

BMJ Paediatrics Open

BMJ Paediatrics Open is committed to open peer review. As part of this commitment we make the peer review history of every article we publish publicly available.

When an article is published we post the peer reviewers' comments and the authors' responses online. We also post the versions of the paper that were used during peer review. These are the versions that the peer review comments apply to.

The versions of the paper that follow are the versions that were submitted during the peer review process. They are not the versions of record or the final published versions. They should not be cited or distributed as the published version of this manuscript.

BMJ Paediatrics Open is an open access journal and the full, final, typeset and author-corrected version of record of the manuscript is available on our site with no access controls, subscription charges or pay-per-view fees (<http://bmjpaedsopen.bmj.com>).

If you have any questions on BMJ Paediatrics Open's open peer review process please email info.bmjpo@bmj.com

BMJ Paediatrics Open

Disability in children: a global problem needing a well-coordinated global action.

Journal:	<i>BMJ Paediatrics Open</i>
Manuscript ID	bmjpo-2021-001397
Article Type:	Editorial
Date Submitted by the Author:	22-Dec-2021
Complete List of Authors:	Olusanya, Bolajoko O.; Centre for Healthy Start Initiative Halpern, Ricardo; Hospital da Criança Santo Antônio Cheung, Vivian G.; University of Michigan Life Sciences Institute, Department of Pediatrics, Nair, MKC; NIMS-Spectrum-Child Development Research Centre, NIMS Medicity Campus, Pediatrics Boo, Nem; Universiti Tunku Abdul Rahman, Population Medicine Hadders-Algra, Mijna; University of Groningen, University Medical Center Groningen , Department of Pediatrics, Division of Developmental Neurology
Keywords:	Rehabilitation

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts



I, the Submitting Author has the right to grant and does grant on behalf of all authors of the Work (as defined in the below author licence), an exclusive licence and/or a non-exclusive licence for contributions from authors who are: i) UK Crown employees; ii) where BMJ has agreed a CC-BY licence shall apply, and/or iii) in accordance with the terms applicable for US Federal Government officers or employees acting as part of their official duties; on a worldwide, perpetual, irrevocable, royalty-free basis to BMJ Publishing Group Ltd ("BMJ") its licensees and where the relevant Journal is co-owned by BMJ to the co-owners of the Journal, to publish the Work in this journal and any other BMJ products and to exploit all rights, as set out in our [licence](#).

The Submitting Author accepts and understands that any supply made under these terms is made by BMJ to the Submitting Author unless you are acting as an employee on behalf of your employer or a postgraduate student of an affiliated institution which is paying any applicable article publishing charge ("APC") for Open Access articles. Where the Submitting Author wishes to make the Work available on an Open Access basis (and intends to pay the relevant APC), the terms of reuse of such Open Access shall be governed by a Creative Commons licence – details of these licences and which [Creative Commons](#) licence will apply to this Work are set out in our licence referred to above.

Other than as permitted in any relevant BMJ Author's Self Archiving Policies, I confirm this Work has not been accepted for publication elsewhere, is not being considered for publication elsewhere and does not duplicate material already published. I confirm all authors consent to publication of this Work and authorise the granting of this licence.

EDITORIAL

Disability in children: a global problem needing a well-coordinated global action.

Bolajoko O. Olusanya,¹ Ricardo Halpern,² MKC Nair,³ Nem Yun Boo,⁴ Vivian G. Cheung,⁵ Mijna Hadders-Algra⁶

Affiliations

¹Centre for Healthy Start Initiative, 286A Corporation Drive, Dolphin Estate, Ikoyi, Lagos, Nigeria (B O Olusanya FRCPC). Email: bolajoko.olusanya@uclmail.net

Tel: +234 803 33 44 300. (Corresponding Author)

²Child Development Outpatient Clinic, Hospital da Criança Santo Antônio, Santa Casa de Porto Alegre, Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil (Prof R Halpern MD).

³NIMS-Spectrum-Child Development Research Centre, NIMS Medicity Campus, Aralummoodu, Thiruvananthapuram, Kerala, India (Prof M K C Nair DSc).

⁴Department of Population Medicine, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Universiti Tunku Abdul Rahman, Selangor, Malaysia (Prof N Y Boo FRCPC).

⁵Department of Pediatrics, Life Sciences Institute, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA (Prof V G Cheung MD).

⁶University of Groningen, University Medical Center Groningen, Department of Paediatrics, Division of Developmental Neurology, Groningen, the Netherlands (Prof M Hadders-Algra MD).

Contributors: BOO drafted the manuscript. RH, MKCN, NYB, VGC and MHA critically reviewed the draft and suggested essential edits. All authors contributed to revising the manuscript and have approved of the final version.

Funding: The authors have not declared a specific grant for this research from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Competing interests: No conflicts of interest to declare. The authors are members of the Global Research on Developmental Disabilities Collaborators (GRDDC).

Patient consent for publication: Not required.

1
2 In September 2015, 193 Member States of the United Nations unanimously signed a
3
4 social contract with their citizens to commit resources to realising a global agenda
5
6 consisting of 17 development goals under the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs).¹
7
8 Unlike the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs), the SDGs agenda makes explicit
9
10 provisions for disability-inclusiveness in policy interventions over the life course:
11
12 childhood, adolescence, adulthood, and old age. The UN agencies like the World Health
13
14 Organisation (WHO), United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF), United Nations
15
16 Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organisation (UNESCO) and the World Bank
17
18 Group are usually tasked with providing global leadership in implementing the SDGs
19
20 within their core mandate.
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

28 The specific focus on early childhood development (ECD) for children under-5 years as
29
30 one of the targets under the fourth SDG (SDG 4.2) is unprecedented and a clear
31
32 recognition of the importance of the early years from birth as the foundation of optimal
33
34 human capital development. SDG 4.2 is dedicated to the education sector, and it seeks
35
36 to ensure that all girls and boys have access to quality early childhood development,
37
38 care, and pre-primary education so that they are ready for primary education by 2030.
39
40 Ordinarily, matters relating to the well-being of children under-5 years are more
41
42 associated with the health sector because of the well-established global efforts to reduce
43
44 under-5 mortality and childhood malnutrition. However, the architects of this SDG
45
46 recognised the crucial and unique role of the health sector in preparing children from
47
48 birth through age 5 years for effective enrolment in primary education and specifically
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2 acknowledged the importance of health and psychosocial well-being in assessing child
3
4 development in early childhood.
5
6
7

8
9 Surprisingly, none of the targets and indicators for SDG 4, including ECD for children
10 under-5 years are listed among the health-related SDGs by WHO or the global health
11 community in general.² As a result, a lacuna emerged that has been exploited by various
12 ECD champions to promote discordant narratives that do not align with the extensive
13 scientific evidence on the crucial role of the health sector in facilitating early detection
14 and intervention services (EDIS) for all children at risk of poor development in early
15 childhood.³ This action potentially impairs the chances of children under 5 years with
16 disabilities for effective primary school enrolment as envisioned by SDGs. Moreover, the
17 absence of an effective and universally accepted priority ECD framework for children
18 under 5 years continues to undermine political support for appropriate policy and
19 investment. For example, the flagship ECD programme by WHO, UNICEF and the
20 World Bank Group, titled “Nurturing Care Framework” (NCF), was premised on an
21 estimated 250 million children under-5 years in low- and middle-income countries
22 (LMICs) who are suspected to be at risk of poor development or developmental delays
23 due to stunting and poverty in 2015.⁴ The recommended core interventions are home-
24 based psychosocial stimulation and responsive caregiving among children younger than
25 3 years. This ECD narrative was justified on the grounds that global estimates on
26 children with disabilities, who are arguably at greater risk of poor development,
27 especially in LMICs, were not available.⁵
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Meanwhile, estimates from the Global Burden of Disease (GBD) database suggest that more than 53 million children under 5 years were at risk of poor development due to developmental disabilities.⁶ In addition, the prior and widely cited estimate of 93 million children under 15 years with moderate-to-severe disabilities first reported in 2014 was updated in 2020 to at least 291 million children under 20 years and includes mild-to-severe disabilities.⁷ In November 2021, UNICEF, for the first time published a special report in which almost 240 million children aged 2-17 years are estimated to be disabled based on parent-reported functional deficits.⁸ The landmark report found that, compared to children without disabilities, children with disabilities are 34 percent more likely to be stunted, 25 percent more likely to be wasted, 24 percent less likely to receive early stimulation and responsive care, 25 percent less likely to attend early childhood education, 42 percent less likely to have foundational reading and numeracy skills, 49 percent more likely to have never attended school and 47 per cent more likely to be out of primary school. In addition, a comparison of global estimates of under-5 mortality and under-5 disability suggests that globally, newborns are ten times more likely to be disabled than to die before their fifth birthday.⁹

43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Taken together, available evidence from different sources including the latest UNICEF report, clearly suggests the need for a complete overhaul of the NCF to reflect the required priority for ECD as envisaged under the SDGs. It is common knowledge that global governance for child health and wellbeing is fragmented and disjointed.¹⁰ The UN agencies often give the appearance of collaboration both at international and local levels, especially in their publications and reports, but in reality, the agencies often

1
2 work in isolation, thereby undermining the realisation of the commitments of UN
3
4 Member States to their citizens.^{11,12} Mechanisms for accountability to the public are not
5
6 clearly defined. Internal rivalry and power-play among units and professionals within
7
8 these agencies is also not uncommon. This has resulted in the absence of an effective
9
10 global governance for ECD policies and programmes. The evidence-based priorities for
11
12 ECD are rarely embraced and matched with the core competences of the agencies. For
13
14 example, whereas UNICEF is officially designated as the sole custodian agency for
15
16 monitoring SDG 4.2.1 for ECD, the organisation would require a closer collaboration
17
18 with WHO, the World Bank Group and UNESCO in conceptualising an evidence-driven
19
20 priority agenda. The WHO has a stronger leverage in the health sector among UN
21
22 Member States than any other agencies and is more resourced to guide and deliver
23
24 health related EDIS from birth to age 5 years. Without such an understanding and
25
26 collaboration at the global level, the likelihood is that local officials in various
27
28 government ministries will simply focus on sectoral programmes foisted on them by
29
30 individual agencies without any consideration or sense of ownership for the outcomes
31
32 among the target beneficiaries.
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42

43 LMICs have a lot to learn from time-tested approaches for children with disabilities in
44
45 high-income countries (HICs) where health-sector led ECD initiatives have proven to be
46
47 a vital component of effective inclusive education policy. Countries without effective
48
49 EDIS rooted in the health-sector are likely to have poor enrolment of children with
50
51 disabilities. These services are routinely offered in HICs but are yet to be considered a
52
53 priority in LMICs where the burden of disabilities in children under 5 years is
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2 substantial. The different approaches to ECD between HICs and LMICs only exacerbate
3
4 the huge health, educational and social inequalities between both regions and violates
5
6 the spirit and letter of the SDGs that seek the well-being of all populations regardless
7
8 of race, location, and disability status.¹ It is important to emphasise that the scope of
9
10 services required by children with disabilities in early childhood is multisectoral and
11
12 extends beyond the health sector. However, the health sector provides the most reliable
13
14 gateway to accessing the requisite services.
15
16
17
18
19
20

21 The case for accelerating progress for children under 5 years with disabilities by 2030
22
23 has been extensively discussed elsewhere.⁹ Without effective partnership among the UN
24
25 agencies and other key players in ECD it is doubtful that the SDG vision for children
26
27 under 5 years will be realised. The disabled persons organisations (DPOs) also need to
28
29 be fully equipped and engaged to challenge and support these agencies. So far this group
30
31 of children are hardly included by the DPOs in their activities at the global and country
32
33 levels. The synergistic benefits of this partnership for children with disabilities in
34
35 LMICs are invaluable. For example, inclusive education at school entry if appropriately
36
37 conceptualised can serve as a key performance indicator for EDIS. This would require
38
39 that EDIS policy and programmes are geared towards addressing the awful statistics
40
41 on the low enrolment and drop-out rates in mainstream education among children with
42
43 disabilities. This would entail concerted efforts to address the well-documented social,
44
45 cultural, and economic barriers for effective EDIS, especially in LMICs.^{8,13,14} Thus,
46
47 UNESCO, as the lead agency for education globally can provide the requisite long-term
48
49 strategic vision for WHO-UNICEF-led ECD initiatives from birth till age 5 years.
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2 Similarly, global investment in promoting inclusive education without complementary
3
4 investment in EDIS from birth is unlikely to yield optimal enrolment of children with
5
6 disabilities. This would require a special attention to ensuring that health-systems in
7
8 LMICs are functionally disability-inclusive as it is currently the case in high-income
9
10 countries. These recommendations are achievable and necessary to ensure an equitable
11
12 ECD priority for children with disabilities and their families, especially in LMICs.
13
14
15
16
17
18

19 **Abbreviations**

20
21 DPO: Disabled Persons Organisation; ECD: Early childhood development; EDIS: Early
22
23 detection and intervention services; HIC: High income country; LMIC: Low- and middle-
24
25 income country; NCF: Nurturing Care Framework; SDG: Sustainable Development
26
27 Goal
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

References

1. United Nations. Sustainable Development Goals. UN, New York, NY, USA: 2015. Available from: <http://www.un.org/sustainabledevelopment/sustainable-development-goals> (accessed 20 December 2021).
2. Shawar YR, Shiffman J. Generation of global political priority for early childhood development: the challenges of framing and governance. *Lancet* 2017;389:119-24.
3. GBD 2017 SDG Collaborators. Measuring progress from 1990 to 2017 and projecting attainment to 2030 of the health-related Sustainable Development Goals for 195 countries and territories: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. *Lancet* 2018;392:2091-138.
4. United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF), World Health Organisation (WHO), the World Bank Group. Nurturing care for early childhood development: a framework for helping children survive and thrive to transform health and human potential. Geneva: World Health Organisation, 2018. Available from: <http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/272603/9789241514064-eng.pdf?ua=1> (accessed 20 December 2021).
5. Grantham-McGregor S, Cheung YB, Cueto S, et al; International Child Development Steering Group. Developmental potential in the first 5 years for children in developing countries. *Lancet* 2007;369:60-70.
6. Global Research on Developmental Disabilities Collaborators (GRDDC). Developmental disabilities among children younger than 5 years in 195 countries and territories, 1990-2016: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2016. *Lancet Glob Health* 2018;6:e1100-21.
7. Olusanya BO, Wright SM, Nair MKC, et al; Global Research on Developmental Disabilities Collaborators (GRDDC). Global Burden of Childhood Epilepsy, Intellectual Disability, and Sensory Impairments. *Pediatrics* 2020;146:e20192623.
8. United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF). Seen, Counted, Included: Using data to shed light on the well-being of children with disabilities, UNICEF, New York, 2021. Available from: <https://data.unicef.org/resources/children-with-disabilities-report-2021/> (accessed 20 December 2021)

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
9. Global Research on Developmental Disabilities Collaborators (GRDDC). Accelerating progress for early child development for children under 5 years with developmental disabilities by 2030. *Lancet Global Health* (in press).
10. Clark H, Coll-Seck AM, Banerjee A, et al. A future for the world's children? A WHO-UNICEF-Lancet Commission. *Lancet*. 2020;395:605-58.
11. Spicer N, Agyepong I, Ottersen T, Jahn A, Ooms G. 'It's far too complicated': why fragmentation persists in global health. *Global Health* 2020;16(1):60.
12. Frenk J, Moon S. Governance challenges in Global Health. *New Engl J Med* 2013;368:936-42.
13. George CE, Norman G, Benjamin TE, Mukherjee D. Barriers to Early Diagnosis, Intervention and Social Integration of Children with Developmental Disabilities: A Qualitative Study from Rural Villages and a Poor Urban Settlement of Bangalore, South India. *Disability, CBR & Inclusive Development*. 2014;25:61-83. DOI: <http://doi.org/10.5463/dcid.v25i4.333> (accessed 20 December 2021)
14. Sapiets SJ, Totsika V, Hastings RP. Factors influencing access to early intervention for families of children with developmental disabilities: A narrative review. *J Appl Res Intellect Disabil* 2021;34:695-711.

BMJ Paediatrics Open

Disability in children: a global problem needing a well-coordinated global action.

Journal:	<i>BMJ Paediatrics Open</i>
Manuscript ID	bmjpo-2021-001397.R1
Article Type:	Editorial
Date Submitted by the Author:	06-Feb-2022
Complete List of Authors:	Olusanya, Bolajoko; Centre for Healthy Start Initiative Halpern, Ricardo; Hospital da Criança Santo Antônio Cheung, Vivian G.; University of Michigan Life Sciences Institute, Department of Pediatrics, Nair, MKC; NIMS-Spectrum-Child Development Research Centre, NIMS Medicity Campus, Pediatrics Boo, Nem; Universiti Tunku Abdul Rahman, Population Medicine Hadders-Algra, Mijna; University of Groningen, University Medical Center Groningen , Department of Pediatrics, Division of Developmental Neurology
Keywords:	Rehabilitation

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts



I, the Submitting Author has the right to grant and does grant on behalf of all authors of the Work (as defined in the below author licence), an exclusive licence and/or a non-exclusive licence for contributions from authors who are: i) UK Crown employees; ii) where BMJ has agreed a CC-BY licence shall apply, and/or iii) in accordance with the terms applicable for US Federal Government officers or employees acting as part of their official duties; on a worldwide, perpetual, irrevocable, royalty-free basis to BMJ Publishing Group Ltd ("BMJ") its licensees and where the relevant Journal is co-owned by BMJ to the co-owners of the Journal, to publish the Work in this journal and any other BMJ products and to exploit all rights, as set out in our [licence](#).

The Submitting Author accepts and understands that any supply made under these terms is made by BMJ to the Submitting Author unless you are acting as an employee on behalf of your employer or a postgraduate student of an affiliated institution which is paying any applicable article publishing charge ("APC") for Open Access articles. Where the Submitting Author wishes to make the Work available on an Open Access basis (and intends to pay the relevant APC), the terms of reuse of such Open Access shall be governed by a Creative Commons licence – details of these licences and which [Creative Commons](#) licence will apply to this Work are set out in our licence referred to above.

Other than as permitted in any relevant BMJ Author's Self Archiving Policies, I confirm this Work has not been accepted for publication elsewhere, is not being considered for publication elsewhere and does not duplicate material already published. I confirm all authors consent to publication of this Work and authorise the granting of this licence.

EDITORIAL

Disability in children: a global problem needing a well-coordinated global action.

Bolajoko O. Olusanya,¹ Ricardo Halpern,² MKC Nair,³ Nem Yun Boo,⁴ Vivian G. Cheung,⁵ Mijna Hadders-Algra⁶

Affiliations

¹Centre for Healthy Start Initiative, 286A Corporation Drive, Dolphin Estate, Ikoyi, Lagos, Nigeria (B O Olusanya FRCPC). Email: bolajoko.olusanya@uclmail.net

Tel: +234 803 33 44 300. (**Corresponding Author**)

²Child Development Outpatient Clinic, Hospital da Criança Santo Antônio, Santa Casa de Porto Alegre, Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil (Prof R Halpern MD).

³NIMS-Spectrum-Child Development Research Centre, NIMS Medicity Campus, Aralummoodu, Thiruvananthapuram, Kerala, India (Prof M K C Nair DSc).

⁴Department of Population Medicine, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Universiti Tunku Abdul Rahman, Selangor, Malaysia (Prof N Y Boo FRCPC).

⁵Department of Pediatrics, Life Sciences Institute, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA (Prof V G Cheung MD).

⁶University of Groningen, University Medical Center Groningen, Department of Paediatrics, Division of Developmental Neurology, Groningen, the Netherlands (Prof M Hadders-Algra MD).

Contributors: BOO drafted the manuscript. RH, MKCN, NYB, VGC and MHA critically reviewed the draft and suggested essential edits. All authors contributed to revising the manuscript and have approved of the final version.

Funding: The authors have not declared a specific grant for this research from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Competing interests: No conflicts of interest to declare. The authors are members of the Global Research on Developmental Disabilities Collaborators (GRDDC).

Patient consent for publication: Not required.

1
2 In September 2015, 193 Member States of the United Nations (UN) unanimously signed
3
4 a social contract with their citizens to commit resources to realising a global agenda
5
6 consisting of 17 development goals under the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs).¹
7
8 Unlike the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs), the SDGs agenda makes explicit
9
10 provisions for disability-inclusiveness in policy interventions over the life course:
11
12 childhood, adolescence, adulthood, and old age. The UN agencies like the World Health
13
14 Organisation (WHO), United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF), United Nations
15
16 Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organisation (UNESCO) and the World Bank
17
18 Group are usually tasked with providing global leadership in implementing the SDGs
19
20 within their core mandate.
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

28 The specific focus on early childhood development (ECD) for children under-5 years as
29
30 one of the targets under the fourth SDG (SDG 4.2) is unprecedented and a clear
31
32 recognition of the importance of the early years from birth as the foundation of optimal
33
34 human capital development. SDG 4.2 is dedicated to the education sector, and it seeks
35
36 to ensure that all girls and boys have access to quality early childhood development,
37
38 care, and pre-primary education so that they are ready for primary education.
39
40 Ordinarily, matters relating to the well-being of children under-5 years are more
41
42 associated with the health sector because of the well-established global efforts to reduce
43
44 under-5 mortality and childhood malnutrition. However, the architects of this SDG
45
46 recognised the crucial and unique role of the health sector in preparing children from
47
48 birth through age 5 years for effective enrolment in primary education. The SDG
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2 specifically acknowledged the importance of health and psychosocial well-being in
3
4 assessing child development in early childhood.
5
6
7
8

9 Surprisingly, none of the targets and indicators for SDG 4, including ECD for children
10 under-5 years, are listed among the health-related SDGs by WHO or the global health
11 community in general.² As a result, a lacuna emerged that has been exploited by various
12 ECD champions to promote discordant narratives that do not align with the extensive
13 scientific evidence on the crucial role of the health sector in facilitating early detection
14 and intervention services (EDIS) for all children at risk of poor development in early
15 childhood.³ This situation potentially impairs the opportunity for effective primary
16 school enrolment among children under 5 years with disabilities as envisioned by SDGs.
17 Moreover, the absence of an effective and universally accepted priority ECD framework
18 for children under 5 years continues to undermine political support for appropriate
19 policy and investment. For example, the flagship ECD programme by WHO, UNICEF
20 and the World Bank Group, titled “Nurturing Care Framework” (NCF), was premised
21 on an estimated 250 million children under-5 years in low- and middle-income countries
22 (LMICs) who are suspected to be at risk of poor development or developmental delays
23 due to stunting and poverty in 2015.⁴ The recommended core interventions are home-
24 based psychosocial stimulation and responsive caregiving among children younger than
25 3 years which are helpful but largely insufficient in addressing the special needs of
26 children with disabilities. This ECD narrative was justified on the grounds that global
27 estimates on children with disabilities, who are arguably at greater risk of poor
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2 development, especially in LMICs, were not available when the NCF was launched in
3
4 2018.⁵

6
7 Meanwhile, estimates from the Global Burden of Disease (GBD) database published in
8
9 2018 suggested that more than 53 million children under 5 years were at risk of poor
10
11 development due to developmental disabilities.⁶ In addition, the prior and widely cited
12
13 estimate of 93 million children under 15 years with moderate-to-severe disabilities first
14
15 reported in 2014 was updated in 2020 to at least 291 million children under 20 years
16
17 and includes mild-to-severe disabilities.⁷ In November 2021, UNICEF, for the first time
18
19 published a special report in which almost 240 million children aged 2-17 years are
20
21 estimated to be disabled based on parent-reported functional deficits.⁸ The landmark
22
23 report found that, compared to children without disabilities, children with disabilities
24
25 are 34 percent more likely to be stunted, 25 percent more likely to be wasted, 24 percent
26
27 less likely to receive early stimulation and responsive care, 25 percent less likely to
28
29 attend early childhood education, 42 percent less likely to have foundational reading
30
31 and numeracy skills, 49 percent more likely to have never attended school and 47 per
32
33 cent more likely to be out of primary school. In addition, a comparison of global
34
35 estimates of under-5 mortality and under-5 disability suggests that globally, newborns
36
37 are ten times more likely to be disabled than to die before their fifth birthday.⁹

38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47 Taken together, available evidence from different sources including the latest UNICEF
48
49 report, clearly suggests the need for a complete overhaul of the NCF to reflect the
50
51 required priority for ECD as envisaged under the SDGs. It is common knowledge that
52
53 global governance for child health and wellbeing is fragmented and disjointed.¹⁰ The
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2 UN agencies often give the appearance of collaboration both at international and local
3
4 levels, especially in their publications and reports, but in reality, the agencies often
5
6 work in isolation, thereby undermining the realisation of the commitments of UN
7
8 Member States to their citizens.^{11,12} Mechanisms for accountability to the public are not
9
10 clearly defined. Jurisdictional disputes and power-play among units and professionals
11
12 within these agencies is also not uncommon especially where roles and functions
13
14 overlap.³ These have resulted in the absence of an effective global governance for ECD
15
16 policies and programmes. The evidence-based priorities for ECD are rarely embraced
17
18 and matched with the core competences of the agencies. For example, whereas UNICEF
19
20 is officially designated as the sole custodian agency for monitoring SDG 4.2.1 for ECD,
21
22 the organisation would require a closer collaboration with WHO, the World Bank Group
23
24 and UNESCO in conceptualising an evidence-driven priority agenda. The WHO has a
25
26 stronger leverage in the health sector among UN Member States than any other
27
28 agencies and is more resourced to guide and deliver health related EDIS from birth to
29
30 age 5 years. Without such an understanding and collaboration at the global level, the
31
32 likelihood is that local officials in various government ministries will simply focus on
33
34 sectoral programmes foisted on them by individual agencies without any consideration
35
36 or sense of ownership for the outcomes among the target beneficiaries.
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46

47 LMICs have a lot to learn from time-tested approaches for children with disabilities in
48
49 high-income countries (HICs) where health-sector led ECD initiatives have proven to be
50
51 a vital component of effective inclusive education policy. Countries without effective
52
53 EDIS rooted in the health-sector are likely to have poor enrolment of children with
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1 disabilities. These services are routinely offered in HICs but are yet to be considered a
2
3 priority, in some contextually relevant form, in LMICs where the burden of disabilities
4
5 in children under 5 years is substantial. The different approaches to ECD between HICs
6
7 and LMICs only exacerbate the huge health, educational and social inequalities
8
9 between both regions and violates the spirit and letter of the SDGs that seek the well-
10
11 being of all populations regardless of race, location, and disability status.¹ It is
12
13 important to emphasise that the scope of services required by children with disabilities
14
15 in early childhood is multisectoral and extends beyond the health sector. However, the
16
17 health sector provides the most reliable gateway to accessing the requisite services.
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

26 The case for investing in children under 5 years with disabilities to ensure meaningful
27
28 progress by 2030 has been extensively discussed elsewhere.⁹ Without effective
29
30 partnership among the UN agencies and other key players in ECD it is doubtful that
31
32 the SDG vision for children under 5 years will be realised. The disabled persons
33
34 organisations (DPOs) also need to be fully equipped and engaged to challenge and
35
36 support these agencies. So far this group of children are hardly included by the DPOs
37
38 in their activities at the global and country levels. The synergistic benefits of this
39
40 partnership for children with disabilities in LMICs are invaluable. For example, school
41
42 readiness for inclusive education if appropriately conceptualised can serve as a key
43
44 performance indicator for EDIS.¹³ This would require that EDIS policy and programmes
45
46 are geared towards addressing the poor statistics on school enrolment and drop-out
47
48 rates in mainstream education among children with disabilities. This would entail
49
50 concerted efforts to address the well-documented social, cultural, and economic barriers
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2 for effective EDIS, especially in LMICs.^{8,14,15} Thus, UNESCO, as the lead agency for
3
4 education globally can provide the requisite long-term strategic vision for WHO-
5
6 UNICEF-led ECD initiatives from birth till age 5 years as exemplified in some HICs.¹⁶
7
8 Similarly, global investment in promoting inclusive education without complementary
9
10 investment in EDIS for school readiness from birth is unlikely to yield optimal
11
12 enrolment of children with disabilities. The health-systems in LMICs will need to be
13
14 adapted and strengthened to be more functional and disability-friendly as it is currently
15
16 the case in high-income countries. These recommendations are achievable and
17
18 necessary to ensure an equitable ECD priority for children with disabilities and their
19
20 families, especially in LMICs by 2030.
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

28 **Abbreviations**

29
30
31 DPO: Disabled Persons Organisation; ECD: Early childhood development; EDIS: Early
32
33 detection and intervention services; HIC: High income country; LMIC: Low- and middle-
34
35 income country; NCF: Nurturing Care Framework; SDG: Sustainable Development
36
37 Goal
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

References

1. United Nations. Sustainable Development Goals. UN, New York, NY, USA: 2015. Available from: <http://www.un.org/sustainabledevelopment/sustainable-development-goals> (accessed 5 February 2022).
2. GBD 2017 SDG Collaborators. Measuring progress from 1990 to 2017 and projecting attainment to 2030 of the health-related Sustainable Development Goals for 195 countries and territories: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. *Lancet* 2018;392:2091-138.
3. Shawar YR, Shiffman J. Generation of global political priority for early childhood development: the challenges of framing and governance. *Lancet* 2017;389:119-24.
4. United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF), World Health Organisation (WHO), the World Bank Group. Nurturing care for early childhood development: a framework for helping children survive and thrive to transform health and human potential. Geneva: World Health Organisation, 2018. Available from: <http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/272603/9789241514064-eng.pdf?ua=1> (accessed 5 February 2022).
5. Grantham-McGregor S, Cheung YB, Cueto S, et al; International Child Development Steering Group. Developmental potential in the first 5 years for children in developing countries. *Lancet* 2007;369:60-70.
6. Global Research on Developmental Disabilities Collaborators (GRDDC). Developmental disabilities among children younger than 5 years in 195 countries and territories, 1990-2016: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2016. *Lancet Glob Health* 2018;6:e1100-21.
7. Olusanya BO, Wright SM, Nair MKC, et al; Global Research on Developmental Disabilities Collaborators (GRDDC). Global Burden of Childhood Epilepsy, Intellectual Disability, and Sensory Impairments. *Pediatrics* 2020;146:e20192623.
8. United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF). Seen, Counted, Included: Using data to shed light on the well-being of children with disabilities, UNICEF, New York, 2021. Available from: <https://data.unicef.org/resources/children-with-disabilities-report-2021/> (accessed 5 February 2022)

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
9. Global Research on Developmental Disabilities Collaborators (GRDDC). Accelerating progress for early child development for children under 5 years with developmental disabilities by 2030. *Lancet Glob Health Published Online January 14, 2022*. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X\(21\)00488-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X(21)00488-5).
10. Clark H, Coll-Seck AM, Banerjee A, et al. A future for the world's children? A WHO-UNICEF-Lancet Commission. *Lancet*. 2020;395:605-58.
11. Spicer N, Agyepong I, Ottersen T, Jahn A, Ooms G. 'It's far too complicated': why fragmentation persists in global health. *Global Health* 2020;16(1):60.
12. Frenk J, Moon S. Governance challenges in Global Health. *New Engl J Med* 2013;368:936-42.
13. Peterson JW, Loeb S, Chamberlain LJ. The Intersection of Health and Education to Address School Readiness of All Children. *Pediatrics*. 2018;142:e20181126.
14. George CE, Norman G, Benjamin TE, Mukherjee D. Barriers to Early Diagnosis, Intervention and Social Integration of Children with Developmental Disabilities: A Qualitative Study from Rural Villages and a Poor Urban Settlement of Bangalore, South India. *Disability, CBR & Inclusive Development*. 2014;25:61-83. <http://doi.org/10.5463/dcid.v25i4.333> (accessed 5 February 2022)
15. Sapiets SJ, Totsika V, Hastings RP. Factors influencing access to early intervention for families of children with developmental disabilities: A narrative review. *J Appl Res Intellect Disabil* 2021;34:695-711.
16. U.S. Department of Education. 41st Annual report to Congress on the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act, parts B and C: 2019. <https://sites.ed.gov/idea/files/41st-arc-for-idea.pdf> (accessed 5 February 2022).