	Trial 1 (Nov 2013 - Jul 2015)	Trial 2 (Feb 2016 - Jul 2016)	Trial 3 (Dec 2016 - Jun 2017)	Trial 4 (Oct 2017 - Sep 2018)	Trial 5 (Sep 2018 - Mar 2019)	Trial 6 (May 2019 - Nov 2019)	Non-trial	TOTAL	Significance
Comparison	Cohort Comparison: once-weekly support by specialized therapists vs. non- specialized therapists	RCT: optional support vs. once- weekly support	Preference Trial: patients select preference for optional vs. once- weekly support	RCT: once-weekly vs. one- business-day support	RCT: once-weekly support with/without pre- treatment motivational interviewing	Factorial RCT: once-weekly vs. twice- weekly support & Homework reflection or Not	Standard Practice: once-weekly support		
Patients started course ( <i>n</i> )	462	173	373	675	433	593	1294	4003	
Age									
Mean age (SD) [Age range] Gender	39.07 (12.72) [18-74]	38.34 (12.94) [19-76]	37.38 (12.93) [18-86]	36.92 (13.02) [18-84]	37.72 (12.88) [18-76]	36.84 (13.04) [18-88]	37.11 (12.79) [18-81]	37.42 (12.89) [18-88]	$F_{(7,4000)} =$ 1.92, $p =$ .08
Men	24.9% (115/461)	21.4% (37/173)	23.3% (87/373)	24.0% (162 /675)	25.2% (109/433)	26.3% (156/593)	26.8% (347/1293)	25.3% (1013/4001)	_
Women	74.6% (344/461)	78.6% (136/173)	75.9% (283/373)	76.0% (513/675)	74.8% (324/433)	71.8% (426/593)	72.8 % (941/1293)	74.2% (2967/4001)	$\chi^{2}_{(3, N = 4001)}$ = 52.23, p = .007
Non-binary, two-spirit, not listed, or prefer not to disclose	0.4% (2/461)	0	0.8% (3/373)	0	0	1.9% (11/593)	3.9% (5/1293)	0.4% (21/4001)	_
Location									

Table 1. Demographic characteristics by trial periods, nontrial period, and overall for patients who started the Wellbeing Course

Large city (>200,000)	50.3% (232/461)	45.7% (79/173)	40.2% (150/373)	40.6% (274/675)	42.5% (184/433)	44.9% (266/592)	44.7% (579/1293)	44.1% (1763/3999)	
Small- medium city (10,000- 200,000)	20.4% (94/461)	31.8% (55/173)	32.2% (120/373)	26.5% (179/675)	24.9% (108/433)	26.2% (155/592)	26.5% (343/1293)	26.4% (1054/3999)	$\chi^2(24, N = 3999) = 42.55,$
Town or village	21.0% (97/461)	16.2% (28/173)	22.3% (83/373)	23.9% (161/675)	21.9% (95/433)	20.4% (121/592)	20.0% (258/1293)	21.1% (843/3999)	<i>p</i> = .01
Farm	7.4% (37/461)	5.8% (10/173)	4.8% (18/373)	8.7% (59/675)	9.2% (40/433)	7.9% (47/592)	8.4% (108/1293)	8.0% (319/3999)	
Reserve	0.2% (1/461)	0.6% (1/173)	0.5% (2/373)	0.3% (2/675)	1.4% (6/433)	0.5% (3/592)	0.4% (5/1293)	0.5% (20/3999)	-
Ethnicity									
White/ Caucasian	92.5% (422/456)	88.4% (153/173)	88.7% (331/373)	91.3% (616/675)	89.8% (389/433)	90.7% (538/593)	89.4% (1153/ 1289)	90.2% (3602/3992)	$\chi^2(1, N = 3992)$
Asian	1.3% (6/456)	1.2% (2/173)	2.1% (8/373)	1.3% (9/675)	2.1% (9/433)	2.2% (13/593)	3.5% (21/593)	1.4% (54/3992)	= 6.27, <i>p</i> = .01
First Nations/ Métis	2.6% (12/456)	3.5% (6/173)	3.5% (13/373)	5.3% (36/675)	5.5% (24/433)	5.2% (31/593)	4.5% (58/1289)	4.5% (180/3992)	_
Other	3.5% (16/456)	6.9% (12/173)	5.6% (21/373)	2.1% (14/675)	2.5% (11/433)	1.9% (11/593)	4.4% (57/1289)	3.9% (155/3992)	_
Employment (	most applicabl	e)							
Paid employment	69.2% (319/461)	65.9% (114/173)	61.9% (231/373)	61.5% (415/675)	62.4% (270/433)	69.8% (414/593)	65.7% (850/1293)	65.3% (2612/4000)	
Student	3.0% (14/461)	6.4% (11/173)	8.0% (30/373)	4.4% (30/675)	6.0% (26/433)	6.2% (37/593)	6.2% (80/1293)	6.3% (251/4000)	_

Unemployed	9.3% (43/461)	5.2% (9/173)	8.6% (32/373)	6.7% (45/675)	8.1% (35/433)	6.4% (38/593)	7.9% (102/1293)	7.6% (304/4000)	$\frac{\chi^{2}(1, N = 4000)}{= 0.81, p = .37}$
Other (retired, home duties, disability)	18.4% (85/461)	22.5% (39/173)	21.4% (80/373)	27.4% (185/675)	23.6% (102/433)	17.5% (104/593)	20.2% (261/1293)	21.5% (833/4000)	
Education									
University degree	36.4% (168/461)	33.5% (58/173)	31.9% (119/373)	36.1% (244/675)	33.9% (147/433)	32.5% (193/593)	35.4% (459/1293)	34.7% (1388/4001)	$\chi^{2}_{(6, N = 4001)}$ = 46.09, p = .12
Relationship Status									*
Married	45.1% (208/461)	45.3% (78/172)	46.2% (169/373)	44.4% (300/675)	46.0% (199/433)	46.5% (271/583)	46.3% (594/1284)	45.6% (1819/3992)	$\chi^{2}_{(6, N = 3992)} = 28.26$ p = .57
Children									
(% yes)	60.1% (276/459)	59.9% (103/172)	59.8% (223/373)	57.6% (389/675)	57.5% (249/433)	50.3% (298/592)	55.4% (712/1284)	56.4% (2250/3988)	$\chi^{2}_{(6, N = 3988)} = 7.91$ p = .02

*Note*. Bonferroni correction *p* significant at .006.

Table 2. Baseline symptom severity and current and lifetime mental health service use by trial periods, non-trial period and overall for patients who started the Wellbeing Course

	Trial 1 (Nov 2013 - Jul 2015)	Trial 2 (Feb 2016 - Jul 2016)	Trial 3 (Dec 2016 - Jun 2017)	Trial 4 (Oct 2017 - Sep 2018)	Trial 5 (Sep 2018 - Mar 2019)	Trial 6 (May 2019 - Nov 2019)	Non-trial (individua ls between trial periods)	TOTAL	Significanc
Comparison	Cohort Comparison: once-weekly support by specialized therapists vs. non- specialized therapists	RCT: optional support vs. once- weekly support	Preference Trial: patients select preference for optional vs. once- weekly support	RCT: once- weekly vs. one- business- day support	RCT: once-weekly support with/without pre- treatment motivational interviewing	Factorial RCT: once- weekly vs. twice- weekly support & Homework reflection or Not	Standard Practice: once- weekly support		
Baseline symptom	scores					011100			
Mean PHQ-9 (SD) Mean GAD-7 (SD)	12.42 (5.62) 11.66 (5.23) 27.20	11.19 (6.00) 10.97 (5.09) 26.70	12.22 (6.14) 12.02 (5.20) 28.02	12.09 (5.75) 12.14 (5.10) 28.20	11.81 (5.79) 11.46 (5.21)	11.90 (5.53) 11.59 (4.99) 27.50	12.11 (5.76) 12.06 (4.98) 28.02	12.05 (5.76) 11.85 (5.09) 27.84	$F_{(6,3996)} = 1.2$ $p = .28$ $F_{(6,3996)} = 2.4$ $p = .02$
Mean K10 (SD) Mean SDS (SD)	27.39 (7.27) 17.66 (7.88)	26.70 (6.88) 16.77 (7.48)	28.03 (8.25) 16.91 (7.92)	28.29 (7.52) 16.91 (7.78)	27.67 (7.81) 17.04 (8.37)	27.59 (7.49) 16.69 (7.76)	28.02 (7.46) 17.25 (7.64)	27.84 (7.55) 17.09 (7.81)	$F_{(6, 3996)} = 1.$ p = .13 $F_{(6, 3996)} = 0.$ p = .50
Mean PDSS-SR (SD)	7.54 (6.51)	7.00 (5.69)	(7.92) 7.23 (6.17)	(7.78) 7.56 (5.81)	(8.37) 7.51 (6.10)	6.69 (5.82)	(7.64) 7.59 (6.02)	(7.81) 7.38 (6.03)	p = .50 $F_{(6,3996)} = 1.5$ p = .08
Mean SPS/SIAS- 6 (SD)	13.2 (10.18)	14.7 (10.0)	14.8 (11.33)	14.9 (11.1)	15.3 (11.2)	14.6 (10.5)	16.0 (10.6)	14.71 (10.73)	$F_{(6,3996)} = 2.0$ p = .06
Symptoms in the cl	linical range								
PHQ-9 and or GAD-7 in clinical range	88.5% (409/462)	81.5% (141/173)	85.5% (319/373)	88.9% (600/675)	86.4% (374/433)	86.1% (511/593)	89.0% (1153/12 94)	83.7% (3352/4003 )	$\chi^{2}$ (6, N = 4003) 13.21 p = .04
Mental health serv	ice use (last 3 m	onths)							-
Receiving other mental health treatment	48.7% (222/456)	39.3% (68/173)	37.6% (140/372)	49.2% (332/675)	47.1% (204/433)	49.7% (295/593)	46.2% (598/129 3)	46.5% (1858/3994 )	$\chi^{2}_{(6, N = 3995)}$ = 20.79 p = .002
On wait-list for other services		9.2% (16/173)	11.3% (42/372)	13.2% (89/675)	10.2% (44/433)	11.0% (65/593)	12.8% (158/123 2)	11.9% (414/3478)	$\chi^{2}_{(6, N = 3532)} = 5.24$ p = .51

Psychotropic medication	58.6% (270/461)	59.5% (103/173)	54.3% (202/372)	60.6% (372/614)	65.0% (253/389)	64.1% (337/526)	60.7% (753/124 0)	60.7% (2290/3775 )	$\chi^{2}_{(6, N = 3775)}$ = 12.77 p = .05
Mental health ser	vice use (lifetime	e)							
Family doctor/walk-in clinic				85.6% (578/675)	84.1% (364/433)	86.5% (513/593)	88.6% (380/429)	86.1% (1834/2130 )	$\chi^{2}_{(3, N=2130)}$ = 3.92 p = .27
Psychiatrist				31.7% (214/675)	28.9% (125/433)	31.2% (185/593)	35.0% (150/429)	31.61% (673/2129)	$\chi^{2}(3, N = 2129)$ = 3.79 p = .29

*Note.* PHQ-9 = Patient Health Questionnaire 9-item; GAD-7 = Generalized Anxiety Disorder Questionnaire 7-item; K10 = Kessler Distress Scale; SDS = Sheehan Disability Scale; PDSS-SR = Panic Disorder Severity Scale Self-Report; SIAS-6/SPS-6 = Social Interaction Anxiety Scale and Social Phobia Scale (SIAS-6/SPS-6). Bonferroni correction p significant at .004.

	Trial 1 (Nov 2013 - Jul 2015)	Trial 2 (Feb 2016 - Jul 2016)	Trial 3 (Dec 2016 - Jun 2017)	Trial 4 (Oct 2017 - Sep 2018)	Trial 5 (Sep 2018 - Mar 2019)	Trial 6 (May 2019 - Nov 2019)	Non-trial	TOTAL	Significance
Comparison	Cohort Comparison: once-weekly support by specialized therapists vs. non- specialized therapists	RCT: optional support vs. once- weekly support	Preference Trial: patients select preference for optional vs. once- weekly support	RCT: once-weekly vs. one- business-day support	RCT: once-weekly support with/without pre- treatment motivational interviewing	Factorial RCT: once- weekly vs. twice- weekly support & Homework reflection or Not	Standard Practice: once-weekly support		
Reliable									
Change									
PHQ-9 post- treatment recovery	40.1%	32.7%	35.4%	35.4%	34.5%	35.9%	36.8%	36.3%	$F_{(6, 2650)} =$ 0.70, $p = .65$
PHQ-9 post- treatment deterioration	1.4%	1.4%	1.5%	2.2%	1.3%	1.4%	1.7%	1.7%	$F_{(6,1308)} =$ 0.22, $p = .97$
GAD-7 post- treatment recovery	43.2%	44.2%	45.5%	46.2%	43.7%	44.6%	45.3%	45.0%	$F_{(6, 2333)} =$ 1.09, $p = .37$
GAD-7 post- treatment deterioration	1.9%	1.4%	1.3%	1.8%	1.6%	2.1%	2.4%	2.0%	$F_{(6,920)} = 0.30, \ p = .94$
Program Engagement									
Accessed $\geq 4$ lessons	83.8% (387/462)	78.0% (135/173)	79.6% (297/373)	81.2% (548/675)	82.7% (358/433)	81.1% (481/593)	78.1% (1011/ 1294)	80.4% (3217/4003)	$\chi^{2}_{(6, N = 4003)} =$ 10.18 p = .12
Accessed 5 lessons	75.1% (347/462)	69.9% (121/173)	67.8% (253/373)	70.5% (476/675)	70.0% (303/433)	73.7% (437/593)	69.1% (894/1294)	70.7% (2831/4003)	$\chi^{2}_{(6, N = 4003)} =$ 10.18 p = .12
Mean number	9.08	5.86	7.65	10.40	8.78(1.50)	12.83	8.69	9.43	p = .12 $F_{(6, 3996)} =$

Table 3. Reliable change, program engagement, working alliance and treatment ratings by trial periods, non-trial period and overall

of therapist emails to	(2.39)	(2.83)	(2.73)	(3.41)		(4.17)	(3.03)	(3.52)	208.26, <i>p</i> .001 <sup>a</sup>
patient (SD)									
Mean number	4.70	2.00	2.42	4.00	2.47	4.70	2.67	2.07	$F_{(6, 3996)} =$
of emails from	4.73	2.88	3.42	4.29	3.47	4.70	3.67	3.97	15.59, <i>p</i> ·
patient to	(3.94)	(3.09)	(3.04)	(4.00)	(2.83)	(3.97)	(3.39)	(3.60)	.001 <sup>b</sup>
therapist (SD)									
Mean number	0.88	0.54	0.62	0.91	0.93	0.95	0.80	0.84	$F_{(6, 3996)} =$
of phone calls	(1.32)	(0.84)	(1.03)	(1.32)	(1.22)	(1.17)	(1.14)	(1.19)	18.00, <i>p</i> <.001 <sup>c</sup>
(SD) Working alliance	<b>x</b>								<.001°
	2								F <sub>(3, 1973)</sub> =
WAI-SR total		42.63	45.16	46.19	47.30	47.55	45.61	46.19	5.24, <i>p</i> <
score		(11.86)	(11.18)	(10.58)	(9.65)	(10.10)	(10.94)	(10.62)	.001 <sup>d</sup>
		15.56	16.20	16.01	17 11	17.00	16.50	16.00	$F_{(3, 1966)}$ =
WAI-SR bond		15.56	16.30	16.91	17.11	17.29	16.58	16.80	5.14, p <
score		(4.89)	(4.10)	(3.82)	(3.22)	(3.56)	(3.97)	(3.84)	.001e
WAI-SR task		14.37	15.32	14.95	15.51	15.41	14.89	15.11	$F_{(3, 1966)}$
score		(3.65)	(3.37)	(3.61)	(3.39)	(3.43)	(3.67)	(3.55)	3.06, <i>p</i>
score		(3.05)	(3.37)	(3.01)	(3.39)	(3.43)	(3.07)	(3.33)	.009
WAI-SR goal		12.70	13.54	14.33	14.66	14.86	14.04	14.25	$F_{(63, 1966)}$
score		(4.93)	(5.22)	(4.58)	(4.38)	(4.39)	(4.83)	(4.68)	5.47, p
		(1.55)	(3.22)	(1.50)	(1.50)	(1.57)	(1.05)	(100)	.001 <sup>f</sup>
Treatment rating									$\chi^2(6, N = 267)$
Satisfied/very	85.1%	78.4%	89.7%	86.3%	85.9%	89.3%	82.3%	85.2%	$\chi$ (6, N = 26) = 19.69
satisfied overall	(280/329)	(91/116)	(227/253)	(417/483)	(243/283)	(342/383)	(677/823)	(2277/2674)	p = .003
Satisfied/very									$\gamma = .00.$ $\chi^2(6, N = 26)$
satisfied with	91.2%	85.3%	92.5%	89.5%	89.0%	91.4%	88.5%	89.7%	$\chi (0, N = 20)$ = 7.97
materials	(300/329)	(99/116)	(234/253)	(437/488)	(252/283)	(349/382)	(728/823)	(2399/2674)	p = .24
Satisfied/very	-								$\chi^2(6, N = 153)$
satisfied with	87.1%	88.8%	83.0%	83.8%	87.6%	84.0%	83.1%	73.2%	= 7.34
telephone calls	(277/318)	(103/116)	(210/253)	(409/488)	(248/283)	(321/382)	(683/822)	(1125/1536)	p = .29
Satisfied/very	92 70/	72 404	77 10/	92 40/	96.00	07 40/	76 40/	00 70/	$\chi^2(6, N = 26)$
satisfied with	82.7%	72.4%	77.1%	82.4%	86.2%	87.4%	76.4%	80.7%	= 35.46
emails	(263/318)	(84/116)	(195/253)	(402/488)	(244/283)	(334/382)	(628/822)	(2150/2662)	<i>p</i> <.001
Increased/greatl	90.6%	00 00/	93.7%	00.00/	02 60/	04 50/	88.7%	91.0%	$\chi^2(6, N = 2674)$
y increased		88.8%		90.0%	93.6%	94.5%			17.04
confidence	(298/329)	(103/116)	(237/253)	(439/488)	(265/283)	(361/382)	(730/823)	(2433/2674)	<i>p</i> =.01
Increased/greatl	78.7%	77.6%	82.6%	83.0%	84.5%	84.3%	78.4%	81.1%	$\chi^2(6, N = 267)$

y increased motivation for other treatment	(259/329)	(90/116)	(209/253)	(405/488)	(239/283)	(322/382)	(645/823)	(2169/2674)	= 12.28 p = .06
Course was worth the time (%)	97.3% (320/329)	97.4% (113/116)	97.2% (246/253)	95.5% (466/488)	97.5% (276/283)	97.1% (372/382)	95.0% (782/823)	96.3% (2575/2674)	$\chi^{2}_{(6, N=2674)} = 9.02$ p = .17
Would recommend course to a friend (%)	96.0% (316/329)	95.7% (111/116)	97.6% (247/253)	97.5% (476/488)	97.2% (275/283)	97.4% (372/382)	95.4% (785/823)	96.6% (2582/2674)	$\chi^{2}_{(6, N = 2674)}$ = 7.34 p = .29

*Note*. PHQ-9 = Patient Health Questionnaire-9-item; GAD-7 = Generalized Anxiety Disorder-7-item; WAI-SR = Working Alliance Inventory - Short Revised. Bonferroni correction p significant at .002.

<sup>a</sup> The mean number of emails therapists sent to patients in Trial 1 (once-weekly), Trial 5 (once-weekly with or without motivational interviewing), and to Non-trial patients (once-weekly) were not significantly different. All other differences in means were significant at p < .001.

<sup>b</sup> Patients in Trial 1 (once-weekly), Trial 4 (once-weekly vs. one-business-day), and Trial 6 (once- vs. twice-weekly) sent more emails to therapists than patients in Trial 2 (optional vs. once-weekly), Trial 3 (preference for optional vs. once-weekly), Trial 5 (once-weekly with or without motivational interviewing), and Non-trial patients (once-weekly).

<sup>c</sup> Patients in Trials 2 (optional vs. once-weekly) and Trial 3 (preference for optional vs. once-weekly) had fewer phone conservations than patients in Trials 4 (once-weekly vs. one-business-day), 5 (once-weekly with or without motivational interviewing), and 6 (once- vs. twice-weekly).

<sup>d</sup> Patients in Trial 2 (optional vs once-weekly support) had lower total scores on the WAI-SR than patients in Trial 5 (once-weekly with or without motivational interviewing) and Trial 6 (once-weekly vs. twice-weekly support).

<sup>e</sup> Patients in Trial 2 (optional vs. once-weekly) had lower scores on the WAI-SR Bond scale than patients in Trial 6 (once- vs. twice-weekly).

<sup>f</sup> Patients in Trial 2 (optional vs. once-weekly) had lower scores on the WAI-SR Goal scale than patients in Trial 5 (once-weekly with or without motivational interviewing ) and Trial 6 (once- vs. twice-weekly).

<sup>g</sup> Patients in Trial 6 (once- vs. twice-weekly) had higher email satisfaction scores than patients in Trial 2 (optional vs. once-weekly), Trial 3 (preference for optional or once-weekly), or Non-trial patients (once-weekly) and patients in Trial 5 (once-weekly with or without motivational interviewing) had higher satisfaction rates than Non-trial patients (once-weekly).