Supplementary Information for Transgenic expression of *Nix* converts genetic females into males and allows automated sex sorting in *Aedes albopictus*

Célia Lutrat, Roenick P. Olmo, Thierry Baldet, Jérémy Bouyer and Eric Marois

Correspondence: Célia Lutrat Email: <u>celia.lutrat@outlook.com</u> Eric Marois Email: <u>e.marois@unistra.fr</u>

This PDF file includes:

Supplementary Notes 1 and 2 Supplementary Figures 1 to 4 Supplementary Tables 1 to 6

Other supplementary materials for this manuscript include the following:

Supplementary Data 1 (separate file): Model output from all statistical analyses and performance assessment

Supplementary Data 2 (separate file): Wing length measurements (Fig. 3b)

Supplementary Data 3 (separate file): qPCR data (Fig. 4)

Supplementary Data 4 (separate file): COPAS data for SM9 line (Fig. 6a)

Supplementary Data 5 (separate file): COPAS data for 1.2G line (Fig. 6b)

Supplementary Data 6 (separate file): COPAS data for 3.1G line (Fig. 6c)

Supplementary Note 1: Sequence of the *Ae. albopictus* genomic region amplified for cloning *Nix* promoter.

In the sequence below, *Nix* promoter sequence is included in the 1,916 bp sequence highlighted in grey, the 5' UTR sequence of the *Nix* gene is not highlighted, while the ATG start codon is highlighted in blue.

TCGCATTTTATGAGTAAAGGCCCATTACTCATATATGGGTAAAGTGCTTTTTG TAAAAAATGAGTAAATCGATTTACTCATAAATTATAATTTCACCGTGTTTACT TTTCGTCTTTTGTTATATTTTTGAACTGTTAACTATTTTGTATTTATCATTTAAT TCATACAAAATTTTGCGTTTATTTGTAGTTTATTGCAATCTACGAAAATTATT AATGAATTTTTACATGTTTCAAATACTCTTAATCATTTTCCTCCTGGAAAACA GACCTTCCGAGACGTAGCTCTTTGAAGTTTTCATAATGATCCATGTATGAAAT TTTCCCCTCCGGCTGTTGTTCATCGCGCAGTTGTTCCTGTTCTTCCGTTCCTCG TTCTTCCGGCGCTTGAAGCCTTGGTGCAGTCGGAACTGTGGAAAAGACTGAT ATATTTTATTTCACGGTCGTTAATGAAAATGATGCTGTCACTATGGAACTTA CCTGCAAATTCCATGCTTTTGTAGCCTTTCTTGTAGCTCCACATGATGATTTGT TGCTCCTGTATTATCTTCGAGTACCAAACCTTGTGAAACGGAAATAATCCATC GTAAATGAAAATTGAACGGTATCAATTTATCCAGGAAGTACTTACCGATTAA AATATCAATGTATTTGGGAACCTCGACTCTAATCCGCGTGGGAATCCGATGG ACGCGGTATCGGTCATTGAACCCGATGCTGAAGGGCCTCGACTGGCTATAGC GGTGCCGTGAAAATCAAAATATCTAAAATTTCCCGATAGTGTTATGATCAGG GGATCCGCATGCGGCAAGGTAGGCCTACTGCTCATCTTTTGCGAGTGTGTTAG TGTGACTGGCAACGCGACCAGAAGTCTAGTCGAATCCTGCTTGGAAATCGGT TTGTTTTGTCGATGGGGGGGGGGCCCGCGAAATCGCATTGTGTTGTTTGGGAAGG CCCGCTCGATGCATGTTAGGTAGGCGTAGTTTGTTCGAGTTTGACGTGCCTGC ACCCTCCCTGGTTATGATGGCGCACTAATGCATTCCGAGAAAAGTTGCTAACT TGAAAATTTTCATCCAAATATGGGTAAGTTCATTTACCCATGTTTGGGTATAG CGATTTTACCTATAATATGGGCAAATTTGACCGTTTTTCAAAGGTATATTTTA CCCATATTATGGGTAATGCATATGAAACCCAAATATGGGTAAATCAACTACT GATCTATGGGTAAACTGATCTTAGCGTGTATGTTTCACACGTGACATTCACTG GTTTTACAATTGGCTTCTTTAGCAGTGTTGGGATAATTCCATATTATGAATCT GCATGCCAAACTGGGCCGAAATCCAAATTTTCATCAATTTTGGTGCACGGGA ACCTATTTAAATATCAATTTGAAGTTTGTATGGGAGCGATTTGTCGAATCACC CCTCGTTGCATTTTGTACTGGGCGGAGCTGTCAAACAGTTGCCTAGCTGTCAA AAGGTGATTTCGAATAATCTCTTTGAAATTGATTTTAGGTATCAAAATAAAGT TCTAAAAATCTGAAAAAAATCATAGTGGCTCAGAAAAAGGTGCTCTTTCGTA TAAAATCAAAAATGAACACTTTTTTCAAAATTTAAAAACCCAATTTTCGCAA ATCTATAGGGCTCTGCACGAAGTTCTCTCCCCTCTTTCGCTCTCATTGAGATT TTGTAAACAACAAGGCCAGGAAATGTCAAAATCCCATACAAAATCAAAACA GTGCAGTGCCCTATATGTAAAACACATCACTCCGACGTGTAAATTTTTTGAG TGTTGATTTAATCAAAGTGAATAAAAATATTAGTTTTATGACATACTTGTTTT CTGAGTGTAGCAAAAATATGAAAACACATTTTTGTACTTTGAATGTTAAGCGT GTATGCTTTTTGTGTCAATATGTCAATTGTTAAACCCCATGTTAATAGTTTTAAT TTTTTTTAATCAAATTCTTTTTTTAAGTA<mark>ATG</mark>

Supplementary Note 2: Male-specific amplification pattern of one of the copies of the *myo-sex* orthologue.

PCR amplification was performed using primers Myosex369-F and Myosex369-R targeting the end of the first intron of *myo-sex*. The expected product with this primer pair was 997 bp as provided below. The discovered male-specific product carries a 664 bp deletion (highlighted in grey) and is present in genetic males only.

AGGCCATACTAACCTTCCGTAAATCACGCGGTTGGCCACTACCGTCAGCACC ACGATAATGCTGAGTACAAAATTTAGATTTCTTCAACGTGTTGTACAAAATAC AAAAGCGCGATCGCTCGAGGGCTAAGAATTAATGAAGAAAATTGCAAATCA ATTACATATTTATACAAAATCATAACAATAGGTATAGATGTTTGCAAAGATGT TCGTTGACAGCTTGAATCTCGAAACAGAGATGAATAGATTGAACTATAAAAC AAACTCGATCAAAAATAACACAGCGTAACAAAAATAACTTTTTGTATGTCTC TAGAGCAAACTTACGTGTCTCCGAAGGATTTTGGGCCGCTGAATCCGAATCT GGGCTCAGATTTGCTCTAACACGTCACAATTTTGAGCTATACCTCAATTTATA GGGCAAAATATGCGATTTTGGGCTTTTTTGACTGCAAGCCATTAAGCAAGGA AATATTTTTTTAAGCAATCAAAAGGTTAATTGGTCAATTAACATCTAAATTAC GACTCATGCAAAATATTTCGTTTTACCAAATCGAATTTGATAGTTTTAAGCGA TTTATGTTAGGTACGATATTTCCCATATAAGTCAGCCTCCAAAAGTTGCATGC AAGTTTTCATGCTAACATAAAATGCTTAAATCCATCAAATTTGATTAGGTAAA ACGAAATATTTTGCATGAGTCGTTAATTTAGATGTTAGTTGACCAATTAACCT TTTGATTGCTTAAAAAAAATATTTCCTTGCTTAATGGCTTGCAGTCAAAAAAG CCCAAAATCGCATATTTTGCCCTATAAATTGAGGTATAGCTCAAAATTGTGAC GTGTTAGAGCAAATCTAAGCCCGGATTCGGATTCAGCGGCCCAAAATCCTTC **GGAGACACATAAGTTTGCTCTTGAGACAAAAAAATGTTGCGCTGTGTAATC** TGTTAAAAACATTGTCCTACATTTTTTGCGCTCCATTGTTACTTCATTGTAT



Supplementary Figure 1: Intersex phenotypes in SM9 line prior to purification. The two pupae on the left side of the picture are a control male and a control female from SM9 line. All four pupae on the right are representative GFP-expressing intersex individuals with deformed genitalia found in the SM9 line prior to elimination of additional non-fully masculinizing transgene insertions. Pictures were taken under a binocular microscope with white light.



Supplementary Figure 2: Detection of lines composed exclusively of genetic females in lines carrying the Nix-OpIE2-GFP plasmid. a) PCR amplification of genomic DNA from pooled phenotypic males using primers EM1926-EM1927. This primer pair is located within the second intron of Nix, absent from our construct, and thus amplifies only DNA from genetic males. Genomic DNA was extracted from pooled pupae and analysed in the following order: WT females ("F", negative control), WT males ("M", positive control), SM9 partially masculinized female ("9*"), males or pseudo-males from selected lines arising from injection of the first OpIE2-GFP -marked Nix expressing plasmid (numbers 2 to 12), negative control without DNA template ("T-"). This PCR allowed identification of line SM9 as composed exclusively of genetic females. b) Screening of 10 SM9 individual males (labelled 1 to 10) with primer pair Nix-833F-Nix-833R amplifying any Nix-bearing genomic DNA¹ and primer pair EM1926-EM1927 for endogenous Nix only. The following controls were used: "M" is WT male positive control, "F" is WT female negative control, "p" is the pooled SM9 male DNA from top panel, and "T-" is a negative control without template DNA. This PCR confirmed that all phenotypic males in the SM9 line were pseudo-males. PCR products were resolved on a 0.8% agarose gel with 0.2μ g/mL ethidium bromide.



Supplementary Figure 3: Gallery of male SM9 pupae arising from CRE-injected embryos, showing local demasculinization in the posterior pole. All adults that emerged from these pupae had male heads and female genitalia. Pictures were taken under a binocular fluorescence microscope using a GFP filter.



Supplementary Figure 4: Sex-specific *fruitless* and *doublesex* splicing patterns.

mRNAs from WT male, WT female, SM9 pseudo-male, 1.2G pseudo-male, 2.2G pseudo-male, and 3.1G pseudo-male pupae were extracted, reverse-transcribed into cDNA, which was used for PCR. Products were resolved on a 1.5% agarose gel with $0.2\mu g/mL$ ethidium bromide. Left side: RT-PCR with *fruitless* sex-specific primers for which the male product is expected at 987 bp and the female product at 2010 bp. Right side: RT-PCR with *doublesex* primers, for which the male product is expected at 620 bp and the female product at 1062 bp.

| Name | 5' – 3' sequence | Use |
|-------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|
| EM1926 | CCCTCAATTTTCCGCCAACTATT | 655bp amplicon in intron 2 for |
| EM1927 | AATCTTTGGTGCGCCGTGTC | detection of endogenous Nix |
| Myosex369-F | AGGCCATACTAACCTTCCGT | Genomic amplification of a |
| Myosex396-R | ATACAATGAAGTAACAATGGAGCG | non-coding part of the myo-sex |
| | | copy from scaffold 369 (end of |
| | | intron 1). |
| EM2145 | CAAGTTGGTGACGATCCCGA | For RT-qPCR of mRNA from |
| EM2146 | GTTGGGTAGAGCAACGGTGA | <i>myo-sex</i> orthologues |
| EM2147 | CGCCGGAAAAACGTATCCACT | For RT-PCR of mRNA from |
| EM2148 | GCTGGTTCCAGGTTAGTTGG | LOC115254984, a candidate |
| | | <i>myo-fem</i> orthologue |
| EM2149 | CCCGTGCTGAAGAGTTGGAG | For RT-PCR of mRNA from |
| EM2150 | GTGGACAGACGTTGCTTAGT | LOC115254986, a candidate |
| | | <i>myo-fem</i> orthologue |
| EM2151 | GTAGGCATCTACGAGCCCAA | For RT-PCR of mRNA from |
| EM2152 | CCAACCTGTACCACTGGCTT | LOC109402113, a candidate |
| | | <i>myo-fem</i> orthologue |
| EM2153 | CATTGGAAACATTCCCGCCG | For RT-PCR or RT-qPCR of |
| EM2154 | ACTGCCGGTTTCACATCACA | mRNA from <i>Nix</i> |
| EM2170 | ACGTGCCGAAGAATTGGAAG | For RT-qPCR of mRNA from |
| EM2171 | TTCTAAGGCAACACACTTCTGA | LOC109402113, putative myo- |
| | | <i>fem</i> orthologue |
| EM2174 | CGTGCCACCCTTCTTGGTAA | For RT-qPCR of mRNA from |
| EM2175 | CCTCCAACTCTTCTGCACGG | LOC115254984, candidate |
| | | <i>myo-fem</i> orthologue |

Supplementary Table 1: Sequences of primers used in this study.

| Line | Replicate | Males | Females |
|-------------|-----------|-------|---------|
| WT | 1 | 125 | 116 |
| WT | 2 | 128 | 128 |
| WT | 3 | 74 | 67 |
| SM9 | 1 | 936 | 745 |
| SM9 | 2 | 677 | 590 |
| SM9 | 3 | 430 | 308 |
| 1.2G | 1 | 889 | 1027 |
| 1.2G | 2 | 477 | 423 |
| 1.2G | 3 | 1787 | 1802 |
| 3.1G | 1 | 1246 | 1224 |
| 3.1G | 2 | 339 | 306 |
| 3.1G | 3 | 887 | 641 |

Supplementary Table 2: Sex ratios of transgenic versus WT lines

| Line | Replicate | Number failed | Number successed |
|------|-----------|------------------|---------------------|
| WT | 1 | 55 | 31 |
| WT | 2 | 38 | 36 |
| WT | 3 | 79 | 11 |
| SM9 | 1 | 35 | 41 |
| SM9 | 2 | 34 | 65 |
| SM9 | 3 | 50 | 14 |

Supplementary Table 3: Flight ability of SM9 transgenic pseudo-males versus WT males.

| Replicate | Line | Larvae | Eggs |
|-----------|------|--------|------|
| 1 | WT | 373 | 641 |
| 2 | WT | 150 | 309 |
| 3 | WT | 115 | 201 |
| 1 | SM9 | 138 | 286 |
| 2 | SM9 | 82 | 147 |
| 3 | SM9 | 153 | 264 |

Supplementary Table 4: Hatching rate of eggs from the SM9 versus WT lines

| Replicate | Line | Number of |
|-----------|------|--------------|
| | | progeny |
| 1 | WT | 2224 |
| 2 | WT | 1400 |
| 3 | WT | 1581 |
| 1 | SM9 | 2013 |
| 2 | SM9 | 1479 |
| 3 | SM9 | 858 |
| | | |

Supplementary Table 5: Fertility of the SM9 versus WT lines

| Comparison | Replicate | Number of | Number |
|-------------------|-----------|-----------|------------|
| | | progeny | transgenic |
| | | | progeny |
| SM9 vs WT | 1 | 22 | 364 |
| SM9 vs WT | 2 | 129 | 1912 |
| SM9 vs WT | 3 | 211 | 1540 |
| SM9 vs WT | 4 | 57 | 421 |
| SM9 vs WT | 5 | 157 | 1401 |
| 1.2G vs WT | 1 | 586 | 2681 |
| 1.2G vs WT | 2 | 530 | 4296 |
| 1.2G vs WT | 3 | 474 | 3571 |
| 1.2G vs WT | 4 | 187 | 1875 |
| 3.1G vs WT | 1 | 16 | 281 |
| 3.1G vs WT | 2 | 99 | 1042 |
| 3.1G vs WT | 3 | 71 | 895 |
| 3.1G vs WT | 4 | 175 | 1165 |
| 3.1G vs WT | 5 | 73 | 710 |

Supplementary Table 6: Competitiveness of transgenic versus WT males