nature portfolio

Corresponding author(s):	Richard Smeyne, PhD
Last updated by author(s):	Jan 25, 2022

Reporting Summary

Nature Portfolio wishes to improve the reproducibility of the work that we publish. This form provides structure for consistency and transparency in reporting. For further information on Nature Portfolio policies, see our <u>Editorial Policies</u> and the <u>Editorial Policy Checklist</u>.

<u> </u>				
S †	· a:	tic	ŤΙ	\sim

For	all statistical ar	nalyses, confirm that the following items are present in the figure legend, table legend, main text, or Methods section.			
n/a	Confirmed				
	The exact	sample size (n) for each experimental group/condition, given as a discrete number and unit of measurement			
	A stateme	ent on whether measurements were taken from distinct samples or whether the same sample was measured repeatedly			
	The statis Only comm	tical test(s) used AND whether they are one- or two-sided non tests should be described solely by name; describe more complex techniques in the Methods section.			
\boxtimes	A description of all covariates tested				
\boxtimes	A description of any assumptions or corrections, such as tests of normality and adjustment for multiple comparisons				
	A full description of the statistical parameters including central tendency (e.g. means) or other basic estimates (e.g. regression coefficient) AND variation (e.g. standard deviation) or associated estimates of uncertainty (e.g. confidence intervals)				
	For null hypothesis testing, the test statistic (e.g. <i>F</i> , <i>t</i> , <i>r</i>) with confidence intervals, effect sizes, degrees of freedom and <i>P</i> value noted <i>Give P values as exact values whenever suitable.</i>				
\times	For Bayesian analysis, information on the choice of priors and Markov chain Monte Carlo settings				
\boxtimes	For hierarchical and complex designs, identification of the appropriate level for tests and full reporting of outcomes				
\boxtimes	Estimates of effect sizes (e.g. Cohen's <i>d</i> , Pearson's <i>r</i>), indicating how they were calculated				
Our web collection on <u>statistics for biologists</u> contains articles on many of the points above.					
Software and code					
Poli	cy information	about availability of computer code			
Da	ata collection	Stereological analysis of SNpc DA neuron number and microglia counts were performed using Stereolnvestigator (MBF Biosciences).			
Da	ata analysis	Statistical analyses were generated using Prism 9.3 (GraphPad Software, LLC.)			
		g custom algorithms or software that are central to the research but not yet described in published literature, software must be made available to editors and			

Data

Policy information about <u>availability of data</u>

All manuscripts must include a data availability statement. This statement should provide the following information, where applicable:

- Accession codes, unique identifiers, or web links for publicly available datasets
- A description of any restrictions on data availability
- For clinical datasets or third party data, please ensure that the statement adheres to our policy

There is no data in this paper that can be submitted to a data repository. Data as reported in this paper show each data point and no data has been excluded from the analysis. All data will be made available upon request.

Field-specific reporting				
∑ Life sciences	ne below that is the best fit for your research. If you are not sure, read the appropriate sections before making your selection. Behavioural & social sciences Ecological, evolutionary & environmental sciences			
For a reference copy of t	he document with all sections, see <u>nature.com/documents/nr-reporting-summary-flat.pdf</u>			
Life scier	nces study design			
All studies must dis	close on these points even when the disclosure is negative.			
Sample size	Sample size was determined based on expected data distribution of effects of toxicant effects that have been examined in over 250 animals. These parameters were used in a Power analysis (G*Power).			
Data exclusions	No data was excluded.			
Replication	animals are unique to that no duplication is possible as a single "n". However, in biochemical experiments (cytokine, all samples were run triplicate and the data point was an average of these 3 points).			
Randomization	Animals were randomly assigned to experimental groups based on a "next up" strategy; using consecutive numbering. In all groups, we insured that not all animals came from a single litter.			
Blinding	All stereology was performed by investigators blinded to conditions.			
Reporting for specific materials, systems and methods We require information from authors about some types of materials, experimental systems and methods used in many studies. Here, indicate whether each material, system or method listed is relevant to your study. If you are not sure if a list item applies to your research, read the appropriate section before selecting a response. Materials & experimental systems Methods				
n/a Involved in th				
Eukaryotic				
	ogy and archaeology MRI-based neuroimaging			
Animals and other organisms Human research participants				
Clinical data				
Dual use research of concern				
Antibodies				
Antibodies used	All information in detail is in methods section			
Validation	validation based on manufacturers website and previous use of the antibodies			
Animals and	other organisms			
Policy information about studies involving animals; ARRIVE guidelines recommended for reporting animal research				
Laboratory anima	All information in detail is in methods section			

Wild animals

n/A

Field-collected samples

N/A

Ethics oversight

All of the experimental procedures in the animals were performed in accordance with the NIH Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals and all protocols were approved by the Thomas Jefferson University IACUC (Protocol 1892). Experiments were carried out in accordance with The Code of Ethics of the World Medical Association (Declaration of Helsinki) for animal experiments.

Note that full information on the approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.

Flow Cytometry

Plots

Confirm that:

- The axis labels state the marker and fluorochrome used (e.g. CD4-FITC).
- The axis scales are clearly visible. Include numbers along axes only for bottom left plot of group (a 'group' is an analysis of identical markers).
- All plots are contour plots with outliers or pseudocolor plots.
- A numerical value for number of cells or percentage (with statistics) is provided.

Methodology

Sample preparation details in methods section

Instrument details in methods section. Data were acquired using a FACSCalibur flow cytometer (BD Biosciences, San Diego CA) and

analyzed with CellQuest Pro (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA) software. T

Software Data were acquired using a FACSCalibur flow cytometer (BD Biosciences, San Diego CA) and analyzed with CellQuest Pro (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA) software. T

nosciences, San Jose, CAJ software. 1

Cell population abundance

The viability of sorted cell populations was always at least 95%. After sorting, cells were washed with sterile PBS, centrifuged

and stored at -80C until assayed.

After eliminating debris/dead cells (DAPI-positive) and doublet cells by forward- and side-scatter, cells were gated based on CD4 (PerCP-Cy5.5), CD8 (PE-Cy7), CD19 (APC-Cy7), CD11b (Alexa700) and/or GFP fluorescent intensity. A small portion of the cells were incubated without antibodies to gate cells according to their light scattering characteristics, and another small

portion of the cells were stained with isotype-matched control reagents to set the maximum threshold for non-specific binding. PBMCs were isolated from double mutant transplanted chimeras (WT(GFP) -> Rag1/R1441G) and sorted for GFP+/

CD4+, GFP+/CD8+, GFP+/CD19+ as well as for GFP-negative signal using a FACSAria cell sorter.

Tick this box to confirm that a figure exemplifying the gating strategy is provided in the Supplementary Information.