (Mean		
		difference, 6.3 higher; 95%		
l		confidence interval, 2.6 lower to		
		15.2 higher).		

		Two RCTs re	·							
		by SF-36 MCS. Walsh et al's trial reported that the mean								
							score of health r	elated quality of		
							life measured by SF-36MCS			
			was 52.16 in the	e reduced-dose		Reduced dose of glucocorticoids has little				
			GC therapy grou	up and 51.19 in						
	Measured by: SF-36 MCS	the standard-do	se GC therapy							
	,	group (Mean d	ifference, 0.97							
Health related	Scale: - High better	higher; 95% con	fidence interval,							
quality of life	Based on data from 838	0.24 lower to	2.18 higher).	High	or no effect on health					
(SF-36 MCS)	patients in 2 study	Furuta et al's tri	al reported that		related quality of life					
	patients in 2 study	the median score of health related quality of life measured			(SF-36MCS) at 6					
	Follow up: 6 months to 1									
	years	by SF-36MCS v								
		45.1 to 56.6) in the reduced-dose GC treatment group and 50.4 (IQR : 46.3 to 57.2) in the high-dose GC treatment group (Mean								
		_								
		difference, 0.4 lower; 95% confidence interval, 4.7 lower to 4.0 higher).								
	Measured by: EQ-5D	0.77	0.79							
Health related	Index				Reduced dose of					
quality of life		Mean	Mean		glucocorticoids probably					
(EQ-5D Index) at	Scale: - High better			Moderate Due to serious imprecision ⁵	has little or no effect on					
1 year	Based on data from 704	Difference: MI	0 0 02 higher		health related quality of					
	patients in 1 study	Difference: MD 0.02 higher			life (EQ-5D) at 1 year					
		(CI 95% 0.01 low	wer - 0.05 higher)		ille (EQ-5D) at 1 year					
	Follow up at 1 year									
	Measured by: EQ-5D	71.07	72.11							
Health related quality of life	Thermometer Scale: - High better Based on data from 704				Reduced dose of					
		Mean	Mean		glucocorticoids has little					
(EQ-5D					or no effect on health					
Thermometer) at		Difference: MD 1.04 higher (CI 95% 1.09 lower - 3.17 higher)		(EQ-5D TI	related quality of life					
1 year	patients in 1 study				(EQ-5D Thermometer)					
	patients in 1 study				at 1 year					
	Follow up at 1 year									

- 1. Imprecision: Very serious. Because the 95% CI includes both the minimally important difference for benefit (20 fewer death in 1000 patients) and minimally important difference for harm (20 more death in 1000 patients, we rated down two levels for imprecision;
- 2. **Imprecision: Serious.** The 95% CI crosses the minimally important difference for benefit (30 fewer ESKD in 1000 patients) and minimally important difference for harm (30 more ESKD in 1000 patients);
- 3. **Imprecision: Serious.** The 95% CI crosses the minimally important difference (50 fewer serious infections in 1000 patients);
 - 4. Imprecision: Serious. The 95% CI includes an increase in serious adverse event over 10%;
- 5. Imprecision: Serious. The 95% CI crosses the minimally important difference for benefit and the minimally important difference for harm (0.03 reduction or increase in EQ-5D Index);

ESKD: end-stage kidney disease; SF-36 = short form 36; PCS = physical component score; MCS = mental component score; EQ = EuroQol; RR: relative risk; MD: mean difference; CI: confidence interval. IQR = interquartile range