Study	Experim Events		Cont Events	rol Total	OR C	OR	95% CI	Weight (fixed)	Weight (random)
Group=2					II.				
Morales 1992	2	25	1	25	2.	.09	[0.18; 24.61]	1.8%	2.4%
Kumar 2002	1	13	1	13	1.	.00	[0.06; 17.90]	1.8%	1.7%
Irie 2003	2	41	2	39	0.	95	[0.13; 7.09]	3.8%	3.6%
Vijjan 2006	3	65	3	41	0.	61	[0.12; 3.19]	6.9%	5.3%
Inamoto 2013	0	18	0	20	i i			0.0%	0.0%
Yokomizo 2016	5	81	5	85	<u> </u> 1.	.05	[0.29; 3.78]	9.0%	8.8%
Fixed effect model		243		223	0.	98	[0.44; 2.20]	23.4%	
Random effects mode					0.	97	[0.43; 2.20]		21.8%
Heterogeneity: I ² =0%,	$\tau^2 = 0.023$	81, p=0	.95						
Group=1									
Martínez-Piñeiro 2002	33	247	29	252	1.	19	[0.70; 2.02]	49.1%	50.8%
Martínez-Piñeiro 2005	19	73	20	82	1.	.09	[0.53; 2.25]	27.5%	27.4%
Fixed effect model		320		334	1.	15	[0.75; 1.77]	76.6%	
Random effects mode					1.	15	[0.75; 1.77]		78.2%
Heterogeneity: I ² =0%,	$\tau^2 = 0, p =$	0.86							
Fixed effect model		563		557	1	.11	[0.76; 1.62]	100.0%	
Random effects mode	I				<u> </u>	.11	[0.76; 1.62]		100.0%
Heterogeneity: I^2 =0%, τ^2 =0, p=0.99 0.1 0.5 1 2 10									

Supplementary Fig. 3. Forest plots of tumor progression according to subgroup analysis of bacille Calmette–Guérin instillation number.