Khera et al. "A Multicenter Evaluation of Computable Phenotyping Approaches for SARS-CoV-2 Infection and COVID-19 Hospitalizations"

ONLINE SUPPLEMENT

SUPPLEMENTARY NOTE: CHART ABSTRACTION

Two clinicians, one from internal medicine (RK), and another from laboratory medicine (WS), both with experience with Epic, independently reviewed all selected charts using a designed format. They reviewed all encounters within 2 weeks before or after the reported of laboratory test or a diagnosis code.

The review process was standardized and followed the following format. We reviewed all notes (clinic, hospital admission, hospital progress, and discharge summary, along with nursing and telephone notes) for results of laboratory testing and diagnosis codes associated with each encounter. In addition, laboratory testing records were reviewed for both those performed in the health system a. The notes and scanned documentation were reviewed for positive SARS-CoV-2 testing at outside hospitals. Based on the review of the data, a determination was made whether a SARS-CoV-2 antigen or polymerase chain reaction (PCR) test was performed and whether the test indicated an infection. The nature of the encounter that prompted the documentation of a diagnosis code for COVID-19 was also noted.

A true positive laboratory test and clinical diagnosis were considered when the diagnosis code and SARS-CoV2 test were both positive. If a diagnosis was not recorded but a positive test was noted, they were considered false negatives. In both these instances, the completion of laboratory tests at other institutions or a strong clinical suspicion of COVID-19 in the presence of a negative SARS-CoV-2 test were considered positive laboratory tests, i.e. the patients were considered to have had an infection. Therefore, the absence of a diagnosis in such a patient would be considered a false negative. In contrast, among patients with only a diagnosis code without a positive test across encounters, outside hospitals, or without strong clinical suspicion, documented in the chart were considered false positives. In our review, we recognized instances of this when a COVID-19 diagnosis code was included in the chart to support the testing for SARS-CoV-2 for a telephone or clinic encounter when patients requested screening without symptoms, but the test was noted to be negative without any mention of clinical disease.

May Jun Sep Oct Nov Dec Feb Apr Jul Aug Jan B97.21 B97.29 B34.2 J12.81 B97.27 + Lab diagnosis B97.29 + Lab diagnosis B34.2 + Lab diagnosis J12.81 + Lab diagnosis

Supplementary Table 1: Monthly counts of non-specific coronavirus associated diagnoses and those with a laboratory diagnosis in the Yale New Haven Health System (i.e. positive laboratory test for SARS-CoV-2 nucleic acid or antigen).

Supplementary Table 2: Non-specific coronavirus diagnosis codes.

ICD-10-CM Code	Description	
B97.21	SARS-associated coronavirus as the cause of diseases classified elsewhere	
B97.29	Other coronavirus as the cause of diseases classified elsewhere	
B34.2	Coronavirus infection, unspecified	
J12.81	Pneumonia due to SARS-associated coronavirus	

*Codes included in the initial cohort selection strategy by the National COVID Cohort Collaborative (N3C). Abbreviations: ICD-10-CM: International Classification of Diseases, Tenth Revision, Clinical Modification; SARS – severe acute respiratory syndrome.

Supplementary Table 3: Primary diagnosis codes for severe COVID-19 manifestations or non-specific coronavirus infection recorded in our study population with a secondary diagnosis of COVID-19 (U07.1).

ICD-10-CM Code	Description	
A41.89	Other specified sepsis	
A41.9	Sepsis, unspecified organism	
B34.2	Coronavirus infection, unspecified	
J12.89	Other viral pneumonia	
J18.9	Pneumonia, unspecified organism	
J80	Acute respiratory distress syndrome	
J96.01	Acute respiratory failure with hypoxia	
J96.21	Acute and chronic respiratory failure with hypoxia	

Abbreviation: ICD-10-CM: International Classification of Diseases, Tenth Revision, Clinical Modification

Supplementary Table 4: Key analytic packages and software used in the analysis.

Library	Version	Ref/Link
Spark	2.3.2	https://spark.apache.org/doc s/2.3.2/
Python	3.6.9	https://www.python.org/do wnloads/release/python- 369/
Python: Scikit Learn	1.0.1	https://scikit- learn.org/stable/
Python: Numpy	1.17.0	https://docs.scipy.org/doc/
Python: Scipy	1.7.1	https://docs.scipy.org/doc/
Python: Pandas	1.3.4	https://pandas.pydata.org/d ocs/
Python: vcg	1.3.0	https://pypi.org/project/vcg/
R	3.8	https://www.r-project.org
R library: VCD	1.4.9	https://cran.r- project.org/web/packages/vc d/index.html
R library: hmisc	4.6-0	https://cran.r- project.org/web/packages/H misc/index.html

Supplementary Figure 1: Diagnostic groups for SARS-CoV2 infection across the study period, (A) April 2020 to August 2020, (B) September 2020 to March 2021

