## PLOS Neglected Tropical Diseases Factors Associated with In-Hospital Mortality of Adult Tetanus Patients– A Multicenter Study from Bangladesh --Manuscript Draft--

| Manuscript Number:  | PNTD-D-21-01768   |
|---|---|
| Full Title:   | Factors Associated with In-Hospital Mortality of Adult Tetanus Patients– A Multicenter Study from Bangladesh  |
| Short Title:  | Factors Associated with Mortality of Adult Tetanus Patients   |
| Article Type:   | Research Article  |
| Keywords:   | Tetanus; In-hospital mortality; Fatality; Risk factors  |
| Abstract:   | Background: Tetanus, a vaccine-preventable disease, is still occurring in the elderly population of low- and middle-income countries with a high case-fatality rate. The objective of the study was to elucidate the factors associated with in-hospital mortality of tetanus in Bangladesh.<br>Methods: This prospective observational study, conducted in two specialized infectious disease hospitals, conveniently selected adult tetanus patients (≥18 years) for inclusion. Data were collected through a preformed structured questionnaire. Kaplan Meier survival analysis and univariate and multivariable Cox regression analysis were carried out to assess factors associated with in-hospital mortality among patients. All analysis was done using Stata (version 16) and SPSS (version 26). Results: A total of 61 confirmed tetanus cases were included, and the overall inhospital mortality rate was 34.4% (n=21). Patients had an average age of 46.49 ±15.65 years (SD), and the majority were male (96.7%), farmers (57.4%), and came from rural areas (93.4%). Survival analysis revealed that the probability of death was significantly higher among patients having an age of < 40 years, incubation time of ≤12 days, onset time of ≤ 4 days, and having complication(s). However, on multivariable Cox regression analysis, age (adjusted hazard ratio [aHR] 4.03, 95% Confidence Interval [CI] 1.07 – 15.17, p=0.039) and onset time (≤4 days) (aHR 3.33; 95% CI 1.05 – 10.57, p=0.041) came as significant predictors of in-hospital mortality after adjusting for incubation period and complications. Conclusion: Older age and short onset time are the two most important determinants of in-hospital mortality of tetanus patients. Hence, these patients require enhanced emphasis and care. |
| Additional Information:   |   |
| Question  | Response  |
| Financial Disclosure<br>Enter a financial disclosure statement that<br>describes the sources of funding for the<br>work included in this submission. Review<br>the <u>submission guidelines</u> for detailed<br>requirements. View published research<br>articles from <u>PLOS NTDs</u> for specific<br>examples. | The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.   |
| This statement is required for submission<br>and <b>will appear in the published article</b> if<br>the submission is accepted. Please make<br>sure it is accurate.  |   |

| <ul> <li>Unfunded studies</li> <li>Enter: The author(s) received no specific funding for this work.</li> <li>Funded studies</li> <li>Enter a statement with the following details: <ul> <li>Initials of the authors who received each award</li> <li>Grant numbers awarded to each author</li> <li>The full name of each funder</li> <li>URL of each funder website</li> <li>Did the sponsors or funders play any role in the study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript?</li> <li>NO - Include this sentence at the end of your statement: The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.</li> <li>YES - Specify the role(s) played.</li> </ul> </li> </ul> |  |
|--|--|
| <ul> <li>* typeset</li> <li>Competing Interests</li> <li>Use the instructions below to enter a competing interest statement for this submission. On behalf of all authors, disclose any competing interests that could be perceived to bias this work—acknowledging all financial support and any other relevant financial or non-financial competing interests.</li> <li>This statement will appear in the published article if the submission is accepted. Please make sure it is accurate. View published research articles from <i>PLOS NTDs</i> for specific examples.</li> </ul>   | The authors have declared that no competing interests exist. |

#### NO authors have competing interests

Enter: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

#### Authors with competing interests

Enter competing interest details beginning with this statement:

I have read the journal's policy and the authors of this manuscript have the following competing interests: [insert competing interests here]

#### \* typeset

This statement is required for submission and **will appear in the published article** if the submission is accepted. Please make sure it is accurate and that any funding sources listed in your Funding Information later in the submission form are also declared in your Financial Disclosure statement.

#### **Data Availability**

Authors are required to make all data underlying the findings described fully available, without restriction, and from the time of publication. PLOS allows rare exceptions to address legal and ethical concerns. See the <u>PLOS Data Policy</u> and FAQ for detailed information.

#### Yes - all data are fully available without restriction

A Data Availability Statement describing where the data can be found is required at submission. Your answers to this question constitute the Data Availability Statement and will be published in the article, if accepted. Important: Stating 'data available on request from the author' is not sufficient. If your data are only available upon request, select 'No' for the first question and explain your exceptional situation in the text box. Do the authors confirm that all data underlying the findings described in their manuscript are fully available without restriction? **Describe where the data may be found in** All relevant data are within the manuscript and its Supporting Information files. full sentences. If you are copying our sample text, replace any instances of XXX with the appropriate details. If the data are held or will be held in a public repository, include URLs, accession numbers or DOIs. If this information will only be available after acceptance, indicate this by ticking the box below. For example: All XXX files are available from the XXX database (accession number(s) XXX, XXX.). · If the data are all contained within the manuscript and/or Supporting Information files, enter the following: All relevant data are within the manuscript and its Supporting Information files. If neither of these applies but you are able to provide details of access

Data cannot be shared publicly because

elsewhere, with or without limitations,

please do so. For example:

| of [XXX]. Data are available from the<br>XXX Institutional Data Access / Ethics<br>Committee (contact via XXX) for<br>researchers who meet the criteria for<br>access to confidential data.  |  |
|--|--|
| <ul> <li>The data underlying the results presented in the study are available from (include the name of the third party and contact information or URL).</li> <li>This text is appropriate if the data are owned by a third party and authors do not have permission to share the data.</li> </ul> |  |
| * typeset  |  |
| Additional data availability information:  |  |

| 1  | Title: Fac              | ctors Associated with In-Hospital Mortality of Adult Tetanus Patients–   |
|----|-------------------------|--|
| 2  | A Multico               | enter Study from Bangladesh  |
| 3  | Short title             | e: Factors Associated with Mortality of Adult Tetanus Patients   |
| 4  | Author' na              | ame:   |
| 5  | Md. Abdu                | llah Saeed Khan <sup>1</sup> , Mohammad Jahid Hasan <sup>2</sup> , Md Utba Rashid <sup>3</sup> , Soumik Kha              |
| 6  | Sagar <sup>3</sup> , Sa | anzida Khan <sup>1</sup> , Susmita Zaman <sup>2</sup> , Sultan Mahamud Sumon <sup>1</sup> , Ariful Basher <sup>1</sup> , |
| 7  | Mohamma                 | ad Delwer Hossain Hawlader <sup>4</sup> , Mohammad Hayatun Nabi <sup>4</sup> , Nadira Sultana                            |
| 8  | Kakoly <sup>4</sup>     |  |
| 9  |                         |  |
| 10 | Authors' A              | Affiliations   |
| 11 |                         |  |
| 12 | 1.                      | Infectious Disease Hospital, Mohakhali, Dhaka-1212, Bangladesh.  |
| 13 | 2.                      | Pi Research Consultancy Center, Dhaka 1211, Bangladesh.  |
| 14 | 3.                      | Nutrition and Clinical Services Division (NCSD), International Centre for  |
| 15 |                         | Diarrhoeal Disease Research, Bangladesh (icddr,b), Mohakhali, Dhaka-1212,  |
| 16 |                         | Bangladesh.  |
| 17 | 4.                      | Department of Public Health, North South University, Dhaka 1229, Bangladesh.   |
| 18 |                         |  |
| 19 |                         |  |
| 20 |                         |  |

21

- 22 Corresponding Author:
- 23 Md. Abdullah Saeed Khan
- 24 MBBS, MPH
- 25 Medical Officer
- 26 Infectious Disease Hospital,
- 27 Mohakhali, Dhaka 1212, Bangladesh
- 28 Phone: +8801823210718
- 29 <u>abdullahdmc@gmail.com; abdullah.saeed@northsouth.edu</u>
- 30 <u>https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0707-0437</u>
- 31 Mobile no: +8801823210718

#### ABSTRACT

Background: Tetanus, a vaccine-preventable disease, is still occurring in the elderly
population of low- and middle-income countries with a high case-fatality rate. The
objective of the study was to elucidate the factors associated with in-hospital mortality
of tetanus in Bangladesh.

38 Methods: This prospective observational study, conducted in two specialized 39 infectious disease hospitals, conveniently selected adult tetanus patients (≥18 years) for 40 inclusion. Data were collected through a preformed structured questionnaire. Kaplan 41 Meier survival analysis and univariate and multivariable Cox regression analysis were 42 carried out to assess factors associated with in-hospital mortality among patients. All 43 analysis was done using Stata (version 16) and SPSS (version 26).

Results: A total of 61 confirmed tetanus cases were included, and the overall in-44 45 hospital mortality rate was 34.4% (n=21). Patients had an average age of  $46.49 \pm 15.65$ years (SD), and the majority were male (96.7%), farmers (57.4%), and came from rural 46 areas (93.4%). Survival analysis revealed that the probability of death was significantly 47 higher among patients having an age of < 40 years, incubation time of  $\le 12$  days, onset 48 time of  $\leq$  4 days, and having complication(s). However, on multivariable Cox 49 50 regression analysis, age (adjusted hazard ratio [aHR] 4.03, 95% Confidence Interval 51 [CI] 1.07 - 15.17, p=0.039) and onset time ( $\leq 4$  days) (aHR 3.33; 95% CI 1.05 - 10.57, p=0.041) came as significant predictors of in-hospital mortality after adjusting for 52 53 incubation period and complications.

54 Conclusion: Older age and short onset time are the two most important determinants 55 of in-hospital mortality of tetanus patients. Hence, these patients require enhanced 56 emphasis and care.

57

58 Keywords: Tetanus; In-hospital mortality; Fatality; Risk factors.

59

60 Synopsis

61 The incidence of tetanus decreased considerably from the developed countries through mass 62 vaccination programs. However, it continues to be a cause of death in low- and middle-income 63 settings. Tetanus mortality after hospitalization is dependent on many factors. Our study found 64 that more than one-third of the adult ( $\geq$ 18 years) tetanus patients died in the hospitals without 65 intensive care facilities, and patients' older age, short incubation period (time from injury to the 66 appearance of symptom), short onset time (interval between the first symptoms and the first spasm) and development of complications were significantly associated with deaths. 67 68 Meticulous and individualized management of adult tetanus patients with one or more of the 69 above features are required to increase their survival.

70

#### 72 Introduction

73 Tetanus, an acute and fatal infection, is caused by the neurotoxin-producing bacterium 74 Clostridium tetani. It shows a classical clinical picture of muscular rigidity and 75 generalized spasms (1). The spores of C. tetani are resilient, long-lasting, and 76 widespread in the environment. It can contaminate wounds, abrasions, and the 77 umbilical stump in neonates(2). Globally nearly one million people contract tetanus 78 ann any. It has a high case fatality rate, with an estimated 45.5% (95% CI: 43.7%-79 47.2%) deaths in African countries(3). However, it might vary based on the availability 80 and accessibility of intensive care units that are well-equipped. Extensive vaccination 81 coverage has led to a decline in the number of new cases of tetanus in developed 82 countries. However, it is still common in low- and middle-income countries. South Asia 83 and Sub-Saharan Africa account for 82% of tetanus cases worldwide(4). In Bangladesh the prevalence is still unknown(5). 84

85 Any unvaccinated person has the potential risk of developing tetanus because of the 86 absence of immune protection from natural infection. As childhood and maternal vaccination was started at the end of the twentieth century, tetanus now occurs mainly 87 among older adults in developed countries. On the other hand, neonatal tetanus, which 88 occurs primarily due to inadequate or lack of women's immunization, is frequently 89 90 found in developing or underdeveloped settings (6). Despite the availability of 91 inexpensive and effective tetanus vaccines, the disease continues to be a health problem 92 in impoverished regions of the world (7).

*C tetani* spores invade the human body through wounds or minor abrasions under
suitable anaerobic conditions. Whenever a wound occurs, appropriate wound care and
vaccination could prevent tetanus(8). If infection occurs, patients often die in the
hospital due to autonomic failure, cardiovascular dysrhythmia, and complications (9).
Proper management can improve survival among patients(10). However, despite
appropriate management, many patients fail to survive.

99 Death in tetanus is dependent on many factors. The poor prognostic factors of inhospital deaths identified are short incubation period, older age, severe type, 100 101 generalized variety, dysautonomia, pneumonia, hypoxemia, sepsis, and renal 102 failure(11). Hence, the treatment of cases often requires assisted ventilation. Additionally, passive immunization is usually given following trauma or injury (12). 103 Despite widespread vaccination and advances in management, the mortality in 104 105 generalized tetanus is still high. Previously, very few studies prospectively explored the 106 factors associated with in-hospital mortality among adult tetanus patients. Moreover, 107 there are few to no studies regarding in-hospital mortality and its associated factors 108 among adult tetanus patients in Bangladesh. Therefore, this research aimed to analyze 109 the factors affecting mortality in hospitalized adult tetanus patients.

110

#### 111 Materials and Methods

#### 112 Study design, population, and settings

This prospective observing in was carried out in two specialized infectious disease hospitals in Bangladesh (Infectious Disease Hospital, Mohakhali, Dhaka, and Surya Kanto Hospital, Mymensingh), between December 2020 to August 2021. We approached all adult ( $\geq$ 18 years) hospitalized cases of tetanus inclusion. Patients who were not willing to participate were excluded. A total of 61 clinically diagnosed tetanus patients were conveniently selected for the stress.

#### 119 Study measures

After an extensive review of the published literature, we produced a structured questionnaire for data collection (Supplementary file 1). The questionnaire had four parts: a.) sociodemographic information, b.) information regarding tetanus, c.) comorbidities, investigations, and treatment, d.) in-hospital outcome.

#### 124 Sociodemographic information

125 This part queried the patient's age, sex, religion, education, marital status, occupation,

126 monthly family income, and smoking history.

#### 127 *Information regarding tetanus*

This part asked about the mode of injury, pare of wound, onset time (duration between
onset of symptoms and full-blown expression), incubation period, clinical features

130 (including symptoms and signs) at admission, vaccination history (both previous and

postexposure), tetanus types, and investigations. The clinical characteristics comprised 131 132 of- incubation period (time from injury to the appearance of symptom), time of onset 133 or onset time (interval between the first symptoms and the first spasm), trismus 134 (lockjaw), risus sardonicus (a characteristic, abnormal, sustained spasm of the facial 135 muscles), dysphagia, muscle spams (other skeletal muscles), spasticity, rigidity 136 (overall), abdominal rigidity, opsithotonus (spasm of the muscles causing backward arching of the head, neck, and spine), fever, palpitation, urinary retention and vital 137 138 signs.

139 *Comorbidities, investigations, and treatment* 

Patients' comorbidities, including diabetes mellitus, hypertension, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, chronic renal failure, stroke, and ischemic heart disease, were assessed in this section. Additionally, the information about routine investigations (hematological profile, serum creatinine, serum calcium, and electrolytes) and treatment received during the hospital stay vas also collected.

#### 145 In-hospital outcome

146 Complications and final outcomes of the patients were listed in this section.

## 147 Study procedure

At first, informed written consent was taken from the patients or attendants of unconscious patients at admission for inclusion into this study. Then we recorded patients' socio-economic data, vaccination history, clinical characteristics, and comorbidity data upon inclusion. Patients presenting with lockjaw (trismus) or risus sardonicus and one or more features from dysphagia, muscle spasms, abdominal rigidity, opisthotonos, and history of the wound were considered to have clinically confirmed tetanus. The presence of an infected wound was considered an essential diagnostic clue in the absence of trismus or risus sardonicus. After the initial assessment, patients were followed up during the hospital stay until discharge with recovery or deat. Finally, investigation reports and in-hospital outcome data, including complications were recorded in the questionnaire.

159

#### 160 Statistical Analysis

161 After data entry and curation, we performed descriptive and analytic statistics. 162 Descriptive statistic was expressed as frequency (proportion) for categorical variable 163 and mean  $\pm$  standard deviation or median (interquartile range) for continuous variable. 164 Univariate analyses were conducted using the chi-square test, independent samples t 165 test, Mann-Whitney U test, and Kaplan Meyer Survival analysis. Univariate and multivariable Cox regression analyses were used for the assessment of significant 166 factors associated with death. Only statistically significant factors (p<0.05) at univariate 167 analysis, including age, incubation period, onset time, and presence of complication, 168 169 were considered for Cox regression. A p-value of  $\leq 0.05$  was considered significant. Statistical analysis was performed using statistical software Stata (version 16). 170

171

## 172 Ethical Consideration

The study was approved by the Ethical Review Committee of North South University (2020/OR-NSU/IRB-No.0801). All procedures were conducted following guidelines laid out by the World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki. Informed written consent was obtained from patients or attendants of unconscious patients before their inclusion in the study.

178

#### 180 **Result**

181 prevalence of in-hospital mortality was 34.4% (21 out of 61) in this study. (Figure 1).

#### 183 Figure 1. In-hospital mortality of tetanus patients

The average age of all patients was  $46.49\pm15.65$  years. The mean age of patients who died (52.10 ±12.99 years) was significantly higher than that of recovered patients ( $43.55\pm16.26$  years, p=0.042). Among patients who died, 80.9% were aged  $\ge 40$  years, and among those who were alive, 55.0% had the same age (p=0.045). The majority patients were male (96.7%), illiterate (46.7%), married (76.7%), farmer (57.4%), had income <7500 T (42.6%), and came from rural area (93.4%). The distribution was statistically similar among patients who were alive and who died (**Table 1**).

#### **Table 1. Sociodemographic characteristics of the patients (n=61)**

| Variable             | Total        | Recovered    | Died         | p-value |
|----------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|---------|
|                      | n (%)        | n (%)        | n (%)        |         |
| Age (years),         | 46.49 ±15.65 | 43.55 ±16.26 | 52.10 ±12.99 | 0.042   |
| mean±SD              |              |              |              |         |
| Age category (years) |              |              |              |         |
| < 40                 | 22 (36.1)    | 18 (45.0)    | 4 (19.1)     | 0.045   |
| $\geq$ 40            | 39 (63.9)    | 22 (55.0)    | 17 (80.9)    |         |
| Sex                  |              |              |              |         |

| Male             | 59 (96.7) | 38 (95.0) | 21 (100.0) | 0.297 |
|------------------|-----------|-----------|------------|-------|
| Female           | 2 (3 28)  | 2 (5.0)   | 0          |       |
| Education        |           |           |            |       |
| Illiterate       | 28 (46.7) | 18 (45.0) | 10 (50.0)  | 0.986 |
| Primary          | 11 (27.5) | 5 (25.0)  | 16 (26.7)  |       |
| SSC              | 13 (21.7) | 9 (22.5)  | 4 (20.0)   |       |
| HSC and above    | 3 (5.0)   | 2 (5.0)   | 1 (5.0)    |       |
| Marital Status   |           |           |            |       |
| Unmarried        | 9 (15.0)  | 6 (15.4)  | 3 (14.3)   | 0.967 |
| Married          | 46 (76.7) | 30 (76.9) | 16 (76.2)  |       |
| Widowed/divorced | 5 (8.3)   | 3 (7.7)   | 2 (9.5)    |       |
| Occupation       |           |           |            |       |
| Farmer           | 35 (57.4) | 20 (50.0) | 15 (71.4)  | 0.273 |
| Businessman      | 6 (9.8)   | 6 (15.0)  | 0          |       |
| Service holder   | 5 (8.2)   | 3 (7.5)   | 2 (9.5)    |       |
| Carpenter        | 4 (6.7)   | 1 (2.5)   | 3 (14.3)   |       |
| Housewife        | 2 (3.3)   | 2 (5.0)   | 0          |       |
| Student          | 2 (3.3)   | 1 (2.5)   | 1 (4.8)    |       |
| Electrician      | 2 (3.3)   | 2 (5.0)   | 0          |       |
| Retired          | 2 (3.3)   | 2 (5.0)   | 0          |       |
| Tailor           | 1 (1.6)   | 1 (2.5)   | 0          |       |
| Driver           | 1 (1.6)   | 1 (2.5)   | 0          |       |
| Fisherman        | 1 (2 😜    | 1 (1.6)   | 0          |       |
| Income (BDT)     |           |           |            |       |

| <7500         | 26 (42.6) | 18 (45.0) | 8 (38.1)  | 0.382 |
|---------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-------|
| 7501 - 10000  | 13 (21.3) | 9 (22.5)  | 4 (19.1)  |       |
| 10001 - 15000 | 16 (26.2) | 11 (27.5) | 5 (23.8)  |       |
| >15000        | 6 (9.8)   | 2 (5.0)   | 4 (19.0)  |       |
| Residence     |           |           |           |       |
| Rural         | 57 (93.4) | 39 (97.5) | 18 (85.7) | 0.077 |
| Urban         | 4 (6.56)  | 1 (2.5)   | 3 (14.3)  |       |

192 p-value determined using independent samples t test and Chi-square test where

appropriate; Significant p-values were shown in bold face

194

Of all, 8.2% had at least one comorbidity, 6.7% had hypertension, 4.9% had diabetes
mellitus, 1.6% had COPD, and 1.6% had ischemic heart disease. Thirty-six percent of
patients were current smokers, 20% were past smokers, and 44% never smoked (Table
2).

## **Table 2.** Comorbidity and smoking habit of the patients (n=61)

| Variable               | Total     | Recovered  | Died      | p-value |
|------------------------|-----------|------------|-----------|---------|
|                        | n (%)     | n (%)      | n (%)     |         |
| Comorbidity            |           |            |           |         |
| Present (any)          | 5 (8.2)   | 2 (5.0)    | 3 (14.3)  | 0.209   |
| Absent                 | 56 (91.8) | 38 (95.0)  | 18 (85.7) |         |
| Diabetes Mellitus      |           |            |           |         |
| Present                | 3 (4.9)   | 1 (2.5)    | 2 (9.5)   | 0.228   |
| Absent                 | 58 (95.1) | 39 (97.5)  | 19 (90.5) |         |
| Hypertension           |           |            |           |         |
| Present                | 4 (6.7)   | 1 (2.5)    | 3 (14.3)  | 0.077   |
| Absent                 | 57 (93.4) | 39 (97.5)  | 18 (85.7) |         |
| Chronic Obstructive    |           |            |           |         |
| Pulmonary Disease      |           |            |           |         |
| Present                | 1 (1.6)   | 0          | 1 (4.8))  | 0.164   |
| Absent                 | 60 (98.4) | 40 (100.0) | 20 (95.2) |         |
| Ischemic heart disease |           |            |           |         |
| Yes                    | 1 (1.6)   | 0          | 1 (4.8)   | 0.164   |
| No                     | 60 (98.4) | 40 (100.0) | 20 (95.2) |         |
| Smoking habit*         |           |            |           |         |
| Current smoker         | 9 (36.0)  | 7 (36.8)   | 2 (33.3)  | 0.940   |
| Past smoker            | 5 (20.0)  | 4 (21.1)   | 1 (16.7)  |         |
| Never smoker           | 11 (44.0) | 8 (42.1)   | 3 (50.0)  |         |

200 \*After excluding missing cases

201 p-value determined by Chi-square test

| 203 | Table 3 presents the clinical characteristics of the patients. Only 6.6% of patients        |
|-----|---|
| 204 | asserted a history of vaccination against tetanus, and 24.6% of patients took               |
| 205 | postexposure prophylaxis. The main mode of injury was trauma (95.1%), and the               |
| 206 | majority had their wound in the extremities (91.8%). Most of the patients had the           |
| 207 | generalized type of tetanus (93.4%) and severe disease (45.9%). These features were         |
| 208 | statistically similar between alive and dead patients. The overall median onset time was    |
| 209 | 3 days (interquartile range [IQR]: $2-7$ ). It was statistically similar among patients who |
| 210 | died (2.5, IQR 2- 4) than those who were alive (4, IQR $2 - 10$ , p=0.076). The median      |
| 211 | incubation period was 14 days (IQR 8 - 15) overall. It was also statistically similar       |
| 212 | between deceased patients (12, IQR 7 – 15) and alive patients (15, IQR 10 – 16, p           |
| 213 | =0.058). However, when categorized at a cutoff point of 12 days, a statistically            |
| 214 | significantly higher proportion of dead patients had an incubation period of $\leq 12$ days |
| 215 | compared to those alive (p=0.043). Of all patients, the majority had severe trismus         |
| 216 | (33.9%), mild dysphagia (50%), short spasms (73.5%), and generalized rigidity               |
| 217 | (93.4%). Spasticity was present in 75.4% of patients, abdominal rigidity in 68.9%, fever    |
| 218 | in 41%, opisthotonus in 9.8%, and urinary retention in 9.8%. Vital signs were within        |
| 219 | the normal range. The distribution of the clinical features were statistically similar      |
| 220 | between patients who was alive and those who died.  |

#### Table 3. Clinical characteristics of the patients (n=61)

| Variable            | Total     | Recovered | Died       | p-value |
|---------------------|-----------|-----------|------------|---------|
|                     | n (%)     | n (%)     | n (%)      |         |
| Prior history of    |           |           |            |         |
| vaccination against |           |           |            |         |
| tetanus             |           |           |            |         |
| Present             | 4 (6.6)   | 3 (7.5)   | 1 (4.8)    | 0.566   |
| Absent              | 19 (31.2) | 14 (35.0) | 5 (23.8)   |         |
| Don't know          | 38 (62.3) | 23 (57.5) | 15 (71.4)  |         |
| Postexposure        |           |           |            |         |
| prophylaxis         |           |           |            |         |
| Taken               | 15 (24.6) | 10 (25.0) | 5 (23.8)   | 0.602   |
| Not taken           | 40 (65.6) | 25 (62.5) | 15 (71.4)  |         |
| Don't know          | 6 (9.8)   | 5 (12.5)  | 1 (4.8)    |         |
| Mode of injury      |           |           |            |         |
| Post-traumatic      | 58 (95.1) | 37 (92.5) | 21 (100.0) | 0.209   |
| Postsurgery         | 3 (4.9)   | 3 (7.5)   | 0          |         |
| Place of wound      |           |           |            |         |
| Extremities         | 56 (91.8) | 36 (90.0) | 20 (95.2)  | 0.479   |
| Head/face           | 5 (8.2)   | 4 (10.0)  | 1 (4.8)    |         |
| Tetanus type        |           |           |            |         |
| Generalized         | 57 (93.4) | 37 (92.5) | 20 (95.2)  | 0.681   |
| Localized           | 4 (6.7)   | 3 (7.5)   | 1 (4.8)    |         |

| Time of onset (days), | 3 (2 – 7) | 4 (2 – 10)   | 2.5 (2-4)   | 0.076 |
|-----------------------|-----------|--------------|-------------|-------|
| median (IQR)*         |           |              |             |       |
| > 4 days              | 20 (36.4) | 16 (45.7)    | 4 (20.0)    | 0.057 |
| $\leq$ 4 days         | 35 (63.6) | 19 (54.3)    | 16 (80.0)   |       |
| Incubation period     | 14 (8 –   | 15 (10 – 16) | 12 (7 – 15) | 0.058 |
| (days), median (IQR)* | 15)       |              |             |       |
| > 12 days             | 28 (52.8) | 21 (63.6)    | 7 (35.0)    | 0.043 |
| $\leq$ 12 days        | 25 (47.2) | 12 (36.4)    | 13 (65.0)   |       |
| Tetanus severity      |           |              |             |       |
| Mild                  | 11 (18.0) | 8 (20.0)     | 3 (14.3)    | 0.871 |
| Moderate              | 18 (29.5) | 12 (30.0)    | 6 (28.6)    |       |
| Severe                | 28 (45.9) | 18 (45.0)    | 10 (47.6)   |       |
| Very severe           | 4 (6.6)   | 2 (5.0)      | 2 (9.5)     |       |
| Trismus*              |           |              |             |       |
| Absent                | 1 (1.7)   | 1 (2.6)      | 0           | 0.677 |
| Mild                  | 8 (13.6)  | 6 (15.4)     | 2 (10.0)    |       |
| Moderate              | 30 (50.8) | 21 (53.8)    | 9 (45.0)    |       |
| Severe                | 20 (33.9) | 11 (28.2)    | 9 (45.0)    |       |
| Risus sardonicus      |           |              |             |       |
| Present               | 5 (8.2)   | 4 (10.0)     | 1 (4.8)     | 0.479 |
| Absent                | 56 (91.8) | 36 (90.0)    | 20 (95.2)   |       |
| Dysphagia*            |           |              |             |       |

| Absent             | 6 (10.7)  | 3 (8.6)   | 3 (14.3)   | 0.378 |
|--------------------|-----------|-----------|------------|-------|
| Mild               | 28 (50.0) | 20 (57.1) | 8 (38.1)   |       |
| Severe             | 22 (39.3) | 12 (34.3) | 10 (47.6)  |       |
| Spasms*            |           |           |            |       |
| Short              | 25 (73.5) | 15 (71.4) | 10 (76.9)  | 0.724 |
| Prolonged          | 9 (26.5)  | 6 (28.6)  | 3 (23.1)   |       |
| Spasticity         |           |           |            |       |
| Present            | 46 (75.4) | 31 (77.5) | 15 (71.4)  | 0.601 |
| Absent             | 15 (24.6) | 9 (22.5)  | 6 (28.6)   |       |
| Rigidity           |           |           |            |       |
| Localized          | 4 (6.6)   | 3 (7.5)   | 1 (4.8)    | 0.681 |
| Generalized        | 57 (93.4) | 37 (92.5) | 20 (95.2)  |       |
| Abdominal rigidity |           |           |            |       |
| Present            | 42 (68.9) | 26 (65.0) | 16 (76.2)  | 0.370 |
| Absent             | 19 (31.2) | 14 (35.0) | 5 (23.8)   |       |
| Opisthotonus       |           |           |            |       |
| Present            | 6 (9.8)   | 6 (15.0)  | 0          | 0.062 |
| Absent             | 55 (90.2) | 34 (85.0) | 21 (100.0) |       |
| Fever              |           |           |            |       |
| Present            | 25 (41.0) | 15 (37.5) | 10 (47.6)  | 0.445 |
| Absent             | 36 (59.0  | 25 (62.5) | 11 (52.4)  | _     |
| Palpitation        |           |           |            |       |

| Present                  | 6 (9.8)   | 4 (10.0)   | 2 (9.5)    | 0.953 |
|--------------------------|-----------|------------|------------|-------|
| Absent                   | 55 (90.2) | 36 (90.0)  | 19 (90.5)  |       |
| Urinary retention        |           |            |            |       |
| Present                  | 6 (9.8)   | 6 (15.0)   | 0          | 0.069 |
| Absent                   | 55 (90.2) | 34 (85.0)  | 21 (100.0) |       |
| Pulse (b/min), mean±SD   | 82.9 ±1.6 | 80.0 ±1.9  | 88.2 ±2.3  | 0.011 |
| Systolic blood pressure  | 111.4     | 109.0 ±2.1 | 115.8 ±3.6 | 0.087 |
| ( <b>mmHg</b> ), mean±SD | ±1.9      |            |            |       |
| Diastolic blood pressure | 73.0 ±1.4 | 73.9 ±1.9  | 71.5 ±1.9  | 0.433 |
| ( <b>mmHg</b> ), mean±SD |           |            |            |       |
| Temperature (F),         | 97.8 ±0.6 | 97.2 ±0.9  | 98.7 ±0.3  | 0.214 |
| mean±SD                  |           |            |            |       |
| Respiratory rate         | 20 ±0.6   | 19.5 ±0.8  | 20.9 ±0.9  | 0.256 |
| (breaths/min)            |           |            |            |       |

224 \*Excluding missing values

225 p-value determined by Mann-Whitney U test, independent samples t test and Chi-

square test where appropriate; Significant p-values are shown in boldface.

227

228

229 Hematological profile, random blood sugar, serum creatinine, calcium, and electrolytes

230 were statistically similar between alive and dead patients except for serum sodium

- which was significantly higher in patients who died (141.9±1.8 mmol/l) than that of
- those who were alive (138.0±0.9 mmol/l, p=0.034). However, the average sodium level
- 233 was within the normal range for both groups of patients (**Table 4**).

## 234 Table 4. Investigation profile of participants

| Total       | Recovered  | Died  | p-value   |
|-------------|--|---|---|
| Mean ±SD    | Mean ±SD   | Mean ±SD  |   |
| 12.2 ±0.2   | 12.2 ±0.3  | 12.1 ±0.5   | 0.800   |
| 4.44 ±0.2   | 4.3 ±0.2   | 4.7 ±0.2  | 0.394   |
| 9.9 ±0.5    | 9.4 ±0.6   | 10.9 ±0.6   | 0.154   |
| 73.1 ±1.4   | 71.6 ±1.6  | 76.9 ±2.5   | 0.091   |
| 20.8 ±1.3   | 22.4 ±1.6  | 17.1 ±2.2   | 0.065   |
| 237.6 ±12.8 | 240.9 ±16.5  | 229.5 ±19.1   | 0.694   |
| 22.8 ±1.7   | 22.7 ±2.2  | 23.4 ±2.6   | 0.853   |
| 7.1 ±0.5    | 7.3 ±0.7   | 6.8 ±0.3  | 0.604   |
| 7.9 ±0.03   | 7.9 ±0.05  | 7.9 ±0.03   | 0.765   |
| 1.2 ±0.1    | 1.2 ±0.1   | 1.2 ±0.1  | 0.948   |
|             |  |   |   |
|             |  |   |   |
| 139.3 ±0.9  | 138.0 ±0.9   | 141.9 ±1.8  | 0.034   |
| 4.4 ±0.7    | 3.7 ±0.1   | 5.6 ±1.8  | 0.178   |
| 107.5 ±1.02 | 106.2 ±1.1   | 109.8 ±1.9  | 0.095   |
|             | Mean $\pm$ SD         12.2 $\pm$ 0.2         4.44 $\pm$ 0.2         9.9 $\pm$ 0.5         73.1 $\pm$ 1.4         20.8 $\pm$ 1.3         237.6 $\pm$ 12.8         22.8 $\pm$ 1.7         7.1 $\pm$ 0.5         7.9 $\pm$ 0.03         1.2 $\pm$ 0.1         139.3 $\pm$ 0.9         4.4 $\pm$ 0.7 | Mean $\pm$ SDMean $\pm$ SD12.2 $\pm$ 0.212.2 $\pm$ 0.34.44 $\pm$ 0.24.3 $\pm$ 0.29.9 $\pm$ 0.59.4 $\pm$ 0.673.1 $\pm$ 1.471.6 $\pm$ 1.620.8 $\pm$ 1.322.4 $\pm$ 1.6237.6 $\pm$ 12.8240.9 $\pm$ 16.522.8 $\pm$ 1.722.7 $\pm$ 2.27.1 $\pm$ 0.57.3 $\pm$ 0.77.9 $\pm$ 0.037.9 $\pm$ 0.051.2 $\pm$ 0.11.2 $\pm$ 0.1139.3 $\pm$ 0.9138.0 $\pm$ 0.94.4 $\pm$ 0.73.7 $\pm$ 0.1 | Mean $\pm$ SDMean $\pm$ SDMean $\pm$ SD12.2 $\pm$ 0.212.2 $\pm$ 0.312.1 $\pm$ 0.54.44 $\pm$ 0.24.3 $\pm$ 0.24.7 $\pm$ 0.29.9 $\pm$ 0.59.4 $\pm$ 0.610.9 $\pm$ 0.673.1 $\pm$ 1.471.6 $\pm$ 1.676.9 $\pm$ 2.520.8 $\pm$ 1.322.4 $\pm$ 1.617.1 $\pm$ 2.2237.6 $\pm$ 12.8240.9 $\pm$ 16.5229.5 $\pm$ 19.122.8 $\pm$ 1.722.7 $\pm$ 2.223.4 $\pm$ 2.67.1 $\pm$ 0.57.3 $\pm$ 0.76.8 $\pm$ 0.37.9 $\pm$ 0.037.9 $\pm$ 0.057.9 $\pm$ 0.031.2 $\pm$ 0.11.2 $\pm$ 0.11.2 $\pm$ 0.1139.3 $\pm$ 0.9138.0 $\pm$ 0.9141.9 $\pm$ 1.84.4 $\pm$ 0.73.7 $\pm$ 0.15.6 $\pm$ 1.8 |

#### 236 RBC: Red blood cell; WBC: White blood cell

p-value determined by independent samples t test; Significant p-values were shown in

238 bold face

239

Out of 61 patients, 26 (42.6%) developed at least one complication, and this proportion was significantly higher in dead patients (61.9%) compared to that of alive patients (32.5%, p=0.027). The most common complication was hypoxemia (31.1%) followed by aspiration pneumonia (19.6%), bedsore (13.1%), dysautonomia (6.5%), DVT (4.9%), UTI (3.28%), sepsis (1.6%), thrombophlebitis (1.6%), and wound infection (1.6%). The individual distribution of the complications was statistically similar between the alive and dead patient groups (**Table 5**).

247 Table 5. List of complications among patients (n=61)

| Variable         | Total     | Recovered | Died      | p-value |
|------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|---------|
|                  | n (%)     | n (%)     | n (%)     |         |
| Any complication |           |           |           |         |
| Present          | 26 (42.6) | 13 (32.5) | 13 (61.9) | 0.027   |
| Absent           | 35 (57.4) | 27 (67.5) | 8 (38.1)  |         |
| Hypoxemia        |           |           |           |         |
| Present          | 19 (31.1) | 6 (15.0)  | 13 (61.9) | 0.164   |
| Absent           | 42 (68.9) | 34 (85.0) | 8 (38.1)  |         |

| Aspiration Pneumonia |                         |            |            |       |
|----------------------|-------------------------|------------|------------|-------|
| Present              | 12 (19.6)               | 5 (12.5)   | 7 (33.3)   | 0.05  |
| Absent               | 57 (93.4)               | 39 (97.5)  | 18 (85.7)  |       |
| Bedsore              |                         |            |            |       |
| Present              | 8 (13.1)                | 7 (17.5)   | 1 (4.8)    | 0.161 |
| Absent               | 53 (86.9)               | 33 (82.5)  | 20 (95.2)  |       |
| Dysautonomia         |                         |            |            |       |
| Present              | 4 (6.5)                 | 2 (5.0)    | 2 (9.5)    | 0.498 |
| Absent               | 57 (93.4)               | 38 (95.0)  | 19 (90.5)  |       |
| DVT                  |                         |            |            |       |
| Present              | 3 (4.9)                 | 1 (2.5)    | 2 (9.5)    | 0.228 |
| Absent               | 58 (95.1)               | 39 (97.5)  | 19 (90.5)  |       |
| UTI                  |                         |            |            |       |
| Present              | 2 ( <mark>8=2</mark> 8) | 2 (5.0)    | 0          | 0.297 |
| Absent               | 59 (96.7)               | 38 (95.0)  | 21 (100.0) |       |
| Sepsis               |                         |            |            |       |
| Present              | 1 (1.6)                 | 1 (2.5)    | 0          | 0.465 |
| Absent               | 60 (98.4)               | 39 (97.5)  | 21 (100.0) |       |
| Thrombophlebitis     |                         |            |            |       |
| Present              | 1 (1.6)                 | 0          | 1 (4.8)    | 0.164 |
| Absent               | 60 (98.4)               | 40 (100.0) | 20 (95.2)  |       |
| Wound infection      |                         |            |            |       |

| Present | 1 (1.6)   | 1 (2.5)   | 0          | 0.465 |
|---------|-----------|-----------|------------|-------|
| Absent  | 60 (98.4) | 39 (97.5) | 21 (100.0) |       |

248 p-value determined by Chi-square test; Significant p-values are shown in bold face;

249 DVT: Deep Vein Thrombosis; UTI: Urinary Tract Infection.

250

The median duration of hospital stay of the patients was 11 days (IQR: 6 - 21 days) (Figure 2). Recovered patients had a significantly longer duration of stay (median 17 days; IQR: 10 - 23 days) than those who died (median 5 days; IQR: 2 - 8 days) (p<0.001).

255

# Figure 2. Boxplots showing the duration of hospital stay among tetanus patients categorized by outcome

258

Kaplan-Meier survival analysis showed that the probability of survival among tetanus patients at 40 days after admission was 0.6, and it was statistically significantly better among patients with an age of < 40 years, incubation time of  $\leq 12$  days, onset time of  $\leq 4$  days and no complications (**Figure 3**).

263

# Figure 3. Kaplan-Meier survival curves showing the probability of overall survival and survival across different groups of tetanus patients

| 267 | Only factors that were significant (p<0.05) in Kaplan-Meier survival analysis were                    |
|-----|---|
| 268 | considered for univariate and multivariable Cox regression models to determine their                  |
| 269 | associations with the in-hospital mortality of tetanus patients (Table 6). After adjusting            |
| 270 | for incubation period and complications, only age ( $\geq$ 40 years) and onset time ( $\leq$ 4 days)  |
| 271 | were found to be significant predictors of tetanus case-fatality in the hospital. Tetanus             |
| 272 | patients aged $\geq$ 40 years were 4.03 times (95% CI 1.07 – 15.17, p=0.039) more likely to           |
| 273 | die due to tetanus than those aged $\leq 40$ years. Patients with an onset time of $\leq 4$ days were |
| 274 | significantly more likely (aHR 3.33; 95% CI 1.05 – 10.57, p=0.041) to die in the hospital             |
| 275 | than those with a higher onset time.  |

276 Table 6. Univariate and multivariable Cox regression analysis for factors

| 277 associated with in-hospital mortality among tetanus patients | 277 | associated v | with in-ho | spital mortality | y among to | etanus patients |
|--|-----|--------------|------------|------------------|------------|-----------------|
|--|-----|--------------|------------|------------------|------------|-----------------|

| Factors        | Reference | Crude HR           | р-    | Adjusted HR         | р-    |
|----------------|-----------|--------------------|-------|---------------------|-------|
|                | Category  | (95%CI)            | value | (95%CI)             | value |
| Age group (≥40 | <40 years | 2.98 (0.99 - 9.02) | 0.053 | 4.03 (1.07 – 15.17) | 0.039 |
| years)         |           |                    |       |                     |       |
| Incubation     | >12 days  | 2.57 (0.96 - 6.85) | 0.059 | 2.49 (0.90 - 6.90)  | 0.078 |
| period (≤12    |           |                    |       |                     |       |
| days)          |           |                    |       |                     |       |
| Onset time (≤4 | >4 days   | 2.94 (0.97 - 8.97) | 0.058 | 3.33 (1.05 – 10.57) | 0.041 |
| days)          |           |                    |       |                     |       |

| Complication | Absent | 2.79 (1.06 - 7.34) | 0.038 | 2.09 (0.72 - 6.07) | 0.175 |
|--------------|--------|--------------------|-------|--------------------|-------|
| (Present)    |        |                    |       |                    |       |

278 HR: Hazard ratio; Significant p-values are shown in boldface.

279

#### 280 Discussion

281 Tetanus is a vaccine-preventable disease that is still continuing to infect people, 282 particularly, in low-income and middle-income countries. As the spore of the causative organism C. tetani remains widespread in the environment, its eradication is 283 impossible. On the other hand, life-long immunity against tetanus requires three booster 284 285 doses of vaccine during the adolescent years (13). Currently, only women of 286 childbearing age are targeted through booster vaccination programs, which have 287 substantially reduced maternal and neonatal tetanus in many countries. However, it remains a problem for adults in South Asia and Sub-Saharan Africa. The Global 288 289 Disease Burden (GBD) studies suggested that, as of 2017, approximately 82% of all 290 tetanus cases in the world were comprised of patients from these two regions, along 291 with 77% of the total 38,000 tetanus deaths (4). Hence, it was pertinent to study the factors associated with mortality among patients who are already infected. This study 292 293 is one of the few attempts in Bangladesh to explore the factors associated with in-294 hospital mortality of adult tetanus patients.

We found that nearly 34.4% of patients died in the hospital. This is higher than two previous studies from Bangladesh, where authors reported 22.5%(14) and 28.6%(5) deaths. However, the observed death rates show regional variations. For instance,

Tanon et al. reported a death rate of 30% in the Ivory Coast (15), and Marulappa et al. 298 299 found it to be 42.2% in India(16). The case-fatality rates appear to be considerably lower where patients were provided ventilatory support. This can be seen in China (17) 300 301 and Nepal (18), where only 5.9% and 7.5% of deaths were reported, respectively. 302 However, despite giving mechanical ventilation, the death rate was 43.1% in 303 Tanzania(19), a lower-middle-income country, which raises the importance of overall management facilities as well as other factors associated with deaths among tetanus 304 305 patients.

We noted that patients who died had a significantly higher mean age than those who were alive, and patients with age  $\geq 40$  years had an increased chance of death in the hospital. This finding is supported by previous observations in Bangladesh(5), Tanzania(19), and India(16). Similar to ours, Chalya et al. (19) noted that patients aged  $\geq 40$  years were significantly more likely to die when adjusted for other factors, such as incubation period, onset time, presence of complications, prior immunization, and tetanus severity.

The male population constituted the maximum number of participants in our study as well as other studies reporting on tetanus cases(7,14–19). This probably reflects the outcome of immunization strategies for women of childbearing age in all countries. The WHO recommends three booster dosages of vaccine at ages: 12 - 23 months, 4 - 7years, and 9 - 15 years for achieving life-long immunity for all (13). Although many countries have programs for childbood immunization, expanding those to include booster dosages remains challenging. Hence, the vulnerable male population should be
identified and vaccinated to protect them from tetanus as a starter.

321 One important finding of our study is that more than half of the patients were farmers and lived in rural areas. Similarly, many previous studies(16,19–22) observed a high 322 proportion of farmers among tetanus patients. As C. tetani spores remain in the 323 324 environment, people working in the fields, often with sharp cutting instruments, have a 325 higher chance of being exposed to the bacteria due to accidental punctures or lacerated wounds. Farmers or agriculturists in low- and middle-income countries often work 326 327 barefooted without adequate personal protective measures in the fields(23). Therefore, they could be treated as a high-risk group to prioritize primary and booster 328 329 immunization wherever appropriate.

330 We found that only 6.6% of patients could remember taking tetanus vaccine in the past 331 and only one-quarter of patients took postexposure prophylaxis. Similar observations were reported by Khakheli et al. (22) and Tosun et al. (6). Patients tend to forget the 332 333 previous history of vaccination, and their attendants are even less likely to know about 334 their vaccination history, which explains the reports. However, a low frequency of post-335 exposure prophylaxis indicates a lack of knowledge, awareness, and practice regarding tetanus vaccination after injury, particularly minor injuries, among the general 336 337 population. Health authorities should work to address this issue where tetanus is not 338 uncommon.

Although an onset time (duration between onset of symptoms to full-blown
presentation) of 48 hours (2 days) and incubation of period of 7 days is used as a cutoff

point of determining the increased risk of fatality in the majority of studies exploring 341 342 prognostic factors of tetanus(2), we selected a higher cutoff point for both because the 343 median onset time and incubation period were high among our study participants. Our 344 analysis revealed that an onset time of  $\leq 4$  days and an incubation period of  $\leq 12$  days 345 significantly increased the chance of fatality of tetanus patients in the hospital. 346 However, after adjustment for age and the presence of complications, only onset time  $(\leq 4 \text{ days})$  remained a significant determinant of in-hospital mortality. Previously, 347 348 Amare et al. (11) reported a univariate association of <3 days onset time with mortality, 349 which became statistically nonsignificant after multivariate logistic adjustments. 350 Similarly, Krishnan et al. (12) and Chalya et al. (19) didn't find any association of onset 351 time ( $\leq$ 48 hours) with the death of tetanus patients. The incubation period of <7 days 352 was found to be associated with a significantly increased risk of mortality in several 353 previous reports(19,22). In contrast, some other reports did not find such an 354 association(7,11). However, very few studies have considered a higher incubation 355 period cutoff point for analysis, making it difficult to comment on the findings. Additionally, differences in sample size and consideration of other factors during 356 multivariate adjustment as well as the type of analysis used, often influence the 357 outcome. Hence, the findings should be read in the context of a particular study. 358

The clinical presentation of tetanus shows variability in previous studies. The most common symptoms among our patients included lockjaw (trismus), dysphagia, and spasticity like previous observations in Bangladesh(5,14). We did not find any noticeable differences in clinical features between alive and dead tetanus patients. Neither did we find any remarkable differences in routine investigations of dead and alive patients. Serum sodium levels were slightly but statistically significantly higher
in deceased patients than that of recovered ones. However, both groups had their values
within the normal limit. Therefore, the difference discovered could be a random finding
that needs to be evaluated through further large sample studies.

368 Unlike Chalya et al. (19), we did not find an increased risk of mortality in patients with 369 severe tetanus. But our finding is concordant with that of Amare et al. (11). However, 370 it might depend on the scales used for severity grading among patients. The Ablett classification scheme used in our study is dependent on the presence of spasms and 371 372 autonomic disturbance, which evolve throughout the course of the disease (2). Hence it 373 is considered to be less suitable for prognostic stratification. Nevertheless, similar to 374 other studies (22), we noted that nearly 50% of patients presented with severe and very severe disease. 375

376 In the present study, only 8.2% of participants had one or more comorbidities from diabetes, hypertension, COPD, and ischemic heart disease. However, it was not 377 378 associated with a higher risk of mortality. Rather, the development of complication(s) 379 was associated with a significantly higher risk of death in the hospital, which is 380 concordant with findings from Marulappa et al. (16), Tanon et al. (15), Krishnan et al. 381 (12), and Bankole et al. (20). Hypoxemia and aspiration pneumonia were frequent 382 complications that we encountered in our patients. Hypoxemia is the consequence of 383 laryngeal spasms, respiratory distress, and respiratory failure that might occur in tetanus 384 patients(12). Unlike Amare et al. (11), who reported the occurrence of dysautonomia in 385 91.7% of deceased tetanus patients, we found the problem in only 9.5% of patients who died. This could be explained by the lack of cardiac monitoring facilities and intensive
care units in our centers, the presence of which would have allowed close monitoring
and precise detection of autonomic imbalance in these patients. Moreover, life-saving
ventilatory supports for patients were not possible either because of the lack of
facilities.

#### 391 Limitations

Our study had several limitations. The sample size was small.. The impact of ventilatory support on mortality could not be evaluated due to a lack of facilities. Further followup of patients after discharge from the hospital was also not possible. However, this was one of the few attempts to assess the clinical-epidemiological profile and factors associated with in-hospital mortality among tetanus patients in Bangladesh. Our findings would undoubtedly spark interest in further studies and inform policymakers regarding the limitations in the management of tetanus that need to be addressed.

#### 399 **Recommendations**

- 400 Considering the findings of this study, we have the following recommendations-
- 401 1. Adult tetanus patients with a higher age should be given special care during402 management.
- 403 2. A shorter onset time of tetanus must warrant careful assessment of disease404 severity for meticulous treatment.
- 405 3. A booster vaccination program should be started prioritizing vulnerable male406 population to prevent tetanus incidence in the country.

407 4. Further large-scale countrywide studies on tetanus patients should be carried out
408 to explore management strategies and reduce case-fatality among tetanus
409 patients.

## 410 Conclusion

Although expanded programs on immunization and maternal and neonatal tetanus 411 412 elimination programs have been successful in the considerable reduction of tetanus in neonates, children, women of childbearing age, and men at their early adulthood, older 413 414 adult men are still vulnerable to tetanus in Bangladesh. As most of the cases come from 415 rural areas where farming and manual work are the principal modes of earning, they could be considered a priority group for a booster vaccination program. On the other 416 417 hand, tetanus patients with higher age and shorter onset time needs special care during 418 management as they have a higher risk of death.

## 420 Authors Declaration

421 Declaration of Competing Interest: The authors declare that there are no conflicts of422 interest.

#### 423 Authors Contribution:

- 424 The conception of the study was generated by MASK. A detailed outline and design of
- 425 the study was prepared by MASK, MJH, SZ, SMS, AB, MDHH MHN and NSK. Data
- 426 collection, data acquisition and associated works were performed by MASK, SK, MUR,
- 427 and SKS. Data analysis was carried out by MASK. The first draft of the manuscript was
- 428 prepared by MASK. MASK, MJH, MUR, SKS, SK, SZ, SMS, AB, MDHH, MHN,
- 429 NSK, reviewed the draft and approved the final version.

430

- 431 **Data available:** Available upon reasonable request from the corresponding authors.
- **Funding:** No funding was received for this study.
- 433 Acknowledgement: Authors acknowledge the contribution of the patients and their
- 434 guardians for their kind consent to participate in the study.
- 435

## **Reference:**

- Bennett JE, Dolin R, Blaser MJ. Infectious Disease Essentials. Philadelphia: Elsevier; 2017.
- 2. Yen LM, Thwaites CL. Tetanus. Lancet. 2019;393(10181):1657–68.
- Woldeamanuel YW, Andemeskel AT, Kyei K, Woldeamanuel MW, Woldeamanuel W. Case fatality of adult tetanus in Africa: Systematic review and meta-analysis. J Neurol Sci. 2016;368:292–9.
- Yen L, Thwaites C, Behrens H, Ochmann S, Danoaite B, Roser M. Tetanus [Internet]. OurWorldInData.org. 2019. p. 1657–68. Available from: https://ourworldindata.org/tetanus
- Hasnain MG, Maruf S, Nath P, Anuwarul A, Ahmed MNU, Chowdhury IH, et al. Managing severe tetanus without ventilation support in a resource-limited setting in Bangladesh. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2018;99(5):1234–8.
- Tosun S, Batirel A, Oluk AI, Aksoy F, Puca E, Bénézit F, et al. Tetanus in adults: results of the multicenter ID-IRI study. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis. 2017;36(8):1455–62.
- Saltoglu N, Tasova Y, Midikli D, Burgut R, Dündar IH. Prognostic factors affecting deaths from adult tetanus. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2004;10(3):229–33.
- Erdem H, Inan A, Altindis S, Carevic B, Askarian M, Cottle L, et al.
   Surveillance, control and management of infections in intensive care units in
   Southern Europe, Turkey and Iran A prospective multicenter point prevalence 34

study. J Infect. 2014;68(2):131-40.

- Lisboa T, Ho Y-L, Henriques Filho GT, Brauner JS, Valiatti JLDS, Verdeal JC, et al. Guidelines for the management of accidental tetanus in adult patients. Rev Bras Ter intensiva. 2011;23(4):394–409.
- Ajose FOA, Odusanya OO. Survival from adult tetanus in Lagos, Nigeria. Trop Doct. 2009;39(1):39–40.
- Amare A, Melkamu Y, Mekonnen D. Tetanus in adults: Clinical presentation, treatment and predictors of mortality in a tertiary hospital in Ethiopia. J Neurol Sci. 2012;317(1–2):62–5.
- Khrisnan L, Anam, Panigoro R. Factors Affecting Mortality in Adult Tetanus Patients. Althea Med J. 2015;2(2):157–62.
- 13. World Health Organization. Protecting all against tetanus. Vol. 92. 2017. 1–6p.
- Feroz A, Rahman M. A Ten-year Retrospective Study of Tetanus at a Teaching Hospital in Bangladesh. J Bangladesh Coll Physicians Surg. 2007;25(2).
- Tanon AK, Doumbia A, Coffie PA. Current Prognostic Factors of Tetanus in Abidjan: 2005-2014. J Microbiol Infect Dis. 2017;7(June):125–31.
- Marulappa VG, Manjunath R, Mahesh N, Maligegowda L. A ten year retrospective study on adult Tetanus at the epidemic disease (ED) hospital, Mysore in Southern India: A review of 512 cases. J Clin Diagnostic Res. 2012;6(8):1377–80.

- Fan Z, Zhao Y, Wang S, Zhang F, Zhuang C. Clinical features and outcomes of tetanus: A retrospective study. Infect Drug Resist. 2019;12:1289–93.
- Shah B, Subedi M, Bartaula B, Ghimire A. Retrospective chart- review of Tetanus cases admitted in a tertiary care hospital. J Adv Intern Med. 2020;9(2):69–72.
- Chalya PL, Mabula JB, Dass RM, Mbelenge N, Mshana SE, Gilyoma JM. Tenyear experiences with Tetanus at a Tertiary hospital in Northwestern Tanzania: A retrospective review of 102 cases. World J Emerg Surg. 2011;6(1):2–9.
- Bankole IA, Danesi MA, Ojo OO, Okubadejo NU, Ojini FI. Characteristics and outcome of tetanus in adolescent and adult patients admitted to the Lagos University Teaching Hospital between 2000 and 2009. J Neurol Sci. 2012;323(1–2):201–4.
- Ramachandra L, Shobha K, Kannan PA. A Retrospective Clinical Study of Factors Affecting Tetanus. internet J Microbiol. 2008;7(1):1–6.
- Khakheli MS, Khuhro BA, Jamali AH. Tetanus: Still a killer in adults.
   Anaesthesia, Pain Intensive Care. 2013;17(2):149–53.
- Öncü SS, Önde M, Öncü SS, Ergin F, Öztürk B. Tetanus seroepidemiology and factors influencing immunity status among farmers of advanced age. Health Policy (New York). 2011;100(2–3):305–9.





