¹ Supplemental data

2

Expert-independent classification of mature B-cell neoplasms using standardized flow cytometry: a multicentric study

5 Sebastian Böttcher¹, Robby Engelmann¹, Georgiana Grigore², Paula Fernandez³, Joana

6 Caetano⁴, Juan Flores-Montero⁵, Vincent H. J. van der Velden⁶, Michaela Novakova⁷, Jan

7 Philippé⁸, Matthias Ritgen⁹, Leire Burgos¹⁰, Quentin Lecrevisse^{2,5}, Sandra Lange¹, Tomas

8 Kalina⁷, Javier Verde Velasco², Rafael Fluxa Rodriguez², Jacques J.M. van Dongen^{11#},

9 Carlos E. Pedreira^{12#}, and Alberto Orfao^{5#}, on behalf of the EuroFlow consortium

10 1 Clinic III, Special Hematology Laboratory, Rostock University Medical School, Rostock, Germany, 2 Cytognos

11 SL, Salamanca, Spain, 3 FACS/Stem cell Laboratory, Kantonsspital Aarau AG, Aarau, Switzerland, 4 Secção de

12 Citometria de Fluxo, Instituto Português de Oncologia de Lisboa Francisco Gentil, Lisbon, Portugal, 5 Clinical and

Translational Research Program, Cancer Research Center (IBMCC-CSIC/USAL-IBSAL); Cytometry Service
 (NUCLEUS) and Department of Medicine, University of Salamanca, Salamanca, Spain and Centro de

(NUCLEUS) and Department of Medicine, University of Salamanca, Salamanca, Spain and Centro de
 Investigación Biomédica en Red de Cáncer: CIBER-ONC (CB16/12/00400), Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Madrid,

Investigación Biomedica en Red de Cancer: CIBER-ONC (CB16/12/00400), Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Madrid
 Spain, 6 Dept. of Immunology, Erasmus MC, University Medical Center Rotterdam, Rotterdam, Netherlands, 7

17 CLIP - Department of Pediatric Hematology and Oncology, Charles University and University Hospital Motol,

18 Prague, Czech Republic, 8 Department of Diagnostic Sciences, Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium, 9 Dept. of

19 Internal Medicine II, University of Schleswig-Holstein, Campus Kiel, Kiel, Germany, 10 Clinica Universidad de

20 Navarra, Centro de Investigacion Medica Aplicada (CIMA), Instituto de Investigacion Sanitaria de Navarra

21 (IDISNA), CIBER-ONC number CB16/12/00369, Pamplona, Spain; 11 Dept. of Immunology, Leiden University

22 Medical Center, Leiden, Netherlands, 12 Systems and Computing Department, Federal University of Rio de

23 Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, [#] JJMvD, CEP and AO contributed equally as co-senior authors.

25 Supplemental methods

26 Patients and eligibility

27 The diagnosis of a particular mature B-cell neoplasm in each individual patient was based on WHO criteria and confirmed by a predefined minimum set of ancillary methods, which always 28 included compatible cytology and/or histology findings. There was no central pathology 29 review of the submitted cases. MCL and BL cases were required to harbor the CCDN1 and 30 MYC translocations, respectively, while the diagnosis of DLBCL, FL, MZL, and LPL were 31 primarily based on histology. Cytology plus basic flow cytometry were required for the CLL 32 33 diagnosis. The HCL diagnosis relied on typical histology and/or cytology. Additional features, such as BRAF V600E mutations in HCL. MYD88 mutations in LPL, and presence of t(14:18) 34 in FL were recorded based upon availability. Deletions (del) 17p, del11q, and del13q, trisomy 35 12 (+12) and the IGHV mutational status were recorded in CLL when available. Information 36 on clonal serum IgM was optionally collected, except in LPL where it was mandatory. 37

Samples were collected locally in all participating EuroFlow centers based upon availability of left-over sample material and completeness of required ancillary test to establish a diagnosis according to WHO. Unselected patient samples were included provided the purity of the malignant B-cell clone exceeded 90% after gating and results from the ancillary methods allowed for the diagnosis of a particular mature B-cell neoplasm.

43

44 Immunophenotypic studies

45 Samples were processed within 24 hours from collection. A total of 100,000 cellular events 46 per tube from samples stained with the EuroFlow B-CLPD antibody panel (supplemental 47 Table 2) and using EuroFlow SOP were acquired on instruments calibrated according to 48 EuroFlow specifications¹. Appropriate instrument performance and laboratory procedures 49 were confirmed by results obtained in EuroFlow QA rounds ^{1, 2}.

51 Data analysis

52 Flow cytometric analyses were performed locally by an expert using a predefined gating strategy in order to identify the aberrant B-cell clone (supplemental Figure 1). Compensated 53 flow cytometry standard (.fcs) files were pseudonymized and uploaded on a secured server. 54 55 Prior to inclusion into this study, cases were checked by a second expert (for correct acquisition, gating, purity of the malignant clone, fluorescence compensation and required 56 annotations) following a standardized, previously published workflow.³ A total of 161 57 additional cases, that were submitted by the local EuroFlow laboratories, could not be 58 accepted for the study. Lacking annotations to allow an unequivocal diagnosis according to 59 WHO represented the most frequent reason for ineligibility (supplemental Table 8). 60

The malignant clone of each case was electronically separated from the other cellular events via CD45, CD19, CD20, forward (FSC), and side scatter (SSC) (supplemental Figure 1). As a rule all malignant B-cells within a sample were represented by a total of 6,000 randomly selected clonal events in further analyses. In a minority of cases, fewer total clonal B-cells were available (supplemental Table 1).

Prior to further analysis the nearest neighbor algorithm⁴ as implemented into Infinicyt 66 software (Cytognos SL, Salamanca, Spain) was applied to CD20, CD45, CD19; FSC, and 67 SSC, so that a value for CD20, CD45, CD19, immunoglobulin(Ig)λ, Igκ, CD5, CD38, CD23, 68 69 CD10, CD79b, CD200, CD43, CD31, CD305, CD11c, IgM, CD81, CD103, CD95, CD22, CD185, CD49d, CD62L, CD39, HLA-DR, and CD27 was assigned to each B-CLPD cell in a 70 71 sample. Scatter parameters from the aberrant B-cell clone were normalized against the 72 median scatter values of CD4⁺ T-cells from the same sample using the following formula: BT 73 ratio=FCS_{B-cells} x SSC_{B-cells} / FCS_{CD4+T} x SSC_{CD4+T}. Thus, 26 quantitative fluorescence and scatter parameters were utilized for further lymphoma classification. 74

CD3 background expression levels were used as indicator for the level of unspecific
 background signal in a disease category assuming that this antigen is not expressed in B-cell

neoplasms (supplemental results). The expression level of each parameter was accordingly
classified as informative vs. predominantly background signal in a given disease category
(supplemental Table 9).

80 Selection of training cases

Sixteen (in case of BL) to 20 (all other categories) cases per entity were randomly included into the training set (total n=176). We used a robust variant of Mahalanobis distance for all 26 flow cytometric parameters⁵ to determine the degree to which a single case represented that class. We confirmed that training set cases were proportionately sampled from typical and atypical cases for a disease category (supplemental Figure 6 and data not shown). The remaining 486 cases formed the independent validation cohort.

87 T-cell subpopulations as QA

T-cell subpopulations identified in tube 1 were evaluated as potential within-sample QA. Specifically, median fluorescence intensities (medFls) of the CD8⁺CD3⁺ T-cell subpopulation for CD8-FITC and of the CD4⁺CD3⁺ T-cell subpopulation for CD4-PacB, CD45-PacO, CD5-PerCP-Cy5.5, and CD3-APC were extracted for all cases with at least 200 events of the respective subpopulations.

93 Statistical methods

Infinicyt software (developmental version 2.0.3 a.B-CLPD S3) was used to analyze the flow 94 cytometry data, to apply the nearest neighbor algorithm ⁴, to select the training cases, to build 95 the database, to plot CCA-based two-dimensional projections and to classify the test cases 96 according to the algorithm. We performed 1,000 bootstraps of the validation set (1,000x 97 random selection with replacement from each entity until the numbers of the original 98 99 validation set for each entity were reached) as initially described by Efron et al.⁶ and applied e.g. by Hoster et al.⁷ This approach allowed us to approximate mean and distribution of 100 specificity, sensitivity, positive (PPV) and negative predictive values (NPV) per disease 101 102 category when the fixed training set was used (Table 4). The stability of the model was

investigated using Monte Carlo cross-validation^{8,9}. We randomly selected the same number 103 of training cases per entity as in the initial model (16 BL training cases, 20 training cases for 104 other B-CLPD entities), created 36 CCA-based projections per iteration, adapted the SD lines 105 106 to create non-overlapping decision criteria for automated diagnosis and performed the 107 classification of the remaining cases as validation set. Based on 1,000 iterations (i.e. 1,000x random split of training and validation cohorts) mean and distribution for sensitivity, 108 specificity, PPV, and NPV per disease category were calculated. R (v. 4.0.2) was used for 109 data analysis and box-plot figures. Significance of single parameter differences between 110 entities was assessed by the Kruskal-Wallis test followed by the Dunns post-hoc test with the 111 Holms correction in log₁₀ transformed data. Intra- and inter-center coefficients of variation 112 (CV) were compared using t-test with Bonferroni correction. P <0.05 was considered 113 114 statistically significant.

117 Supplemental results

118 Using antigens on T-cell subpopulations for in-sample QA

119

The expression levels of T-cell antigens on residual T-cells were used to quantify technical 120 variation of the method and to establish an in-sample QA. Of note, we report herein very 121 122 similar mean medFls for T-cell antigens assessed on bystander T-cells in B-cell lymphoma 123 cases compared to previously reported medFl from EuroFlow QA rounds with normal donors², thus validating the robustness of our technical standardization. The quality of 124 individual measurements can therefore be estimated in the future by comparing medFI of 125 bystander T-cell subpopulations in a newly acquired sample to the reference values provided 126 127 in Table 2. Considering the maximum inter-center CV (32.8%) we recommend that measurements with medFI for the T-cell antigen on T-cell subpopulations outside a range 128 between 34.4% and 165.6% of the mean medFI reference values (equals +/- 2-fold CV) to be 129 considered technical outliers. 130

131

132 General principles on the utility of markers using univariate analysis for B-CLPD classification

(1) Each of the 26 parameters shows significant differences between the peripheral B cell lymphoma entities. The distribution of medFI per entity suggests that for some
 markers (e.g. CD103 in DLBCL, Figure 3A) significant differences might be caused by
 autofluorescent and/or unspecific binding of antibodies to larger lymphoma cells.

(2) Expression levels provide more important diagnostic information compared to a purely qualitative analysis (i.e. positive vs negative). In general, autofluorescence can be seen up to roughly 200 fluorescence channels using EuroFlow standardization (data not shown), i.e. 'positivity' of individual cases can be usually defined as medFl above 200 channels. For example, almost all cases analyzed showed at least partial CD20 expression (for exceptional CD20⁻ cases see Figure 3A), however, median

143 CD20 expression levels in CLL are roughly 6 fold lower than in MCL. Moreover, 144 median CD20 expression was almost 4fold greater in HCL than in MCL.

- (3) Differences between entities in expression levels clearly exceeded technical variation
 observed for T-cells, e.g. an almost 18fold difference between FL and MCL for
 median CD305 (Figure 3 B). These differences therefore reflect biological differences
 between entities that cannot be attributed to technical variation (CV in T-cells ≤
 32.2%).
- (4) Expression levels commonly overlap between entities. For example, in spite of underexpression of CD20 in CLL, there are rare DLBCL and MCL cases with similarly low
 expression (Figure 3 A). Markers with expression ranges virtually specific for a
 particular entity were observed in HCL only (Figure 3A: CD103, CD11c, Figure 3B:
 CD305). Reliable flow cytometric diagnostic approaches therefore as a rule require
 the combined information from different markers.
- (5) Expression levels of markers are frequently heterogeneous within disease entities,
 e.g. some FL cases lack CD10 almost completely, whereas that marker shows some
 expression in a subgroup of HCL cases. A reliable diagnostic strategy has to account
 for such heterogeneity and has to focus on markers with a low intra-disease variance.
- 160

161 Significance of CD200 and CD305 example antigens

We confirm published observations ¹⁰⁻¹⁴ that CD200 rarely, if at all, is expressed in MCL, 162 while CLL and HCL patients express the antigen at high density. Data on CD200 in FL 163 ranged from negative in one study ¹³ to negative to moderate in other evaluations^{12, 14}. Using 164 a large set of FL patients we confirm a negative to moderate CD200 expression in this type 165 of lymphoma. Whereas there are currently only anecdotic reports on CD200 in BL available 166 167 ¹²⁻¹⁴ we consistently found very low CD200 expression in our cohort of 29 BL cases. Finally, median CD200 expression was much higher in CD10⁻ compared to CD10⁺ DLBCL, again 168 emphasizing the biological heterogeneity of DLBCL. 169

CD305 (LAIR-1) was utilized in mature B-cell lymphoid neoplasms so far as a prognostic 170 marker in CLL¹⁵⁻¹⁷ and in an attempt to monitor minimal residual disease (MRD) in MCL¹⁸. 171 172 We herein (Figure 3B) confirm the broad expression range in CLL. CD305 indeed shows a bimodal pattern (data not shown) suggestive of its utility as prognostic classification marker 173 within this leukemia. However, due to heterogeneous, dim to moderate expression its general 174 applicability as MRD marker in MCL¹⁸ remains guestionable. Nevertheless, we regard CD305 175 176 as a very valuable diagnostic marker in mature B-cell lymphomas for its homogeneously high level expression in HCL and very low expression level in FL. The latter feature contributes to 177 differential diagnosis between FL on the one hand vs LPL, MCL, and MZL taken as a group 178 179 on the other hand.

180 Contribution of background signal to marker expression

Literature describes CD103 expression as an almost specific feature for HCL. Accordingly, we observed low level CD103 expression in diseases other than HCL. However, the expression levels differed significantly by diseases entity (with e.g. median medFl in CD10⁺ DLBCL almost 3fold higher than in FL, supplemental Table 6 and Figure 3A). We found a significant correlation between CD3 (Supplementary figure 7) and CD103 expression levels (r=0.43, p<0.001) for all entities except HCL.

In summary, low level differences in expression levels are unlikely to be caused by specific 187 188 fluorescence from the fluorochrome-labelled antibody. These variations are likely due to unspecific staining and autofluorescence as a function of cell size. Fluorescence signals 189 originating from autofluorescence or unspecific antibody binding only (background signal) in 190 a given entity were estimated as the 90th percentile of the CD3 medFI, assuming that there is 191 192 no CD3 expression in B-cell lymphomas. Parameters for which the medFI of less than 20% of training set cases exceeded that background signal were considered non-informative 193 (supplemental Table 9). 194

197 Supplemental references

198 1. Kalina T, Flores-Montero J, van der Velden VH, et al. EuroFlow standardization of 199 flow cytometer instrument settings and immunophenotyping protocols. *Leukemia*. 200 2012;26(9):1986-2010.

Kalina T, Flores-Montero J, Lecrevisse Q, et al. Quality assessment program for
 EuroFlow protocols: summary results of four-year (2010-2013) quality assurance rounds.
 Cytometry A. 2015;87(2):145-56.

3. Lhermitte L, Mejstrikova E, van der Sluijs-Gelling AJ, et al. Automated databaseguided expert-supervised orientation for immunophenotypic diagnosis and classification of acute leukemia. *Leukemia*. 2018;32(4):874-81.

4. Pedreira CE, Costa ES, Barrena S, et al. Generation of flow cytometry data files with a potentially infinite number of dimensions. *Cytometry A*. 2008;73(9):834-46.

5. Leys C, Klein O, Dominicy Y, Ley C. Detecting multivariate outliers: Use a robust variant of the Mahalanobis distance. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*. 211 2018;74:150-6.

6. Efron BT, R. J. The bootstrap estimate of standard error. In: Efron BT, R. J., editor. An introduction to the bootstrap: Springer Science; 1994. p. 45-57.

7. Hoster E, Dreyling M, Klapper W, et al. A new prognostic index (MIPI) for patients with advanced-stage mantle cell lymphoma. *Blood*. 2008;111(2):558-65.

8. Xu Q, Liang Y. Monte Carlo cross validation. *Chemometrics and Intelligent Laboratory* System. 2001;56:1-11.

9. Pan L, Liu G, Lin F, et al. Machine learning applications for prediction of relapse in childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Scientific reports*. 2017;7(1):7402.

10. Palumbo GA, Parrinello N, Fargione G, et al. CD200 expression may help in differential diagnosis between mantle cell lymphoma and B-cell chronic lymphocytic leukemia. *Leuk Res.* 2009;33(9):1212-6.

11. Brunetti L, Di Noto R, Abate G, et al. CD200/OX2, a cell surface molecule with immuno-regulatory function, is consistently expressed on hairy cell leukaemia neoplastic cells. *Br J Haematol*. 2009;145(5):665-7.

12. Rahman K, Kumar P, Gupta R, Singh MK, Nityanand S. Role of CD200 in differential
diagnosis of mature B-cell neoplasm. *International journal of laboratory hematology*.
2017;39(4):384-91.

13. Arlindo EM, Marcondes NA, Fernandes FB, Faulhaber GAM. Quantitative flow
cytometric evaluation of CD200, CD123, CD43 and CD52 as a tool for the differential
diagnosis of mature B-cell neoplasms. *Revista brasileira de hematologia e hemoterapia*.
2017;39(3):252-8.

14. Challagundla P, Medeiros LJ, Kanagal-Shamanna R, Miranda RN, Jorgensen JL.
Differential expression of CD200 in B-cell neoplasms by flow cytometry can assist in
diagnosis, subclassification, and bone marrow staging. *American journal of clinical pathology*.
2014;142(6):837-44.

15. Rawstron AC, Shingles J, de Tute R, Bennett F, Jack AS, Hillmen P. Chronic lymphocytic leukaemia (CLL) and CLL-type monoclonal B-cell lymphocytosis (MBL) show differential expression of molecules involved in lymphoid tissue homing. *Cytometry B Clin Cytom.* 2010;78 (Suppl 1):S42-6.

16. Poggi A, Catellani S, Bruzzone A, Caligaris-Cappio F, Gobbi M, Zocchi MR. Lack of the leukocyte-associated Ig-like receptor-1 expression in high-risk chronic lymphocytic leukaemia results in the absence of a negative signal regulating kinase activation and cell division. *Leukemia*. 2008;22(5):980-8.

Perbellini O, Falisi E, Giaretta I, et al. Clinical significance of LAIR1 (CD305) as
assessed by flow cytometry in a prospective series of patients with chronic lymphocytic
leukemia. *Haematologica*. 2014;99(5):881-7.

- 18. Cheminant M, Derrieux C, Touzart A, et al. Minimal residual disease monitoring by 8color flow cytometry in mantle cell lymphoma: an EU-MCL and LYSA study. *Haematologica*.
- 250 2016;101(3):336-45.
- 19. van Dongen JJ, Lhermitte L, Bottcher S, et al. EuroFlow antibody panels for standardized n-dimensional flow cytometric immunophenotyping of normal, reactive and
- malignant leukocytes. *Leukemia*. 2012;26(9):1908-75.

255 Supplemental Tables

256 Supplemental Table 1. Detailed biological and demographic features of patients. (*two

257 CLL cases had del13q plus +12)

Disease	Parameter		Database	Validation Cohort
	N		(1-170)	(1-460)
DL	IN		10	0
	Age (years)	Median (min- max)	6.5 (2-66)	12 (3-73)
	Gender (n)	F	3	3
		M	13	10
	Sample type (n)	PR	0	1
		BM	8	6
		LN	6	2
		other	2	4
	Genetic aberrations	t(8;14)	12	10
		t(8;22)	2	0
		t(2;8)	2	0
		Partner	0	3
		unknown		
CLL	n		20	125
	Age (years)	Median (min-	73.5 (53-93)	68 (35-92)
		max)		
	Gender (n)	F	3	45
		M	17	80
	Sample type (n)	PB	17	115
		BM	1	6
		LN	2	4
		other	0	0
	Genetic aberrations*	del13q	5	28
		del11q	1	5
		del17p	0	4
		+12	0	9
			12	69
	IGVH mutational status	mutated	1	19
		NT	16	9/
DLDOI		111	10	
DLBCL	n • ()		40	64
	Age (years)	Median (min- max)	65.5 (21-86)	68 (12-95)
	Gender (n)	F	18	36
		М	22	28
	Sample type (n)	PB	3	1
		BM	12	20
		other	8	24
FI	n		20	100
		Madian (min	70 5 (00.00)	
	Age (years)	median (min- max)	72.5 (30-86)	62 (35-87)
	Gender (n)	F	11	63
		М	9	46
	Sample type (n)	PB	3	21
		BM	6	31

		LN other	8 3	48 9
			44	04
	Grade		11	34
		II	2	13
		III	3	13
		-	0	13
		unknown	4	.36
	t(11.18)	nositive	14	63
	t(14,10)	positive	1	11
		negative	-	11
		NI	5	35
HCL		Median (min-	20	38
		max)	07.5 (00-00)	00 (00-13)
	Gender (n)	F	6	10
		М	14	28
	Sample type (n)	PB	13	18
		BM	7	20
		I N	0	0
		other	0	0
	BRAF V600E	mutated	1	14
		unmutated	0	3
		unknown	19	21
LPL	n		20	54
	Age (years)	Median (min- max)	76 (41-88)	66.5 (38-87)
	Gender (n)	F	7	14
		M	13	40
	Sample type (n)	PB	3	5
	F - 3F - ()	RM	17	49
			0	-15
			0	0
		other	0	0
	MVD88	mutated	5	22
	MyD00	mulaleu	3	22
		unmutated	3	2
		unknown	12	30
MCI	n		20	56
mol			20	
	Age (years)	Median (min- max)	70 (50-82)	66.5 (34-85)
	Gender (n)	F	5	13
		М	15	43
	Sample type (n)	PB	11	31
		BM	5	19
			2	5
		LIN	5	5
		other	1	1
MZL	n		20	27
	Age (years)	Median (min- max)	69 (46-83)	68 (38-88)
	Gender (n)	F	12	14
		М	8	13
	Sample type (n)	DB	5	0
	Sample type (II)		5	3
		DIVI	9	12
		LN	5	4
		other	1	2

- Abbreviations: BL, Burkitt lymphoma; BM, bone marrow; CLL, chronic lymphocytic leukemia;
- 260 DLBCL, diffuse large B-cell lymphoma; F, female; FL, follicular lymphoma; HCL, hairy cell
- leukemia; LN, lymph node; LPL, lymphoplasmacytic lymphoma; M, male; MCL, mantle cell
- 262 lymphoma; MZL, marginal zone lymphoma; NT, not tested; PB,peripheral blood.

- 264 **Supplemental Table 2: Composition of the EuroFlow B-CLPD panel** ¹⁹ In 71 samples the
- 265 Cytognos Lyo LST kit was used. In 17 samples the following alternative reagents were used:
- 266 CD45 OC, CD81 APC C750. Please note that tube 1 of the B-CLPD panel equals the
- 267 Lymphoid Screening Tube. For regularly updated information on clones and titres refer to
- 268 www.euroflow.org

Tube	Pac	Pac	FITC	PE	PerCP-	PECy7	APC	APC-H7
	Blue	Orange			Cy5.5	-		
1=	CD20 /	CD45	lgλ/	lgк/	CD5	CD19 /	CD3	CD38
LST	CD4		CD8	CD56		TCRγ/δ		
2	CD20	CD45	CD23	CD10	CD79b	CD19	CD200	CD43
3	CD20	CD45	CD31	CD305	CD11c	CD19	lgM	CD81
4	CD20	CD45	CD103	CD95	CD22	CD19	CD185	CD49d
5	CD20	CD45	CD62L	CD39	HLA-DR	CD19	CD27	

Marker	Fluorochrome	Clone	Source	Catalogue number	(µl/test)
CD3	APC	SK7	BD Biosciences	345767	2.5
CD4	PacB	RPA-T4	BioLegend	300521	0.5
CD5	PerCP-Cy5.5	L17F12	BD Biosciences	BD Biosciences 341109	
CD8	FITC	UCH-T4	Cytognos	Cyt-8F8	1
CD10	PE	ALB1	Beckmann Coulter	A07760	20
CD11c	PerCP-Cy5.5	B-ly6	BD Biosciences	658330	10
CD19	PECy7	J3-119	Beckmann Coulter	IM3628	5
CD20	PacB	2H7	BioLegend	302320	1
CD22	PerCP-Cy5.5	S-HCL-1	BD Biosciences	658329	25
CD23	FITC	MHM6	Dako	F7062	2.5
CD27	APC	L128	BD Biosciences	337169	2.5
CD31	FITC	WM59	BD Pharmingen	555445	10
CD38	APC H7	HB7	BD Biosciences	656646	3
CD39	PE	TU66	BD Pharmingen	555464	10
CD43	APC H7	1G10	BD Biosciences	655407	2.5
CD45	PacO	HI30	life technologies	MHCD4530	5
CD49d	APC H7	9F10	BD Biosciences	658332	1
CD56	PE	C5.9	Cytognos	Cyt-56PE	2
CD62L	FITC	SK11	BD Biosciences	347443	2.5
CD79b	PerCP-Cy5.5	SN8	BD Biosciences	656644	10
CD81	APC H7	JS-81	BD Biosciences	656647	5
CD95	PE	DX2	BD Pharmingen	555674	20
CD103	FITC	Ber-ACT8	BD Biosciences	333155	2
CD185	APC	51505	R&D Systems	FAB190A	10
CD200	APC	OX104	life technologies	17-9200	1.25
CD305	PE	DX26	BD Pharmingen	550811	10
HLA-DR	PerCP-Cy5.5	L243	BD Biosciences	339216	10
lgM	APC	G20-127	BD Pharmingen	551062	10
lgλ/lgκ	FITC/PE	polyclonal	Cytognos	CYT-LF- KPE-100	2.5
TCRγδ-1	PE-Cy7	11F2	BD Biosciences	655410	3

 Böttcher et al.
 Supplement: Automated B-cell lymphoma classification by flow

Abbreviations: APC, Allophycocyanin; CV, coefficient of variation; FITC, Fluorescein
Isothiocyanate; MedFI, median fluorescence intensity; PacB, Pacific Blue; PacO, Pacific
Orange; PE, Phycoerythrin; PerCP, Peridinin-Chlorophyll-Protein

Supplemental Table 3. Overview on the data analysis strategy within the scope of the main study. Data was analyzed using Infinicyt software unless stated otherwise. For the validation of the modular design, steps 8 to 10 were repeated using the parameters from tubes 1 and 2 only. *Background signal cannot be identified for the BT parameter. The software algorithms of the Infinicyt software correspond to the following mathematical algorithms: calculate data: nearest neighbor algorithm, Robust Curve: Robust Mahalanobis distance.

	Aim	Specification	Category individually analyzed, No.	Details / results
1	Identification of the malignant B-cell clone	 exclusion of T-cells in tube 1 merge of tubes 1 to 5 gating on back-bone markers: CD19, CD20, CD45, FSC, SSC 	Patient samples, n=662	Suppl. Fig.1
2	In-sample QA and normalization of FSC/SSC	 gating of CD8+CD3+ and CD4+CD3+ T- cell subpopulations in tube 1 	Patient samples, n=662	
3	Assignment of all fluorescence parameters	 Calculate Data function using CD19, CD20, CD45, FSC, SSC as common parameters to each malignant B-cell 	Patient samples, n=662	
4	Transformation of parameters	 virtual parameter Igκ+Igλ to reflect light chain expression level Normalization of FSC and SSC vs. CD4+CD3+ cells 	Patient samples, n=662	
5	Univariate analysis	• Export of medFl per sample	Patient samples, n=662	
		 Statistical analysis and graphs (R software) 	9 B-CLPD entities	Fig.3
6	Checking representativeness of training set cases	 Ordering cases according to 1D Robust Curve within an entity Checking training cases to represent the distribution of 1D Robust Curve of the entity 	9 B-CLPD entities	-
7	Identification of background signal parameters	 Comparison of medFl to apparent CD3 expression of entity (R software) in training set cases 	9 B-CLPD entities and 25 fluorescent markers,*	Suppl. Table 9
8	Dimension reduction	 CCA projections of training set cases after removal of background signal parameters per differential diagnosis 	36 B-CLPD pair-wise differential diagnosis	Fig. 2, Suppl. Fig. 2
9	Definition of decision criteria for diagnosis	Creation of non-overlapping SD lines of training set cases	36 B-CLPD pair-wise differential diagnosis	Suppl. Table 5. Fig. 2.
10	Independent validation of the analysis strategy	Inclusion into all diagnostic criteria of a single entity required	Validation set of B- CLPD cases (n=486)	Table 4, Fig. 4

Böttcher et al. Supplement: Automated B-cell lymphoma classification by flow

Abbreviations: CCA, Canonical Correlation Analysis; FSC, forward scatter; medFI, median fluorescence intensity; SD, standard deviation; SSC, sideward scatter; QA, quality assessment.

- 290 Supplemental Table 4. Canonical coefficients for CA1 and CA2. Significance of
- 291 contribution of individual parameters to the canonical axes CA1 and CA2 by
- 292 differential diagnosis. Values derived from the training cases. Please refer to separate
- 293 EXCEL supplemental file. T1-T5 refers to the analyses from the full data set, T1-T2 provides
- information after restriction to tubes 1 and 2.
- Abbreviations: BL, Burkitt lymphoma; BT ratio, scatter ratio between malignant B-cell clone
- and residual T-cells; CLL, chronic lymphocytic leukemia; DLBCL, diffuse large B-cell
- 297 lymphoma; FL, follicular lymphoma; HCL, hairy cell leukemia; CA, canonical axis; LPL,
- 298 lymphoplasmacytic lymphoma; MCL, mantle cell lymphoma; MZL, marginal zone lymphoma

301 Supplemental Table 5. SD lines utilized as decision criterion per pair-wise differential

302 diagnosis. Numbers in brackets represent non-overlapping SD lines when only the

information from tubes 1 and 2 was used. Greater SD lines correspond to better separation

	BL	CD10 [.] DLBCL	CD10⁺ DLBCL	CLL	FL	HCL	LPL	MCL
CD10 ⁻ DI BCI	2.0							
CD10+ DI BCI	(2.0)	1.5						
CLL	3.0	2.0	2.5 (2.5)					
FL	1.5 (1.5)	1.0	0.5	2.5 (2.0)				
HCL	3.0 (2.5)	2.0 (0.5)	2.0 (1.5)	3.0 (2.5)	2.5 (1.5)			
LPL	2.0 (2.0)	1.0 (1.0)	2.0 (1.5)	2.5 (2.0)	1.5 (1.0)	2.5 (1.5)		
MCL	2.5 (2.5)	2.0 (1.0)	2.0 (1.5)	2.0 (2.0)	2.0 (1.5)	2.5 (2.0)	1.5 (1.0)	
MZL	2.0 (1.5)	0.5 (0.5)	1.5 (1.0)	2.0 (1.5)	1.0 (1.0)	2.0 (0.5)	1.0 (0.5)	2.0 (1.0)

304

Abbreviations: BL, Burkitt lymphoma; CLL, chronic lymphocytic leukemia; DLBC, diffuse large B-cell lymphoma; FL, follicular lymphoma; HCL, hairy cell leukemia; LPL, lymphoplasmacytic lymphoma; MCL, mantle cell lymphoma; MZL, marginal zone lymphoma.

309 Supplemental Table 6. Medians (10th – 90th percentile) of medFls and of BT ratio,

respectively, by parameter and entity (see Figure 3 for corresponding box plots).

Para- meter	BL	CD10 [.] DLBCL	CD10 ⁺ DLBCL	CLL	FL	HCL	LPL	MCL	MZL
CD10	2347*** (1379 - 5674)	67*** (27 - 140)	1848*** (513 - 5149)	26 (10 - 57)	1390*** (361 - 4436)	91*** (35 - 3889)	40 (20 - 78)	35 (18 - 88)	48* (16 - 79)
CD103	76 (49 - 132)	125*** (45 - 249)	148*** (61 - 308)	61 (44 - 84)	55 (23 - 131)	1728*** (792 - 3707)	77* (46 - 117)	71 (47 - 117)	89** (57 - 188)
CD11c	86 (44 - 194)	163*** (69 - 1262)	119** (58 - 580)	190*** (63 - 496)	68 (37 - 227)	10547*** (3760 - 21628)	113 (46 - 206)	69 (28 - 165)	183* (72 - 776)
CD185	6689*** (2417 - 14898)	5710*** (846 - 18060)	5349*** (1156 - 20635)	13244*** (2962 - 23431)	3650*** (868 - 10776)	782 (209 - 3691)	2010 (517 - 9215)	9258*** (1658 - 23500)	4126** (907 - 14833)
CD19	9666*** (4801 - 19572)	9023*** (3281 - 26399)	4885 (2214 - 13832)	9017*** (5326 - 14139)	4747 (1839 - 10535)	29035 (15656- 54521)	9055*** (4204- 16529)	6747 (3008 - 12169)	13784 (5679 - 22462)
CD20	16260 […] (10329- 30281)	15346 (2800 - 48402)	19693 (5256 - 53113)	3229 (1607 - 8081)	16590 (8307 - 32409)	75760 (43013- 124973)	17096 […] (5721- 29211)	19458 (7736 - 36902)	24327 (8062 - 48735)
CD200	109 (51 - 275)	783*** (86 - 3613)	136** (49 - 1535)	4396*** (2395 - 7743)	215*** (73 - 1247)	7306*** (661 - 19275)	1125*** (185 - 3435)	42 (-6 - 165)	559*** (88 - 3065)
CD22	1276 (560 - 3536)	2655*** (270 - 13924)	3096*** (621 - 7377)	850 (376 - 1951)	2093*** (465 - 6625)	24089*** (6811 - 38209)	1181 (459 - 3212)	1438 (372 - 4508)	3815*** (934 - 9959)
CD23	92 (52 - 148)	215** (85 - 668)	174* (70 - 523)	1230*** (384 - 3183)	160* (50 - 1005)	210** (117 - 409)	123 (78 - 275)	107 (68 - 244)	160 (97 - 425)
CD27	747** (150 - 3942)	329 (44 - 2038)	791*** (15 - 5690)	1910*** (858 - 3962)	258 (23 - 2235)	108 (1 - 764)	443 (51 - 1358)	1105*** (206 - 2846)	758** (93 - 4191)
CD305	75* (38 - 258)	103*** (46 - 338)	74** (32 - 464)	172*** (15 - 1426)	32 (17 - 85)	9577*** (4454 - 17809)	73*** (28 - 752)	568*** (42 - 1437)	75** (30 - 1576)
CD31	172 (91 - 410)	259* (140 - 541)	215 (89 - 587)	730*** (399 - 1273)	120 (56 - 304)	1641*** (615 - 2924)	552*** (256 - 1282)	513*** (231 - 908)	307** (105 - 959)
CD38	10451 (5817 - 15501)	755*** (48 - 6689)	3253*** (375 - 7854)	114 (-45 - 561)	504*** (162 - 2249)	425** (-117 - 1534)	276** (-23 - 2149)	1044*** (88 - 3110)	287 (36 - 958)
CD39	70 (32 - 133)	851*** (131 - 4635)	137** (65 - 7410)	857*** (327 - 1951)	120 (37 - 540)	1024*** (287 - 3917)	408*** (138 - 1052)	944*** (275 - 2181)	662*** (125 - 2073)
CD43	1670*** (781 - 3818)	490*** (104 - 2750)	275 (101 - 1287)	3638*** (1207 - 6288)	131 (34 - 453)	850*** (139 - 2392)	295 (37 - 932)	626*** (170 - 2015)	363 (74 - 937)
CD45	2501 (1352 - 3867)	4193** (1769 - 6679)	4028* (1592 - 5714)	2796 (1948 - 4272)	3593* (1688 - 5591)	6681*** (4591 - 10191)	4534*** (3326 - 6319)	3397 (2121 - 4588)	4239** (2697 - 6501)
CD49d	540** (325 -	537* (104 -	359 (116 -	256 (89 - 735)	424* (96 -	1354*** (570 -	856*** (413 -	574*** (223 -	804*** (257 -

Supplement: Automated B-cell lymphoma classification by flow

	1223)	1501)	1274)		1074)	2788)	1849)	1125)	1504)
CD5	89 (-1 - 255)	220* (70 - 692)	153 (51 - 528)	3685*** (976 - 7045)	80 (3 - 364)	240 (117 - 589)	177 (40 - 692)	2285*** (923 - 5835)	159 (64 - 552)
CD62L	96 (53 - 158)	216** (100 - 549)	226** (73 - 625)	534*** (132 - 2185)	111 (40 - 475)	289*** (139 - 916)	291*** (123 - 1742)	117 (66 - 305)	156* (99 - 1004)
CD79b	3696*** (203 - 15497)	773** (116 - 5833)	3100*** (199 - 15032)	271 (94 - 667)	3095*** (325 - 12047)	2405*** (446 - 11397)	6239*** (1286- 20394)	4577*** (907 - 16636)	1217*** (227 - 9340)
CD81	13133*** (5128 - 20995)	3075*** (868 - 8988)	5457*** (1385 - 17621)	579 (326 - 1082)	2946*** (978 - 6291)	1108** (209 - 3572)	1638*** (766 - 3945)	1693*** (840 - 3348)	2018*** (771 - 4689)
CD95	201 (112 - 478)	885*** (131 - 8888)	1845*** (192 - 9065)	122 (62 - 268)	526*** (145 - 2122)	656*** (258 - 1427)	293*** (104 - 852)	118 (75 - 230)	412*** (111 - 3094)
HLA-DR	12286 (4475 - 71589)	13059 (971 - 84012)	17425* (1270 - 84266)	12948 (3133 - 32925)	5512 (816 - 76359)	9560 (2881 - 37063)	6462 (2205- 20169)	10124 (3742 - 27124)	9638 (4723 - 35978)
lgM	2071** (78 - 13812)	666** (78 - 4639)	238** (40 - 8034)	131 (48 - 490)	336** (30 - 9372)	344* (80 - 2675)	3117*** (333 - 11164)	3512*** (539 - 14785)	664*** (72 - 6744)
lgκ + lgλ	12118 ^{***} (2445 - 28428)	6279*** (1037 - 16087)	9094*** (1606 - 30317)	1805 (557 - 4614)	6960*** (785 - 29414)	10617*** (1797 - 31089)	9920*** (1989- 52827)	8078*** (1037 - 38262)	5248** (831 - 23938)
BT Ratio	2.52*** (1.59 - 3.56)	2.34*** (1.22 - 4.38)	2.89*** (1.31 – 6.66)	0.80 (0.52 - 1.18)	1.05** (0.48 - 2.41)	3.86*** (1.99 - 5.20)	1.03 (0.65 - 1.51)	0.98 (0.68 - 2.06)	1.35*** (0.81 - 2.91)

311

Abbreviations: BL, Burkitt lymphoma; CLL, chronic lymphocytic leukemia; DLBC, diffuse large B-cell lymphoma; FL, follicular lymphoma; HCL, hairy cell leukemia; LPL, lymphoplasmacytic lymphoma; MCL, mantle cell lymphoma; MZL, marginal zone lymphoma. Asterisks indicate a significant difference as compared to the entity with the lowest median MedFI (bold font). Total data set (662 cases). * p<0.01, ** p<0.001, *** p<0.0001.

319 Supplemental Table 7. Monte Carlo cross-validation results. The upper half of the table describes the results when tubes 1 to 5 of the B-CLPD panel are utilized, the bottom half 320 tabulates the results using tubes 1 and 2 of the B-CLPD panel only. Mean±SD of sensitivity, 321 322 specificity, NPV, PPV were calculated by 1,000-fold random selection of training cases 323 (numbers per entity are the same as for the original model), adjustment of SD lines in order to obtain maximal but non-overlapping areas and performing the classification of the 324 325 validation cases (i.e. cases that remain per disease category once the training cases are 326 taken out).

	WHO diagnosis	n	Sensitivity	Specificity	PPV	NPV
	BL	13	55.8±17.7	99.6±0.3	82.9±12.1	98.8±0.5
T1 to T5	CD10 ⁻ DLBCL	31	9.7±6.3	99.4±0.4	51.2±23.9	94.2±0.4
	CD10⁺ DLBCL	33	13.5±7	98.5±0.8	40.5±15.8	94.0±0.4
	CLL	125	86.9±5.6	99.8±0.2	99.4±0.6	95.7±1.7
	FL	109	25.4±7.1	99.7±0.3	95.7±3.8	82.2±1.4
	HCL	38	91.6±5.5	99.9±0.1	98.5±1.4	99.3±0.5
	LPL	54	22.6±10.4	99.5±0.4	85.6±11.9	91.2±1.1
	MCL	56	54.9±9.8	99.8±0.2	97.2±3.1	94.5±1.1
	MZL	27	11.0±6.6	99.4±0.4	52.6±22.4	95±0.3
	BL	13	48.9±14.0	99.5±0.3	74.5±13.5	98.6±0.4
	CD10 ⁻ DLBCL	31	4.6±4.0	99.5±0.4	39.4±30.1	93.9±0.2
2	CD10⁺ DLBCL	33	17.2±6.7	98.3±0.8	43.4±14.8	94.2±0.4
+	CLL	125	82.1±6.3	99.9±0.2	99.6±0.5	94.2±1.9
Ц	FL	109	25.2±7.5	99.7±0.3	96.0±3.4	82.2±1.5
•	HCL	38	29.3±10.9	100.0±0.1	99.5±2.8	94.3±0.8
	LPL	54	13.5±7.2	99.6±0.4	81.7±15.3	90.2±0.7
	MCL	56	36.1±13.4	99.7±0.2	95.3±4.5	92.3±1.5
	MZL	27	5.9±5.5	99.5±0.4	40.8±29.3	94.7±0.3

327

Abbreviations: BL, Burkitt Lymphoma; CLL, chronic lymphocytic leukemia; DLBC, diffuse
large B-cell lymphoma; FL, follicular lymphoma; HCL, hairy cell leukemia; LPL,
lymphoplasmacytic lymphoma; MCL, mantle cell lymphoma; MZL, marginal zone lymphoma;
NPV, negative predictive value; PPV, positive predictive value.

332 Supplemental Table 8. Cases rejected prior to study inclusion.

Cases submitted by the local EuroFlow centers that were rejected upon review by the second 333 expert and reasons for exclusion. Typical examples for lacking annotations included: FISH 334 confirmed translocation (BL, MCL), WBC to be able to differentiate CLL from SLL/MBL, as 335 well as histology in DLBCL, FL, LPL, and MZL. Double submissions refer to cases for which 336 blood and bone marrow samples were submitted simultaneously. A purity of at least 90% 337 after back-bone gating (CD45, CD19, CD20, SSC, FSC) could not be reproduced in 19 338 339 cases, as benign B-cells contaminated the gates. 9 cases submitted as CLL turned out to represent high count MBL or SLL. Technical failures frequently included suboptimal 340 compensation, differences of the back-bone markers between the tubes, and instability of the 341 342 acquisition likely caused by air bubbles.

Submitted diagnosis	Reason for exclusion								
	Annotations missing / inconclusive	double submission	purity	SLL/MBL	technical				
> 1 diagnosis	7				1	8			
B-cell lymphoma NOS	11					11			
BL	2	1				3			
CLL	20	1	3	9	3	36			
DLBCL	17	4	5		3	29			
FL	6	2	6		3	17			
HCL	3		1		1	5			
LPL	10		2		4	16			
MCL	18				1	19			
MZL	14		2		1	17			
total	108	8	19	9	17	161			

343

Abbreviations: BL, Burkitt lymphoma; CLL, chronic lymphocytic leukemia; DLBCL, diffuse large B-cell lymphoma; FL, follicular lymphoma; HCL, hairy cell leukemia; LPL, lymphoplasmacytic lymphoma; MBL, Monoclonal B-cell lymphocytosis; MCL, mantle cell lymphoma; MZL, marginal zone lymphoma; NOS, not otherwise specified; SLL, small lymphocytic lymphoma.

- 349 Supplemental Table 9: Markers representing predominantly background signal (BS) by
- 350 entity. Markers showing background signal for both entities of a given differential diagnosis
- 351 were not considered in CCA for that particular differential diagnosis.

marker	BL	CD10 [.] DLBCL	CD10+ DLBCL	CLL	FL	HCL	LPL	MCL	MZL
CD10		BS		BS			BS	BS	BS
CD103	BS	BS	BS	BS	BS		BS	BS	BS
CD11c	BS	BS	BS		BS		BS	BS	BS
CD19									
CD20									
CD200	BS		BS					BS	
CD22									
CD23	BS	BS	BS		BS	BS	BS	BS	BS
CD27		BS							
CD3	BS	BS	BS	BS	BS	BS	BS	BS	BS
CD31	BS	BS	BS		BS				
CD38									
CD39	BS				BS				
CD43			BS		BS				BS
CD45									
CD49d	BS		BS						
CD5	BS	BS	BS		BS	BS			BS
CD62L	BS	BS	BS		BS			BS	BS
CD79b									
CD81									
CD95	BS			BS				BS	
CD185									
HLADR									
lgM									
lgκ+lgλ									
CD305	BS	BS	BS		BS		BS		BS

Abbreviations: BL, Burkitt lymphoma; BS, background signal; CLL, chronic lymphocytic leukemia; DLBCL, diffuse large B-cell lymphoma; FL, follicular lymphoma; HCL, hairy cell leukemia; LPL, lymphoplasmacytic lymphoma; MCL, mantle cell lymphoma; MZL, marginal zone lymphoma.

357

358 Legends to Supplemental Figures

359 Supplemental Figure 1. Gating strategies for identification of the lymphoma clone using a CLL (A-E) and a DLBCL (F-J) case respectively as examples. T-cells are 360 identified and removed from further analysis in CD3 vs SSC dot plots representing tube 1 361 only (light blue, A, F). A combination of scatter, CD19, CD20, and CD45 (i.e. the common 362 markers between the tubes) is used to identify the malignant clone in tubes 1 to 5 (red gates 363 are combined by Boolean 'AND', B-D and G-I). If needed, additional combinations of 364 common markers and corresponding gates are used to describe the malignant clone. The 365 purity of the identified clone is checked by $Igk-Ig\lambda$ light chain restriction (E, J). Gates were 366 optimized for maximum clone size while preserving the purity of the malignant clone (by 367 definition, required to exceed 90%). Please note low level Iqk expression in CLL (E) and the 368 Igk expression comparable to normal B cells in DLBCL (J). The light blue line in (E) and (J) 369 represents the second standard deviation of background lgk and lg λ expression from 370 CD4⁺CD3⁺ T-cells of the same sample as internal negative reference. Abbreviations: CLL, 371 chronic lymphocytic leukemia; DLBCL, diffuse large B-cell lymphoma; FSC, forward scatter; 372 SSC, side scatter; 373

374

Supplemental Figure 2. CA1 and CA2 for the differential diagnoses of CLL vs MCL 375 (A,E), FL vs MCL (B,F) BL vs FL (C,G) and BL vs CD10 DLBCL (D,H). Immunophenotypic 376 information of tubes 1 to 5 (A-D) and tubes 1 plus 2 only (E-H), respectively, were used. The 377 378 x- and y-axes of each plot represent CA1 and CA2. CA1 is the projection that captures most of the information for maximum separation between two mature B-cell lymphoma entities, 379 CA2 is the projection that provides the second greatest amount of independent information 380 for separation. Numbers in the upper right corner of each plot represent the x fold SD of the 381 382 immunophenotype shown. Numbers in brackets denote the relative contribution of markers to CA1 and CA2, respectively (cf. supplemental Table 4 for a full list of markers and 383

coefficients). Note that the separation for MCL vs FL and for CD10⁻DLBCL vs BL is poorer when only the information of tubes 1 and 2 is used, so that lower fold SD lines completely separate the entities (F, H). Abbreviations: BL, Burkitt lymphoma; CLL, chronic lymphocytic leukemia; DLBCL, diffuse large B-cell lymphoma; FL, follicular lymphoma; CA, canonical axes; MCL, mantle cell lymphoma; SD, standard deviation

389

Supplemental Figure 3. MedFls of CD4 PacB (A), CD3 APC (B), CD45 PacO (C), CD5
PerCP Cy5.5 (D) on CD4⁺CD3⁺ T-cells and of CD8 FITC (E) on CD8⁺CD3⁺ T-cells by
center. Bars represent means, whiskers represent 1 SD. Abbreviations: APC,
Allophycocyanin; FITC, Fluorescein Isothiocyanate; MedFl, median fluorescence intensity;
PacB, Pacific Blue; PacO, Pacific Orange; PE, Phycoerythrin; PerCP, Peridinin-ChlorophyllProtein, SD, standard deviation

396

Supplemental Figure 4. MedFls of CD4 PacB (A), CD3 APC (B), CD45 PacO (C), CD5
PerCP Cy5.5 (D) on CD4⁺CD3⁺ T-cells and of CD8 FITC (E) on CD8⁺CD3⁺ T-cells by
sample material. Bars represent means, whiskers represent 1 SD. Abbreviations: APC,
Allophycocyanin; BM, bone marrow; CNS, central nervous system; FITC, Fluorescein
Isothiocyanate; LN, lymph node; MedFl, median fluorescence intensity; PacB, Pacific Blue;
PacO, Pacific Orange; PB, peripheral blood; PE, Phycoerythrin; PerCP, PeridininChlorophyll-Protein; SD, standard deviation; TM, tumor mass

404

Supplemental Figure 5. MedFls of CD4 PacB (A), CD3 APC (B), CD45 PacO (C), CD5
PerCP Cy5.5 (D) on CD4⁺CD3⁺ T-cells and of CD8 FITC (E) on CD8⁺CD3⁺ T-cells by year
of acquisition. Bars represent means, whiskers represent 1 SD. Abbreviations: APC,
Allophycocyanin; FITC, Fluorescein Isothiocyanate; MedFl, median fluorescence intensity;
PacB, Pacific Blue; PacO, Pacific Orange; PE, Phycoerythrin; PerCP, Peridinin-ChlorophyllProtein, SD, standard deviation

411

Supplemental Figure 6. Robust variant of Mahalanobis distance (y-axis) to check representativeness of training set cases for total cohort using CLL as an example. Cases are ordered by Mahalanobis distance, i.e. most typical CLL cases appear on the left. Each individual cases` median is represented by a circle. Numbers on X-axis refer to unique patient identifiers. Training set cases are shown in purple, validation cases are depicted green. Abbreviations: CLL, chronic lymphocytic leukemia

418

Supplemental Figure 7. Apparent CD3 medFl values by B-cell lymphoma entity. Marker 419 expression in log scale. Horizontal lines indicate medians, boxes show interguartile ranges 420 and whiskers extend to largest/smallest value within the median +/- 1.5x interquartile range. 421 422 Dots show cases out of the interquartile range. Each case is represented by its medFI (n=662). Abbreviations: BL, Burkitt lymphoma; CLL, chronic lymphocytic leukemia; DLBCL, 423 diffuse large B-cell lymphoma; FL, follicular lymphoma; HCL, hairy cell leukemia; LPL, 424 lymphoplasmacytic lymphoma; medFl, median fluorescence intensity; MCL, mantle cell 425 426 lymphoma; MZL, marginal zone lymphoma

427

Supplemental Figure 8. CA1 and CA2 for the differential diagnoses of classical HCL (pink) vs HCL variant (green). The x- and y-axis represent CA1 and CA2. 2 SD are shown.
Each dot represents the median of a case. Numbers in brackets denote the relative contribution of markers to CA1 and CA2, respectively. Please note complete separation between those entities. Abbreviations: HCL, hairy cell leukemia; HCLv, hairy cell leukemia variant.

434 Supplemental Figures

- 435
- 436
- 437



439 Supplemental Figure 1

CA1 (CD45 23%, CD38 15%, ...)

BL vs. FL

CA1 (CD45 30%, CD38 21%, ...)

1.5

G

CA2 (CD19 47%, CD20 24%, ...)

CA1 (CD10 21%, CD45 17%, ...)

CD10⁻ DLBCL vs. BL

CA1 (CD10 31%, CD45 25%)

2.0

Н

CA2 (CD19 96%, CD10 1%, ...)



CA1 (CD5 15%, CD10 14%, ...)

MCL vs. FL

CA1 (CD10 29%, CD5 26%, ...)

1.5

F

CA2 (CD19 92%, CD10 3%, ...)



444 Supplemental Figure 2

Е

CA2 (CD20 31%, CD200 21%,...)

CA1 (CD200 25%, IgM 8 %, ...)

MCL vs. CLL

CA1 (CD200 37%, CD23 11%, ...)

2.0











çۍ

402

<~

°

MCL

W



475 Supplemental Figure 7

Ŷ



480

Supplemental Figure 8