## **Supplementary Information**

# Modifying the thickness, pore size, and composition of diatom frustule in *Pinnularia sp.* with Al<sup>3+</sup> ions

Mohammad Soleimani<sup>1</sup>, Luco Rutten<sup>1</sup>, Sai Prakash Maddala<sup>1</sup>, Hanglong Wu<sup>1</sup>, E. Deniz Eren<sup>1</sup>, Brahim Mezari<sup>2</sup>, Ingeborg Schreur-Piet<sup>1</sup>, Heiner Friedrich<sup>1,3\*</sup> & Rolf A. T. M. van Benthem<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Laboratory of Physical Chemistry, and Center for Multiscale Electron Microscopy, Department of Chemical Engineering and Chemistry, Eindhoven University of Technology, Groene Loper 5, 5612 AE, Eindhoven, the Netherlands

<sup>2</sup> Laboratory for Inorganic Materials and Catalysis, Department of Chemical Engineering and Chemistry, Eindhoven University of Technology, P.O. Box 513, 5600 MB Eindhoven, the Netherlands

<sup>3</sup> Institute for Complex Molecular Systems, Eindhoven University of Technology, Groene Loper 5, 5612 AE, Eindhoven, the Netherlands

\*Corresponding authors:

Heiner Friedrich. Email: <u>H.Friedrich@tue.nl</u> Rolf A. T. M. van Benthem. Email: r.a.t.m.v.benthem@tue.nl

#### 1. Mean diameter of areolae and small pores measurements

The mean diameter of areola was measured for 15 valves per culture in SEM micrographs using an in house MATLAB script<sup>1</sup>. Supplementary Figure S1 exhibits the selected axes for each areola. The average of the two-axes was used to determine areola diameter and error bars represent the standard deviations. Also, the mean diameter of small pore was determined via an in-house MATLAB script. Supplementary Figure S2 shows the procedure for determining the mean dimeter of small pore via the Matlab program.



Supplementary Figure S1. Selected axes for measuring the diameter of the areolae.



**Supplementary Figure S2.** Image analysis process for measuring the mean diameters of small pores.

#### 2. Thickness mapping

The thickness of the valves was measured from TEM images in the following manner: The contrast in the TEM images can be approximated by mass-thickness contrast considerations. Diatoms frustules, are amorphous materials, loaded on the continuous carbon grid and were imaged at a low magnification (800×). To map the thickness of a single diatom, one flat field (FFD) TEM image only containing the unscattered incident electron flux  $I_0$  and one TEM image containing the electron flux  $I_t$  transmitted through the sample were acquired. The thickness of diatoms  $t_D$  can be estimated (Equation (1)) based on Lambert-Beer Law:

$$\frac{I_t}{I_0} = \exp\left(-\left(\frac{t_{\rm C}}{\Lambda_{\rm C}} + \frac{t_{\rm D}}{\Lambda_{\rm D}}\right)\right) \tag{1}$$

Where  $t_{\rm C}$  is the thickness of the continuous carbon film in the TEM grid, and  $\Lambda_{\rm C}$  and  $\Lambda_{\rm D}$  are the elastic mean free path (EMFP,  $\Lambda$ ) of the carbon and the diatom, respectively. EMFP calculations are based on Reimer's book<sup>2</sup>. All the thickness analysis and EMFP calculations were performed using in-house MATLAB scripts<sup>3,4</sup>. Important parameters used in the calculations were shown in Supplementary Table S2. Mapping the local thickness of the diatom was carried out using in-house MATLAB scripts. The detailed image analysis procedures are shown in Supplementary Figure S3.



8. Report on local thickness map 7. Extraction data with the binary mask 6. Creation of binary mask 5. Selection of ROI

**Supplementary Figure S3.** Image-processing procedures for single diatom and local area thickness mapping.

#### 3. Internal volume of *P.sp* and the frustule volume

The volume of the entire *P.sp* was calculated using a simple assumption that *P.sp* is a rectangular prism.  $V = L \times W \times H$ . Where V, L, W, and H are volume, length, width and height of the whole *P.sp* (Supplementary Figure S4). Since, the length and width of the valves of the four cultures were comparable (SEM images) we used an average of *P.sp* dimensions for determining the entire volume. The internal volume was calculated by subtracting the thickness of the frustule from the dimensions of the whole *P.sp*. Therefore, the volume of silica making up the frustule for each culture is the difference between the total volume and internal volume (Supplementary Table S3). It is worth noting that for this calculation, the thickness of the porous area was used as the thickness of the whole frustule.



**Supplementary Figure S4**. Overall structure of an intact *P.sp* cell. Length, width, and height are shown.

## 4. FIB-SEM process of the embedded *P.sp* cell

The embedded *P.sp* cell was positioned at Eucentric height (10 mm) and tilted to 52° with the intention that the electron beam and the ion beam are focused at the coincidence point. Before the Serial Slice and View (SSV), a protective layer of platinum with dimensions of  $20 \times 10 \times 1$  µm was deposited on the surface of *P.sp* using ion beam deposition at 30 keV and an ion beam current (IBC) of 0.3 nA. In order to remove material in front of the embedded cell, bulk milling was performed with dimensions  $40 \times 20 \times 10$ µm (IBC = 15 nA). Side trenches of  $10 \times 20 \times 5$ µm were created using an IBC of 7 nA. The fiducial marker was made with z ≥1µm (IBC = 3nA). Next the electron beam was focused on the cleaned block face and automated SSV operation was initiated using a milling IBC of 1 nA and a slice thickness of either 50 nm or 100 nm. Images of new revealed surface were taken in BSE mode.



1. Embedded cell in Epon

2. Pt layer

3.Milling channels around the sample



5. Imaging of the slices

Supplementary Figure S5. FIB-SEM process steps.

4. Milling process

## 5. SEM-EDS measurements of Co-C3



**Supplementary Figure S6.** (a) SEM-EDS spot measurements of  $C_0$ - $C_2$ ; (b) Spot and line scan of porous are of  $C_3$ ; (c) Spot and line scan of girdle bands of  $C_3$ .

## 6. Si and Al<sup>3+</sup> uptake comparison

As shown in Supplementary Figure S7, Si and  $Al^{3+}$  were taken up during the cultivation time. the concentration of both elements decreased as a function of time. During the first 48 hours, the relative amounts of consumed Si and  $Al^{3+}$  were comparable. However, after this point, a the rate of uptake differed.



Supplementary Figure S7. Si and Al<sup>3+</sup> uptake comparison of C<sub>3</sub> as a function of time

#### 7. Control experiment: Variation of Si concentration in diatom free culture

Artificial seawater supplemented with f/2 medium (ASW-f/2) and 2  $\mu$ M Al<sup>3+</sup> was incubated in a culture flask in the absence of *P.sp* cells. Every day, a sample was taken, and Si concentration was determined via atomic absorption spectrometer. As shown in the Supplementary Figure S8 there was no reduction of Si concentration during the cultivation period.



Supplementary Figure S8. Control experiment without *P.sp* cells.

8. Investigation of the internal structures of C<sub>0</sub> using FIB-SEM



**Supplementary Figure S9.** (a-d) depict the internal structures of  $C_0$  during the slice and view process. F= frustule, In-st= internal structures.

## 9. The effect of Al<sup>3+</sup> on silica hydrolysis

Diatoms ( $C_0 \& C_3$ ) were stored in demineralized water at 90 °C for 6 day. The Si concentration was determined via atomic absorption spectrometry.



Supplementary Figure S10. Si concentration as a function of time for  $C_0$  and  $C_3$ . Error bars represent standard deviation.

Chemical	Final concentration
NaCl	356 mM
Na <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	25 mM
CaCl <sub>2</sub> .2H <sub>2</sub> O	8 mM
KCl	8 mM
H <sub>3</sub> BO <sub>3</sub>	404 µM
KBr	714 µM
NaF	71 µM
NaHCO <sub>3</sub>	1.2 mM
MgCl <sub>2</sub> .7H <sub>2</sub> O	47 mM
Na <sub>2</sub> SiO <sub>3</sub> .9H <sub>2</sub> O	106 µM
NaH <sub>2</sub> PO <sub>4</sub> .H <sub>2</sub> O	36 µM
NaNO <sub>3</sub>	880 µM
Fe(NH4)2(SO4)2. 6H2O	11.7 μM
Na <sub>2</sub> MoO <sub>4</sub> .2H <sub>2</sub> O	35.9 nM
ZnSO <sub>4</sub> .7H <sub>2</sub> O	80 nM
CuCl <sub>2</sub>	40 nM
CoSO <sub>4</sub> . 7H <sub>2</sub> O	50 nM
MnSO <sub>4</sub> .4H <sub>2</sub> O	0.9 μΜ
Na <sub>2</sub> EDTA.2H <sub>2</sub> O	11.7 μM
Biotin vitamin	1 ml of a 2 mg/ml solution
vitamin B <sub>12</sub>	1 ml of a 4 mg/ml solution
Thiamine vitamin	1 ml of a 100 mg/ml solution

Supplementary Table S1. Chemical composition of artificial seawater supplemented with f/2 medium.

Materials	$M_{\rm w}$ , g/mol	$\rho$ , g/cm <sup>3</sup>	<i>Л</i> , nm
SiO <sub>2(amorphous)</sub>	60.08	2.00	214.78
Carbon film	12.00	2.10	282.54

**Supplementary Table S2**. Parameters used in EMFP ( $\Lambda$ ) calculations.

**Supplementary Table S3:** Internal volume of *P.sp* cell and the volume of silica making up the frustule (frustule volume). Average  $\pm$  Standard Deviations (n=5).

Culture number	Internal volume (µm <sup>3</sup> )	Frustule volume (µm <sup>3</sup> )
<b>C</b> <sub>0</sub>	1121.7 <u>+</u> 2.3	19.2 <u>+</u> 3.7
C <sub>1</sub>	1119.6 <u>+</u> 3.4	21.4 ±1.5
C <sub>2</sub>	1114.7 <u>+</u> 1.8	26.8 <u>+</u> 3.1
C <sub>3</sub>	1110.2 <u>+</u> 2.7	30.2 ±3.4

**Supplementary Table S4.** Statistical data of SEM and TEM image analyses with n = number of measured valves per culture and expressed as Average  $\pm$  Standard Deviations.

Sample	C <sub>0</sub>	C <sub>1</sub>	C <sub>2</sub>	C <sub>3</sub>
Features size				
Valve length µm (n=15)	19.2 <u>+</u> 2.9	19.6 <u>+</u> 2	19.5 <u>+</u> 2.6	19.4 <u>+</u> 3.1
Valves width µm (n=15)	6.9 <u>+</u> 0.8	7.1 <u>+</u> 0.6	7.1 <u>±</u> 0.9	6.97 <u>+</u> 1
Valve area $\mu m^2$ (n=15)	99.1 <u>+</u> 12	101.5 <u>+</u> 13	100.4 <u>+</u> 16	100.3 <u>+</u> 15
Mean diameter of areola nm (n=15)	189 <u>+</u> 9	182 <u>+</u> 8	189 <u>+</u> 27	182 <u>+</u> 20
Mean diameter of small pore nm (n=15)	70 <u>+</u> 9	61 <u>+</u> 10	40 <u>+</u> 5	35 <u>+</u> 4
Width of transapical rib nm (n=15)	190 <u>+</u> 38	191 <u>+</u> 24	193 <u>+</u> 19	188 <u>+</u> 23
Width of cross extension nm (n=15)	105 <u>+</u> 19	110 <u>+</u> 16	108 <u>+</u> 26	110 <u>+</u> 19
Distance between neighboring areolae	278 <u>+</u> 14	272 <u>+</u> 15	273 <u>+</u> 12	277 <u>+</u> 15
within a pore array nm (n=15)				
Distance between two areolae of two	346 <u>+</u> 24	349 <u>+</u> 19	349 <u>+</u> 30	347 <u>+</u> 18
parallel arrays nm (n=15)				
Mean thickness of porous area nm (n=5)	49 <u>+</u> 7	59 <u>+</u> 6	71 <u>+</u> 5	82 <u>+</u> 8
Mean thickness of raphe nm (n=5)	153±17	175 <u>+</u> 15	178 <u>+</u> 20	188 <u>+</u> 18
Mean thickness of valve nm (n=5)	83 <u>+</u> 7	101 <u>+</u> 3	108 <u>+</u> 2	121 <u>+</u> 10

### References

- 1 Mirabello, G. *et al.* Understanding the formation mechanism of magnetic mesocrystals with (cryo-) electron microscopy. *Chemistry of Materials* **31**, 7320-7328 (2019).
- 2 Kohl, H. & Reimer, L. *Transmission electron microscopy: physics of image formation*. (Springer, 2008).
- 3 Su, H. *et al.* Growth kinetics of cobalt carbonate nanoparticles revealed by liquid-phase Scanning transmission electron microscopy. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry C* **123**, 25448-25455 (2019).
- 4 Ianiro, A. *et al.* Liquid–liquid phase separation during amphiphilic self-assembly. *Nature Chemistry* **11**, 320-328 (2019).