

Supplementary Table 1. Summary of findings table (sensitivity analysis using Peto's method)

Outcome Timeframe	Study results and measurements	Absolute effect estimates		Certainty of the Evidence (Quality of evidence)	Plain text summary
		Standard care	Intervention		
Remdesivir					
Acute kidney injury	Odds Ratio: 0.86 (CI 95% 0.51 - 1.43) Based on data from 1281 patients in 2 studies	56 per 1000	49 per 1000	Low Due to serious imprecision and serious indirectness ¹	Remdesivir may have little or no effect on acute kidney injury.
		Difference: 7 fewer per 1000 (CI 95% 27 fewer - 22 more)			
Cognitive dysfunction/deliri um	Odds Ratio: 1.22 (CI 95% 0.48 - 3.08) Based on data from 1048 patients in 1 studies	16 per 1000	19 per 1000	Low Due to serious imprecision and serious indirectness ²	Remdesivir may have little or no effect on cognitive dysfunction/delirium.
		Difference: 3 more per 1000 (CI 95% 8 fewer - 32 more)			
Fatigue	NR	NR	NR	NA	NA
		NR	NR		
Hydroxychloroquine					
Cardiac toxicity	Odds Ratio: 1.35 (CI 95% 0.96 - 1.91) Based on data from 3287 patients in 7 studies	46 per 1000	61 per 1000	Low Due to serious imprecision and risk of bias ³	Hydroxychloroquine may increase the risk of cardiac toxicity, including serious arrhythmias.
		Difference: 15 more per 1000 (CI 95% 2 fewer - 38 more)			
Diarrhoea	Odds Ratio: 1.96 (CI 95% 1.42 - 2.72) Based on data from 979 patients in 6 studies	149 per 1000	255 per 1000	Moderate Due to serious imprecision ⁴	Hydroxychloroquine probably increases the risk of diarrhoea.
		Difference: 106 more per 1000 (CI 95% 50 more - 174 more)			
Nausea and/or vomiting	Odds Ratio: 1.75 (CI 95% 1.27 - 2.41) Based on data from 1429 patients in 7 studies	99 per 1000	161 per 1000	Moderate Due to serious imprecision ⁴	Hydroxychloroquine probably increases the risk of nausea and vomiting.
		Difference: 62 more per 1000 (CI 95% 23 more - 110 more)			
Cognitive dysfunction/deliri um	Odds Ratio: 1.57 (CI 95% 0.77 - 3.2) Based on data from 423 patients in 1 studies	62 per 1000	94 per 1000	Low Due to serious imprecision and serious indirectness ²	Hydroxychloroquine may increase cognitive dysfunction/delirium
		Difference: 32 more per 1000 (CI 95% 14 fewer - 113 more)			
Fatigue	Odds Ratio: 6.75 (CI 95% 0.41 - 111.3)	54 per 1000	278 per 1000	Very Low	The effect of Hydroxychloroquine
		NR	NR		

	Based on data from 180 patients in 2 studies	Difference: 224 more per 1000 (CI 95% 31 fewer - 810 more)		Due to very serious imprecision and serious risk of bias ⁶	on fatigue is uncertain
Hydroxychloroquine with azithromycin					
Cardiac toxicity	Odds Ratio: 2.06 (CI 95% 0.28 - 15.07) Based on data from 667 patients in 1 studies	6 per 1000	12 per 1000	Very Low Due to very serious imprecision and serious risk of bias ⁶	The effect of Hydroxychloroquine with azithromycin on cardiac toxicity is uncertain
		Difference: 6 more per 1000 (CI 95% 4 fewer - 77 more)			
Nausea and/or vomiting	Odds Ratio: 1.47 (CI 95% 0.39 - 5.58) Based on data from 667 patients in 1 studies	17 per 1000	25 per 1000	Very Low Due to very serious imprecision and serious risk of bias ⁶	The effect of Hydroxychloroquine with azithromycin on nausea and/or vomiting is uncertain
		Difference: 8 more per 1000 (CI 95% 10 fewer - 71 more)			
Diarrhoea	NR	NR		NA	NA
		NR			
Cognitive dysfunction/delirium	NR	NR		NA	NA
		NR			
Fatigue	NR	NR		NA	NA
		NR			
Lopinavir/ritonavir					
Acute kidney injury	Odds Ratio: 0.52 (CI 95% 0.14 - 1.98) Based on data from 259 patients in 2 studies	45 per 1000	24 per 1000	Very Low Due to very serious imprecision and serious risk of bias ⁶	The effect of lopinavir/ritonavir on acute kidney injury is uncertain.
Diarrhoea	Odds Ratio: 3.99 (CI 95% 2.04 - 7.81) Based on data from 370 patients in 4 studies	67 per 1000	223 per 1000	Low Due to very serious imprecision ⁷	Lopinavir/ritonavir may increase the risk of diarrhoea.
Nausea and/or vomiting	Odds Ratio: 6.63 (CI 95% 3.25 - 13.54) Based on data from 370 patients in 4 studies	17 per 1000	103 per 1000	Low Due to very serious imprecision ⁷	Lopinavir/ritonavir may increase the risk of nausea and vomiting.

Fatigue	Odds Ratio: 1.6 (CI 95% 0.54 - 4.75) Based on data from 254 patients in 2 studies	54 per 1000	84 per 1000	Very Low Due to very serious imprecision and serious risk of bias ⁶	The effect of lopinavir/ritonavir on fatigue is uncertain.
		Difference: 30 more per 1000 (CI 95% 24 fewer - 159 more)			
Cognitive dysfunction/deliri um	NR	NR		NA	NA
		NR			

NR: Not reported; NA: Not applicable

- Risk of bias: Not serious. Indirectness: Serious** as studies used change in serum creatinine rather than patient-important measures of acute kidney injury (i.e. renal replacement therapy requirement). **Imprecision: Serious.** Using a threshold of 15 per 1000, confidence intervals include important risk increase.
- Risk of bias: Not serious. Indirectness: Serious** as this outcome was not collected systematically, and the definition of cognitive dysfunction/delirium was not specified. **Imprecision: Serious.** Using a threshold of 15 per 1000, confidence intervals include important risk increase.
- Risk of bias: Data primarily from unblinded studies, but we would expect that patients would be more closely monitored for cardiac toxicity in trials than in usual clinical practice. Therefore, we expect the risk of cardiac toxicity to be higher in usual clinical practice. Indirectness: Not serious.** Trials measured cardiac toxicity differently in different trials. **Imprecision: Serious.** Confidence intervals include no effect.
- Risk of bias: Serious.** Most of the evidence is from unblinded trials, we didn't downgrade for RoB as our concerns were mitigated by a large effect size and indirect evidence showing consistent results. **Imprecision: OIS not met.**
- As there were no events in the control arms of included studies, we used the baseline risk estimated for Lopinavir/ritonavir vs. SOC comparison for the same outcome.
- Risk of bias: Serious.** Most of the evidence is from unblinded trials. **Imprecision: Very serious.** Very small number of events.
- Risk of bias: Serious.** Most of the evidence is from unblinded trials; we did not downgrade for RoB as our concerns were mitigated by a large effect size and indirect evidence showing consistent results.; **Imprecision: Very serious.** Very small number of events.