

Supplementary Figure S1: Generation and characterisation of ATM KO HMC3 microglia.

A Design of CRISPR/Cas9-mediated targeting of the *ATM* locus (top). Sanger sequencing of *ATM* KO HMC3 showing 2 bp deletion in *ATM* exon 4 leading to a frame shift, premature STOP codon in exon 5 and generation of a truncated protein. WT HMC3 shown as control.

B Representative immunoblot analysis of ATM protein expression in *ATM* KO vs WT HMC3. Two different ATM antibodies raised against peptides corresponding to residues 1967-1988 (mouse monoclonal, green) and 2250-2600 (rabbit polyclonal, red) in human ATM were used. *Non-specific band.

C Quantification of phosphorylated protein levels as in **Figure 1A**. Data are relative to WT HMC3. Quantification is relative to total protein levels and the loading control. Mean ± S.D. shown (n = 3). Two-way ANOVA with Sidak's multiple comparison's test used.

D Proliferation curves of WT and *ATM* KO HMC3 cells. Proliferation is relative to WT HMC3 at 24 h. Mean ± S.D. shown (n = 3). Paired *t*-test of area under the curve used.

E Sensitivity of WT and *ATM* KO HMC3 cells to camptothecin. Mean ± S.D. shown (n = 3 technical replicates). Paired *t*-test of area under the curve used.



Supplementary Figure S2: ATM knockout microglia are activated.

A Quantification of CD68 expression in WT and *ATM* KO HMC3 cells using immunofluorescence. Confocal Z-stack compression images were used for quantification. Mean \pm S.D. shown (n = 4). One-sample *t*-test used.

B Schematic of piggyBac transposon carrying *ATM* gene (top) and quantitative RT-qPCR analysis of *mCherry* expression in cells as in **Figure 1A** (bottom). *ATM* KO cells, in which ATM was re-expressed from doxycycline-inducible piggyBac transgene, were cultured in the presence (0.1 μ g/mL) or absence of doxycycline (DOX). Expression is relative to *ATM* KO HMC3, no DOX. Reference gene: *IPO8*. Mean ± S.D. shown (n = 3 technical replicates). One sample *t*-test used. N.d.: not detected.



Ctrl ATM#1 ATM#2 siRNA

Supplementary Figure S3: ATM-deficient microglia are activated.

A Schematic of phagocytosis assays.

B Workflow of phagocytosis analyses using 5 μ m beads as substrates by flow cytometry. To control for non-specific adhesion, phagocytosis assays were carried out in the absence or presence of 1 μ M cytochalasin D. Percentages of phagocytic cells further referred to as phagocytosis levels were determined. To calculate the relative average number of beads per cell (further referred to as phagocytic activity), mean fluorescent intensity (MFI) of phagocytic cells after subtracting MFI of the cytochalasin D control was divided by MFI of a single bead.

C Phagocytic (5 μ m beads) activity of HMC3 microglia as in **Figure 1G**. Activities are relative to WT HMC3. Mean ± S.D. shown (n = 4). One-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparison's test used.

D Phagocytosis levels (5 μ m beads) of WT and *ATM* KO HMC3 cells cultured in the presence (0.1 μ g/mL) or absence of DOX. Phagocytosis is relative to WT HMC3, in which 5.6 ± 1.7% of cells are phagocytic. Mean ± S.D. shown (n = 3). Two-way ANOVA test used.

E, G Representative immunoblot analysis of ATM silencing using two independent siRNA sequences (ATM#1 and ATM#2) in HMC3 and C20 microglia, respectively. Loading control: vinculin. Quantification of ATM protein levels is relative to loading control.

F, **H** Phagocytosis levels (5 μ m beads) of ATM-deficient HMC3 and C20 as in (**E**, **G**), respectively. Phagocytosis is relative to cells treated with control siRNA (Ctrl), in which 2.8 ± 2.5% (HMC3) or 11.5 ± 6.1 % (C20) of cells are phagocytic. Mean ± S.D. shown (n = 4 for HMC3, n = 3 for C20). One-sample *t*-test used.





Supplementary Figure S4: ATM inhibition leads to progressively increased phagocytosis.

A Representative immunoblot analysis of the efficacy of long-term inhibition of ATM activity and inhibitor wash out in HMC3 microglia. Cells were treated with DMSO as a control or 10 nM AZD1390 for up to 9 days. The inhibitor was washed out after 6 days of inhibition for an additional 2 days (Release). To test for ATM activity, cells were treated with 1 μ M camptothecin (CPT) and analysed at 1 h post-treatment. Quantification of phosphorylated protein levels is relative to total protein levels (except for ATM^{pS1981}) and the loading control.

B Phagocytosis levels (5 μ m beads) of HMC3 cells treated with ATM inhibitor as in (**A**). Phagocytosis is relative to WT HMC3 at respective time points (dashed line). Mean ± S.D. shown (n = 3). Two-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparison's test used.







F







WΤ

D





▲ TNFα

Η

⊽ TNFα/MG132







Supplementary Figure S5: The canonical p65-dependent NF-κB pathway is not activated in *ATM* KO microglia under basal conditions.

A Quantification of protein levels as in **Figure 2A**. Data are relative to WT HMC3 and loading control. Mean \pm S.D. shown (n = 3). Two-way ANOVA with Dunnet's multiple comparison's test used. *P*-values are shown relative to WT HMC3 (*p < 0.05).

B Quantification of nuclear protein levels as in **Figure 2B**. Data are relative to WT HMC3 and loading control. Mean \pm S.D. shown (n = 3). Two-way ANOVA with Sidak's multiple comparison's test used (*p < 0.05, **p < 0.005, ***p < 0.005).

C Quantification of p65 localisation presented as nuclear/cytoplasmic ratio (top) and percentage of cells with nuclear p65 (bottom) in WT and *ATM* KO HMC3 cells. Positive control: $10 \mu M$ TNF- α for 30 min. Mean ± S.D. shown (n = 3). Two-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparison's test used.

D Representative images of (**C**). Images in a single Z-plane shown. Scale bar: 50 µm. p65 (green), vinculin (red), DNA (DAPI, blue).

E Quantification of $I \ltimes B \alpha$ protein levels as in **Figure 2C**. Data are relative to WT Ctrl. Mean ± S.D. shown (n = 3). Two-way ANOVA with Sidak's multiple comparison's test used (*p*-values are shown relative to WT Ctrl unless specified).

F Representative immunoblot analysis of WT and three clones of *ATM* KO C20 microglia. Loading control: vinculin.

G Quantification of p65 localisation presented as nuclear/cytoplasmic ratio in WT and *ATM* KO C20 cells. Mean \pm S.D. shown (n = 3). One-way ANOVA with Dunnett's multiple comparison's test used.

H Representative images of (**G**). Images of compressed z-stacks shown. Scale bar: 50 μ m. p65 (green), vinculin (red), DNA (DAPI, blue).





F

RELB protein levels

0

Ε





Cytoplasmic

Nuclear



Supplementary Figure S6: Non-canonical RELB/p52 NF-кВ pathway is activated in ATM KO microglia.

A Representative immunoblot analysis of siRNA-mediated silencing of RELB in cytoplasmic and nuclear extracts of WT and *ATM* KO HMC3 cells. Loading controls: α/β -tubulin (cytoplasmic), PARP1 (nuclear). Quantification of RELB protein levels is relative to loading control in individual fractions.

B Quantification of nuclear protein levels as in **Figure 2F**. Data are relative to WT HMC3 and loading control. Mean \pm S.D. shown (n = 3). One-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparison's test used.

C Quantification of RELB localisation in WT and *ATM* KO C20 cells. Data are relative to WT C20, in which $14.1 \pm 5.7\%$ of cells contain nuclear RELB. Mean \pm S.D. shown (n = 5). One-way ANOVA with Dunnett's multiple comparison's test used.

D Representative images of (**C**). Images in a single Z-plane shown. Scale bar: 50 μ m. RELB (green), vinculin (red), DNA (DAPI, blue).

E Representative immunoblot analysis of RELB in cytoplasmic (left) and nuclear (right) extracts of HMC3 cells treated with 10 nM AZD1390 or DMSO control as in **Supplementary Figure S4A**. Loading controls: vinculin (cytoplasmic), fibrillarin (nuclear).

F Quantification of protein levels as in **(E)**. Data are relative to DMSO control at respective time points (dashed line) and to loading control. Mean \pm S.D. shown (n = 3). One-way ANOVA with Holm-Sidak's multiple comparison's test used.







Supplementary Figure S7: Persistent DNA damage leads to the activation of RELB/p52 NF-кВ pathway.

A Representative immunofluorescence images of HMC3 microglia treated with 0.5 μ M etoposide (ETO) or DMSO as a control for 6 days as in **Figure 5E**, and analysed for DNA damage and apoptosis/cell death. Positive control: 0.33 μ M staurosporine for 16 h (Stau). Images in a single Z-plane shown. Scale bar: 50 μ m. Cleaved caspase-3 (c.caspase-3; green), γ H2AX (red), DAPI (blue).

B Quantification of protein levels as in **Figure 5E**. Data are relative to DMSO control at respective time points (dashed line) and to loading control. Mean \pm S.D. shown (n = 4 for ATM^{pS1981} and γ H2AX, n = 3 for RELB and p52). One-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparison's test used.

C Quantification of RELB nuclear translocation in cells treated as in **Figure 5E**. Control: DMSO. Data presented as nuclear/cytoplasmic ratio of individual cells (100 cells per experiment; n = 3). Nested *t*-test used.

D Representative immunofluorescence images of p65 localisation in cells treated as in **Figure 5E**. Images in a single Z-plane shown. Scale bar: 50 μm. p65 (green), vinculin (red), DAPI (blue).

E Representative immunoblot analysis of DNA damage response markers in WT HMC3 microglia treated with 100-250 μ M tert-butyl hydroperoxide (tBHP) for up to 6 days. Loading control: vinculin.

F Quantification of protein levels as in **(E)**. Data are relative to control at respective time points (dashed line) and to loading control. Mean \pm S.D. shown (n = 2).

G Levels of intracellular reactive oxygen species (ROS) in cells treated as in **(E)**. ROS levels are relative to WT HMC3. Mean \pm S.D. shown (n = 3). One-way ANOVA with Dunnett's multiple comparisons used.

H Phagocytosis levels in WT HMC3 treated as in **(E)**. Phagocytosis is relative to Ctrl at respective time points. Mean \pm S.D. shown (n = 3). No significant differences in any of the groups are detected using two-way ANOVA with Dunnett's multiple comparisons.

I Phagocytosis levels in WT and ATM KO HMC3 cells treated with 10 μ M Tiron for 72 h. Intracellular ROS in ATM KO microglia were reduced to (53 ± 19)% compared to untreated Ctrl. Data are relative to WT HMC3. Mean ± S.D. shown (n = 3). Two-way ANOVA with Sidak's multiple comparisons used.

Α





D



Ε



G



Н



F



Supplementary Figure S8: Generation and characterisation of ATM-deficient neuronal cell models.

A RT-qPCR analysis of proliferation MKI67, committed neuronal β 3-tubulin (TUBB3) and the synaptic marker SV2A in LUHMES cells at differentiation days 2 and 5 (D2, D5). Expression is relative to day 0 (dashed line). Reference gene: GAPDH. Mean ± S.D. shown (n = 4). Unpaired *t*-test used.

B Representative immunofluorescence images of cells in (**A**). Images in a single Z-plane shown. Scale bar: 50 μm. β3-tubulin (TUBB3; red), DAPI (blue).

C Representative immunoblot analysis showing the efficacy and the persistence of ATM activity inhibition in post-mitotic LUHMES neurons. From day 2, cells were differentiated in the presence of 100 nM AZD1390 or DMSO for 3 days. Untreated post-mitotic neurons were used as a control (Ctrl). After 3 days of inhibition, AZD1390 was washed out and cells were cultured in standard differentiation medium for an additional 48 h. To test for ATM activity, cells were treated with 1 μ M camptothecin (CPT) and analysed at 1 h post treatment. Quantification of phosphorylated protein levels is relative to total protein levels (except for ATM^{pS1981}) and the loading control.

D Quantification of cleaved caspase-3 positive LUHMES neurons in post-mitotic LUHMES neurons treated with AZD1390 or DMSO for 3 days during differentiation. Ctrl: standard differentiation medium. Positive control: 0.33 μ M staurosporine for 16 h (Stau). Data are relative to Ctrl. Mean ± S.D. shown (n = 3 for Ctrl). One-way ANOVA with Dunnett's multiple comparison's test used.

E Representative immunoblot analysis of WT and two clonal *ATM* KO LUHMES cell lines treated with 1 μM camptothecin (CPT) for 1 h. Loading control: GAPDH.

F Quantification of early apoptotic (Annexin V^{+ve}) post-mitotic WT and *ATM* KO LUHMES neurons. Positive control: 1 μ M staurosporine for 6 h (Stau). Percentages of late apoptotic (Annexin V^{+ve}/propidium iodide^{+ve}) and necrotic (propidium iodide^{+ve}) cells were below 1% and similar in all samples. Mean ± S.D. shown (n = 4). One-way ANOVA with Dunnett's multiple comparison's test used.

G Immunofluorescence-based quantification of apoptotic (pyknotic and fragmented nuclei) post-mitotic ATM-deficient LUHMES neurons treated as in (**C**) and cultured in a transwell insert in the absence (Ctrl) or presence of WT or ATM KO HMC3 microglia for 48 h. Positive control: 0.33 μ M staurosporine for 16 h (Stau). Data are relative to Ctrl, in which 9.1 ± 4.2% of cells are apoptotic. Mean ± S.D. shown (n = 3, two Stau data points are from separate experiments). One-way ANOVA with Dunnett's multiple comparison's test used.

H Representative immunofluorescence images of LUHMES cells in (**E**). Images in a single Z-plane shown. Scale bar: 20 μm. β3-tubulin (TUBB3; red), DAPI (blue).



Supplementary Figure S9: ATM knockout neurons aberrantly engulf neurites.

A Representative images of WT and *ATM* KO HMC3 microglia co-cultured with *ATM* KO (clone #D3) post-mitotic LUHMES neurons for 24 h. CFSE-stained microglia in non-clustered areas with segmentation of cells using CellProfiler are shown. Images are in a single Z-plane. Scale bar: 50 μm.

B Quantification of morphological changes in microglia as in (**A**). Data presented as circularity of individual cells (n = 3; minimum 150 cells per experiment). Nested *t*-test used.

C Quantification of clusters formed by WT or *ATM* KO HMC3 microglia on their own or in co-culture with post-mitotic ATM-inhibited LUHMES neurons that were pretreated with AZD1390 or left untreated (Ctrl) as in **Figure 6G**. Mean ± S.D. shown (n = 3). Two-way ANOVA with Sidak's multiple comparison's test used.

D Quantification of phagocytic microglial clusters from (**C**). Mean \pm S.D. shown (n = 3). Two-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparison's test used.



Supplementary Figure S10: Canonical NF-κB is not activated in cerebellar microglia of individuals with A-T.

A, **B** Dotplot of the average expression and percent cells expressed for individual genes involved in activation (positive) and inhibition (negative) of the (**A**) canonical and (**B**) non-canonical NF-κB pathway in A-T *vs* Ctrl cerebellar microglia. Average expression is represented by the z-score calculated by centering and scaling across microglia from A-T and Ctrl in cerebellum and prefrontal cortex.

Reagent/Resource	Reference or Source	Identifier or Catalogue Number	
Experimental Models: Cell lines			
Human microglial HMC3 cells	Established by Marc Tardieu and kindly provided by Brian Bigger (University of Manchester, UK)	(1)	
ATM knockout HMC3 cells	This study		
ATM knockout HMC3 cells expressing pPB-TRE Flag-wtATM	This study		
Human microglial C20 cells	Kind gift of David Alvarez-Carbonell	(2)	
<i>ATM</i> knockout C20 cells (clones G:H7, G:H8, D:G2)	This study		
Human mesencephalic LUHMES cells	ATCC	CRL2927	
ATM knockout LUHMES cells (clones B3, D3)	This study		
Bacterial Strains			
DH5a	Invitrogen	18265017	
NEB [®] 10-beta	New England Biolabs	C3019	
Recombinant DNA		_	
pSpCas9n(BB)-2A-Puro (PX462)	Addgene	48141 (3)	
AIO-GFP	Addgene	74119 (4)	
pSpCas9(BB)-2A-Puro V2.0	Addgene	62988 (3)	
pcDNA3.1 Flag-His-ATM plasmid	Addgene	31985 (5)	
rtTA	kind gift of Bon-Kyoung Koo	(6)	
pPB-transposase	kind gift of Bon-Kyoung Koo	(6)	
pPB-TRE IRES-mCherry	kind gift of Bon-Kyoung Koo	(6)	
pPB-TRE Flag-wtATM	This paper		
Oligonucleotides			
Cloning			
ATM fragment 1 Forward:	tcattttggcaaagaattccTAATGGACTACAAC	GGACG	
ATM fragment 1 Reverse:	aaagggagaaGCTACGTAATGACACATC		
ATM fragment 2 Forward:	attacgtagcTTCTCCCTTTGTTGTGAC		
ATM fragment 2 Reverse:	cgatatcaagcttatcgagcGATCACACCCAAG	СТТТС	
Fragment analysis			

Supplementary Table S1. Reagents and tools used in the study.

ATM-exon4 Forward ATM-exon4 Forward

GCTCTTTGTGATGGCATGAACAGCTTTTG CTCACGCGACAGTAATCTGTTAAGCCAT

RT-qPCR

siRELB

mCherry Forward	CACGAGTTCGAGATCGAGGG	
mCherry Reverse	CAAGTAGTCGGGATGTCG	
IL6 Forward	AGACAGCCACTCACCTCTTCAG	
IL6 Reverse	TTCTGCCAGTGCCTCTTTGCTG	
IL8 Forward	ATGACTTCCAAGCTGGCCGTGGCT	
IL8 Reverse	TCTCAGCCCTCTTCAAAAACTTCTC	
IL1B Forward	CCACAGACCTTCCAGGAGAATG	
IL1B Reverse	GTGCAGTTCAGTGATCGTACAGG	
TNFA Forward	CAGCCTCTTCTCCTTCCTGAT	
TNFA Reverse	GCCAGAGGGCTGATTAGAGA	
IL4 Forward	ACCATGAGAAGGACACTCGC	
IL4 Reverse	CTCTGGTTGGCTTCCTTCACA	
CCL5 Forward	GCTGTCATCCTCATTGCTACTG	
CCL5 Reverse	TGGTGTAGAAATACTCCTTGATGTG	
MAP3K14 Forward	ACAACGAGGGTGTCCTGCTC	
MAP3K14 Reverse	TCAGCTCCTCTGCCCGAAA	
MKI67 Forward	TGGGCGAAGTTCACAGTCAA	
MKI67 Reverse	TGAGCACTCTGTAGGGTCGA	
TUBB3 Forward	CGCCCAGTATGAGGGAGAT	
TUBB3 Reverse	AGTCGCCCACGTAGTTGC	
SV2A Forward	AGATCGGCAGGCTCAGAAT	
SV2A Reverse	GACAGGAAGAAGCAGGAGACA	
RPS13 Forward	CGAAAGCATCTTGAGAGGAACA	
RPS13 Reverse	TCGAGCCAAACGGTGAATC	
IPO8 Forward	GGCACCACTCAGCGAGGAT	
IPO8 Reverse	TGTTGTTCAATCTTCTTCTTTGCCT	
siRNA Oligonucleotides		
siCtrl (negative control)	Eurogentec	SR-CL000-005
siATM#1	GGGCCUUUGUUCUUCGAGACGUUAU	kind gift of Ester Hammond
siATM#2	GAGAGGAGACAGCUUGUUAUU	(7)

CACAGAUGAAUUGGAGAUCAU (8)

siNIK

Antibodies

Antibodies		
β-Actin (WB)	Abcam	ab6276
Fibrillarin (WB)	Abcam	ab4566
GAPDH (WB)	Cell Signaling	2118
Lamin A/C	Cell Signaling	2032
α/β-Tubulin (WB)	Cell Signaling	2148
Vinculin (WB, IF)	Abcam	ab18058
ATM (WB)	Abcam	ab78
ATM (WB, IF)	Bethyl Laboratories	A300-299A
ATM phosphoSer1981 (WB, IF)	Abcam	ab81292
Caspase-3 (WB)	Santa Cruz	sc-7272
Cleaved caspase-3 (WB, IF)	Cell Signaling	9664
CD40 (Flow cytometry)	GeneTex	GTX14148
CD68 (IF)	Santa Cruz	sc-20060
KAP1 (WB)	Abcam	ab22553
KAP1 phosphoS824 (WB)	Abcam	ab70369
CHK2 (WB)	Cell Signaling	3440
CHK2 phosphoThr68 (WB)	Cell Signaling	2661
γH2A.X (WB, IF)	Millipore	05-636
ΙκΒα (WB)	Cell Signalling	4814
NIK (WB)	Cell Signaling	4994
PAR (WB)	Trevigen	4335-MC-100
PARP-1 (WB)	kind gift of Grigory Dianov	(10)
β3-tubulin	Santa Cruz	sc-80005
RELA (p65; WB, IF)	Cell Signaling	8242
RELA phosphoSer536 (WB)	Cell Signaling	3033S
RELB (IF)	Abcam	ab180127
RELB (WB)	Cell Signaling	4922
c-REL (WB)	Cell Signaling	12707
p50/p105 (WB)	Cell Signaling	13586
p52/p100 (WB)	Cell Signaling	4882
AlexaFluor 680 goat anti-rabbit (WB)	Molecular Probes	A27042
IR Dye 800 goat anti-mouse (WB)	LI-COR Biosciences	926-32210

AlexaFluor 488 goat anti-mouse (IF, Flow cytometry)	Invitrogen	A11001
AlexaFluor 488 goat anti-rabbit (IF)	Invitrogen	A32731
AlexaFluor 594 goat anti-mouse (IF)	Invitrogen	A-11032
Alexa Fluor 594 goat anti-rabbit (IF)	Abcam	ab150080
Chemicals, enzymes and other rea	agents	
ATM inhibitor AZD1390	Selleckchem	S8680
Camptothecin	Cambridge Bioscience	CAY11694
Etoposide	Cambridge Bioscience	CAY12092
Recombinant TNFα	Preprotech	300-01A
tert-Butyl hydroperoxide	Merck	168521
4,5-dihydroxy-1,3- benzenedisulfonic acid (Tiron)	Merck	172553
Carboxyfluorescein succinimidyl ester (CFSE)	Tonbo Biosciences	13-0850
CM-H2DCF-DA	ThermoFisher	C6827
Puromycin	Santa Cruz	sc-108071
Hygromycin B	Millipore	400051
Doxycycline	Merck	D9891
Phusion High-Fidelity DNA Polymerase	ThermoFisher	F530
Lipofectamine2000	Invitrogen	11668019
Lipofectamine RNAiMax	Invitrogen	13778-150
Polyethylenimine (PEI)	Polysciences Inc.	23966
DNAse I	ThermoFisher	EN0521
Carboxyl Fluorescent Particles, 5.0-5.9 μm	Spherotech	CFH-5052-2
SYBR Gold	Molecular Probes	S11494
Kits and assays		
RNeasy Mini Kit	QIAGEN	74106
qPCRBIO cDNA Synthesis Kit	PCR Biosystems	PB30.11-10
qPCRBIO SyGreen Blue Mix Lo-ROX	PCR Biosystems	PB20.15-20
NEBuilder [®] HiFi DNA Assembly Cloning Kit	New England Biolabs	E5520
Glial-Mag Magnetofection	OzBiosciences	GL00500

CellTrace™ Violet Cell Proliferation Kit (CTV)	ThermoFisher	C34557
CellTiter-Glo [®] 2.0	Promega	G9243
Software and Algorithms		
QuantStudioTM Design & Analysis v1.5.1	ThermoFisher	
Image Studio™ Lite Software	Licor	
Image J	NIH	
FlowJo 10.4.2	FlowJo	
GraphPad Prism	GraphPad Software	

Supplementary Table S2. NF-κB gene set lists.

Non-canonical NF-кВ		Non-canonical NF-кВ		Canonical NF-кВ pathway	
pathway	members	pathway t	argets (11)		
Negative (n=5)	Positive (n=11)	Negative (n=5)	Positive (n=13)	Negative (n=5)	Positive (n=20)
TRAF2	LTBR	MACROD1	PPARG	TRAF1	TNFRSF1A
TRAF3	CD40	GSTA4	DDIT3	TRAF4	IL1R1
BIRC2	TNFRSF8	SCN9A	NUPR1	TRAF5	TLR4
BIRC3	CD27	BDNF	RAB3B	TRAF6	RELA
TRIM9	TNFRSF13C	LACTB	IGFBP4	NFKBIA	REL
FBXW7	TNFRSF1B		LRRC8C		NFKB1
	TNFRSF11A		TCP11L2		СНИК
	RELB		MAFK		ІКВКВ
	NFKB2		NRG1		IKBKG
	MAP3K14		F2R		MAP3K7
			KRT19		TNF
			CTGF		IL12B
			ZFC3H1		IL15RA
					IL18
					IL1A
					IL1B
					IL23A
					IL6
					IL6ST
					IL7R

Negative regulators/supressed genes are shown in red. n - number of genes in a gene set.

Supplementary Table S3. *T*-score permutation test results with *p*-values for A-T *vs* control (Ctrl) cerebellum as in **Figure 7A**.

	Observed average Permuted average			Bonferroni	
Gene set	t-score	t-score	<i>p</i> -value	adjusted <i>p</i> -value	
Canonical NF-кВ,					
positive (n=20)	1.270	0.217	0.117	0.700	
Canonical NF-кВ,					
negative (n=5)	2.954	0.233	0.054	0.323	
Non-canonical NF-кВ,					
positive (n=10)	3.532	0.210	0.005	0.027	
Non-canonical NF-кВ,					
negative (n=6)	-1.560	0.212	0.862	1.000	
Non-canonical NF-кВ,					
positive (11) (n=13)	1.948	0.232	0.053	0.316	
Non-canonical NF-кВ,					
negative (11) (n=5)	-2.256	0.242	0.914	1.000	

Pathways, enrichment of which is significantly different between A-T vs control cerebellar microglia (FDR < 0.05), are highlighted in green. FDR – false discovery rate.

Supplementary Table S4. logFC and *t*-scores for individual genes in A-T *vs* Ctrl cerebellum as in **Figure 7B** and **Supplementary Figure S10**.

Gene set	Gene	Log FC	Log CPM	F-statistic	<i>p</i> -value	FDR	<i>t</i> -score
	TRAF1	0.125	10.889	12.734	0.178	0.696	3.568
	TRAF4	0.314	10.897	5.403	0.321	0.992	2.324
Canonical NF-кВ,	TRAF5	0.149	10.900	8.040	0.187	0.716	2.835
negative (n=5)	TRAF6	0.177	10.908	8.246	0.129	0.566	2.872
	NFKBIA	0.336	10.992	10.054	0.009	0.091	3.171
	TNFRSF1A	0.737	11.025	44.182	0.000	2.15E-06	6.647
	IL1R1	-0.026	10.877	0.591	0.925	1.000	-0.768
	TLR4	0.381	10.964	14.274	0.007	0.070	3.778
	RELA	0.131	10.926	2.937	0.372	1.000	1.714
	REL	0.702	11.157	31.385	0.000	1.51E-06	5.602
	NFKB1	0.304	10.926	14.740	0.023	0.170	3.839
	CHUK	-0.071	10.898	0.113	0.816	1.000	-0.337
	ІКВКВ	0.177	11.019	3.217	0.126	0.556	1.793
	IKBKG	-0.116	10.895	7.121	0.392	1.000	-2.669
	MAP3K7	0.186	10.960	5.109	0.111	0.514	2.260
Canonical NE-rB	TNF	0.000	10.876	0.000	1.000	1.000	0.000
nositive $(n=20)$	IL12B	0.000	10.876	0.000	1.000	1.000	0.000
	IL15RA	0.125	10.885	28.547	0.121	0.542	5.343
	IL18	0.892	11.150	46.178	0.000	1.83E-09	6.795
	IL1A	-0.001	10.878	3.382	0.676	1.000	-1.839
	IL1B	-0.178	10.892	27.403	0.043	0.266	-5.235
	IL23A	0.017	10.877	46.194	0.185	0.712	6.797
	IL6	-0.009	10.876	10.596	0.215	0.781	-3.255
	IL6ST	0.565	11.310	22.090	0.000	1.03E-04	4.700
	IL7R	-0.297	10.887	95.257	0.001	0.019	-9.760
	MACROD1	-0.046	10.883	1.318	0.808	1.000	-1.148
	GSTA4	-0.799	10.906	139.915	0.000	2.37E-05	-11.829
	SCN9A	0.128	10.882	52.211	0.029	0.202	7.226
Non-canonical NF-κB,	BDNF	-0.199	10.883	36.573	0.622	1.000	-6.048
negative (11) (n=5)	LACTB	0.024	10.931	0.268	0.687	1.000	0.518
	PPARG	0.060	10.881	46.643	0.065	0.359	6.830
	DDIT3	-0.211	10.902	17.998	0.082	0.420	-4.242
	NUPR1	0.318	10.906	0.781	0.406	1.000	0.884
	RAB3B	-0.226	10.881	196.329	0.001	0.021	-14.012
Non-canonical	IGFBP4	0.516	10.924	35.241	0.007	0.074	5.936
NF-KB. positive (11)	LRRC8C	0.776	10.980	52.088	0.000	3.46E-06	7.217
(n=13)	TCP11L2	0.071	10.893	7.730	0.291	0.935	2.780
	MAFK	0.285	10.903	27.120	0.011	0.104	5.208
	NRG1	-0.085	10.878	89.556	0.111	0.515	-9.463
	F2R	0.062	10.882	12.100	0.361	1.000	3.478
	KRT19	1.395	11.112	238.154	0.000	7.45E-15	15.432

	CTGF	0.050	10.883	0.123	0.875	1.000	0.350
	ZFC3H1	0.562	11.129	24.219	0.000	6.16E-05	4.921
	TRAF2	0.146	10.889	1.566	0.671	1.000	1.251
	TRAF3	0.111	10.910	3.733	0.337	1.000	1.932
	BIRC2	0.223	10.979	6.197	0.055	0.318	2.489
Non-canonical	BIRC3	0.081	10.888	2.421	0.543	1.000	1.556
NF-κB, negative (n=6)	TRIM9	-1.241	11.007	116.771	0.000	1.14E-10	-10.806
	FBXW7	-0.691	11.012	33.434	0.000	1.76E-05	-5.782
	LTBR	0.829	11.000	58.181	0.000	2.27E-07	7.628
	CD40	-0.135	10.897	4.545	0.358	1.000	-2.132
	TNFRSF8	0.044	10.878	22.773	0.357	1.000	4.772
	CD27	0.000	10.876	0.000	1.000	1.000	0.000
	TNFRSF13C	0.073	10.934	0.800	0.558	1.000	0.895
Non-canonical	TNFRSF1B	0.785	11.081	41.537	0.000	6.20E-07	6.445
NF-κB, positive (n=10)	TNFRSF11A	0.173	10.902	12.747	0.093	0.457	3.570
	RELB	0.216	10.886	58.181	0.015	0.124	7.628
	NFKB2	0.098	10.894	6.464	0.286	0.924	2.542
	MAP3K14	0.236	10.906	15.740	0.047	0.282	3.967

Genes, expression of which is significantly different between A-T and Ctrl (FDR < 0.05), are highlighted in green. FC – fold change, CPM - counts per million, and FDR – false discovery rate.

SUPPLEMENTARY REFERENCES

- 1. Janabi, N., Peudenier, S., Heron, B., Ng, K.H. and Tardieu, M. (1995) Establishment of human microglial cell lines after transfection of primary cultures of embryonic microglial cells with the SV40 large T antigen. *Neurosci Lett*, **195**, 105-108.
- Garcia-Mesa, Y., Jay, T.R., Checkley, M.A., Luttge, B., Dobrowolski, C., Valadkhan, S., Landreth, G.E., Karn, J. and Alvarez-Carbonell, D. (2017) Immortalization of primary microglia: a new platform to study HIV regulation in the central nervous system. *Journal of NeuroVirology*, 23, 47-66.
- 3. Ran, F.A., Hsu, P.D., Wright, J., Agarwala, V., Scott, D.A. and Zhang, F. (2013) Genome engineering using the CRISPR-Cas9 system. *Nature Protocols*, **8**, 2281-2308.
- 4. Chiang, T.W.W., Le Sage, C., Larrieu, D., Demir, M. and Jackson, S.P. (2016) CRISPR-Cas9D10A nickase-based genotypic and phenotypic screening to enhance genome editing. *Scientific Reports*, **6**, 1-17.
- 5. Canman, C.E., Lim, D.S., Cimprich, K.A., Taya, Y., Tamai, K., Sakaguchi, K., Appella, E., Kastan, M.B. and Siliciano, J.D. (1998) Activation of the ATM kinase by ionizing radiation and phosphorylation of p53. *Science*, **281**, 1677-1679.
- 6. Andersson-Rolf, A., Mustata, R.C., Merenda, A., Kim, J., Perera, S., Grego, T., Andrews, K., Tremble, K., Silva, J.C., Fink, J. *et al.* (2017) One-step generation of conditional and reversible gene knockouts. *Nat Methods*, **14**, 287-289.
- 7. Berkovich, E., Monnat, R.J., Jr. and Kastan, M.B. (2007) Roles of ATM and NBS1 in chromatin structure modulation and DNA double-strand break repair. *Nat Cell Biol*, **9**, 683-690.
- 8. Newman, A.C., Kemp, A.J., Drabsch, Y., Behrends, C. and Wilkinson, S. (2017) Autophagy acts through TRAF3 and RELB to regulate gene expression via antagonism of SMAD proteins. *Nat Commun*, **8**, 1537.
- 9. van Uden, P., Kenneth, N.S., Webster, R., Muller, H.A., Mudie, S. and Rocha, S. (2011) Evolutionary conserved regulation of HIF-1beta by NF-kappaB. *PLoS Genet*, **7**, e1001285.
- 10. Woodhouse, B.C., Dianova, II, Parsons, J.L. and Dianov, G.L. (2008) Poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase-1 modulates DNA repair capacity and prevents formation of DNA double strand breaks. *DNA Repair (Amst)*, **7**, 932-940.
- 11. Bakhoum, S.F., Ngo, B., Laughney, A.M., Cavallo, J.A., Murphy, C.J., Ly, P., Shah, P., Sriram, R.K., Watkins, T.B.K., Taunk, N.K. *et al.* (2018) Chromosomal instability drives metastasis through a cytosolic DNA response. *Nature*, **553**, 467-472.