1

#### Supplemental Figure Legend

#### 2 **Supplemental Figure 1.**

3 Transcriptomic analysis of TAMs, related to figure 1. CD45<sup>+</sup>CD11b<sup>+</sup>F4/80<sup>+</sup> 4 macrophages were enriched by flow cytometry sorting from normal pancreas (i.e. resident macrophages, n=4 mice) or PDAC tumors from control (n=3)) or Lyz2<sup>cre/+</sup>Ahr<sup>fl/fl</sup> (n=3) mice 5 6 14 days after tumor implantation. The samples were then analyzed by RNA sequencing. 7 a) Volcano plot shows differential expression comparing resident macrophages to control 8 tumor-bearing mice. Red dotted line marks significance threshold (FDR <0.01, logFC>2). 9 b) Bar graph of iGSEA analysis of upstream regulators for control TAM versus resident 10 macrophages samples in (a). All columns shown have a pval>0.05. c) Flow cytometry 11 analysis for dtTomato expression in d14 intratumoral cell populations indicated in tumorbearing Lyz2<sup>cre/+</sup> x Cg-Gt(ROSA)26Sor<sup>tm9(CAG-tdTomato)Hze</sup>/J mice. Pval determined by 12 unpaired students T test. d) Volcano plot for differential expression based on 13 14 transcriptome analysis of TAMs from control versus Lyz2<sup>cre/+</sup>Ahr<sup>fl/fl</sup> tumor bearing mice. Red dotted line marks FDR < 0.05. e) Venn diagram showing significantly differentially 15 16 expressed genes (FDR < 0.05, logFC >  $\pm$  1) for the comparisons indicated. **f** and **g**) 17 Heatmaps showing significantly differential expression (FDR <0.01, logFC>2) of selected 18 genes involved in cell cycling (f) or extracellular matrix synthesis (g). For heat maps each column represents an individual mouse. h) Day 14 orthotopic tumor weight. 19

20

#### 21 Supplemental Figure 2.

22 Assessment of the immune infiltrate in Lyz2<sup>cre/+</sup>Ahr<sup>fl/fl</sup> mice by CyTOF and 23 single cell RNA sequencing analysis, related to figure 3. a) Heatmap showing relative 24 protein expression for each PhenoGraph generated cluster. Arcsinh transformed MSI for 25 each marker was normalized by z-score and heatmap was generated using gaplots 26 application in R. b) Graph showing relative abundance of each PhenoGraph cluster in Lyz2<sup>cre/+</sup>Ahr<sup>fl/fl</sup> immune infiltrate relative to Control baseline. NS= Pval not significant as 27 calculated using the DiffCYT package. c) UMAP plots showing PhenoGraph clustering of 28 immune infiltrate subpopulations in control vs. Lyz2<sup>cre/+</sup>Ahr<sup>fl/fl</sup> mice. UMAP and 29 30 PhenoGraph were run in R for concatenated CYTOF data from live CD45+ cells (4 control

and 5 Lyz2<sup>cre/+</sup>Ahr<sup>fl/fl</sup>) that were down sampled to 5000 events before analysis. Twenty-31 32 four distinct immune clusters were obtained. The experiment was repeated twice with 33 similar results. d) Normalized expression and per cluster percentage expression of top 34 10 marker genes for each cell cluster for the scRNA sequencing analysis described in 35 Fig 3d. Both relative expression and percent expression were identified with the Seurat 36 package as described in Methods. Cell labels were assigned to automatically detected 37 clusters based on the top 10 marker genes. e) Normalized gene expression per cell of 38 different macrophage marker genes projected onto UMAPs of the concatenated scRNA sequencing of day 14 tumors from Lyz2<sup>cre/+</sup>Ahr<sup>fl/fl</sup> mice and littermate controls. **f**) iGSEA 39 analysis showing enrichment for indicated pathways in macrophage 3 cluster as activated 40 41 (positive Z-score; red) or inhibited (negative Z-score; blue).

42

#### 43 **Supplemental Figure 3.**

44 Analysis of the role of IDO on tumor growth and AhR function and 16S 45 sequencing analysis of the fecal microbiome, related to figure 4. a) Mice were treated 46 with 1-methyl-tryptophan containing drinking water as previously described (Ravishankar 47 et al., 2015) for 3 days prior to tumor implantation. Tumors weights were determined at 48 day 14 post-implantation as described in methods and compared to B6 and B6.Ido1-/-49 mice on control drinking water. b) CD45<sup>+</sup>CD11b<sup>+</sup>F4/80<sup>+</sup> TAMs were sorted from the 50 tumors in (a), and expression of the indicated genes was measured by qPCR as 51 described in methods normalized for the housekeeping gene *Bactin*. c) Mice (n=4/group) 52 were placed on antibiotic containing drinking water or control water as indicated. 3 days 53 later tumors were implanted. 14 days after tumor implantation CD45<sup>+</sup>CD11b<sup>+</sup>F4/80<sup>+</sup> TAMs 54 were isolated by flow cytometric sorting and samples from each group were pooled and 55 measured for expression of the genes indicated by gPCR. d) Boxplots showing alpha 56 diversity measures calculated at the OTU level using the Simpson index (left panel), the 57 Shannon index (middle panel), or the observed number of OTUs (right panel). Black 58 diamonds overlaying the boxes indicate means; boxes show medians and interguartile 59 ranges. Data points, each of which corresponds to the gut microbiome of one mouse, are 60 colored according to treatment as shown below each panel. P-values shown above each panel were obtained from Kruskal-Wallis tests. **e**) Relative abundances of the top 10 most abundant bacterial families (left panel) or genera (right panel). "Others" in both panels comprises all bacterial taxa that were present but were less abundant. "V6" (left panel) and "V2" (right panel) includes OTUs whose taxonomies could only be resolved at a higher level than that shown, e.g., at the order or family level, respectively.

66

67

### Supplemental Figure 4.

68 Assessment of the effect antibiotics has on *Lactobacillus* presence in the 69 fecal microbiome and the ability of *Lactobacillus* spp to produce indoles, related 70 to figure 5. a) B6 mice were placed on antibiotic-containing drinking water as indicated 71 for 4 days prior to collection of fecal material from the large intestine. 16S sequencing 72 was performed, and the proportion of total Lactobacillus reads detected as well as reads 73 for specific Lactobacillus species as indicated was determined. Relative frequency 74 refers to the % of total sequencing reads identified belonging to the bacteria indicated. 75 The experiment was repeated twice with similar results. b) Lactobacilli spp. indicated 76 were cultured overnight in triplicate in the media indicated and culture supernatants 77 were measured for the presence of Trp, lactic acid and the indoles indicated by mass 78 spectroscopy analysis. c) Heat map showing hierarchical clustering depicting detected 79 metabolites and amino acids indicated by mass spectroscopy analysis. Each column 80 represents an individual culture. d) Principal component analysis of the data described 81 in (c). Open circles identify L. murinus and L. reuteri culture data under the 3 culture 82 conditions. e) Germ free B6 mice were gavaged with L. murinus or control saline as 83 indicated and described in Methods. 30 days later fecal material was collected from the 84 large intestine and the presence of *L. murinus* was determined by PCR as described in 85 methods. The experiment was repeated twice with similar results. f) For the mice 86 described in (e) fecal material was collected from the large intestine and measured for 87 the indoles indicated by mass spectroscopy. g) Fecal measurement of indoles indicated 88 was done by mass spectroscopy on mice with the microbiome enrichment indicated. P 89 values were determined by unpaired students T test. Experiment was repeated twice 90 with similar results.

## 92 Supplemental Figure 5.

Cox hazard analysis of AHR and OS in the TCGA PAAD cohort and analysis of genes with expression patterns most similar to AHR, related to figure 6. a and b) Forest plots of Cox proportional hazard analyses in TCGA-PAAD data set. a) The top 50% and bottom 50% stratified by median AHR expression. b) The TCGA-PAAD patient data set stratified by quartiles based on AHR expression: lowest 25% (Q1), up to second quartile (Q2), next quartile (Q3), and highest 25% (Q4). c) Normalized expression and per cluster percentage expression of AHR and the top 25 most similarly expressed genes across cell clusters for the scRNA sequencing analysis described in Fig 6d. 





-10

b

С

control

10

UMAP-2

-10



Vancomycin

Ruminococcus Oscillospira









Bacteria
Bacteroides fragilis
Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron
Bifidobacterium adolescentis
Bifidobacterium bifidum
Clostridium botulinum
Clostridium paraputrificum
Clostridium saccharolyticum
Clostridium sporogenes
Faecalibacterium prausnitzii
Lactobacillus acidophilus
Lactobacillus murinus
Lactobacillus reuteri
Parabacteroides distasonis
Stenotrophomonas maltophilia
Escherichia coli

S Table 2, related to figure 6j. Bacterial taxa target for analysis in figure 6j.